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MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING
FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS
SUBJECT: Department of Justice Prosecution of Alleged Violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968

Craig Fuller has asked for our views on a letter to Mr. Meese from Burkett Van Kirk, a Washington attorney. Van Kirk suggested in his letter that the prosecution of for violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968 by the U.S. Attorney for the Middle District of Florida violated Administration policy. According to Van Kirk, a collector of valuable guns, is being prosecuted for minor technical violations of the recordkeeping provisions of the Gun Control Act, because refused to cooperate with the U.S. Attorney's investigation of public corruption. Van Kirk quotes from a 1982 letter from Meese to Senator Thurmond to the effect that it is not Administration policy "to search for minor technical infractions by otherwise law-abiding sportsmen, collectors, and dealers instead of concentrating on firearms violations by criminals."

I contacted Jay Stephens for more information. Stephens advises that the case is in trial at this point, and that the prosecution was reviewed at the Department. Stephens indicated that was not viewed by the Department as the model citizen attorney describes to be.

I recommend advising Fuller that any White House intervention would be inappropriate, and sending a letter over your signature to Van Kirk noting that we will not intervene. The incoming and a copy of our reply should be sent to Justice.

Attachment
MEMORANDUM FOR CRAIG L. FULLER  
ASSISTANT TO THE PRESIDENT FOR CABINET AFFAIRS  

FROM: FRED F. FIELDING  
COUNSEL TO THE PRESIDENT  

SUBJECT:  Department of Justice Prosecution of Alleged Violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968  

You have asked for our views on a letter to Mr. Meese from Burkett Van Kirk, concerning the criminal prosecution of [redacted] last September by a Federal grand jury for violations of the Gun Control Act of 1968. Mr. Van Kirk suggested in his letter that the prosecution of [redacted] was inconsistent with Administration policy concerning prosecutions under the Gun Control Act.  

We have discussed the matter with the Department of Justice, and have confirmed that the matter was reviewed at the Department. The [redacted] case is currently in trial, and it would be inappropriate for the White House to intervene in any way. I have prepared a reply to Mr. Van Kirk advising him that we adhere to the policy of not interfering with the prosecution of particular criminal cases, and referring his letter to the Department. Unless you object, I will send the letter.  

Attachment  
FFP: JGR: aea  2/9/84  
cc: FFPfielding/JG Roberts/Subj/Chron
MEMORANDUM FOR DIANNA G. HOLLAND

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Appointment of Nackey Scripps Loeb to the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

I have reviewed the Personal Data Statement submitted by Nackey Scripps Loeb in connection with her prospective appointment to the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board. This Board monitors compliance with Federal rules governing access for the handicapped, and investigates alternative approaches to architectural, transportation, and attitudinal barriers that impede the mobility of the handicapped. The President is authorized to appoint eleven members of the general public to the Board by 29 U.S.C. § 792(a)(1)(A). That provision specifies that five of the eleven "shall be handicapped individuals."

Mrs. Loeb, who is handicapped, is the President and Publisher of The Union Leader, the controversial New Hampshire daily.
MEMORANDUM FOR DIANNA G. HOLLAND

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Appointment of Nackey Scripps Loeb to the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board

I have reviewed the Personal Data Statement submitted by Nackey Scripps Loeb in connection with her prospective appointment to the Architectural and Transportation Barriers Compliance Board. This Board monitors compliance with Federal rules governing access for the handicapped, and investigates alternative approaches to architectural, transportation, and attitudinal barriers that impede the mobility of the handicapped. The President is authorized to appoint eleven members of the general public to the Board by 29 U.S.C. § 792(a)(1)(A). That provision specifies that five of the eleven "shall be handicapped individuals."

Mrs. Loeb, who is handicapped, is the President and Publisher of The Union Leader, the controversial New Hampshire daily.
MEMORANDUM FOR RICHARD A. HAUSER

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS

SUBJECT: Appointments of Paula L. Brown and Donald V. Seibert to the President's Advisory Committee on Women's Business Ownership

By Executive Order 12426 (June 22, 1983) the President is authorized to appoint no more than 15 members to the President's Advisory Committee on Women's Business Ownership, which is to review the status of businesses owned by women, foster private sector support for women entrepreneurs, and advise the President and the Small Business Administration ("SBA") on these issues. Members "shall have particular knowledge and expertise concerning the current status of businesses owned by women in the economy and methods by which these enterprises might be encouraged to expand."

Paula Brown (a.k.a. Paula Winningham) is the President of P.L. Brown Associates, an industrial management consulting firm. Her firm receives direct assistance from the SBA, one of the entities this Commission is to advise. I do not view this as a disabling conflict, however, in light of the very general advisory responsibilities of the Commission. (I might feel differently if the Commission's role were specifically to review the SBA, but that is not the case.)
MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN C. ROBERTSON

SUBJECT: Earl C. Berger

Earl C. Berger, a California attorney, has written several brief letters to Craig Fuller, threatening to place a lien on the White House to satisfy what he considers to be an outstanding judgment against the United States. Fuller has not responded. Berger was the lead attorney in the successful class action brought against the United States by certain public school teachers, March v. United States, 506 F. 2d 1306 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Berger contends that the United States has not complied with the Court of Appeals instructions on remand, both as to payments owed the teachers and attorneys fees owed him.

In fact, according to Ted Grossman, the Justice Department attorney handling the case, the litigation has been largely resolved, consistent with the Court of Appeals opinion. This week Grossman intends to go into court seeking to vacate the judgment against the United States under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b), with the consent of the plaintiff class (now represented by counsel other than Berger). The basis for the motion will be that the judgment has been satisfied.

Since this matter is still technically an active case, I recommend referring Berger's letters to Justice for whatever reply the attorneys handling the case consider appropriate. A memorandum to Jensen accomplishing this is attached.