Dear agents of the United States, Shall we consider the NLRB-General Counsel's egregious dereliction in performing his/her duty of publishing, codifying and preserving separate and current statements of his/her central and field organizations in the Code of Federal Regulations to be ignorance of, or contempt for, and hypocrisy with respect to the ACUS' Recommendation 1 CFR 305.76-2 of Monday-July 19, 1976 that was published, codified and preserved in the 1977-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2 and to be condoned by him/her through his/her agent who is titled a Chief of Staff of the NLRB-Chairman and named Robert Schiff and is designated by the NLRB-General Counsel to a member of the ACUS?! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Shall we consider the OMB’s egregious dereliction in performing its duty of publishing, codifying and preserving separate and current statements of its central and field organizations in the Code of Federal Regulations to be ignorance of, or contempt for, and hypocrisy with respect to the ACUS’ Recommendation 1 CFR 305.76-2 of Monday-July 19, 1976 that was published, codified and preserved as a rule in the 1977-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2 and to be condoned by the Director of the OMB through his agent who is titled a General Counsel and named Geovett Washington and is designated by President Obama to a member of the Council of the ACUS?! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Shall we consider the DOJ's egregious dereliction in performing its duty of publishing, codifying and preserving separate and current statements of its central and field organizations in the *Code of Federal Regulations* to be ignorance of, or contempt for, and hypocrisy with respect to the ACUS' Recommendation 1 CFR 305.76-2 of *Monday-July 19, 1976* that was published, codified and preserved as a rule in the 1977-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2 and to be condoned by the Attorney General of the United States through his agent who is titled a Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General and named Elana J. Tyrangiel and is designated by the Attorney General of the United States to a member of the ACUS?! Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Shall we consider the OPM's egregious dereliction in performing its duty of publishing, codifying and preserving separate and current statements of its central and field organizations in the *Code of Federal Regulations* to be ignorance of, or contempt for, and hypocrisy with respect to the ACUS' Recommendation 1 CFR 305.76-2 of *Monday-July 19, 1976* that was published, codified and preserved as a *rule* in the 1977-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2 and to be condoned by the Director of the OPM through her agent who is titled a General Counsel and named Kamala M. Vasagam and is designated by the Director of the OPM to a member of the ACUS?!

Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Albeit President Obama's Office of Administration (OA) finally and officially repealed its FOIA rules on March 17, 2015 (see 80 FR 13757-13758 of March 17, 2015), it still has guardianship and accountability of my five FOIA Requests submitted to the OA before such repeal. Two of such Requests were for President Obama's reports to Congress stating his proposals for action or reasons for inaction with respect to his Federal Advisory Committees titled "President's Economic Recovery Advisory Board" (PERAB) and the "President's Management Advisory Board " (PMAB). Three of such Requests were due for initial determinations on November 26, 2014 and two of such Requests were due for initial determinations on December 19, 2014. However, I never received from the OA any initial determinations with respect to any of the five FOIA Requests and have not received from the OA any actual notice of such repeal or that such five FOIA Requests have been or will be forwarded to an appropriate component of the Executive Office of President Obama (EOP) for initial determinations. Obviously the EOP itself has had a ministerial duty to promulgate rules under 5 USC 552 but has never ever done so and, therefore, causes me to suspect that the EOP does not defer to 5 USC 552(f)(1) or has any intentions of complying with any subsection of 5 USC 552. As far as I'm concerned, the OA is engaged in an unlawful guardianship, or has engaged in an illegal destruction, of my five FOIA Requests and is, thereby, leading me to believe President Obama is actually the Chief Executive of hypocrisy with respect to the FOIA and the Chief Executive of secrecy with respect to the PERAB and the PMAB. Please explain. Sincerely, Brady Eames
Dear agents of the United States, Shall we consider the NARA's egregious dereliction in performing its duty of publishing, codifying and preserving separate and current statements of its central and field organizations in the *Code of Federal Regulations* to be ignorance of, or contempt for, and hypocrisy with respect to the ACUS' Recommendation 1 CFR 305.76-2 of Monday-July 19, 1976 that was published, codified and preserved as a rule in the 1977-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2 and to be condoned by the Archivist of the United States through his agent who is titled a General Counsel and named Gary Stern and is designated by the Archivist of the United States to a member of the ACUS?! Sincerely, Brady Eames