

Navy Misconduct

DON-NAVY-2023-000588

- No IDA Determination Letter
- Fee Abuse (\$1,440 for free records)
- False FOIA Reporting
- Dispute Resolution Rights (within records sought)

by
Robert Hammond, foiacompliance@gmail.com
@foiacompliance (Twitter)
Copy to:
DOD Chief FOIA Officer
GAO

Designed by pngtree

OUTLINE

- Preface
 - No IDA Determination Letter
 - Fee Abuse (\$1,440 for free records)
 - False FOIA Reporting
 - Dispute Resolution Rights (within records sought)
- Recommendations
- Navy FOIA Public Liaison Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588
- Hammond Public Comments

PREFACE

See FOIA Public Liaison Assistance Request.

- I much prefer to work directly with DOD in good faith regarding matters of non-compliance. However, the matters addressed herein are widespread (beyond DOD), timely, and worthy of note.
- DOD's Department of Navy attempted to charge \$1,440 for records that all other federal entities, including DOD's SOCOM, provided for free subject to identical FOIA requests.
- Then, the Navy closed the FOIA request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 without providing any IDA closure letter to me (required by email) or posting any correspondence in FOIAonline as required. This also results in false FOIA reporting.
- Records sought from Navy seek to document Navy's non-compliance over a seven-year period with the FOIA requirement to notify the FOIA requesters of "the right of such person to seek dispute resolution services from the FOIA Public Liaison of the agency or the Office of Government Information Services."
- DOD's US SOCOM, by letter dated November 18, 2022 released thirty-six (36) documents consisting of 1129 pages of responsive information without any charge whatsoever. Within records released, SOCOM violated the FOIA by failing to include ADR rights in its adverse determination letters.
- NARA's OGIS is complicit in DOD/federal agencies failing to include ADR rights. See [Mandatory Right to OGIS Dispute Resolution - OGIS Malfeasance 20220616](#).
- Navy's FOIA.gov data is materially false as are its annual FOIA reports and raw data. I personally have hundreds of examples. I would like to work with DOD on this in good faith.

RECOMMENDATIONS

FOIA Advisory Committee and Chief FOIA Officers Council:

- Address agency fee abuse to discourage FOIA requesters.
- Seek DOJ OIP and OGIS advisories regarding, “The OMB Guidelines direct that searches for responsive records should be done in the “most efficient and least expensive manner.” See OMB Fee Guidelines, 52 Fed. Reg. at 10,017.”
- Seek current DOJ OIP and OGIS advisories regarding the mandatory requirement to notify the FOIA requesters of “the right of such person to seek dispute resolution services from the FOIA Public Liaison of the agency or the Office of Government Information Services.”
- Seek current DOJ OIP and OGIS advisories regarding the mandatory requirement to provide agency IDA determination letters to the requester at the time of case closure and to do so in the manner specified by the requester
- Seek a Navy OIG audit of Navy FOIA processes and reporting.
- Reiterate the requirement for all federal agencies to post their contemporaneous FOIA logs now, per two FOIA Advisory Committee past recommendations.
- Seek a posted disclaimer that FOIA.gov data is materially false and cannot be used for any purpose. Only certified agency data (per below) may be used.
- Require agencies to certify that: 1) their FOIA quarterly and annual FOIA report data is accurate to the best of their knowledge or 2) that such data is known to be inaccurate (no in between). Further, such certifications be publicly posted for FOIA.gov and annual FOIA reports/annual FOIA raw data.

NAVY FPL ASSISTANCE REQUEST DON-NAVY-2023-000588

From: Robert Hammond
Sent: Monday, November 28, 2022 12:08 PM
To: christopher.a.julka@navy.mil
Cc: DOD Chief FOIA Officer; brian.f.roach.mil@us.navy.mil; nathaniel.a.bosiak.mil@us.navy.mil; wendy.winston@navy.mil
Subject: Expedited Navy FPL Dispute Resolution, Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588, FOIA Fraud

Mr. Julka, Navy FPL:
(Copy to Redacted, DOD Chief FOIA Officer)

Subject. Navy FPL Dispute Resolution, Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588, FOIA Fraud

FOIA Public Liaison Assistance

I am seeking immediate FPL dispute resolution services for FOIA Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588, relating to:

- improper case closure on November 23, 2022 without a determination letter,
- ludicrous abuse of fees to undermine this FOIA request [\$1,440 for free records],
- lack of notification of ADR and mediation rights [in records sought, basis for public interest],
- failure to conduct searches in the most efficient manner,
- failure to post correspondence to FOIAonline per SECNAVINST 5720.42G and the FOIAonline user manual;
- basis for fee waiver stating: “Requesting the specific language and identities of the first five FOIA denials in each of the last seven years will not contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations or activities because the detailed manner in which the request is made makes the request no longer meaningfully informative. Accordingly, your request for waiver of fees is denied.”
- basis for expedited processing denial (same language as fee waiver denial).

Records Sought:

1. Records of the first 5 FOIA adverse determination letters (other than full grant) processed by your agency for fiscal years FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020, and FY 2021 (seven fiscal years, 35 records).

a. Denial for any reason, including no records. Denial letter only (I am interested in the content of the letters).

b. This is an inherently simple request. Records are the FOIA Office These are antecedent records that have already been released to the Public via the determination letters themselves

c. There should be no redactions as to names, email addresses or phone numbers (excluding cell numbers) of government employees, which are not exempt under (b)(6).

d. There should be no redactions as to names, of non-commercial requesters, which are not exempt under (b)(6). Federal agencies have stated, “I am releasing the names of the FOIA requesters as courts have held that under the FOIA requesters do not have an expectation of privacy. *Stauss v. IRS*, 516 F. Supp.1218, 1223 (D.D.C. 1981).”

e. There should be no redactions for commercial entity names, addresses, phone numbers and emails, as these are in the public domain.

Chronology:

October 17, 2022. Request submitted via FOIAonline.

October 26, 2022. Estimated Cost Letter

- Brian Francis Roach **unlawfully demands \$1,440** to search for records that countless agencies across the federal government have timely produced for free.
- For example, pursuant to an identical FOIA request to DOD's US SOCOM, by letter dated November 18, 2022 US SOCOM released thirty-six (36) documents consisting of 1129 pages or responsive information without any charge whatsoever.
- As noted in my FOIA request itself, "The OMB Guidelines direct that searches for responsive records should be done in the "most efficient and least expensive manner." See OMB Fee Guidelines, 52 Fed. Reg. at 10,017."
- The October 26, 2022 does not provide a response time for me to address the fee issue, stating simply:
Thank you for you FOIA request. I am unable to perfect this request within your fee cap. Given the specificity of documents requested, I anticipate a 30 hour search for responsive materials at an estimated cost of \$1440. In the alternative, I can provide a report of the total number of FOIA denials within the dates requested. This report would not include the specific language of the denials, but would respond in part to your request and not generate any fees. Please advise on your desired next steps.

October 26, 2022. Fee Waiver Denial.

October 27, 2022. Expedited Processing Denial. Violations of Law in IDA Letters wrt ADR Rights.

- Both the Fee waiver denial and the expedited processing denial use the same language: "Requesting the specific language and identities of the first five FOIA denials in each of the last seven years will not contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations or activities because the detailed manner in which the request is made makes the request no longer meaningfully informative. Accordingly, your request for waiver of fees is denied."
- The expedited processing denial does not address the basis cited in my FOIA request.
- The documents sought are intended to expose violations of law on a massive scale throughout the DOD and other federal agencies, with regard to failure to notify the FOIA requesters of "the right of such person to seek dispute resolution services from the FOIA Public Liaison of the agency or the Office of Government Information Services."
- As documented in the November 18, 2022 US SOCOM released thirty-six (36) documents consisting of 1129 pages. DOD failed to notify the requesters of ADR rights through OGIS and the agency FPL.

November 23, 2022. Case Closed Without IDA Determination Letter.

November 23, 2022. Case modified after closure. No basis or explanation of modification.

With my respect,

Robert Hammond

Attachments

- A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab
- B. Department of Defense, US SOCOM letter SC 22-023-S of November 18, 2022

A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab

Subject From Date Detail

FOIA Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 Submitted System 10/17/2022

FOIA Request 000588 Brian Francis Roach 10/26/2022

Mr. Hammond - Thank you for you FOIA request. I am unable to perfect this request within your fee cap. Given the specificity of documents requested, I anticipate a 30 hour search for responsive materials at an estimated cost of \$1440. In the alternative, I can provide a report of the total number of FOIA denials within the dates requested. This report would not include the specific language of the denials, but would respond in part to your request and not generate any fees. Please advise on your desired next steps.

Estimate Cost Notice Letter.pdf

Reply

FOIA Fee Waiver Disposition Reached for DON-NAVY-2023-000588 System 10/26/2022

Your request for Fee Waiver for the FOIA request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 has been denied. Additional details for this request are as follows: Request Created on: 10/17/2022 Request Description: DON-23-A.A. Adverse Determination Letters FY 2016 - FY 2022 See PDF. I am respectfully seeking from your agency: 1. Records of the first 5 FOIA adverse determination letters (other than full grant) processed by your agency for fiscal years FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020, and FY 2021 (seven fiscal years, 35 records). a. Denial for any reason, including no records. Denial letter only (I am interested in the content of the letters). b. This is an inherently simple request. Records are the FOIA Office These are antecedent records that have already been released to the Public via the determination letters themselves c. There should be no redactions as to names, email addresses or phone numbers (excluding cell numbers) of government employees, which are not exempt under (b)(6). d. There should be no redactions as to names, of non-commercial requesters, which are not exempt under (b)(6). Federal agencies have stated, "I am releasing the names of the FOIA requesters as courts have held that under the FOIA requesters do not have an expectation of privacy. *Stauss v. IRS*, 516 F. Supp.1218, 1223 (D.D.C. 1981)." e. There should be no redactions for commercial entity names, addresses, phone numbers and emails, as these are in the public domain. 2. I am also seeking a copy of this FOIA Request, which is an Agency record subject to FOIA that exists and is in the Agency's possession at the time of my FOIA request. This record is a responsive record integral to my Request. Release of the Agency's copy is not optional. REQUESTED FORMAT. I am also seeking a single ADA accessible PDF file by return email with: (1) a signed and dated cover letter (citing my personally assigned requester control number); (2) with record page count for all records released records (3) a copy of this request in your reply. I seek records via email in PDF format with an imbedded copy of my requests to (1) impede the agency from not addressing the FOIA Request; (2) impede the Agency from not providing the documents stated in the Agency's letter reply, and (3) make it obvious in any subsequent review what the Agency has or has not done Under the terms of the E-FOIA Amendments of 1996, Section 5, if a document exists in electronic format, it must be released in that format upon request. Each record must be provided as a distinct record in their native format. Emails should be provided as This request is distinctly separate from any other. Please do not combine this request with any other request in your reply. I am requesting that each element of the records sought be specifically addressed in the reply. Fee Waiver Original Justification: FEE WAIVER/ PUBLIC INTEREST/PUBLIC RELEASE. Notwithstanding my

A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab

agreement to pay fees below if my fee waiver is denied, I am seeking a fee waiver due to significant public interest in this information. The subject of the requested records concerns "the operations or activities of the government." The disclosure is "likely to contribute" to an understanding of government operations or activities. There is no commercial interest. There is significant public interest. AGREEMENT TO PAY FEES. I agree to pay fees for searching or copying the records up to \$25. If the fees exceed this amount please advise me of the cost before proceeding. I do not believe that there should be any charge for providing these records, as there is public interest in government operations. I am a private individual not seeking documents for commercial use, such that the following applies: "No fees may be charged by any [] Component if the costs of routine collection and processing of the fee are likely to equal or exceed the amount of the fee. With the exception of requesters seeking documents for a commercial use, Components shall provide the first two hours of search time, and the first one hundred pages of duplication without charge." I would note that because I am requesting an electronic file, there should not be a per page copy fee. The OMB Guidelines direct that searches for responsive records should be done in the "most efficient and least expensive manner." See OMB Fee Guidelines, 52 Fed. Reg. at 10,017. As an "all others" requester, I may only be assessed search and duplication fees and not fees for review. See 32 CFR 286.12 - Schedule of fees. Also, please note that, should payment become necessary, the Coinage Act of 1965, specifically Section 31 U.S.C. 5103, entitled "Legal tender," states: " United States coins and currency (including Federal reserve notes and circulating notes of Federal reserve banks and national banks) are legal tender for all debts, public charges, taxes, and dues. Foreign gold or silver coins are not legal tender for debts. (Pub. L. 97-258, Sept. 13, 1982, 96 Stat. 980 ; Pub. L. 97-452, §1(19), Jan. 12, 1983, 96 Stat. 2477 .) EXPEDITED PROCESSING. 1. Records are the subject of widespread and exceptional media interest and the information sought involves possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence regarding DON JAG's FOIA processing. 2. Additionally, (although a private requester) I am an individual/organization primarily engaged in the dissemination of information who can prove the information is urgently needed to inform the public concerning some actual or alleged government activity. My primary activity is informing the public, which I do through a variety of means, such as open meeting public comments, blogs, etc., and I may from time to time collaborate on articles. There is extraordinary, off the charts interest in this matter and NARA's execution. I make oral public comments at every open FOAI meeting. I have an active email distribution list of Chief FOIA Officers, FOIA professionals, FOIA advocacy groups media and interested parties. I also communicate regularly with members of Congress. • See examples below. - Public Comments Submitted to the Chief FOIA Officers Council <https://www.archives.gov/ogis/about-ogis/chief-foia-officers-council> - Public Comments Submitted to the FOIA Advisory Committee | National Archives <https://www.archives.gov/ogis/foia-advisory-committee/public-comments> - OGIS Annual Open Meeting Public comments <https://www.archives.gov/ogis/outreach-events/annual-open-meeting> - Document Cloud. Org <https://www.documentcloud.org/app?q=%2Buser%3Arobert-hammond-106693%20> (e.g., "Sample FOIA Template With Recent Developments to Combat Agency Misconduct.") NARA must evaluate all my public comments (which NARA has) along with my methods of dissemination and state that it has done so in any denial of expedited processing. • The subject of the requested records concerns government operations and activities. • Government misconduct is apparent. • The disclosure is likely to contribute to understanding of these operations or activities. • Disclosure will likely result in public understanding of the subject. • The contribution to public understanding of government operations or activities will be significant. • The requester has no commercial interest. • The public interest in disclosure is great. • I use "editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work." • My work is

A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab

distributed by email to an audience of FOIA professionals, media, and interested parties with frequent active distribution. Fee Waiver Disposition Reason: Requesting the specific language and identities of the first five FOIA denials in each of the last seven years will not contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations or activities because the detailed manner in which the request is made makes the request no longer meaningfully informative. Accordingly, your request for waiver of fees is denied.

FOIA Expedited Processing Disposition Reached for DON-NAVY-2023-000588 System 10/27/2022

Your request for Expedited Processing for the FOIA request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 has been denied. Additional details for this request are as follows: Request Created on: 10/17/2022 Request Description: DON-23-A.A. Adverse Determination Letters FY 2016 - FY 2022 See PDF. I am respectfully seeking from your agency: 1. Records of the first 5 FOIA adverse determination letters (other than full grant) processed by your agency for fiscal years FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020, and FY 2021 (seven fiscal years, 35 records). a. Denial for any reason, including no records. Denial letter only (I am interested in the content of the letters). b. This is an inherently simple request. Records are the FOIA Office These are antecedent records that have already been released to the Public via the determination letters themselves c. There should be no redactions as to names, email addresses or phone numbers (excluding cell numbers) of government employees, which are not exempt under (b)(6). d. There should be no redactions as to names, of non-commercial requesters, which are not exempt under (b)(6). Federal agencies have stated, "I am releasing the names of the FOIA requesters as courts have held that under the FOIA requesters do not have an expectation of privacy. *Stauss v. IRS*, 516 F. Supp.1218, 1223 (D.D.C. 1981)." e. There should be no redactions for commercial entity names, addresses, phone numbers and emails, as these are in the public domain. 2. I am also seeking a copy of this FOIA Request, which is an Agency record subject to FOIA that exists and is in the Agency's possession at the time of my FOIA request. This record is a responsive record integral to my Request. Release of the Agency's copy is not optional. REQUESTED FORMAT. I am also seeking a single ADA accessible PDF file by return email with: (1) a signed and dated cover letter (citing my personally assigned requester control number); (2) with record page count for all records released records (3) a copy of this request in your reply. I seek records via email in PDF format with an imbedded copy of my requests to (1) impede the agency from not addressing the FOIA Request; (2) impede the Agency from not providing the documents stated in the Agency's letter reply, and (3) make it obvious in any subsequent review what the Agency has or has not done Under the terms of the E-FOIA Amendments of 1996, Section 5, if a document exists in electronic format, it must be released in that format upon request. Each record must be provided as a distinct record in their native format. Emails should be provided as This request is distinctly separate from any other. Please do not combine this request with any other request in your reply. I am requesting that each element of the records sought be specifically addressed in the reply. Expedited Processing Original Justification: EXPEDITED PROCESSING. 1. Records are the subject of widespread and exceptional media interest and the information sought involves possible questions about the government's integrity that affect public confidence regarding DON JAG's FOIA processing. 2. Additionally, (although a private requester) I am an individual/organization primarily engaged in the dissemination of information who can prove the information is urgently needed to inform the public concerning some actual or alleged government activity. My primary activity is informing the public, which I do through a variety of means, such as open meeting public comments, blogs, etc., and I may from time to time collaborate on articles. There is extraordinary, off the charts interest in this matter and

A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab

NARA's execution. I make oral public comments at every open FOIA meeting. I have an active email distribution list of Chief FOIA Officers, FOIA professionals, FOIA advocacy groups media and interested parties. I also communicate regularly with members of Congress. • See examples below. - Public Comments Submitted to the Chief FOIA Officers Council
<https://www.archives.gov/ogis/about-ogis/chief-foia-officers-council> - Public Comments Submitted to the FOIA Advisory Committee | National Archives <https://www.archives.gov/ogis/foia-advisory-committee/public-comments> - OGIS Annual Open Meeting Public comments
<https://www.archives.gov/ogis/outreach-events/annual-open-meeting> - Document Cloud. Org
<https://www.documentcloud.org/app?q=%2Buser%3Arobert-hammond-106693%20> (e.g., "Sample FOIA Template With Recent Developments to Combat Agency Misconduct.") NARA must evaluate all my public comments (which NARA has) along with my methods of dissemination and state that it has done so in any denial of expedited processing. • The subject of the requested records concerns government operations and activities. • Government misconduct is apparent. • The disclosure is likely to contribute to understanding of these operations or activities. • Disclosure will likely result in public understanding of the subject. • The contribution to public understanding of government operations or activities will be significant. • The requester has no commercial interest. • The public interest in disclosure is great. • I use "editorial skills to turn the raw materials into a distinct work." • My work is distributed by email to an audience of FOIA professionals, media, and interested parties with frequent active distribution. Expedited Processing Disposition Reason: Requesting the specific language and identities of the first five FOIA denials in each of the last seven years will not contribute significantly to public understanding of government operations or activities because the detailed manner in which the request is made makes the request no longer meaningfully informative. Likewise, there is no showing of compelling need. Accordingly, your request for expedite is denied.

Final Disposition, Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 Brian Francis Roach 11/23/2022

DON-NAVY-2023-000588 has been processed with the following final disposition: Improper FOIA Request for Other Reasons.

Reply

FOIA Request DON-NAVY-2023-000588 Modified System 11/23/2022

The FOIA request - DON-NAVY-2023-000588 has been supplemented with additional supporting files. Additional details for this item are as follows: Tracking Number: DON-NAVY-2023-000588 Requester: Mr. robert hammond Submitted Date: 10/17/2022 Description: DON-23-A.A. Adverse Determination Letters FY 2016 - FY 2022 See PDF. I am respectfully seeking from your agency: 1. Records of the first 5 FOIA adverse determination letters (other than full grant) processed by your agency for fiscal years FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020, and FY 2021 (seven fiscal years, 35 records). a. Denial for any reason, including no records. Denial letter only (I am interested in the content of the letters). b. This is an inherently simple request. Records are the FOIA Office These are antecedent records that have already been released to the Public via the determination letters themselves c. There should be no redactions as to names, email addresses or phone numbers (excluding cell numbers) of government employees, which are not exempt under (b)(6). d. There should be no redactions as to names, of non-commercial requesters, which are not exempt under (b)(6). Federal agencies have stated, "I am releasing the names of the FOIA requesters as courts have held that under the FOIA requesters do not have an expectation of privacy. *Stauss v. IRS*, 516 F. Supp.1218, 1223 (D.D.C. 1981)." e. There should be no redactions for commercial entity names,

A. FOIAonline Correspondence Tab

addresses, phone numbers and emails, as these are in the public domain. 2. I am also seeking a copy of this FOIA Request, which is an Agency record subject to FOIA that exists and is in the Agency's possession at the time of my FOIA request. This record is a responsive record integral to my Request. Release of the Agency's copy is not optional. REQUESTED FORMAT. I am also seeking a single ADA accessible PDF file by return email with: (1) a signed and dated cover letter (citing my personally assigned requester control number); (2) with record page count for all records released records (3) a copy of this request in your reply. I seek records via email in PDF format with an imbedded copy of my requests to (1) impede the agency from not addressing the FOIA Request; (2) impede the Agency from not providing the documents stated in the Agency's letter reply, and (3) make it obvious in any subsequent review what the Agency has or has not done Under the terms of the E-FOIA Amendments of 1996, Section 5, if a document exists in electronic format, it must be released in that format upon request. Each record must be provided as a distinct record in their native format. Emails should be provided as This request is distinctly separate from any other. Please do not combine this request with any other request in your reply. I am requesting that each element of the records sought be specifically addressed in the reply.

B. Department of Defense, US SOCOM letter SC 22-023-S of November 18, 2022



REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
UNITED STATES SOUTHERN COMMAND
9301 NW 33RD STREET
DORAL, FL 33172

November 18, 2022

Office of the Staff Judge Advocate,

Ref: SC 22-023-S

Office of Freedom of Information Act

Mr. Robert Hammond
11828 Wayland Street
Oakton, VA 22124

Dear Mr. Hammond:

This is our agency's final response to your electronic Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests dated Thursday, March 03, 2022. As indicated in your requests, you sought records of the first five (5) FOIA adverse determination letters (other than full grants) processed by our agency for fiscal years FY 2016, FY 2017, FY 2018, FY 2019, FY 2020, FY 2021, and FY 2022. Additionally, paragraph 2., of your FOIA request asks for a copy of the filed SC 22-023-S FOIA request; thus, we are including a copy of your FOIA request.

Our search produced thirty-six (36) documents, consisting of approximately 1129 pages of responsive information. Upon completion of our line-by-line review of the retrieved material, we determined that no additional redactions are required and consequently we are releasing the previously reviewed and produced information.

Should you wish to inquire about mediation services, you may contact the OSD/JS FOIA Public Liaison, Toni Fuentes, at 571-372-0462 or by email at OSD.FOIALiaison@mail.mil, or the Office of Government Information Services (OGIS) at the National Archives and Records Administration. The contact information for OGIS is as follows:

Office of Government Information Services
National Archives and Records Administration
8601 Adelphi Road-OGIS
College Park, MD 20740
E-mail: ogis!@nara.gov
Telephone: 202-741-5770
Fax: 202-741-5769
Toll-free: 1-877-684-6448

You have the right to appeal to the appellate authority, Ms. Joo Chung, Assistant to the Secretary of Defense for Privacy Civil Liberties, and Transparency (PCLT), Office of the Secretary of Defense, at: 4800 Mark Center Drive, ATTN: PCLFD, FOIA Appeals, Mailbox #24, Alexandria, VA 22350-1700.

Your appeal must be postmarked within 90 calendar days of the date of this correspondence. Alternatively, you may email your appeal to osd.foia-appeal@mail.mil. If you use email, please

B. Department of Defense, US SOCOM letter SC 22-023-S of November 18, 2022

-2-

include the work "FOIA Appeal" in the subject of the email. Please also reference FOIA case SC 22-023-S in any appeal correspondence.

With this action, this case has been processed and is now closed. There are no assessable fees associated with this response. If you have any questions or concerns about the foregoing or about the processing of your request, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (305) 437- 1108.

Sincerely,
y,



Marco T. Villalobos
Command FOIA Manager

Enclosures

HAMMOND PUBLIC COMMENTS

#	Hammond Public Comments. Document Cloud Alphabetical (25 per page on web, not alphabetical) https://www.documentcloud.org/app?q=%2Buser%3Arobert-hammond-106693%20
1.	2022- 2024 FOIA Advisory Committee Bylaws - Recommended Changes
2.	2022- 2024 FOIA Advisory Committee Inaugural Meeting. DOJ OIP OGIS Grossly Underfunded
3.	All Panelist Chat to June 9 2022 FOIA Advisory Committee Meeting. OGIS DOJ Funding + Miss
4.	DOD FOIA Misconduct Part IV. FY 2018 Appeals Disappeared + DOJ OIP & OGIS Underfunded, Thus Ineffective
5.	DOD FOIA Misconduct Part V. Navy FY 2018 Appeals Dispositions Bases Not Reported
6.	DOD Massive False FOIA Reporting. Part 1. Letter to SECDEF, Complaint to DOJ OIG
7.	DOD MASSIVE FALSE REPORTING PART II + Still Interested Abuse. Updated July 27, 2022
8.	DOD Massive False Reporting Part III. 5-year Late Acknowledgements Unusual Circumstances Abuse +
9.	DOD' Cites Change to CFR 32 CFR part 286.4 as Unlawful Basis for Omitting OGIS Mediation Rights
10.	DOJ OIP (Inaccurate) CFO Report Assessment 2022
11.	DOJ OIP Compliance Inquiries
12.	DOJ OIP Improper and Unlawful Standard Glomar Responses With Implicit (b)(1) & (b)(7)
13.	Failure - FOIA Compliance Oversight & Funding. Part 2. No Joking Matter
14.	FOIA Line-Item Budgets Now. Let the citizens be heard. Retire FOIA Bob.
15.	FOIAonline - Recommended System Changes
16.	Foreseeable Harm Standard Vanita Gupta. November 3, 2022 CFO Meeting
17.	Foreseeable Harm Standard. DOJ OIP Misinformation + Navy Misconduct and Idiocy?
18.	Hammond FOIA Public Comments Posted to Document Cloud
19.	Hammond Questions Comments for September 8 2022 Meeting. OGIS and DOJ OIP Lack of Funding w.attach1
20.	Hammond Recommendations and Chat Comments to 4.7.2022 FOIA Advisory Committee Meeting
21.	HOT! Semo OGIS. Budget Numbers do not Comport w. NARA Published Budgets!
22.	Individualized Tracking Numbers. NARA Fraud. Hatch Act Violations
23.	Mandatory Right to OGIS Dispute Resolution - OGIS Malfeasance 20220616

24.	Moot Appellate Determinations
25.	NARA FOIA & Financial Malfeasance \$789,730 + Alteration of Records and Loss of Public Trust
26.	NARA FY 2022 Data Stripped From FOIA.gov
27.	NARA, PLEASE FUND OGIS!! (PART 1). Robert Hammond September 9, 2021 Speaker Notes
28.	Navy FY 2018 Appeals Dispositions Bases Not Reported
29.	Navy Misconduct. No IDA Letter & Fee Abuse. DON-NAVY-2023-000588
30.	OGIS & DOJ OIP Misstate Recommendation to Post FOIA Logs - Why
31.	OGIS Funding and Case Accountability Logs
32.	OGIS Negligence Generally & Improper June 29, 2022 FOIA Meeting
33.	OGIS Posting Policy for Public Comments
34.	Posting FOIA Logs. Tech Committee Recommendation #2. FOIA Ombudsman Inaccurate Citation
35.	Preserve FOIAonline Records + Decertify FOIA.gov + Audit NARA + Post FOIA Logs
36.	QUESTIONS for June 29, 2022 OGIS Annual FOIA Meeting v2
37.	Response to Hon. David S. Ferriero June 10 2021 Comments to FOIA Advisory Committee
38.	Sample FOIA Template to Combat Agency Misconduct 20220616
39.	Senator Grassley - DOJ OIP'S Position Doesn't Pass the "Common Sense Test"
40.	Senators Unite to Slam FOIA Compliance + POGO
41.	Subpoena Threat and Congressional Demand for OGIS to Release Records. OMB Kills Recommendations
42.	Sued into Oblivion. Foreseeable Harm Standard
43.	Violations of the ADA in FOIA Redactions, Simple Solution