Dear agents of the United States, According to the GSA's codified FOIA regulation 41 CFR 105-60.403(d), if the GSA does NOT make an initial FOIA adjudication with respect to one's request for a record, one is not only DENIED an appeal to the head of the GSA, but one is COMPELLED to sue the GSA in a U.S. District Court (currently $400.00 just to file in the U.S. District Court of the District of Utah). Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, In conflict with GSA's codified FOIA regulation 41 CFR 105-60.403(d), the GSA's FOIA "Information Guide" (see http://www.gsa.gov/portal/mediald/188991/fileName/GSA_PUBLIC_INFORMATION_HANDBOOK_-_March_25_2014.action) DECEIVES one into believing one may appeal to the "GSA FOIA Office (ACMC)" if one's request is NOT initially adjudicated. Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Still codified by the DOJ under 28 CFR 16.9(a) is an INVALID physical address for the conventional submission of FOIA adjudication appeals. Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Still CODIFIED by the OPM under 5 CFR 294.108(f) is the duty of the OPM to make an initial FOIA adjudication within TEN (10)-working days! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Considering the ubiquitous **failure or refusal** of certain Executive agencies to **make initial FOIA adjudications or to notify requesters of their appeal rights** under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(A)(i) and in light of the body of law established under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(C)(i), why aren’t the OMB, DOJ, GSA, OPM, NARA, NLRB, FLRA, ACUS and OA codifying constructive exhaustion of administrative remedies?! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Still CODIFIED by the OPM under 5 CFR 294.108(f) is the duty of the OPM to make an initial FOIA adjudication within TEN (10)-working days! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, My previous email message regarding the OA and FOIA should have read as follows: Still **CODIFIED** by the OA under 5 CFR 2502.8(a) is the duty of the OA to make an **initial FOIA adjudication** within **TEN (10)-working days**! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, As of at least TEN (10)-working-days after the receipt of the attached SEVEN (7) FOIA Requests, the OPM has neglected to make any adjudications with respect to such Requests under 5 CFR 294.108(f) and has thereby established SEVEN (7) cases of constructive exhaustion of FOIA administrative remedies as provided for under 5 USC 552(a)(6)(C)(i). Sincerely, Brady Eames
request for citation of authorities re: interpreting electronic record to ONLY mean website-page address

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>  
Nov 19, 2014 4:19 PM  
Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, According to certain initial adjudications recently made by ACUS' Senior Executive/Career Reserved Position/General Counsel/Chief Information Officer and by the Deputy General Counsel/FOIA Public Liaison, it appears to be ACUS' interpretation of 5 USC 552(f)(2)(A), and perhaps also of 5 USC 552(a)(3)(B) and (C), that an electronic record requested under 5 USC 552(a)(3)(A) shall ONLY mean an internet website-page address. Considering I intend to sue the ACUS based on such interpretation, I'm requesting that I be provided any and all citations of authority which would support such interpretation. Thank you, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Concealed in two (2) recent adverse FOIA adjudications of the NARA are a U.S. citizen's right to **file an appeal** of such adjudication **via the electronic mail address** FOIA@nara.gov in accordance with 36 CFR 1250.72(a)(2). In fact, such adjudications neglect to even cite 36 CFR 1250.72-How do I file an appeal. Please explain. Thank you, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Considering **constructive exhaustion of FOIA administrative remedies** is provided for by the U.S. Congress under 5 USC 552(a)(C)(i) and recognized by numerous Federal Courts but appears NOT to be regulated by the OGIS, DOJ or OIP, shall a U.S. citizen be compelled to sue and be expected to pay for such judicial action because the heads of the Executive agencies of the United States will NOT, or have been **covertly ordered** to NOT, make an adjudication with respect to such a citizen's FOIA request if the lower echelons of such agencies neglect or refuse to make such an adjudication? Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, My previous email message should have read as follows: Considering **constructive exhaustion of FOIA administrative remedies** is provided for by the U.S. Congress under **5 USC 552(a)(C)(i)** and recognized by numerous Federal Courts but appears **NOT** to be regulated by the **OGIS, DOJ or OIP**, shall a U.S. citizen be compelled to sue and be expected to pay for such judicial action because the heads of the Executive agencies of the United States will **NOT**, or have been **covertly ordered** to **NOT**, make an adjudication with respect to such a citizen's FOIA request if the lower echelons of such agencies neglect or refuse to make such an adjudication or do **NOT** provide **notification of the right to appeal** an adverse adjudication? Sincerely, Brady Eames