Commission Meeting

Senate - Live-In
Greblicka - Lots of people at the Post

Discussion on referral - to IGs

Discussion of access to records
Hamilton - as open as possible.
Richard B-V - should be open soon, as we are advocating declassification.

GC - We originally advocated 5 years. Understanding, it is untenable.

PE - My concern: these will be stolen. Time MFRs available, some not.

PE - Anything faster than 5 years - you are still part of the news cycle.
Tom Keen - 5 years may be too long.
At least a year or two.

Some e-mails written in haste.

Greblicka - If I had appreciated that e-mails were part of the records.

Lehman - 12 years for Lehman.

5 is too short. More like 10 years.

Rosen - I don't want to have to say that I am opposing.

Date past two full Congressional cycles.

[Signature]

January 2, 2009
GC - Recommends minimal deliberative process held in 5 years

GC - "We are told we can put whatever conditions we want in the records."
PZ - Opposes deleting 'that material'.

Who wants the documents out?

- Press
- Public
- Law

Memorandum not subject to FOIA.

Jamie - I would split in two.

We were very frank with each other.

We will be staggered around the axel.

Deliberative material 1/2/98 (inc. minutes)

Via chain absent

BV - Is the theory here the great cover-up of partisan differences?

Roma, B-V, Hamilton opposed, but 6 in favor (Honey absent).

Fielding - asked PZ's view

PZ - In the context of historians, 5 years isn't very long.

Thompson - recommend 5 years across the board (Jan. 2, 2005)

Motion - 6 in favor, 3 opposed.

John Lehman // suggested