Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50)

TOP SECRET

U.S.C., section 403g)

WARNING NOTICE-INTELLIC TH. JE SC RCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED

Central Intelligence Agency

DIANGIS TSC NO. 87-326



DECLASSIFIED UNDER AUTHORITY OF THE INTERAGENCY SECURITY CLASSIFICATION APPEALS PANEL, E.O. 13526, SECTION 5.3(b)(3)

ISCAP APPEAL NO. 2012-026, document no. 2 **DECLASSIFICATION DATE: May 14, 2015** 

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT

: USSR GENERAL STAFF ACADEMY LESSON: Soviet Strategic Rocket Forces

1. The enclosed Intelligence Information Special Report is part of a series now in preparation, classified TOP SECRET, prepared in 1985 for use in the Voroshilov General Staff Academy.

25X1, E.O.13526

this document

24 July 1987

should be handled on a strict need-to-know basis within recipient agencies.

Clair c. veorge Deputy Director for Operations

TS #878526

ALL PORTIONS CARRY CLASSIFICATION AND CONTROLS OF OVERALL DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 13 Pages

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

### WARNING NOTICE-INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

### Distribution:

The Director of Central Intelligence

The Director of Intelligence and Research Department of State

The Joint Chiefs of Staff

The Director, Defense Intelligence Agency

The Assistant to the Chief of Staff for Intelligence Department of the Army

Director of Naval Intelligence Department of the Navy

The Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence U. S. Air Force

Director, National Security Agency

Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

Deputy Director for Intelligence

Director of Soviet Analysis

Director of Scientific and Weapons Research

Page 2 of 13 Pages

TS #878526 Copy #

TOP SECRET

### WARNING NOTICE-INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

THIS DOCUMENT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED



# Intelligence Information Special Report

Page 3 of 13 Pages

| Withheld under statutory authority of the   |
|---|
| Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 |
| U.S.C., section 403g)                       |

COUNTRY USSR

24 July 1987

DATE

DATE OF INFO.

1985

USSR GENERAL STAFF ACADEMY LESSON: Soviet Strategic Rocket Forces

SOURCE Documentary

### Summary:

The following report is a translation from Russian of the text of a lecture given at the Voroshilov General Staff Academy in 1985. The topic of the lecture is the Strategic Rocket Forces. The text is not in very good condition, but there is a brief historical introduction, some words about development, and discussion of theoretical questions such as the mission of the SRF in a conventional warfare context. There is also information on the structure of the SRF and some statistics about overall strength, but these appear to be taken from a western unclassified source.

End of Summary

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

-TOP SECRET

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_

## WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 4 of 13 Pages

### STRATEGIC ROCKET FORCES

Unknown amount of text missing

Right after the Great Patriotic War, in 1946, a committee was formed under the late USTINOV to visit Germany. All that was left [illegible] of aircraft and missiles and blueprints, since all of the specialists had been taken off to America under von Braun, and all that we had was [illegible]. As early as October 1947 a range had been built and our first rocket, the R-1, was launched from there. This rocket, of course, differed in design and military properties from the series of prototypes as well as the [illegible] and Vulcan which the Germans had had. Since 1947-1948, we are now in the fifth generation of ballistic missiles with which the Strategic Rocket Forces have been equipped.

Although the experience has been that the development period of a single missile system is around 15 years, we have had this cycle [illegible] shortened for well-known reasons. We had no independent ways of developing nuclear weapons, but efforts in the area of nuclear physics were going on in the 1920s. Kharkov, Leningrad, Kiev, and Moscow had such laboratories. They were not numerous, but they were at any rate studying the problems. In 1943 KURCHATOV was summoned from the Black Sea Fleet, where he was engaged at the time. Starting in 1943, since we knew that a likely enemy of ours was intensively involved in this problem, we began development efforts. The Americans, after employing two atomic bombs in August 1945, assumed that it would take us 25-30 years to develop nuclear weapons. It was known as early as Yalta that the Americans secretly intended to blackmail us. STALIN already knew [illegible], and in 1949, it was announced that the secret of the atomic bomb was not a secret from us. There was a long debate over what to use as the delivery vehicle, leading to the conclusion that an airplane was not effective as the delivery vehicle for nuclear weapons because of its vulnerability and its dependence on different factors. Therefore efforts were undertaken to use rockets.

As early as 1955 the first tests were conducted with the R-5N with a nuclear warhead. After a long series of tests, this missile was put into service and it began to be said after this that we had acquired a new nuclear missile system combining the power of nuclear weapons with the great flight range of the missile. Since then, as the fifth generation of rockets proceeds, the rocket troops and arms, in spite of what we say, SALT-1, SALT-2, disarmament, it is natural, since the quality of our weapons... [illegible]

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_

### WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED. NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 5 of 13 Pages

What direction is development of this branch of the armed forces going at the present time? First, improvement of launcher, silos, [illegible] systems with single start. For level of automation, hardening, and battleworthiness, these [illegible] systems of ours are not only not inferior, but in some ways they surpass the American counterparts.

Second direction: Achievement of a high level of automation of control of troops and weapons. In this area Strategic Rocket Forces [RVSN] can in truth be said to occupy a first position. The familiar troop and weapons control system [illegible] is the most improved and makes it possible to implement a launch of any missile from any launcher from a central command post and even [illegible] from an airborne command post.

Third direction: Building of highly mobile missile systems with self-propelled launchers.

Fourth direction: Increasing the strength of nuclear weapons and the invulnerability of ABM defense.

It should be said that the founding of the Strategic Rocket Forces produced serious changes in the forms of strategic employment of the armed forces. What are the new forms that appeared? With the creation of the Strategic Rocket forces and other elements of strategic nuclear forces [illegible] as a new form, an operation involves strategic nuclear forces. Second form is the strategic operation to repel an enemy aerospace attack. Third form of employing strategic forces is the strategic operation in a continental theater of military operations. The fourth is the strategic operation in an ocean or sea theater. The first two forms are classed as global operations, and the other two are local operations.

Strategic nuclear forces and Strategic Rocket Forces are employed in accordance with orders of the Supreme High Command to perform the most important strategic missions in all theaters of operations and all geographic regions. We know that under the new [illegible] there are 14 theaters and 4 geographic regions. Strategic nuclear forces, like all forces, are able to carry out missions in all geographic regions -- North and South America, Australia, Africa, and the Pacific Ocean. (576500) Home Learner Europe

The order of battle of this branch of the armed forces, the Strategic Rocket Forces, is determined by the importance of its missions and its combat capabilities. What are the missions given this branch?

First of all, the purpose. Strategic Rocket Forces are intended for carrying out the basic strategic missions of a future war in cooperation with other strategic nuclear forces or independently. The strategic nuclear forces

TS #878526 Copy #

### WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 6 of 13 Pages

include, in addition to the <u>Strategic Rocket Forces</u>, the naval strategic nuclear forces and <u>air strategic forces</u>, i.e., long-range aviation and ground-launched cruise missiles.

Note that this branch is intended for carrying out the missions not simply of a future war, but a nuclear war, because it is equipped with nuclear weapons. Although the former Chief of the General Staff OGARKOV, in his most recent article, has said that since there is growing possibility now of a prolonged war, a war with conventional weapons, it might be advisable to raise the question of equipping rocket troops with powerful conventional weapons. But this presents difficulties. For now, this branch does not have them; but, on the other hand, it is an interesting situation. I once participated in writing of the combat regulations of this branch, and a particularly complicated question came up: At what point are these troops considered to be fighting?

This is not an idle question since it is a legal issue. There is a war going on all around but they [illegible]. Are the personnel involved in war or not? In fact, the situation is such that if there is a long period, of course, these troops will be exposed, first of all, to missile/air groups or precision guided weapons. At the same time, since they have [illegible], they are not actually participating.

Just what missions will the strategic rocket forces have? First of all, destruction of means of nuclear attack, principally strategic means. Targets of this mission are strategic missile launch control points, the launch sites themselves, nuclear weapons depots, submarines in basing points. [Rest of paragraph illegible]

A familiar brochure, which [illegible], describes the balance of strategic nuclear forces. All the data are compiled in it.

If we speak about target damage, the strategic nuclear forces of our probable opponent may take thousands of nuclear warheads with total yield of 12.000 megatons. There are in all 40,000 such nuclear warheads and the total yield is considered to be 80,000 megatons belonging to the enemy. But obviously, since we talk about parity, we are about the same. [Sentence illegible] We have more on submarines -- 953 [illegible], but they have more on bombers and we have less. Now this is the overall problem, counting; the methods of counting are very complicated.

The next mission assigned to the rocket troops is to hit the main enemy troop groupings in a theater. What groupings does this mean? Front means can be delivered 900 km; the largest operational/tactical missile the R-900, which [illegible] used to have, has a range of 900 km. [Several lines illegible.] ...that is, such groupings are primarily strategic and operational reserves in assembly areas, assault landing forces in areas near embarkation sites [illegible] groupings which cannot be reached by front means.

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_\_

WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 7 of 13 Pages

Next is disorganizing state and top military control. The booklet mentioned previously cites figures to the effect that the enemy control system has 130 top state and military control posts, i.e., main and reserve state and military control posts. We know [illegible] primarily to impact on the control system. [Illegible]. That is, this task is carried out by the rocket troops by destroying directly and also by exploding nuclear weapons at a certain height and a certain time, producing so-called EMIs (electromagnetic pulses) which affect all electronic control systems. We see how much effort has gone into improving the destructive factors and redistributing the [illegible] of ordnance, and all may [illegible] EMIs in order to affect the electronic control systems.

Hitting the military industry centers and disorganizing enemy logistics. The US military industry complexes are known to have 146 federal and 4,000 private enterprises, which are all included in the material assets of the military-industrial complex which seats and unseats American presidents and dictates to them. The booklet mentioned earlier contains a comparison entitled "Soviet and American Military Strength." It describes the [illegible] tank plant in Detroit and compares the area of the [illegible] plant and the Detroit [illegible]

In addition, as it says in the service regulations, this branch of the armed forces, the Strategic Rocket Forces, in peacetime conditions carry out the mission of national [illegible], pulling combat service at launch sites and command posts every minute and every second around the clock.

The composition of the Strategic Rocket Forces consists, as you learned in another lecture, of [illegible] troops, of intercontinental missile forces, medium range missile forces, special troops, and rear services units. There are also military schools and scholarly institutions in the rocket forces, although, incidentally, there are no secondary military schools -- this branch has only higher-level schools.

The intercontinental missile forces are intended for hitting strategic targets in distant territories and geographic areas and deep in continental and sea theaters of operations. That is, part of the grouping of Strategic Rocket forces is to carry out missions in remote territories by geographic region. And another part, the medium range missile forces is to hit strategic targets principally in continental and sea theaters of operations.

The intercontinental missile forces have single-silo missile sites. The systems are located in a launch silo. A silo is about 40 meters deep with a cushioned capsule suspended in it. This ensures the possibility of launching even after a direct hit. The control post is also 40-meter 12-story silo with a 24-day life support system. [Several lines illegible]

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_

W.

#### TOP SECRET

WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 8 of 13 Pages

The medium range missile forces are equipped with above-ground silo launchers, if they are still there. These are now being taken away in Europe and being replaced by [illegible]; they are launched from the surrounding structure in launch position. And progressive trends in this branch arm are the self-propelled missile launchers, the so-called PIONER [Pioneer] or SS-19, which are often mentioned in the open press -- a very advanced SS-20 mobile system. Each regiment has as many as three alternate siting areas already surveyed. They are belong in medium class but the design is such that they can be used for intercontinental class missiles. Each version has [illegible].

Special troops in the branch are nuclear technical troops, involved in support, preparation, storage, and maintenance of nuclear weapons. Missile technical troops are the troops who do maintenance work. A missile may stand ten years at [illegible]. It is fueled, cannistered, mated with nosecone, and stored for ten years. But during those ten years maintenance work is done on it regularly -- semiannually and annually. In the rocket forces it is a problem to compute how many launchers are in the [illegible] and how many are out for servicing, because they are all [illegible] and at the same time the constant readiness forces have [illegible] and so the record is duplicated. If a missile is taken from a launcher, [illegible] duplicates a certain number. After this [illegible] ten years and the rocket is changed; that is, it is fueled again, and from there [illegible].

The first rockets were alcohol. Then they went to nitrous oxide, and pure oxygen. At the present time all [are?] liquid with the exception [of self-propelled launchers?]; they are solid fuel, the only ones with solid fuel. [illegible] we worked on this fuel. We simply could not produce powders. It was not the powder -- they could make that, it was regulating [pressure], range, and propellant flow; either fuel intake would not work or the powder would burn [illegible]. The self-propelled launchers now have fully adequate [solid-fuel] systems.

Radiotechnical (REB) troops are a department or rather a whole directorate in all branches. Radiotechnical troops in general [illegible], but in this branch, these special troops mainly perform the function of organizing radio compatibility of operation of means of special combat as well as intelligence collection, though they do not have their own intelligence collection.

Engineer troops. Someone decided that these troops should be in remote areas and at remote sites. When they were founded, particularly in [illegible] ...and in the Mariysk ASSR, out in the taiga. The premise was to conceal them, i.e., keep them secret. But then when siting areas were constructed in Europe and they were conducting their own exercises, they were photographed [illegible] and it could all be seen like the palm of one's hand. [Next lines mostly illegible except for a word here and there]

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_\_

### WARNING NOTICE-INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 9 of 13 Pages

Topogeodetic, meteorological, and technical support troops. Topogeodetic surveying involves much work because launch sites and silos are surveyed in and the accuracy of a hit depends not only on the accuracy of target coordinates but also on how accurately the launcher itself is surveyed in. The ground is always changing and these troops [survey?] many [illegible] places for monitoring, aiming, and geodetic support.

The Strategic Rocket Forces are organized into the commander in chief, staff, and several directorates [upravleniya] -- weapons procurement directorate, main technical directorate, [illegible] central directorate, [illegible] directorate. The line formation or operational formation is considered to be the missile army. The missile army is meant for carrying out missions on one or several crucial axes by conducting the initial and subsequent missile launches in keeping with the Strategic Rocket Forces plan, which is an integral part of an operation of strategic nuclear means.

In the first place [illegible] is the missile army, the operational formation; as in all the branches of the armed forces, the army conducts operations. Here too the army performs its mission by conducting combat actions. This is a new form, not readily understood, since in general parlance fighting means conducting combat actions, and here the [illegible] is organized as an integral part of an operation, almost on a par with an operation. This form is intrinsic to the Strategic Rocket Forces, the Air Forces, and Air Defense. There is also the form of systematic combat actions, which we shall discuss when we look at [illegible].

So far these forces have existed for 25 years; they are the only branch of the armed forces which have never been in [illegible] operations. How many dissertations have been written and defended on these things. It is not an idle question if one acknowledges that an operation of the Strategic Rocket Forces—[illegible] collective plans, the whole system of training for this branch, and the system of operations of the armed forces. At the present time this is in the Principles but most likely with an eye to the future; for now it is on paper: a missile army conducts combat actions according to the plan of a Strategic Rocket Forces operation, which is an integral part of an operation of strategic nuclear forces.

[Illegible] the difficulty, on the other hand, is that once one has an operation of strategic nuclear forces and once it has integral parts, this means that all these integral parts, since they do participate, have some kind of form. That is, they are evidently conducting an operation. But in theoretical and practical terms, a Strategic Rocket Forces operation cannot be [illegible]

TS #878526 Copy #

### WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR MÉTHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 10 of 13 Pages

The main large unit. In all branches of the armed forces the division is the tactical large unit. Here there are no tactical missions performed; therefore we have gotten away from the expression tactical large unit, since this division does not carry out tactical missions. The basic large unit of rocket forces is the missile division. [Illegible. Possibly lines missing.] ...consists of several regiments, units, and subunits for support. [The number?] of regiments in a missile division is [most varied?]. Nearly all missile divisions have [nine?] regiments, each regiment has ten launchers. [Illegible] command post, which can carry out the launch of the missile [illegible] of the regiment.

The basic combat unit of the rocket forces is the missile regiment, as well as the RSD [medium-range missile] regiment and the MBR [intercontinental ballistic missile] regiment. The MBR regiment is numerically about the same as a missile battalion; the number of personnel has been greatly reduced through automation of the control systems.

The missile regiment is intended for preparing and conducting missile launches. The main combat subunit of MBR forces is the launch group. The launch group assigns several combat crews who are always on duty in shifts. The personnel of this group may carry out a launch remotely or independently.

In terms of automation this branch of the armed forces is in first place. The whole missile launch system is automated and provided with remote control and monitoring.

Five years ago there were some experimental central command post launches of missiles which [illegible]. Now there is a missile called [illegible] that if somewhere close there is a hit and the control system is disrupted it takes off. Moreover, it is well protected [illegible], and they can launch from launchers which [are not?] in the control system. But these are still studies.

The command post is roughly 70 km from each launcher. The command post is a silo just like a launcher but equipped as a 12-story command post, where there are two men on duty.

[Paragraph illegible]

[Illegible] have self-propelled launchers, silos, and combination launchers. The basic technical unit is the technical missile base. It is the unit which installs the missile into the silo, fuels it, puts it in the cannister, and attaches the warhead. This unit performs constant [illegible] and [illegible] maintenance.

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_\_

### WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 11 of 13 Pages

The repair technical base is the same, except it services the nuclear warheads. But like everywhere [line illegible] ...maintenance, storage, and servicing of the warheads. This is not easy service; they have a large percentage [illegible]

The role of the rocket forces is determined both by the importance of their mission and by the combat capabilities of the missiles. The main combat capabilities of missiles are the following: high readiness for combat employment, [illegible]. [Illegible] connect with the cables, run [illegible] checkout of the whole missile system, then erect it and load it, check it out, then put fuel in it again and check it again -- a task that takes 24 hours.

[Illegible] combat capabilities, range, and yield have improved, but readiness has not fared well. At the present time the combat readiness of the main missile systems is numbered in minutes. [illegible] from the moment the president makes the ballistic missile decision [illegible] six or seven minutes. This figure is not exact but it is clear that [illegible] roughly the same readiness.

Range of missiles. Those first missiles had a range of 500 km, then 900 [illegible], then 1,200. Then in 1961 the Gagarin flight was also a combat missile, [FITILEK], 8754 motor. And then range increased. At present, range is not an issue since these missiles can hit a target [illegible].

We know that American counterpart systems [illegible] and others have gone to various [multiple?] launches. Our silos are made that way. Silo launchérs [illegible] afford the possibility of a repeat launch in roughly 20 hours. [Illegible] Mobile launchers can carry out as many launches as there are missiles.

Flight to the target negatively [illegible] substantial flight time to targets. Flight to maximum range takes as a rule 25-30 minutes, and the [range?] is 12,000-13,000 km. No other weapon has such capabilities.

This is all well and good, of course, but we were six minutes short.
[illegible] where to get it? So it was decided to resort to submarines to gain time. [Illegible]

[Lines missing] ...great trajectory height. In the passive leg not the missile but the nosecone with which the missile was launched is approximately 1,000 km. It is clear, of course, that at this height it is hard to reach with current means. [Illegible] poses the question of [illegible]-based space systems. Besides great height and invulnerability, let us see what other technical measures. Each nosecone has anti-radar [illegible]. Second, each nosecone has [decoy?] warheads, i.e., when it in trajectory, at some point

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_\_

### WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED

NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 12 of 13 Pages

releases the decoy warheads, and the radar screen [illegible]. Third, the last stage of the missile breaks off. This is all metal; the metal [illegible], but at any rate, on the radar scope [illegible] in clutter. And the warheads, each of which has its own control system, its own target, and its own system [illegible] in height and direction.

Great combat effectiveness of missile hitting of targets, which consists of the great power of the warheads. Perhaps you recall the speech of Nikita Sergeyevich KHRUSHCHEV, who said approximately that our official missile [illegible] and yield was 100 megatons. We did not have such missiles but in principle technical capabilities make it possible to build such yields. But as computations and practice showed, building warheads over 30 megatons [illegible] practically [illegible], and yields at the present time [is] a problem both they and we [illegible].

Hit accuracy. A missile is always [illegible]. [Unknown amount of text missing.]

...[missiles] have gone over to onboard digital computers at the present time. And whether they wanted [illegible] computers, which have made it possible to appreciably increase both the accuracy of missiles and the possibility of putting different programs in the control systems. The rocket forces have such complexes that [illegible]

At present the accuracy of our missiles is reckoned in tens of meters. And the same foreign sources say that [illegible] since the 80s our systems at maximum range and accuracy can be considered [illegible].

High launch reliability of missiles. Is it possible to launch [illegible] missiles which have stood fueled and cannistered for 10 years? The launch reliability there is [illegible]

Incidentally, all our enemy theoreticians remark not only about our weapons, but the high reliability of our weapons. They say roughly that American weapons are meant for engineers and ours for soldiers. [Illegible] 30,000 fewer motor parts than its counterpart in an American system.

I should report that all the Strategic Rocket Forces, deployed according to wartime TO&E, are located in the so-called siting areas which are [illegible] siting areas. They comprise siting areas of missile regiments, [illegible], command and reserve command post, [illegible]. At the present time, conditions have been created to ensure high readiness of this [subunit] and [illegible] for the storage and protection of the missile is necessary and normal conditions [illegible], personnel and [illegible].

TS #878526 Copy #

WARNING NOTICE—INTELLIGENCE SOURCES OR METHODS INVOLVED.
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS.

Withheld under statutory authority of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1949 (50 U.S.C., section 403g)

Page 13 of 13 Pages

All strategic nuclear forces, including the Strategic Rocket Forces, are employed according to orders of the Supreme High Command, and a reliable system of NSP (unauthorized launches) has been worked out in this branch for probably ten years. In principle, no on-site commander on his own -- not a [illegible] front, army, or division commander can independently launch a missile. There will first be a signal to get ready, then a signal to unblock, then the key is inserted, and only then is it possible to launch in the system of the General Staff.

TS #878526 Copy #\_\_\_\_