Dear agents of the United States, In an initial adjudication made under ACUS' FOIA Case 2015-8 on November 7, 2014 with respect to my FOIA Request for ACUS' index of records described under 5 USC 552(a)(2)(A)-(C), I was NOT notified of my right to appeal its adversity even after I submitted a clarification of the Request. Considering the gravity of such administrative malfeasance, I bring it to your attention. (see Thomas V. HHS, 587 F. Supp. 2d 114 (D.D.C. 2008) Nurse v. Sec'y of the Air Force, 231 F. Supp. 2d 323 (D.D. C. 2002), Ruotolo v. DOJ, 53 F3.3d 3 (2d Cir. 1995), Oglesby v. United States Dep't of Army, 920 F.2d 57 (U.S. App. D.C. 1990) Certainly one should expect the ACUS to comply with the law under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and justifiably be shocked if ACUS does NOT. Sincerely, Brady Eames
NARA/OGIS arrogates issuing FOIA "best practice" guidance!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>  
Nov 15, 2014 3:19 PM  
Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Under Secretary of Education NEGLECTS to inform me of names, titles or positions of initial FOIA adjudicator!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com> Nov 15, 2014 9:45 PM
Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, In an initial adverse adjudication made on November 14, 2014 with respect to my FOIA Request filed with the Department of Education (Education) under FOIA Case 15-00115-F, I was NOT notified of the names, titles or positions of each person responsible for such adjudication that was actually made within the Office of the Under Secretary of Education (OUS). Considering the gravity of such administrative malfeasance, I bring it to your attention. Certainly one should expect the OUS to comply with the law under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(C)(i) and justifiably be shocked if OUS does NOT. Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, In an initial adjudication made on November 14, 2014 with respect to my FOIA Request filed with the Department of Education (Education) under FOIA Case 15-00115-F, I was NOT notified of my right to appeal its adversity to the HEAD of Education or, for that matter, to the HEAD of any component of Education. Upon my receipt of such adjudication, I was burdened with immediately having to request such notification from Education. Considering the gravity of such administrative malfeasance, I bring it to your attention. (see Thomas V. HHS, 587 F. Supp. 2d 114 (D.D.C. 2008) Nurse v. Sec'y of the Air Force, 231 F. Supp. 2d 323 (D.D. C. 2002), Ruotolo v. DOJ, 53 F3.3d 3 (2d Cir. 1995), Oglesby v. United States Dep't of Army, 920 F.2d 57 (U.S. App. D.C. 1990), Hudgins v. IRS, 620 F. Supp. 19 (D.D.C. 1985). Certainly one should expect Education to comply with the law under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and justifiably be shocked if Education does NOT. Sincerely, Brady Eames