

Producer: Welcome everyone. And thank you for joining today's State, Local, Tribal, and Private Sector Policy Advisory Committee or SLTPS-PAC. This meeting is also known as SLTPS-PAC. Sorry about that. To receive all pertinent information about upcoming SLTPS-PAC meetings, please subscribe to the Information Security Oversight Offices overview blog at <https://isoo-overview.blogs.archives.gov> or by going to the federal register. All available meeting materials have been posted to the ISOO website at www.archives.gov/isoo/oversight-groups/SLTPS-PAC/committee.HTML, and have also been emailed to all registrants. Let me now turn things over to Mr. Mark Bradley the director of ISOO as well as the chairman of the SLTPS-PAC.

Mark Bradley: Thank you so much madam producer for your usual kind introduction. Good morning, everybody. Welcome to the 22nd meeting of the State, Local, Tribal, and Private Sector Policy Advisory Committee, commonly known as the SLTPS-PAC. This is a public meeting. The transcript of minutes will be available within 90 days on the SLTPS-PAC Reports of Subcommittee Activities webpage at www.archives.gov/isoo/oversight-groups/SLTPS-PAC/committee.html. Please mute your phones and only unmute when speaking. I'll now take attendance as I usually do. DHS, Vice Chairman, Richard McComb. Are you present?

Richard McComb: I am, morning Mark.

Mark: Morning. Rich. DHS, alternate Ricardo Deron.

Ricardo Deron: Yes, I'm here.

Mark: Hi, Ricardo, DOE member Mark Hojnacke.

Tracy Kendall: He's not participating today. This is Tracy Kendall filling in for Mark.

Mark: All right. Thanks Tracy. DOE alternate Natasha Sumter.

Natasha Sumter: Good morning, I'm here.

Tracy: Oh, she's here. I thought you're going to be off Natasha.

Natasha: I'm back thank you.

Mark: Okay. Great. NRC member, Sabrina Atack.

NRC, alternate Darryl Parsons.

Bern Stapleton: This is Bern Stapleton standing in for Mr. Parsons today.

Mark: Great. DOT member Sidonie Dunham.

DOD member Michael Russo.

Michael Russo: Good morning present.

Mark: Hi, Michael ODNI member Valerie Kerben

Valerie Kerben: Good morning, Mark. It's Valerie.

Mark: Hi, Valerie. How you doing?

Valerie: Good Thank you.

Mark: Right. FBI member Jake Zochert

Jake Zochert: Present.

Mark: Hi Jake FBI. Alternate Scott Garlock.

Right. CIA member Brian O'Neill.

State Department member Kate Connor.

Kate Connor: Yes. Good morning.

Mark: Morning, Kate.

DOJ member Glenn Bensley

Glenn Bensley: Yeah. Hey Mark. Brian

Mark: Hey Glenn. How you doing?

Glenn: Doing good. Thank you.

Mark: DCSS member Keith Minard.

DCSA alternate Derrick Broussard.

Derrick Broussa...: I'm here. Thank you.

Mark: Right. You're welcome.

SLTPS, vice chair, private sector, Marcus Sachs.

Marcus Sachs: Good morning, Mark. Mark is here.

Mark: Hey Mark.

SLTPS State East member, Eric Tysarczyk.

SLTPS, Local East member. Meghann Teubner

Meghann Teubner: Present.

Mark: Hi Meghann.

SLTPS, Local East member, Shelly Schechter.

SLTPS State West member, Debra Ann Winsor.

SLTPS, Private Sector member, Jeffery Imsdahl.

SLTPS State Mountain member Kevin Klein.

Kevin Klein: Present.

Mark: Thank you. Hi Kevin. You're welcome.

Is anyone else speaking during the NISPPAC that we have not heard from? Or do I not know about it. If so, please speak now.

Okay. We request everyone identify themselves by name and agency, if applicable, before speaking each time for the record. I want to remind government members of the requirement to annually file the financial disclosure report with the National Archives and Record Administration's Offices of the General Counsel. The same form of financial disclosure that is used throughout the federal government OGE Form 450 satisfies reporting requirements. A member of my staff should have already reached out to you asking for if you haven't already sent it in. If you have any questions, please just let us know.

We have a few changes to the SLTPS-PAC membership for the next meeting. Our vice chair, Marc Sachs finishes his term in July. That being said, we have a few slots open for membership now. We're also trying to get a member of the National Security Council or Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency to be a member of the SLTPS-PAC, but I've not yet been able to do so Rich McComb and I talked about this a couple months ago. We think it's the right thing to do smart thing to do. And obviously the NSC is bandwidth has been kind of overwhelmed recently with Ukraine at all, but we are still on the case. Please send all nominations that you might have to Heather Harris Pagán everyone on the call should have her contact information. If not we can certainly get it for you.

Additionally, I think most of you know by now that Greg Pannoni, the Designated Federal Officer for the NISPPAC we'll be retiring. This is his last SLTPS-PAC meeting before he retires next month after 42 years of government service of which more than 17 was with us here at IOO. Greg has been an

integral part of the security community. He will be sorely missed, not only professionally, but also personally he's been a great deputy and a wonderful friend. Thank you for your dedicated lifelong service, Greg. And obviously we wish you nothing but the best. We still have a month to commemorate your service. Once he retires Heather Harris Pagán will be acting as the Designated Federal Officer for the SLTPS until replacement for Bob Skwirot has been named. Bob used to do this as new member, and none of them are my staff. Robert Tringali will be as acting Designated Foreign Officer until a placement for Greg has been announced. So anyway, I mean, we will fill the vacancies as soon as we can.

I also wanted to give you a little bit of notice about the National Archives and our opening status. We are still in a hybrid mode. It seems to me like every other week an active case of COVID pops up. And so we're going in as necessary for obviously for classified stuff and things like that. But we have not yet moved towards holding larger meetings. I hope, as I've said, I think now for two years, two and a half years, we can have this in person. I hope the next time we meet let's keep our fingers crossed and hope that actually can happen. The SLTPS-PAC minutes from the last meeting were finalized and posted the ISOO website on April 25th, 2022.

Heather Harris Pagán of ISOO will now address the status of action items on the January 26th, 2020, I'm sorry, 2022 meeting. The last one we had. Heather,

Heather Harris ...:

Thank you, Mark. There were four action items from the last meeting. The FBI is still working on providing its SLTPS security clearance data to DCSA to include if possible, the effort to identify non task force officers in their systems for inclusion in the data transfers. The SLTPS-PAC has been focusing on this issue for a couple of years and the FBI has made a lot of progress in the past couple of years. The FBI reported that they have been engaged in end-to-end testing of the data process with DCSA. FBI, please expect to provide an update in 2023 during our next meeting.

For the second outstanding action item. When COVID-19 restrictions have eased, ISOO will host a meeting in its skiff with the ODNI and other entities as appropriate to examine why the intelligence community is unable to determine the current number of SLTPS personnel, that it has cleared and to seek a means to obtain this information. Until then the issue remains tabled.

For the third item, the DHS vice chair provided their formal comments on the 15 recommendations of the cyber threat information sharing, working group. I see plans on continuing discussions on the recommendations and the comments from the DHS vice chair and the SLTPS vice chair. The chair will then determine potential ways forward and communicate them to the SLTPS-PAC membership.

The fourth action item is getting engagement from the Secret Service's School for Law Enforcement that work in forensics, the United States Secret Service (USSS) National Computer Forensics Institute (NCFI). The USSS NCFI is a

partnership between the USSS, DHS, State of Alabama, and the Alabama District Attorney's Association to train state and local law enforcement, judges and prosecutors, and digital evidence, network intrusion and computer and mobile device forensics issues. NCFI training courses are offered for free for state local tribal and territorial government attendees through funding from the federal government. Mark, could you provide any other information you had on that, Marc Sachs, please?

Marcus: Right. Well, there it's one of the others at no cost to those organizations.

Mark: Mark, you are fading out on us.

Marcus: Can you hear?

Mark: You keep breaking up.

Marcus Sachs: Anyway, I think Heather you covered it quite well and at our last pack meeting, from the invite information, sharing some point in the future, the adaptation to the pack, I think that would be very good and would help others.

Heather: Thanks Mark. For anyone that didn't get that. So, I'm curious, Meghann is the NYPD aware of the program?

Meghann Teubner: I'm sorry. I didn't catch much of anything. That was just bad.

Heather: Okay. The Secret Service has a free school that forensics police officers can do. And I was wondering if the NYPD was aware of the program? If so, is there a way to get the word out, if there's a good way to get the word out to all police departments in the US? In this digital age, this is exactly what I think would help with the...

Meghann: Sorry to interrupt you. I apologize. Keep going.

Heather: No, please go ahead.

Meghann: I was just going to say, I think, absolutely any amount of additional training, any information sharing on best practices, what has worked in the past. What they've learned from past investigations is always helpful for local law enforcement to hear and to get training on. I'm not certain how many, how broadly aware that awareness is in the NYPD, but, we have ways that we can share information and perhaps it would be best to link somebody up with our Office of Professional Development and Training Bureau. And then, we have a program with NYPD called Operation Sentry. That is a network of, I think now 400 or 500+ law enforcement agencies across the US, local law enforcement that has been created by the NYPD for information sharing purposes. We share a lot of the products that we write in our analytic unit that are law enforcement

sensitive or unclassified through the Sentry program, primarily focused on terrorism, domestic violence, extremism.

Although sometimes we do include some pieces that have a bit of a cyber awareness, maybe more like cyber hygiene type message. This program reaches 400, 500 agencies, I think 800 individual participants in the Sentry program. And then once we get it out to the agency, it is understood that they know that they can share that then with it within their agency and any local partners that they think it would be helpful. So that's one way that we could through the NYPD get that message out further to a local law enforcement community. Although of course DHS and the Fusion Center system all have these great partnerships as well. And that would be another great way through DHS SLTT program or their I&A regional representatives as well.

Kevin Klein: Kevin Klein. We can just send it out for the network and ask them to deploy it to all local law enforcement. It's pretty easy.

Heather: Meghann, if we could get together offline, I would love to speak to your POC.

Meghann: Yes, absolutely. Happy to do that. Not a problem.

Heather: Thank you. I appreciate that. Do any SLTPS-PAC members have any questions?

All right. Thank you. Mark, I'll go.

Mark: Hold on.

Marcus: Let me just add, that's National Cyber Forensics Institute.

Mark: Marcus is still breaking up.

Marcus: I don't know on this end but anyway it's NCFI about it there.

Mark: Heather, if you would follow up with him to get what he's saying, that would,

Heather: Yes, sir.

Mark: Okay. With that, I'm going to ask Rich McComb, the Executive Agent for the program, Homeland Security to speak. Rich the floor is yours.

Richard: Thank you, Mark. As always appreciate everybody's participation. The only thing that I have to add is what Mark mentioned earlier and relates to one of the action items that Heather mentioned with regard to this cyber threat information recommendation. In accordance with the bylaws of the PAC, I have recommended, and we are formally staffing this recommendation to the chair that a member of the Cyber Security Information, excuse me, Cyber Security and Infrastructure Security Agency is added as a member of this PAC. Due to the fact

of their, their subject matter expertise in the cyber arena, their mandate to work across the federal government, and along with state local, tribal territorial, and private sector, infrastructure partners for information sharing and their connection with the National Security Council efforts in the, in the cyber arena.

I think, and I believe Mark agrees, that there'll be a very useful resource in and help to address some of the things that the cyber threat working group brought up previously here. We are staffing that effort and look forward to giving CSIS the opportunity to be an active member of the PAC, should the chair approve that action. Thank you.

Mark: Great. And this is the chair. Let me echo what Rich said. I think it's a wonderful idea. It allows us to cut to the chase and speak to the powers that be directly and voice our concerns. I think Rich is the one that came up with this idea, and I think it's very, very well received. Thanks Rich.

Richard: Thank you.

Mark: Okay. Let me see. We're now at the point in the meeting where we ask for SLTPS-PAC members to present any new business they may have. Anyone, any committee member have anything they want to bring up in the new business category?

All right.

Greg Pannoni: Hi, Mark. Can you hear me, it's Greg?

Mark: Yes, go ahead.

Greg: Of course, I'm not a committee member, but it just comes to mind. And in consideration, Heather and I were at a conference last week with 1200 people, industry type people wherein it was made known to them that the two orders that have an impact on the work of the state local travel private sector program, that being the classified National Security Information program and the Controlled Unclassified Information program. Those two executive orders as Mark knows, have been formally, I'll put in quotes, "opened" for revision amendment, or are about to be in a week, there'll be a kickoff meeting. And so I'm only bringing this up one, in the interest of transparency, and two, I would suspect that the chair would agree that as we progress, it's a one year process, we will probably be reaching out to this community of stakeholders to get your input on any recommended revisions to those two executive orders. As an overlay, they would have an impact, I believe potentially those changes could on this program. So that's just a suggestion to you as a chair, Mark.

Mark: Now a good suggestion at that. So anyway, stand by, I think the first kickoff meeting right now is slated for July 7th, and then we'll see what the pace of that

is going to be. We will keep this committee informed and advised about what's going on with it.

Kevin Klein: And Mr. Chair, Kevin Klein. Can we just see what that process looks like so those of us who are relatively new know how to make sure that our input gets back up to the executive order puzzle.

Mark: Sure. The best way to give your changes, or proposed changes, would be to directly send it to us. And then that way we could make sure.

Mark: Yes. But anyway, please use us. The ISOO staff as the conduit, for any suggestions, you may have either in the CUI order or, or the one that governs classification and declassification. Again, this is right now, this is supposed to be a year-long process. There'll be plenty of time to weigh in on what's going to happen.

That aside, do any other committee members have any questions or remarks before we close out this meeting today in record time?

Richard: Hi Mark. This is Rich McComb, DHS, Vice Chair. I apologize. I should have during my comments deferred to Ricardo, who would be happy to give an update on DHS activities.

Mark: Of course, right. Ricardo, the floor is yours.

Ricardo Deron: Thank you, sir. Good morning, everyone. My name is Ricardo with DHS and I'm responsible for the DHS Security and Compliance Program. Basically, this program provides security oversight to our partners within SLTPS community. And here's some quick stats and figures. It's basically an update.

Topic one, SLTPS clearance numbers. DHS has approximately 9,000 SLTPS personnel with DHS sponsored security clearances, which is roughly 88% of this population have a secret clearance and a remaining 12% have a clearance at the TS level.

Second topic, SLTPS compliance and governance. Since the last PAC meeting, DHS has performed two room certifications for two new fusion centers and conducted six onsite security performance assessments bringing a total of 10 for this fiscal year. 100% of all findings, which are discrepancies filled into two security, discipline categories, InfoSec and physical security.

Third topic for FY23. Currently DHS is gathering data and compiling the list to create an FY23 compliance schedule to perform within our community partner. Obviously our main goal is to assess policy compliance along with the evaluation of maintaining the reliability and functionality of DHS sponsored secure rooms

throughout the community. How we plan to execute our compliance activities. Our methodology will vary. We are planning for onsite engagements, remote assessment, and incorporating a hybrid concept. A combination of both onsite with remote evaluations. Besides incorporating risk management are other factors for the variation of the way we execute. Our compliance is obviously the pandemic, right? We still have to adhere to safety protocols, travel restrictions, both within the federal government and at the user end, further restrictions within the state and the municipality.

The last topic, security incidents, DHS has processed and closed out seven security incidents involving state and local employees, which were assigned to fusion centers. All seven security incidents were determined to fall under the category of security infractions versus security violations. Meaning it was determined that there were no willful negligence nor compromise of national classified information. Pending any questions this concludes my briefing.

Mark: Okay. Anyone have any questions for Ricardo?

Our next SLTPS-PAC is scheduled for January 4th, 2023. As I've said many times before, we're hoping to have the next one in person, but as usual, we'll also plan for it to be 100% virtual.

As a reminder, all SLTPS-PAC meeting announcements posted in the Federal Register approximately 30 days before the meeting, along with being posted to the ISOO block. With that, unless somebody else has something to say, I'm going to adjourn this meeting. All right. Meeting adjourned. Thank you all so much. And please stay well.