

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT CONTROL DATA SHEET

From: REYNOLDS, WM. BRADFORD, AAG, CRT
To: AG. ODD: 11-23-87
Date Received: 11-18-87 Date Due: 11-25-87 Control #: X7111815310
Subject & Date
11-16-87 MEMO (REC'D FROM OAG) REGARDING U.S. v. COUNTY OF
ESSEX - PROPOSED COMPLAINT AGAINST THE COUNTY OF ESSEX
FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS, ESSEX COUNTY YOUTH HOUSE,
W/ATTACHMENTS; THRU DAG; FOR AG. SIG. ON COMPLAINT AND
CERTIFICATE.

Referred To:	Date:	Referred To:	Date:	
(1) DAG;BURNS	11-18-87	(5)		W/IN:
(2) OAG;RICHARDSON	11-19-87	(6)		PRTY:
(3)		(7)		1
(4)		(8)		OPR:
INTERIM BY:		DATE:		HBR
Sig. For: AG.		Date Released: 11-24-87		

Remarks

(1)FOR CONCURRENCE.
(2)CONCURRED BY ASG AS ACTING DAG & FORWARDED TO
OAG FOR AG SIG. (HBR)
11-24-87. AG SIGNED COMPLAINT WHICH WAS DATED 11-23-87 PER
OAG NOTE, AND FORWARDED TO CRT FOR HANDLING. (LH)

Other Remarks:

SST CONCURRED AS ACT. DAG, TO E.S. 11/19/87
FILE: C-CIVIL RIGHTS(INSTITUTIONALIZED PERSONS ACT), AG CHRON

THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE DISPOSED OF BY SHREDDING

CIVIL RIGHTS

16 NOV 87



U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

Office of the Assistant Attorney General

Washington, D.C. 20530

NOV 16 1987

MEMORANDUM FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Re: Essex County Youth House - Proposed Complaint accompanied by a Consent Decree, Pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act (CRIPA, 42 U.S.C. Section 1997a.)

I recommend that we file the attached complaint, which will be filed with a consent decree, against the County of Essex, New Jersey, pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act (CRIPA), 42 U.S.C. Section 1997.

This case pertains to the unconstitutional conditions of confinement at which is a residential facility for juveniles, located in Newark, New Jersey. On January 6, 1986, we notified the county that we were commencing an investigation. On July 23, 1986, we notified the county of the alleged conditions which we believe deprive the residents of their rights and protections under the Constitution. These conditions include inadequate fire safety, abuse, violence, and arbitrary administration of punishment, unsafe and unsanitary environmental conditions, and overcrowding.

We have negotiated a consent decree with the county and they are willing, under the terms of the consent decree, to correct the deficient conditions by no later than January 2, 1989. I therefore recommend that we file this complaint and the consent decree to ensure vindication of the constitutional rights of youths residing at Essex County Youth House. With the cooperation of county officials, we have been able to discharge our statutory responsibilities and to settle this matter amicably in a reasonable manner without resort to long and expensive adversarial litigation.

If you approve the filing and settlement of this action, your signature is required on the attached complaint and certificate.

Wm. Bradford Reynolds
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division



Office of the Attorney General
Washington, D. C. 20530

23 November 1987

MEMORANDUM

TO: Wm. Bradford Reynolds
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

FROM: Edwin Meese III *Edwin Meese III*
Attorney General

SUBJECT: U.S. v. County of Essex (D. N.J.)
(Civil Rights of Institutionalized
Persons Act)

I hereby authorize the filing of a complaint and consent
decree pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons
Act in U.S. v. County of Essex (D. N.J.).

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.) CIVIL ACTION NO.
)
 COUNTY OF ESSEX; NICHOLAS AMATO,)
 COUNTY EXECUTIVE; JAMES A.)
 CAUANAUGH, THOMAS P. GIBLIN, CARDELL)
 COOPER, ADRIANNE DAVIS, JOSEPH C.)
 PARLAVECCHIO, PEARL BEATTY, MONORE)
 JAY LUSTBADER, ARTHUR L. CLAY,)
 PHILIP THIGPEN, ESSEX COUNTY BOARD)
 OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS; YOUTH SERVICES)
 DIVISION: RONALD MANZELLA, DIRECTOR,)
)
 Defendants.)
_____)

COMPLAINT

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and through its Attorney General, Edwin Meese III, brings this action pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act of 1980, 42 U.S.C. Section 1997, to enjoin the named defendants from depriving persons residing at the Essex County Youth House (ECYH), Newark, New Jersey of rights, privileges or immunities secured or protected by the United States Constitution. Attached hereto, and incorporated herein by reference, is the Certificate of the Attorney General required by 42 U.S.C. Section 1997(b). In support of its Complaint in this action, the United States alleges the following:

JURISDICTION, STANDING, AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this civil action under

28 U.S.C. Section 1345.

2. The United States has standing to initiate this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1997a.

3. Venue in the District of New Jersey is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1391. The claims set forth in this Complaint all arose in said District.

4. ECYH is an institution within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. Section 1997(1).

PARTIES

5. Defendant COUNTY OF ESSEX owns and operates ECYH, which is a state residential mental health facility.

6. Defendant NICHOLAS AMATO is the County Executive of Essex County, and is, in this capacity, head of the executive branch of the county government. He appoints the Director of the Essex County Youth Services Division.

7. Defendant ESSEX COUNTY YOUTH SERVICES DIVISION is responsible for the administration and operation of ECYH.

8. Defendant RONALD MANZELLA, is the Director of the Essex County Youth Services Division, and in this capacity, he has responsibility for the operation of ECYH.

9. Defendants JAMES A. CAVANAUGH, THOMAS P. GIBLIN, CARDELL COOPER, ADRIANNE DAVIS, JOSEPH C. PARLAVECCHIO, PEARL BEATTY, MONORE JAY LUSTBADER, ARTHUR L. CLAY, and PHILIP THIGPEN are members of the Essex County Board of Chosen Freeholders, and in this capacity are members of the legislative branch of county government. The Board of Chosen Freeholders is responsible for the appropriation of funds for the operation of ECYH.

10. The individual defendants identified in paragraphs 6, 8, and 9 above are officers of the executive and legislative branches of the County of Essex and are sued in their official capacities.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. Defendants are legally responsible, in whole or in part, for the operation of ECYH and for the conditions at ECYH and the care of persons confined at that institution.

12. At all relevant times, the defendants or their predecessors in office have acted or failed to act, as alleged herein, under color of state law.

13. Defendants are failing to provide persons confined at ECYH with adequate protection from harm due to fire safety risks.

14. Defendants are failing to provide persons confined at ECYH with safe and sanitary conditions.

15. Defendants are failing to ensure that adequate security procedures and incident reporting systems are developed and implemented at ECYH so as to ensure protection from unreasonable risks of harm.

16. Defendants are failing to employ systems and procedures to ensure that punishment is not administered in an arbitrary and capricious manner.

17. Defendants are failing to take steps to ensure against unconstitutional overcrowding.

VIOLATIONS ALLEGED

18. The acts and omissions of defendants alleged in

paragraphs 12 through 17 deprive persons confined at ECYH of rights, privileges or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution of the United States and such deprivation is pursuant to a pattern or practice of resistance to the full enjoyment of such rights, privileges or immunities by persons confined at ECYH.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

19. The Attorney General is not authorized to seek any remedy at law and is authorized under 42 U.S.C. Section 1997 to seek only equitable relief.

20. Unless restrained by this Court, defendants will continue to engage in the conduct and practices set forth in paragraphs 12-17 above, that deprive persons confined at ECYH of rights, privileges or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution of the United States, and cause irreparable harm.

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that this Court enter an order permanently enjoining defendants, their officers, agents, employees, subordinates, successors in office, and all those acting in concert or participation with them from continuing the acts, omissions and practices at ECYH set forth in paragraphs 12-17 above. The United States further prays that this Court grant such other and further equitable relief as it may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,



EDWIN MEESE III
Attorney General
of the United States

SAMUEL A. ALITO, JR.
United States Attorney
District of New Jersey


WM. BRADFORD REYNOLDS
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division


ARTHUR E. PEABODY, JR.
Chief
Special Litigation Section


V. COLLEEN MILLER
Attorney
Special Litigation Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
P.O. Box 37076
Washington, D.C. 20066



Office of the Attorney General
Washington, D. C. 20530


CERTIFICATE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

I, Edwin Meese III, Attorney General of the United States, certify that with regard to the foregoing Complaint, I have complied with all subsections of 42 U.S.C. Section 1997b(a)(1). I certify as well that I have complied with all subsections of 42 U.S.C. Section 1997b(a)(2). I further certify pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1997b(a)(3) my belief that this action by the United States is of general public importance and will materially further the vindication of rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution of the United States.

I finally certify that all prerequisites to the initiation of such under 42 U.S.C. Section 1997 have been met.

Pursuant to U.S.C. Section 1997(a)(c), I have personally signed the foregoing Complaint. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. Section 1997b(b), I am personally signing this Certificate.

Signed this 23rd day of November, 1987, at
Washington, D.C.


EDWIN MEESE III
Attorney General of
the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Plaintiff,)
)
 v.)
)
 COUNTY OF ESSEX; NICHOLAS AMATO,) Civil Action No.
 County Executive, JAMES A CAVANAUGH,)
 THOMAS P. GIBLIN, CARDELL)
 COOPER, ADRIANNE DAVIS, JOSEPH C.)
 PARLAVECCHIO, PEARL BEATTY, MONROE)
 JAY LUSTBACDER, ARTHUR L. CLAY,)
 PHILIP THIGPEN, Essex County Board)
 of Chosen Freeholders; YOUTH SERVICES)
 DIVISION: RONALD MANZELLA, Director,)
)
 Defendants.)
)

CONSENT DECREE

Introduction

1. This case was instituted by the United States on _____, 1987, pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. 1997 et seq.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this civil action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1345.

3. Venue is appropriate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1391(b).

4. The United States is authorized to institute this civil action by 42 U.S.C. 1997a and has met all prerequisites for the institution of this civil action prescribed by that statute.

5. The Defendants are the County of Essex, New Jersey; the Honorable Nicholas Amato, County Executive; James A. Cavanaugh,

Thomas P. Giblin, Cardell Cooper, Adrienne Davis, Joseph C. Parlavecchio, Pearl Beatty, Monroe Jay Lustbader, Arthur L. Clay, Philip Thigpen, Essex County Board of Chosen Freeholders; the Essex County Youth Services Division; Ronald Manzella, Director; and are sued in their respective official capacities.

6. The Defendants have authority and responsibility for the operation of the Essex County Youth House and are officers of the Executive Branch of the County of Essex.

7. On January 6, 1986, the Attorney General of the United States, by and through the Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, Wm. Bradford Reynolds, notified the Essex County Executive, the County Counsel and the Director of the Essex County Youth House, of his intention to commence an investigation of conditions of confinement at the Essex County Youth House, Newark, New Jersey, pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. 1997.

8. Following a thorough investigation, on July 23, 1986, the Attorney General, by and through the Assistant Attorney General, Civil Rights Division, informed the Essex County Executive, the County Counsel, the Director of the Youth Services Division and the Manager of the Essex County Youth House that the Attorney General had reasonable cause to believe that juveniles residing in or confined to the Essex County Youth House were being subjected to egregious or flagrant conditions that

deprived them of their rights, privileges, and immunities secured by the Constitution and laws of the United States.

9. The parties agree that the care, confinement, and training of juveniles housed at the Youth House implicate rights of these juveniles that are secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States. The parties entering into this Consent Decree recognize these constitutional interests, and for the purpose of avoiding protracted and adversarial litigation, agree to the provisions set forth herein.

10. In entering into this Consent Decree, the Defendants do not admit any violation of law and this Consent Decree may not be used as evidence of liability in this or any other proceeding.

11. The provisions of the Consent Decree are a fair and appropriate resolution of this case.

12. This Consent Decree shall be applicable to and binding upon all of the parties, their officers, agents employees, assigns, and successors.

I. Purposes and Objectives

The County of Essex and the United States stipulate and agree that the purposes and objectives of this Consent Decree are to establish as promptly as practicable the following conditions at the Essex County Youth House in order to ensure that juveniles at the facility are not being deprived of rights, privileges or

immunities secured to them by the Constitution of the United States:

1. Security and staff supervision shall be provided which is sufficient to protect all juveniles from unreasonable risks to their personal safety both by the conduct of staff and of other juveniles.

2. Punishment shall not be administered in an arbitrary or capricious manner or absent a legitimate governmental objective.

3. Restraint, when appropriate, must be administered safely pursuant to professional judgment by a qualified professional.

4. The physical environment of the facility shall be maintained so as to ensure that it poses no unreasonable risks, including fire safety risks and health risks, to the personal safety of juveniles.

5. Means will be implemented to prevent and reduce the effects of overcrowding at the facility.

These purposes and objectives shall be achieved at Essex County Youth House by implementing the requirements set forth in Part III and by developing and implementing the plans described in Part IV of this Consent Decree. These purposes and objectives shall not be construed as establishing any requirement beyond implementing the requirement set forth in Part III and developing and implementing the plans described in Part IV of this Consent Decree.

II. Definitions

As used in this Consent Decree, the following definitions

shall apply:

1. "Juvenile": A person legally confined to the Essex County Youth House, regardless of status of commitment or duration of commitment.

2. "The County": The Executive Branch of the Government of the County of Essex specifically including the administration of the Youth House and any and all of their officials, agents, employees, or assigns, and the successors in office of such officials, agents, employees or assigns.

3. "Qualified Professional": A person competent, whether by education, training, or experience, to make the particular decision at issue.

4. "Professional judgment": A decision by a qualified professional that is not such a substantial departure from accepted professional opinion, practice, or standards as to demonstrate that the person responsible did not base the decision on such professional opinion, practice, or standards.

5. "Punishment": Any restriction or prohibition placed upon a juvenile for misconduct.

6. "Restraints": Any physical or mechanical device used to restrict the movement of a juvenile or the movement or normal function of any portion of a juvenile's body, excluding those devices used only to provide support for the achievement of functional body position or balance and devices used for specific

medical and surgical (as distinguished from behavioral) treatment.

7. "Board of Chosen Freeholders": The Legislative Branch of Government of the County of Essex which has legislative and investigative powers as granted by the Executive Plan of the Optional County Charter Law N.J.S.A. 40:41A-1 et seq.

III. Conditions Requiring Immediate Correction

In order to eliminate conditions which pose an immediate and unlawful threat to the life, health, and safety of juveniles at the Essex County Youth House, the County agrees to meet the following requirements:

1. Immediately upon entry of this Consent Decree, county officials will ensure an adequate means of emergency evacuation.

2. Immediately upon entry of this Consent Decree, county officials will ensure that the fire alarm system at the facility is fully operational.

3. Within 10 days after entry of this Consent Decree, county officials will remove and/or properly store all flammable and combustible materials at the facility.

4. Within 10 day after entry of this Consent Decree, county officials will recharge and correctly position all fire extinguishers.

5. Within 30 days after entry of this Consent Decree,

county officials will remove all polyurethane or toxic mattresses and replace with appropriate mattresses.

6. Within 30 days after entry of this Consent Decree, county officials shall insure adequate bedding and personal hygiene measures for all juveniles housed at the facility.

7. Within 45 days after entry of this Consent Decree, county officials shall take all reasonable steps to ensure that punishment is not arbitrarily imposed.

IV. Plans

In order to establish compliance with this Consent Decree, the County shall file with the Court and the Plaintiff, on or before December 1, 1987, its plan(s) for implementing this Consent Decree. Such plan(s) shall set forth:

1. The procedures that will be utilized to provide that juveniles shall be protected from unreasonable risks of bodily harm to their personal safety by the conduct of other juveniles. Said procedures should include requirements for adequate surveillance, including hourly rounds of all housing areas.

2. The procedures that will be utilized to provide that juveniles shall be protected from unreasonable risks of harm by the conduct of staff, including requirements to report alleged incidents of harm or unreasonable risk of harm. Said procedures should include requirements for investigating such allegations, disciplinary rules and procedures, and sanctions to be followed

upon any findings of harm or unreasonable risk of harm.

3. The policies and procedures to govern punishment at the facility, including written rules specifying infractions and appropriate penalties. Said policies and procedures shall provide that the decision to punish a juvenile and the justification therefore shall be recorded promptly in the juvenile's records and that these records shall be reviewed periodically by appropriate professional staff.

4. The policies and procedures to govern the administration of physical restraints to ensure that such restraints are administered safely and pursuant to the professional judgment by a qualified professional.

5. The procedures that will be utilized to maintain the facility in a sanitary manner sufficient to protect juveniles from unreasonable risks of bodily harm.

6. The enforcement mechanisms to be used, including disciplinary measures and sanctions where appropriate, to provide for staff compliance with all policies, rules, and standards of job performance and behavior.

7. The procedures the Youth House will utilize to survey the facility and steps it will take to protect all juveniles there from unreasonable fire safety risks and risks to health.

8. The procedures to be used to maintain the population below levels constituting unconstitutional overcrowding and the mechanisms that will be used to alleviate the effects of temporary, emergency periods of crowded conditions.

9. The recordkeeping systems that shall be utilized to maintain and make available in each juvenile's record appropriate information regarding administration of discipline, punishment, and physical restraint; and monthly reports of the daily population at the facility.

10. The number and categories of staff that will be utilized to implement plans required by Paragraphs 1 to 9 above.

11. All plans shall state in specific terms and reasonable detail the actions to be taken by the Defendants, the dates of such actions, the text of the procedures, regulations, or protocols to be promulgated and issued by the Defendants, and the name and qualifications of the professional consistent with whose professional judgment the plan has been prepared and submitted.

12. All plans shall state the specific date or dates by which each shall be implemented in toto but in no event shall the final implementation date of any plan be later than January 2, 1989.

V. Construction and Implementation

In construing and implementing the terms of this Decree, the following are agreed to by the parties:

1. a. The Defendants shall file the plan(s) with the Court and the Plaintiffs on or before December 1, 1987. The United States shall have sixty (60) days from receipt of the plan(s) in which to file a response to the plan with the Court. If the United States objects to any plan or portion thereof filed by the County, County and Federal officials shall meet in a good

faith effort to resolve their differences. If the County and Federal officials are unable to resolve their differences through negotiation, the adequacy of the contested portions of the proposed plan to achieve the purposes and objectives set forth in Part I of this Consent Decree shall be determined by the Court. Defendants shall have the burden to persuade the Court that the plan is adequate under this standard.

b. If, after a plan is approved, County officials decide to modify that plan or any portion thereof, County officials shall notify the Court and the United States of the proposed modification. The United States shall have sixty (60) days from the receipt of any proposed modification in which to file a response to the modification with the Court. If the United States objects to the modification sought, County and Federal officials shall meet in a good faith effort to resolve their differences concerning the proposed modification. If County and Federal officials are unable to resolve their differences through negotiation, the adequacy of the proposed modification to achieve the purposes and objectives set forth in Part I of this Consent Decree shall be determined by the Court. The Defendants shall have the burden to persuade the Court that the modified plan is adequate under this standard.

c. Plans, including modifications of plans, to which the United States does not timely object, shall be deemed approved by the Court.

d. All plans required under this Decree, if approved, shall be issued as orders of the Court and enforceable as such.

2. a. The County shall submit periodic compliance reports to the United States and the Court. The reports shall be filed quarterly beginning on January 15, 1988, and continue until such time as this Consent Decree is terminated.

b. The compliance reports shall describe the County's progress towards implementation and compliance with the provisions of this Consent Decree and the plan(s) submitted pursuant thereto. The format of the compliance reports shall be set out in the County's plan of implementation.

3. The United States and its attorneys, consultants, and agents shall have reasonable and complete access to the facilities, records, residents, and employees of the Youth House upon reasonable notice to the County for the purpose of ascertaining compliance with the Decree. Such access shall continue until this Consent Decree is terminated.

4. All parties shall bear their own costs, including attorney fees.

5. The Board of Chosen Freeholders shall have no liability or responsibility under this Consent Decree other than taking reasonable measures to obtain funds reasonably necessary for the County to effectuate the conditions required herein.

VI. TERMINATION OF DECREE

1. The parties contemplate that the defendants shall have full and faithfully implemented all provisions of this consent

Decree, and plans herein required to be submitted and approved by the Court, on or before January 2, 1989.

2. The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action for all purposes under this Consent Decree until the defendants shall have fully and faithfully implemented all provisions of the Consent Decree and plans submitted pursuant thereto and until the judgment be discharged.


3. On or after the date on which the defendants shall have fully and faithfully implemented all provisions of this Consent Decree and plans submitted thereto, the defendants may move that the injunctions entered herein be dissolved, jurisdiction terminated, and the case closed and dismissed with prejudice on grounds that the defendants have fully and faithfully implemented and maintained all provisions of this Consent Decree and plans submitted pursuant thereto.

4. Dismissal shall be granted unless, within sixty (60) days after receipt of the defendant's motion, the United States objects to the motion. If such an objection is made with particularity, the Court shall hold a hearing on the motion and the burden shall be on the United States to demonstrate that the defendants have not fully and faithfully implemented all provisions of this Consent Decree or any approved plan(s) or any part thereof. If objection is based upon failure to implement any plan or part thereof, the burden shall be on the United States to demonstrate that such plan or part thereof is essential to the achievement of one or more of the purposes and objectives set forth in Part I of this Consent Decree. If the United States fails to meet this burden, the injunctions shall be

dissolved, jurisdiction shall be terminated, and the case shall be closed and dismissed with prejudice.


CONSENTED TO BY THE UNDERSIGNED:

FOR THE COUNTY OF ESSEX



Date: 9/21/87
NICHOLAS AMATO
County Executive

FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA



Date: _____
WM. BRADFORD REYNOLDS
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division


Date: 9-21-87
CURTIS H. MEANOR
County Counsel
Hall of Records
Newark, New Jersey 07102

Date: _____
SAMUEL A. ALITO, JR.
United States Attorney
District of New Jersey


Date: 10/7/87
JAMES A. CAVANAUGH
County Freeholder


Date: 10/23/87
ARTHUR E. PEABODY, JR.
Chief
Special Litigation Section


Date: 10/7/87
THOMAS P. GIBLIN
County Freeholder


Date: _____
V. COLLEEN MILLER
Attorney
Special Litigation Section
Civil Rights Division
U.S. Department of Justice
Box 37076
Washington, D.C. 20066

Carrell Cooper Date: 10/7/87
CARDELL COOPER
County Freeholder

Adrienne Davis Date: 10/9/87
ADRIANNE DAVIS
County Freeholder

Joseph C. Parlavecchio Date: 10/7/87
JOSEPH C. PARLAVECCHIO
County Freeholder

Pearl Beatty Date: 10/7/87
PEARL BEATTY
County Freeholder

Monroe Jay Lustbader Date: 10/2/87
MONROE JAY LUSTBADER
County Freeholder

Arthur L. Clay Date: 10/7/87
ARTHUR L. CLAY
County Freeholder

Philip Thigpen Date: 10/7/87
PHILIP THIGPEN
County Freeholder

Ronald D. Manzella Date: 10/9/87
RONALD D. MANZELLA
Director
Youth Services Division
Essex County Youth House
Newark, New Jersey 07103

WHEREFORE, the parties to this action having agreed to the provisions in the Consent Decree set forth above, and the Court being advised in the premises, this Consent Decree is hereby entered as the JUDGMENT of this Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this ___ day of _____, 1987,
at Newark, New Jersey.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Memorandum

ATTORNEY GENERAL/DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL ACTION



RECEIVED
DEPT OF JUSTICE

Nov 18 2 44 PM '87

Subject U.S. v. County of Essex - Proposed
Complaint Against the County of Essex
for Unconstitutional Conditions
Essex County Youth House

Date

EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT
OFFICE OF THE
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
NOV 16 1987

To Edwin Meese III
Attorney General

From Wm. Bradford Reynolds *WBR*
Assistant Attorney General
Civil Rights Division

Action Required: Signature on (1) Complaint; and (2) Certificate

Final Action By:

Attorney General

Due Date: ASAP

Deputy Attorney General

Previous Background Provided:

Summary: I recommend that we file this lawsuit against the County of Essex, New Jersey, alleging unconstitutional conditions at Essex County Youth House (ECYH), Newark, New Jersey, discovered in the course of our investigation of the facility pursuant to the Civil Rights of Institutionalized Persons Act, 42 U.S.C. Section 1997. We have complied with the requirements of that Act and have successfully negotiated a consent decree with the County, which will be filed simultaneously with the complaint.

Comments:

Approved: _____

Date: _____

Disapproved: _____

Date: _____

Concurrences: *AG, WBR* **DAG AAG OLC OLP OLA OPA JMD**

Initials

Date

<i>SG</i>	<i>JS</i>													
<i>11/18/87</i>	<i>11/18/87</i>													

See Reverse For Instruction

ING AND TRANSMISSION SLIP

Date 11-16-87

TO: (Name, office symbol, room number, building, Agency/Post)	Initials	Date
1. John Richardson		
2.		
3.		
4.		
5.		

Action	File	Note and Return
Approval	For Clearance	Per Conversation
As Requested	For Correction	Prepare Reply
Circulate	For Your Information	See Me
Comment	Investigate	Signature
Coordination	Justify	

REMARKS

After the Attorney General has approved this package, please return to me at the HOLC Bldg., Rm. 954-A.

Thank you.

DO NOT use this form as a RECORD of approvals, concurrences, disposals, clearances, and similar actions

FROM: (Name, org. symbol, Agency/Post) Arthur E. Peabody, Jr., Chief Special Litigation Section	Room No.—Bldg.
	Phone No.

5041-102

*U.S.GPO:1985-0-461-274/20012

OPTIONAL FORM 41 (Rev. 7-76)
 Prescribed by GSA
 FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.206

Reproduced from the Holdings of the:
 National Archives and Records Administration
 Record Group 60, Department of Justice
 Files of the Attorney General, Edwin Meese III
 Accession #060-89-372 Box: 102
 Folder: C - Civil Rights, 1987

SPECIAL

Meese
Expedite

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT CONTROL DATA SHEET

From: REYNOLDS, WM. BRADFORD, AAG, CRT
To: AG.

ODD: 11-23-87

Date Received: 11-18-87 Date Due: 11-25-87 Control #: X7111815310

Subject & Date

11-16-87 MEMO (REC'D FROM OAG) REGARDING U.S. v. COUNTY OF ESSEX - PROPOSED COMPLAINT AGAINST THE COUNTY OF ESSEX FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL CONDITIONS, ESSEX COUNTY YOUTH HOUSE, W/ATTACHMENTS; THRU DAG; FOR AG. SIG. ON COMPLAINT AND CERTIFICATE.

	Referred To:	Date:		Referred To:	Date:	
(1)	DAG;BURNS	11-18-87	(5)			W/IN:
(2)	OAG;RICHARDSON	11-19-87	(6)			
(3)			(7)			PRTY:
(4)			(8)			1
	INTERIM BY:			DATE:		OPR:
	Sig. For: AG.			Date Released:		HBR

Remarks

(1) FOR CONCURRENCE.
(2) CONCURRED BY ASG AS ACTING DAG & FORWARDED TO OAG FOR AG SIG. (HBR)

(3) *Signed by AG 11/23/87*

Other Remarks:

SPECIAL

SST CONCURRED AS ACT. DAG, TO E.S. 11/19/87
FILE:

THIS DOCUMENT MUST BE DISPOSED OF BY SHREDDING
