Dear agents of the United States, Under the un-repealed 22 FR 998 of February 16, 1957 (see Attorney General Order 142-57) and Executive Order 10934 of April 13, 1961 (26 FR 3233 of April 14, 1961), is the Office of Legal Counsel's Director of the Office of the Administrative Procedure the "Executive Secretary" of the Administrative Conference of the United States?
Dear agents of the United States, Under 5 USC 594(3) and 1 CFR 301.4(b), shall the official report in support of 1 CFR 305.76-2 remain unpublished and unavailable at "acus.gov"?! Upon my discovery and review last evening of the 71-page paper version of such Report in the Federal Regional Depository in Logan, Utah, I found it to be a significant and fascinating source of information with respect to Executive branch contempt for and undermining of 44 USC 1505(3), 5 USC 552(a)(1), 37 FR 23602-23615 of Saturday-November 4, 1972 and the 1976 edition of Chapter I of Title 1 of the CFR. Please explain. Thank you, Brady Eames
Have NLRB-Members ever referred and deferred to ACUS' report in support of ACUS' Federal Register rule 305.76-2?!

Dear agents of the United States, Although unpublished and unavailable to the public at "acus.gov", have the members of the National Labor Relations Board ever referred and deferred to the ACUS' Report in Support of the ACUS' un-repealed Federal Register rule 305.76-2 that was prescribed when the Chairman of the NLRB Betty Murphy was a member of the Council of the ACUS and the NLRB-Member John Fanning was a member of the ACUS and that was published in 41 FR 29653-29654 of July 19, 1976 and published, codified and preserved in the 1974-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2? Such rule, along with all the other rules of the ACUS prescribed by the ACUS between 1973-1993 (ACUS' 1994-1995 rules re-categorized as notices), were illegally removed from the Code of Federal Regulations by the Office of the Federal Register and the ACUS (see 61 FR 3539 of February 1, 1996) apparently without being repealed by the ACUS, in egregious contempt for and defiance of such Federal Register rule 305.76-2 and of 1 CFR 5.9(b) and 8.1(a) and under a secret regulation prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register composed of the Archivist of the United States John W. Carlin, Public Printer Michael DiMarlo and Senior Counsel of the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel Rosemary Hart as jointly approved by such Archivist and the Attorney General of the United States Janet Reno. Please answer and explain. Thank you, Brady Eames
Has the GC of the NLRB ever referred to and deferred to ACUS's report in support of ACUS' Federal Register rule 305.76-2?!
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Dear agents of the United States, Although unpublished and unavailable to the public at "acus.gov", has the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board ever referred and deferred to the ACUS' Report in Support of the ACUS' un-repealed Federal Register rule 305.76-2 that was prescribed when the Chairman of the NLRB Betty Murphy was a member of the Council of the ACUS and the NLRB-Member John Fanning was a member of the ACUS and that was published in 41 FR 29653-29654 of July 19, 1976 and published, codified and preserved in the 1974-1992 editions of 1 CFR 305.76-2? Such rule, along with all the other rules of the ACUS prescribed by the ACUS between 1973-1993 (ACUS' 1994-1995 rules re-categorized as notices), were illegally removed from the Code of Federal Regulations by the Office of the Federal Register and the ACUS (see 61 FR 3539 of February 1, 1996) apparently without being repealed by the ACUS, in egregious contempt for and defiance of such Federal Register rule 305.76-2 and of 1 CFR 5.9(b) and 8.1(a) and under a secret regulation prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register composed of the Archivist of the United States John W. Carlin, Public Printer Michael DiMarlo and Senior Counsel of the Department of Justice's Office of Legal Counsel Rosemary Hart as jointly approved by such Archivist and the Attorney General of the United States Janet Reno. Please answer and explain. Thank you, Brady Eames