



## 1 August 1985

AG:

This is the latest Solicitor General's weekly report. The only new item involves the Secretary of Labor's duties with respect to repayment of misused CETA funds (p. 6).

Continuing items of special interest include Orr v. Turner (p. 3), a Stotts follow-up concerning consent decrees, and Heckler v. American Hospital Association (p. 4), the "Baby Doe" regulations case.



## U.S. Department of Justice Office of the Solicitor General

Washington, D.C. 20530

July 31, 1985

MEMORANDUM TO:

D. Lowell Jensen

Deputy Attorney General

FROM:

Charles Fried

Acting Solicitor General

SUBJECT:

Weekly Report

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/2/85	FREY ALITO	Filing of merits brief in <u>United</u> States v. <u>Inadi</u> . The issue is whether the government, in order to secure the admission at a conspiracy trial of the statements of a co- conspirator, must either show that the declarant is unavailable or produce him at trial.
8/5/85	FREY HOROWITZ	Filing of brief amicus curiae in Texas v. McCullough. The issues are (1) whether a presumption of vindictive sentencing under North Carolina v. Pearce, 395 U.S. 711 (1969), attaches when the trial judge grants the defendant's motion for a new trial, the defendant is reconvicted, he elects to be sentenced by the judge, and the judge imposes a higher sentence than a jury imposed in the first trial; and (2) whether the presumption of vindictive sentencing is dispelled if the judge states that he relied on new,

objective information not known at the time of the first sentencing as a basis for the increased sentence.

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/7/85	GELLER KUHLIK	Filing of cert. petition in United States v. Molsenbergen. The issue is whether the Feres doctrine bars a former serviceman's FTCA claim alleging negligent post-discharge failure to warn of future health effects from exposure to radiation.
8/15/85	GELLER PINCUS	Filing of merits brief in United States v. City of Fulton. The issue is whether the Secretary of Energy may impose an interim increase in the rates charged for electricity generated by federal hydroelectric projects pending confirmation of the rate increase by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.
8/15/85	WALLACE LAUBER	Filing of merits brief in United States v. American College of Physicians. The issue is whether commercial advertising income received by a tax-exempt organization that publishes a medical journal is "unrelated trade or business income" taxable to the organization under Sections 511 to 513 of the Internal Revenue Code.
8/15/85	GELLER MINEAR	Filing of a jurisdictional statement in <u>Castillo</u> v.  Block. The issue is whether  Section 3(i) of the Food Stamp Act, 7 U.S.C. 2012(i), violates the  Fifth Amendment by prohibiting family members who live together from claiming separate household status for food stamp entitlement purposes.

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/16/85	WALLACE GANZ FRIED	Filing of a cert. petition in St. James Hospital v. Heckler. The issue is whether it was erroneous for a court of appeals to invalidate a regulation of the Secretary of Health and Human Services that established a formula for reimbursing hospitals for the portion of malpractice insurance costs attributable to Medicare patients and to require the Secretary to reimburse hospitals according to a prior regulation.
8/16/85	FRIED LARKIN	Filing of cert. petition in Orr v. Turner. The issue is whether Title VII of the Civil Rights Act or the Due Process Clause forbids a court, when construing a consent decree, from awarding a preference in promotion on the basis of race to a public employee who was not a victim of discrimination.
8/17/85	GELLER STRAUSS	Filing of brief amicus curiae in support of respondents in <u>Davidson</u> v. <u>Cannon</u> , et al. The issues are: (1) whether a state prisoner injured in an attack by another prisoner due to the failure of state prison authorities to protect him has been "deprived" of "liberty" under the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment; and (2) if so, whether a reasonably comprehensive state tort claims statute which nevertheless bars actions arising out of injuries to one prisoner by another can constitute adequate "process."
8/18/85	CLAIBORNE SHAPIRO	Filing of a cert. petition in United States v. Dion. The issue is whether an Indian may assert treaty-based hunting rights as a defense to criminal prosecution under the Eagle Protection Act and the Endangered Species Act.

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/22/85	GELLER OBERLY	Filing of merits brief in Heckler v. Roy. The issue is whether federal statutes requiring applicants for food stamps and AFDC to provide their state welfare agencies with their social security numbers violate the Free Exercise Clause as applied to persons who hold a sincere religious belief that SSNs are part of a "great evil."
8/22/85	CLAIBORNE HOROWITZ	Filing of a merits brief in <a href="Dow-Ohemical Co.">Dow-Ohemical Co.</a> v. <a href="United States">United States</a> .  The issue is whether EPA's aerial surveillance of a chemical plant violated the Fourth Amendment or exceeded EPA's statutory authority.
8/28/85	GELLER KNEEDLER	Filing of a cert. petition in Michigan Academy of Family Physicians v. Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan. The issue is whether Congress has foreclosed judicial review of a regulation governing classification of physicians for purposes of Part B of the Medicare Program.
8/30/85	GELLER MINEAR	Filing of merits brief in EEOC v. Federal Labor Relations Authority. The issue is whether a proposal by a federal employees' union that an agency comply with an OMB circular governing agency contracting-out decisions is a mandatory subject of bargaining.
8/31/85	FRIED KNEEDLER	Filing of merits brief in  Heckler v. American Hospital  Association, et al. The issue is  whether Section 504 of the  Rehabilitation Act of 1973  prohibits a hospital from  withholding nourishment or  medically indicated treatment  from a handicapped child, solely because of his handicap.

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/31/85	FREY	Filing of a merits brief in United States v. Mechanik and Lill v.  United States. The central issue is whether a technical defect in a grand jury proceeding (in this case the fact that two federal law enforcement officers testified before the grand jury at the same time in violation of Fed. R. Crim. P. 6(d)) can serve as a basis for dismissing the indictment and reversing the defendants' otherwise valid convictions by a petit jury, particularly when there is no evidence that the technical violation was actually prejudicial to the defendants.
8/31/85	CLAIBORNE KNEEDLER	Filing of a jurisdictional statement in <u>Irving</u> v. <u>Hodel</u> . The question presented is whether 25 U.S.C. 2206, which provides that certain de minimis fractional interests in Indian allotments shall not descend by intestacy or devise, but instead shall escheat to the tribe, is constitutional.

PROJECTED DATE	PERSONS	EVENT DESCRIPTION
8/31/85	WALLACE LAUBER	Filing of a merits brief in Sorenson v. Secretary of the Treasury. The issue is whether a tax refund attributable to an earned income credit constitutes an "overpayment" such that it may be intercepted by the IRS and applied toward the individual's past due child support obligations.
<b>*</b> 9/4/85	GELLER PINCUS	Filing of a cert. petition in Brock v. Pierce County.  The question presented is whether Section 106(b) of CETA imposes a strict requirement upon the Secretary of Labor to seek repayment of misused CETA funds within 120 days after receiving a complaint.

New Entries

cc: Terry H. Eastland Director of Public Affairs

> Mark T. Sheehan Assistant Director Office of Public Affairs

Stephen Galebach
Senior Special Assistant
to the Attorney General