

Under 22 FR 998 (AGO 142-57) and E.O. 10934, is the OLC's Director of the OAP the "Executive Secretary" of the ACUS?

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Mar 23, 2015 3:11 AM

Posted in group: **FOIA Advisory Committee**

Dear agents of the United States, Under the un-repealed 22 *FR* 998 of **February 16, 1957** (see *Attorney General Order 142-57*) and *Executive Order 10934* of **April 13, 1961** (26 *FR* 3233 of **April 14, 1961**), is the Office of Legal Counsel's Director of the Office of the Administrative Procedure the "Executive Secretary" of the Administrative Conference of the United States?

Report in Support of 1 CFR 305.76-2 shall be unpublished and unavailable at "acus.gov"?!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Mar 23, 2015 5:01 AM

Posted in group: **FOIA Advisory Committee**

Dear agents of the United States, Under *5 USC 594(3)* and *1 CFR 301.4(b)*, shall the official report in support of *1 CFR 305.76-2*. remain unpublished and unavailable at "**acus.gov**"?! Upon my discovery and review last evening of the **71-page paper version** of such Report in the **Federal Regional Depository in Logan, Utah**, I found it to be a significant and fascinating source of information with respect to Executive branch contempt for and undermining of *44 USC 1505(3)*, *5 USC 552(a)(1)*, *37 FR 23602-23615* of **Saturday-November 4, 1972** and the **1976 edition of Chapter I of Title 1 of the CFR**. Please explain. Thank you, Brady Eames

Have NLRB-Members ever referred and deferred to ACUS' report in support of ACUS' Federal Register rule 305.76-2?!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Mar 23, 2015 6:59 PM

Posted in group: **FOIA Advisory Committee**

Dear agents of the United States, Although unpublished and unavailable to the public at "**acus.gov**", have the **members** of the **National Labor Relations Board** ever referred and deferred to the **ACUS'** Report in Support of the **ACUS'** un-repealed **Federal Register rule 305.76-2** that was prescribed when the **Chairman of the NLRB Betty Murphy** was a member of the Council of the **ACUS** and the **NLRB-Member John Fanning** was a member of the **ACUS** and that was published in *41 FR 29653-29654* of **July 19, 1976** and published, codified and preserved in the **1974-1992** editions of *1 CFR 305.76-2?* Such rule, along with all the other rules of the **ACUS** prescribed by the **ACUS** between **1973-1993 (ACUS' 1994-1995** rules re-catergorized as **notices**), were illegally removed from the Code of Federal Regulations by the Office of the Federal Register and the **ACUS** (see *61 FR 3539* of **February 1, 1996**) apparently without being repealed by the **ACUS**, in egregious contempt for and defiance of such Federal Register rule **305.76-2** and of *1 CFR 5.9(b)* and *8.1(a)* and under a secret regulation prescribed by the **Administrative Committee of the Federal Register** composed of the **Archivist of the United States John W. Carlin**, **Public Printer Michael DiMarlo** and **Senior Counsel of the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel Rosemary Hart** as jointly approved by such **Archivist** and the **Attorney General of the United States Janet Reno**. Please answer and explain. Thank you, Brady Eames

Has the GC of the NLRB ever referred to and deferred to ACUS's report in support of ACUS' Federal Register rule 305.76-2?!

Brady Eames <ibuncle@yahoo.com>

Mar 23, 2015 7:04 PM

Posted in group: FOIA Advisory Committee

Dear agents of the United States, Although unpublished and unavailable to the public at "acus.gov", has the **General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board** ever referred and deferred to the **ACUS' Report in Support of the ACUS' un-repealed Federal Register rule 305.76-2** that was prescribed when the **Chairman of the NLRB Betty Murphy** was a member of the Council of the **ACUS** and the **NLRB-Member John Fanning** was a member of the **ACUS** and that was published in *41 FR 29653-29654* of **July 19, 1976** and published, codified and preserved in the **1974-1992** editions of *1 CFR 305.76-2*? Such rule, along with all the other rules of the **ACUS** prescribed by the **ACUS** between **1973-1993** (**ACUS' 1994-1995** rules re-catergorized as **notices**), were illegally removed from the Code of Federal Regulations by the Office of the Federal Register and the **ACUS** (see *61 FR 3539* of **February 1, 1996**) apparently without being repealed by the **ACUS**, in egregious contempt for and defiance of such Federal Register rule **305.76-2** and of *1 CFR 5.9(b)* and *8.1(a)* and under a secret regulation prescribed by the **Administrative Committee of the Federal Register** composed of the **Archivist of the United States John W. Carlin**, **Public Printer Michael DiMarlo** and **Senior Counsel of the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel Rosemary Hart** as jointly approved by such **Archivist** and the **Attorney General of the United States Janet Reno**. Please answer and explain. Thank you, Brady Eames