
Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

PART I: SUMMARY INFORMATION AND JUSTIFICATION (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 12/14/2007
2. Agency: 393
3. Bureau: 000
4. Name of this Capital Asset: Electronic Records Archive
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investments only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 393-00-01-03-01-0001-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M ONLY in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2008 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)
 Planning Full Acquisition Operations and Maintenance Mixed Life Cycle Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2002
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

For many years, the National Archives and Records Administration's (NARA's) mission included preserving the paper records of our nation's government. Now, however, we face the challenge of preserving and providing access to the electronic records of the present and future. The Electronic Records Archives (ERA) program, initiated in 2002, is NARA's response to this challenge of preserving authentic electronic records. The goal of ERA is to provide access to all types of electronic records via the Internet-by anyone, anywhere, at any time-across time and technology. By the beginning of 2009, ERA will have the capability to accept, securely store and provide basic preservation, search, and retrieval capability for Unclassified and Sensitive But Unclassified (U/SBU) records from NARAs existing holdings and approximately 25 other Federal agencies. In addition, ERA will have established a parallel system with the ability to ingest, process, store and provide search/retrieval capabilities for TOP SECRET/Special Compartmented Information required to meet special access requests in accordance with Presidential Records Act and national security classified records requirements associated with the electronic records of the George W. Bush administration. During FY 2009, NARA will extend ERA's services to ensure long-term preservation of electronic records, and to enable public access to the systems capabilities.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 08/27/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?

Name Lee Stang
Phone Number 301- 837-0324

E-mail Lee.Stang@nara.gov

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? 3

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient, and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project. (Answer applicable to non-IT assets only) N/A

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)) No

1. If “yes,” is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? [Not answered]

2. If “yes,” will this investment meet sustainable design principles? [Not answered]

3. If “yes,” is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? [Not answered]

13. Does this investment support one of the PMA initiatives? No

If “yes,” check all that apply: *To check all that applies, double click the grey box next to the initiative you would like to add. Select CHECKED from the default value section and then select OK*

Human Capital

Budget Performance Integration

Financial Performance

Expanded E-Government

Competitive Sourcing

Faith Based and Community

Real Property Asset Management

Eliminating Improper Payments

Privatization of Military Housing

Research & Development Investment Criteria

Housing & Urban Development Management & Performance

Broadening Health Insurance Coverage through State Initiatives

“Right Sized” Overseas Presence

Coordination of VA & DoD Programs and Systems

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)? N/A

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)

Yes

- a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during the PART review? Yes
- b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? Electronic Records Services Program
- c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Results Not Demonstrated
(Effective, Moderately Effective, Adequate, Ineffective, Results Not Demonstrated)

15. Is this investment for information technology? (see section 53 for definition) Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project (per CIO Council PM Guidance)?

- Level 1
- Level 2
- Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance):

- Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
- Project manager qualification is under review for this investment
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started
- No Project manager has yet been assigned to this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4-FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) Yes

19. Is this a financial management system? No

- a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area? [Not answered]
 - 1. If "yes," which compliance area: [Not answered]
 - 2. If "no," what does it address? [Not answered]
- b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 [Not answered]

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100% - enter as decimal, e.g., .25 = 25%)

Hardware .07 Software .38 Services .44 Other .11

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name Gary M. Stern
Phone Number 301-837-3026
Title Senior Official for Privacy Policy
E-mail GaryM.Stern@nara.gov

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? No
24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be **excluded** from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)				
	PY-1 and Earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009
Planning:	26.338	0.000	0.000	0.000
Acquisition:	91.836	47.231	44.874	53.622
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	118.174	47.231	44.874	53.622
Operations & Maintenance:	0.000	0.000	6.530	6.670
TOTAL:	118.174	47.231	51.404	60.292
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above				
Government FTE Costs:	10.186	6.030	6.624	6.715
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	90	44	49	49

Note: For the cross-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No
 - a. If "yes," How many and in what year?
3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes.
Adjustments have been made to reflect sustaining development throughout the life cycle.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

- Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																
Contractor Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start & end date of Contract / Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? N	Does the contract include the Required security & privacy clauses?(Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level(Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? Y/N)
GSA34F-4506G/NAMA-05-F-0061	Time and Material	Yes	Jun 15, 2005	Jun 15, 2005	Jun 14, 2012	\$ 11.336	N	Y	Y	N/A	N	Yes	Thomas Campbell	Thomas.campbell@nara.gov, 301-837-1987	3	N/A
NAMA-04-C-0007	CPAF	Yes	Aug 16, 2004	Aug 16, 2004	Sep 7, 2012	\$317.43605168	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	Y	Yes	Thomas Campbell	Thomas.campbell@nara.gov , 301-837-1987	3	N/A
NAMA-07-F-0032/GS-35F-0325R	Time and Material	Yes	April 10, 2007	April 10, 2007	April 9, 2012	\$60.01066485	No	Yes	Yes	N/A	N	Yes	Thomas Campbell	Thomas.campbell@nara.gov , 301-837-1987	3	N/A
NAMA-05-I-0026	IAA	Yes	Sep 16, 2005	Sep 16, 2005	Jul 31, 2009	\$3.989	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov , 301-837-0307	2	N/A
NAMA-06-I-0016	IAA/MOU	Yes	Mar 14, 2006	Mar 14, 2006	Sep 30, 2010	\$2.097	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov	2	N/A

Contracts/Task Orders Table:

Contractor Task Order Number	Type of Contract/Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start & end date of Contract / Task Order	End date of Contract/Task Order	Total Value of Contract/Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UEESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the Required security & privacy clauses?(Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level(Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? Y/N)
														@nara.gov , 301-837-0307		
NAMA-05-I-0022	IAA	Yes	Jun 23, 2005	Jun 23, 2005	Dec 31, 2009	\$1.898	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov , 301-837-0307	2	N/A
NAMA-04-I-0022	IAA	Yes	Jun 9, 2004	Jun 9, 2004	December 31, 2007	\$7.499	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov , 301-837-0307	2	N/A
NAMA-06-I-0024	iAA	Yes	Sep 1, 2006	Sep 1, 2006	Aug 31, 2011	\$2.518 54755	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov , 301-837-0307	2	N/A
NAMA-07-0029	IAA	Yes	Jul 1, 2007	Jul 1, 2007	Sep 30, 2011	\$3.308 081	Yes	No	No	N/A	N	No	Kathleen McGrath	Kathleen.McGrath@nara.gov , 301-837-0307	2	N/A

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why: **Earned Value is required for the ERA Development Contract (NAMA-04-C-0007) and the Program Office Support Contract (NAMA-07-F-0032/GS-35F-0325R). While Earned Value is not a contractual requirement for GSA-34F-4506G/NAMA-05-F-0061, an Integrated Schedule and EVM report requirements have been incorporated into the Task Order Management Plan that has been accepted by the Government and binds the contractor to the requirement. The other contracts listed in the table above are Inter Agency Agreements and do not require EVM reporting.**

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes
 - a. Explain why At this stage of the design and with current plans for functionality, ERA compliance with Section 508 cannot be confirmed. NARA is working with the ERA development contractor to ensure 508 compliance in the future.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date? 1/12/2005
 - b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed? [Not answered]
 1. If "no," briefly explain why: [Not answered]

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency’s mission and strategic goals, and performance measures must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for at least four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA’s mission in the digital era.	Mission and Business Results	Central Records and Statistics Management	% scheduled archival electronic records transfers arriving at NARA on time NARA (2006 SP 2.1)	3.61%	TBD	1%
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in	Customer Results	Innovation and Improvement	Percent of archival electronic holdings that have been processed to the point		95%	94%

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.			where researches can have efficient access to them.			
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage increase in the number of Federal Agency customers that are highly satisfied with NARAs records management services (2006 SP 1.2)	78%	10% increase	[Not answered]
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	Operations and Maintenance Costs	Per megabyte cost of managing electronic records (2006 SP 3.3)	\$0.43	Decreases	[Not answered]
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes and Activities	Security	ERA Development Proceeds on Schedule and Within Budget	Security Architecture has been completed	USBU Security design and features have been implemented	The program is delayed over 6 months with a cost overrun of approxim

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
							ately \$14 million. Security features have not yet been fully defined or implemented
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	IT Composition	ERA Development Proceeds on Schedule and Within Budget	Systems Analysis and Design (SAD) Phase Completed and Development Phase Initiated	Install ERA Increment 1 at operational site	The installation of the system infrastructure was completed July 25, 2007
2007	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	ERA Development Proceeds on Schedule and Within Budget	Integrated Plan, Integrated Schedule, and Work Breakdown Structure are baselined to requirements allocated to Inc. 1.	Increment 1 Releases 1 and 2 developed, validated, tested and deployed in accordance with the baseline.	Increment 1 completed; Increment 2 partially completed; will be delivered in March 2008
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records	Technology	IT Composition	ERA Development Proceeds on Schedule and Within	Increment 1 Release 1 and portions of	Initial Operating Capability has been achieved	[Not answered]

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.			Budget	Increment 1 Release 2 are operational		
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes and Activities	Security	ERA Development Proceeds on Schedule and Within Budget	USBU Security design and features have been implemented	National Security System requirements for Increment 2, Release 1 are defined, developed, validated and deployed	[Not answered]
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Percent increase in records schedules submitted using ERA	TBD	Establish baseline	[Not answered]
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	Productivity	Increase in archival electronic holdings that have been processed to the point where researchers can have efficient	TBD	10% increase	[Not answered]

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				access to them. (2006 SP 3.1)			
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Percentage of Federal Agencies highly satisfied with NARAs records management services (2006 SP 1.2)	TBD	10% Increase	[Not answered]
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Mission and Business Results	Central Records and Statistics Management	% scheduled archival electronic records transfers arriving at NARA on time NARA (2006 SP 2.1)	TBD	10% increase	[Not answered]
2008	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	Operations and Maintenance Costs	Per megabyte cost of managing electronic records (2006 SP 3.3)	TBD	Decreases	[Not answered]
2009	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Percent increase in records schedules submitted,	Baseline	10% increase	[Not answered]

Performance Information Table:							
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.			using ERA			
2009	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Processes and Activities	Innovation and Improvement	Percent increase in records schedules appraised and approved using ERA	TBD	Establish baseline	[Not answered]
2009	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	Productivity	Increased % of archival electronic holdings accessible online (2006 SP 3.1)	TBD	10% increase	[Not answered]
2009	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Customer Results	Customer Satisfaction	Increase per year in percentage of customers satisfied with ERA (2006 SP 1.2)	TBD	5% Increase	[Not answered]
2009	Goal 3: We will	Mission and	Central Records	% scheduled	TBD	10%	[Not

Performance Information Table:

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Business Results	and Statistics Management	archival electronic records transfers arriving at NARA on time NARA (2006 SP 2.1)		increase	answered]
2009	Goal 3: We will address the challenges of electronic records in Government to ensure success in fulfilling NARA's mission in the digital era.	Technology	Operations and Maintenance Costs	Per megabyte cost of managing electronic records (2006 SP 3.3)	TBD	Decreases	[Not answered]

Section E: Security and Privacy

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the “Systems in Planning” table (Table 3) and the “Operational Systems” table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the “Name of System” column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled “Name of System” in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA)

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer “yes” for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

1. Have the security costs or the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment: Yes
 - a. If “yes,” provide the “Percentage IT Security” for the budget year: 4.00%
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment. Yes

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization – Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)
OFAS	Government Only	03/31/2008	03/31/2008
ENOS	Government Only	03/31/2008	03/31/2008
ARCIS	Government Only	09/30/2008	09/30/2008

4. Operational Systems – Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contract or Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed , using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed : C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests?" (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested
ERA	Government Only	High	Y	3/31/2008	FIPS 200 / NIST 800-53	3/31/2008	3/31/2008

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG? No
 - a. If “yes,” have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency’s plan of action and milestone process? [Not answered]
6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses? No
 - a. a. If “yes,” specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness. [Not answered]
7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above? [Not answered]

8. Planning & Operational Systems – Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
ERA	Yes	No	Not yet required to be completed at this time.	No	The system is not a Privacy Act system of records.

8. Planning & Operational Systems – Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
ARCIS	Yes	No	Not yet required to be completed at this time.	No	System is not a Privacy Act system of records
ENOS	No	Yes	http://www.archives.gov/foia/privacy-program/privacy-impact-assessments/orderonline-pia.pdf	Yes	http://www.archives.gov/foia/privacy-program/nara-37.html
OFAS	No	Yes	http://www.archives.gov/foia/privacy-program/privacy-impact-assessments/ofas-pia.pdf	Yes	http://www.archives.gov/foia/privacy-program/nara-25.html

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
 - a. If "no," please explain why? N/A
2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. ERA
 - b. If "no," please explain why? N/A
3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment: NARA does not have multiple segment architectures – Source: OMB FEA PMO EA Assessment for NARA Q2 FY2007 – March 2007.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:							
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified / Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated	Document Management	Document Conversion	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	special access requests in both the Unclassified/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Document Management	Indexing	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of	Document Management	Classification	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	the ERA system						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to	Records Management	Digital Rights Management	[Not answered]	{Not answered}	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified / Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Data Management	Data Warehouse	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new	Data Management	Data Classification	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Search	Query	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Collaboration	Document Library	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features	Security Management	Identification and Authentication	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system	Security Management	Access Control	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive	Security Management	Cryptography	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system	Security Management	Digital Signature Management	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specializes search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Security Management	Intrusion Prevention	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval	Security Management	Incident Response	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Security Management	Audit Trail Capture and Analysis	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified	Security Management	Certification and Accreditation	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component	FEA Service Component Reused		Internal or External Reuse?	BY Funding Percentage
				Component Name	UPI		
	/Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.						
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system.	Security Management	FISMA Management and Reporting	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Internal	5
ERA	Establish specialized search and retrieval features designed to meet anticipated special access requests in both the Unclassified /Sensitive and a new TS/SCI version of the ERA system	Security Management	Virus Protection	[Not answered]	{Not answered}	Internal	5

a.

5. Technical Reference Model Table:				
FEA SRM Component	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	To Be Determined (TBD)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channel	Collaboration/ Communications	(TBD)
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	TBD
Identification and Authentication	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	TCP/IP
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware/Infrastructure	Servers/Computers	TBD
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database/Storage	Database	TBD
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database/Storage	Storage	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware/Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware/Infrastructure	Network Devices/Standards	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware/Infrastructure	Peripherals	TBD
Certification and Accreditation	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	TBD
Digital Signature Management	Component Framework	Security	Certificates/Digital Signatures	TBD
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	TBD
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	TBD
Information Sharing	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	TBD
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	TBD

5. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No
 - a. If “yes,” please describe [Not Answered]

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? **yes**
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed? **May 11, 2007**
 - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed? **[Not answered]**

If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why: **[Not answered]**

2. Alternatives Analysis Results:			
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate
1. Baseline	Status quo	\$15,670,452,963	0
2 - Develop New System with Unique Architecture	Integrate existing stovepipe systems using newly developed codes, making sure that each system interfaces with every other system	\$1,538,245,732	\$8,894,790,750
3 Develop New System with Common Architecture – Lockheed Martin	This represents the full programmatic costs to continue development of the system based on the current design provided by Lockheed Martin Corporation. It includes all related government costs to implement, maintain and operate a system through 2020.	\$795,334,014	\$14,875,118,949

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen? **NARA's FY 2009 Analysis of Alternatives for ERA confirms that the Lockheed Martin design has the lowest costs and highest net life cycle benefit of the alternatives available for meetings the challenges of managing electronic records.**
4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized? **As designed in Alternative 3, ERA will transform NARA by providing access to all types of electronic records via the Internet-by anyone, anywhere, at any time-regardless of when or how the**

record was created. **Alternative 3 will enable ERA to evolve over time to allow new types of electronic records to be brought into ERA and preserved, guaranteeing that the electronic records are not corrupted or distorted by changes in technology. Eventually, the user will be able to view the authentic records, regardless of whether or not the software used to create the records is still available.**

ERA addresses several major functions of NARA’s life cycle management of records, including records appraisal and disposition, preservation, accessioning, storage, and access. However, its greatest impact will be the ability to acquire, preserve, secure, and provide access to the rapidly increasing volume of electronic records created by the Federal Government.

5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole? **Yes**
- a. If “yes” are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected - included in this investment **This investment** the legacy investment or in a separate migration investment?
- b. If “yes” please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or System		
Name of the Legacy System or Investment	UPI if available	Date of System Retirement
Extend the Useful Life	393-00-01-03-02-0002-00	09/30/10
Archives Declassification, Review, and Redaction System (ADRRES) / Unclassified Redaction and Tracking System (URTS)	393-00-01-04-02-0016-00	09/30/10
Archival Research Catalog (ARC)	393-00-01-03-02-0006-00	9/30/10

Section B: Risk Management

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? **yes**
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? **Jul 28, 2006**
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? **no**
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes: **[Not answered]**
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed? **[Not answered]**
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date? **[Not answered]**
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks? **[Not answered]**
3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule: **For the FY 2009 budget, the ERA budget was**

subjected to a top down assessment of the probability of success for the second level of each work breakdown structure element using the recognized SERIM technique. The SERIM technique uses structured interviews to collect expert judgment from senior level managers on the probability of success. NARA assumed a strong correlation between the estimate of non-success and rework (e.g., increased costs). The scores were then plotted against the S curve, to take into account human psychology factors in answering subjective questions and derive a weighted score for each wbs element. The weight estimates the likelihood of success as (1-w) less than or = 1, or the likelihood of non-success as (1-w). The true cost of the project after risk adjustment was estimated as c/w, where c is the unadjusted cost. This implies that the amount by which the budget needs to be adjusted is calculated as c(1 - w)/w where w = the weight assigned by s-curve and is between 0 and 1.

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard - 748? **yes**
2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than ± 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) **no**
 - a. If "yes," was it the? [**Not answered**]
 - b. If "yes," explain the variance: [**Not answered**]
 - c. If "yes," what corrective actions are being taken? [**Not answered**]
3. Has the investment rebaselined during the past fiscal year? **Yes**
 - a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head? August 28, 2007

9. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline:									
Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
	Planned Completion Date	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date Planned/Actual		Total Cost (\$M) Planned/Actual		Schedule/Cost (# days/\$M)		
1.4 Concept Exploration	Jun 28, 2004	2.738	Jun 28, 2004	Aug 23, 2004	2.738	2.493	55	[Not answered]	100
1.4.1 ERA PMO Project Mgt Concept Exploration	Mar 16, 2004	0.335	Mar 16, 2004	Aug 23, 2004	0.335	0.342	157	0	100
1.4.2 Organizational Change Mgt (Concept Exploration)	Jun 28, 2004	0.088	Jun 28, 2004	Aug 23, 2004	0.088	0.1	55	0	100
1.4.3 Concept Analyses (Concept Exploration)	Feb 6, 2004	0.335	Feb 6, 2004	Jan 7, 2004	0.335	0.336	-29	0	100
1.4.4 Development Contract Request for Proposal	May 28, 2004	0.443	May 28, 2004	Aug 30, 2004	0.443	0.354	92	0	100

1.4.5 Development Contract Source Selection	May 28, 2004	1.515	May 28, 2004	Sep 27, 2004	1.515	1.311	119	0	100
1.4.6 CE Closeout	Jun 28, 2004	0.02	Jun 28, 2004	May 31, 2005	0.020	0.05	333	0	100
1.5 Systems Analysis and Design	Sep 16, 2005	22.584	Sep 16, 2005	May 31, 2005	22.584	22.494	-105	0	100
1.5.1 NARA Systems and Design Harris Corporation (HC)	Jun 20, 2005	0.947	Jun 20, 2005	May 31, 2005	0.947	1.17	-19	0	100
1.5.2 NARA Systems Analysis and Design Lockheed Martin (LMC)	Jun 15, 2005	0.994	Jun 15, 2005	May 31, 2005	0.994	0.991	-14	0	100
1.5.3 HC Systems Analysis and Design	Jun 15, 2005	10.564	Jun 15, 2005	May 31, 2005	10.564	10.514	-14	0	100
1.5.4 LMC Systems Analysis and Design	Jun 9, 2005	9.512	Jun 9, 2005	May 31, 2005	9.512	9.386	-8	0	100
1.5.5 ERA Systems Analysis and Design Downselect	Jul 15, 2005	0.534	Jul 15, 2005	May 31, 2005	0.534	0.404	-44	0	100
1.5.6 NARA Systems Analysis and Design Engineering Studies	Sep 16, 2005	0.014	Sep 16, 2005	May 31, 2005	0.014	0.001	-105	0	100
1.5.7 Systems Analysis and Design Closeout	Sep 16, 2005	0.015	Sep 16, 2005	Sep 16, 2005	0.015	0.028	0	0	100
1.6 Stennis Option 1	Sep 30, 2007	2.33	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	1.985	2.855	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	.38
1.6 Stennis Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	0.779	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	0.256	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 WVA Option 1	Sep 30, 2007	1.875	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	3.291	2.400	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	5
1.6 WVA Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	0.903	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	1.651	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 Bush Option 1	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 Bush Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	0.38	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 Acceptance Lab	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Sep 30, 2012	[Not answered]	1.661	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 Acceptance Lab Option 1	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	0.232	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 Acceptance	Sep 30, 2008	0	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	1.200	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0

Lab Option 2									
1.6 LMC, A2 Option 1	Sep 30, 2007	0	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 LMC, A2 Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	0.352	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	144.055	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 NARANET Option 1	Sep 30, 2007	0.000	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	100
1.6 NARANET Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	1.000	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 NARA User Training Option 1	Sep 30, 2007	0	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.6 NARA User Training Option 2	Sep 30, 2008	0.003	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	0.000	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7 Contract Option 1 System Development	Sep 30, 2007	72.892	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	73.000	50.815	[Not answered]	-3.735	81
1.7 Contract Option 2 System Development	Sep 30, 2008	35.914	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	35.447	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.3 - Contract Option 1 Project Management	Sep 30, 2007	20.309	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	20.745	18.345	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	97
1.7.3 - Contract Option 2 Project Management	Sep 30, 2008	8.26	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	8.792	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.4 Contract Option 1 Infrastructure and Lab Engineering	Sep 30, 2007	7.436	Sep 30, 2007	[Not answered]	1.929	1.287	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	76
1.7.4 - Contract Option 2 Infrastructure and Lab Engineering	Sep 30, 2008	1.34	Sep 30, 2008	[Not answered]	.943	0.606	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.5 Contract Option 1 System Eng.	Sep 30, 2007	10.284	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	12.555	9.606	[Not answered]	-0.951	83
1.7.5 Contract Option 2 System Eng.	Sep 30, 2008	3.95	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	8.239	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.6 Contract Option 1 System Dev	Sep 30, 2007	31.594	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	33.944	17.930	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	76
1.7.6 Contract Option 2 System Dev	Sep 30, 2008	19.055	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	14.125	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.8 Contract Option 1 LMC User Training Support	Sep 30, 2007	0.588	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	.910	1.140	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	58
1.7.8 Contract	Sep 30,	0.573	April	[Not	.612	[Not	[Not	[Not	0

Option 2 LMC User Training Support	2008		30, 2009	answered]		answered]	answered]	answered]	
1.7.11 Contract Option 1 System Support and Change Mgt	Sep 30, 2007	0.889	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	.647	1.263	[Not answered]	-.119	80
1.7.11 Contract Option 2 System Support and Change Mgt	Sep 30, 2008	1.457	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	1.457	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0
1.7.12 Contract Option 1 Technical Program Mgt	Sep 30, 2007	2.053	March 31, 2008	[Not answered]	2.266	1.244	[Not answered]	-.058	71
1.7.12 Contract Option 2 Technical Program Mgt	Sep 30, 2008	1.276	April 30, 2009	[Not answered]	1.276	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	[Not answered]	0