

WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

Collection Name

Withdrawer

File Folder

JGR/ JONES,BOB -UNIVERSITY DECISION

CAS 8/25/2005

FOIA

Box Number

10017

F05-139/01

COOK

2CAS

Doc No	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions	
1	MEMO	ROBERTS TO FIELDING RE FURTHER CORRESPONDENCE FROM BOB JONES III (THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RELEASED IN WHOLE)	1	1/4/1984	B6	192

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

January 4, 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *JGR*

SUBJECT: Further Correspondence From
Bob Jones III

Last fall Bob Jones III, President of Bob Jones University, wrote Morton Blackwell requesting that the White House intervene on behalf of Dr. Peter Ng, who has an application pending before the Immigration and Naturalization Service. Dr. Ng is a Fundamentalist minister. On December 20, 1983, you wrote Mr. Jones, advising him that established White House policy did not permit such intervention on behalf of private parties with respect to matters those parties have pending before agencies with adjudicative functions, such as INS. You noted that the reason for this policy was to maintain public confidence in the impartial administration of our laws.

Mr. Jones has now replied, stating that the American public lost confidence in the impartial administration of our laws long ago, and that our refusal to intervene on behalf of Dr. Ng was simply another example of our insensitivity to the interests of Fundamental Christians. Mr. Jones suggests in his letter that you would have reacted differently to an alleged civil rights violation, and, in a thinly veiled threat, asserts that the alleged insensitivity of the Administration to Fundamental Christians will not go unnoticed by that sizable voting block.

The audacity of Jones' reply is truly remarkable, given the political costs this Administration has incurred in promoting the interests of Fundamental Christians in general and Bob Jones University in particular. A restrained reply to his petulant paranoia is attached for your review, telling Jones, in essence, to go soak his head. Since Jones copied Senator Thurmond and Congressman Campbell on his incoming, we should do the same on our reply.

Attachment