

NARA/OGIS publish late FR notice of FOIAAC meeting!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Oct 9, 2014 3:42 AM

Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, Referring to the online version of the Federal Register at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-10-08/pdf/2014-24155.pdf> and <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2014-10-08/pdf/2014-24030.pdf> , it appears that the NARA and OGIS have violated GSA's administrative law 41 CFR 102-3.150 by publishing on October 8, 2014 a LATE notice of the next meeting of the FOIAAC to be conducted on October 21, 2014. Please explain. Thank you, Brady Eames

Outdated FOIA regulations of the DOJ and the hypocrisy of the OIP!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Oct 9, 2014 8:11 PM

Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, Once again and nearly FOURTEEN (14) YEARS after 5 USC 552 (FOIA) was amended by the "Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act of 2007" (OPENGA) (see <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-110publ175/pdf/PLAW-110publ175.pdf>) , the DOJ has published FOIA regulations which do NOT reflect its deference to or compliance with such amended FOIA (see <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2014-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2014-title28-vol1-part16.pdf>). In other words: (1) the DOJ does NOT formally track or provide status information with respect to a FOIA request; (2) will charge fees regardless if it adjudicates a FOIA request AFTER 20 working days when there are no unusual or exceptional circumstances; (3) does NOT have 10 EXTRA days to adjudicate a FOIA request if an inappropriate component of the DOJ receives a FOIA request; (4) does NOT toll the 20-day adjudication if it needs to request more information from the FOIA requester or to clarify fee assessment; (5) does NOT recognize or refers the public to the mediation services of the "Office of Government Information Services" as a non-exclusive alternative to suing the DOJ; (6) does NOT have a designated Chief FOIA Officer who is responsible for the DOJ's efficient and appropriate compliance with or monitors the implementation throughout the DOJ of the FOIA: and (7) does NOT have designated FOIA Public Liaisons who provide guidance and resolve disputes or to whom the public may raise concerns. Sitting on the FOIA Advisory Committee is an agent of the United States who represents such a DOJ and is titled "Director of the Office of Information Policy" while hypocritically preaching to other agencies of the Federal government that they should execute the FOIA as amended by the OPENGA!!! Sincerely, Brady Eames

correction to previous email re: DOJ's FOIA regulations and the OIP

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Oct 9, 2014 8:23 PM

Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, My previous email message should have read as follows: Once again and nearly SEVEN (7) YEARS after 5 USC 552 (FOIA) was amended by the "Openness Promotes Effectiveness in our National Government Act of 2007" (OPENGA) (see <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-110publ175/pdf/PLAW-110publ175.pdf>) , the DOJ has published FOIA regulations which do NOT reflect its deference to or compliance with such amended FOIA (see <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/CFR-2014-title28-vol1/pdf/CFR-2014-title28-vol1-part16.pdf>). In other words: (1) the DOJ does NOT formally track or provide status information with respect to a FOIA request; (2) will charge fees regardless if it adjudicates a FOIA request AFTER 20 working days when there are no unusual or exceptional circumstances; (3) does NOT have 10 EXTRA days to adjudicate a FOIA request if an inappropriate component of the DOJ receives a FOIA request; (4) does NOT toll the 20-day adjudication if it needs to request more information from the FOIA requester or to clarify fee assessment; (5) does NOT recognize or refers the public to the mediation services of the "Office of Government Information Services" as a non-exclusive alternative to suing the DOJ; (6) does NOT have a designated Chief FOIA Officer who is responsible for the DOJ's efficient and appropriate compliance with or monitors the implementation throughout the DOJ of the FOIA: and (7) does NOT have designated FOIA Public Liaisons who provide guidance and resolve disputes or to whom the public may raise concerns. Sitting on the FOIA Advisory Committee is an agent of the United States who represents such a DOJ and is titled "Director of the Office of Information Policy" while hypocritically preaching to other agencies of the Federal government that they should execute the FOIA as amended by the OPENGA!!! Sincerely, Brady Eames