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The Historical Review Program [HRP] of CIA’s Information Management Services is responsible for executing the Agency’s Historical Review Program. This program seeks to identify and declassify collections of documents that detail the Agency’s analysis and activities relating to historically significant topics and events. HRP’s goals include increasing the usability and accessibility of historical collections. HRP also develops release events and partnerships to highlight each collection and make it available to the broadest audience possible.

The mission of the Historical Collections is to:

- Promote an accurate, objective understanding of the information and intelligence that has helped shape major US foreign policy decisions.
- Broaden access to lessons-learned, presenting historical material that gives greater understanding to the scope and context of past actions.
- Improve current decision-making and analysis by facilitating reflection on the impacts and effects arising from past foreign policy decisions.
- Showcase CIA’s contributions to national security and provide the American public with valuable insight into the workings of its government.
- Demonstrate the CIA’s commitment to the Open Government Initiative and its three core values: Transparency, Participation, and Collaboration.

National Archives and Records Administration

As the nation’s record keeper, it is our vision that all Americans will understand the vital role records play in a democracy, and their own personal stake in the National Archives. Our holdings and diverse programs will be available to more people than ever before through modern technology and dynamic partnerships. The stories of our nation and our people are told in the records and artifacts cared for in NARA facilities around the country. We want all Americans to be inspired to explore the records of their country.

The National Archives and Records Administration serves American democracy by safeguarding and preserving the records of our Government, ensuring that the people can discover, use, and learn from this documentary heritage. We ensure continuing access to the essential documentation of the rights of American citizens and the actions of their government. We support democracy, promote civic education, and facilitate historical understanding of our national experience.

National Declassification Center

The mission of the National Declassification Center (NDC) at the National Archives is to align people, processes, and technologies to advance the declassification and public release of historically valuable permanent records while maintaining national security. Located at the National Archives Building in College Park, MD, the Center was created as part of Executive Order #13526. It aims to be the world’s preeminent declassification organization, responsive to all customers, committed to the free flow of information and the requirements of national security. Its current focus is:

- timely and appropriate processing of referrals between agencies for accessioned Federal records and transferred Presidential Records;
- general interagency declassification activities necessary to fulfill the requirements of sections 3.3 and 3.4 of the executive order;
- the exchange among agencies of detailed declassification guidance to support equity recognition;
- the development of effective, transparent, and standard declassification work processes, training, and quality assurance measures;
- the development of solutions to declassification challenges posed by electronic records, special media, and emerging technologies;
- the linkage and effective utilization of existing agency databases and the use of new technologies to support declassification activities under the purview of the Center.
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#### TIMELINE OF MAJOR EVENTS 1961-1987

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22 Feb 1962</td>
<td>Robert F. Kennedy visits West Berlin and places a wreath on the Berlinerstrasse sidewalk below the 3rd floor apartment of Ida Sieckmann.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Aug 1962</td>
<td>18-year-old student Peter Fichter shot and mortally wounded attempting to escape over the Wall to West Berlin, near Checkpoint Charlie.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Aug 1961</td>
<td>LBJ Visits Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Jun 1965</td>
<td>President Kennedy views the walled-in Brandenburg Gate with GDR flags blocking the East Berliners from seeing the President. The propaganda sign, in English, is addressed to the President.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Jan 1964*</td>
<td>US F-106 shot down in Soviet Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Mar 1964*</td>
<td>Crashed RB-66 crew members released by East Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12–14 Sep 1964</td>
<td>Martin Luther King, Jr. visits Berlin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Oct 1964</td>
<td>Khrushchev overthrown and replaced by Brezhnev and Kosygin</td>
</tr>
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In 2011, the National Declassification Center of the National Archives and Records Administration and the Historical Collections Division of the Central Intelligence Agency marked the 50th anniversary of the political crisis that resulted in the erection of the Berlin Wall that divided the German city for 28 years. In 2013, the National Archives and the Central Intelligence Agency are again collaborating, this time to tell the story of the people of East Berlin and their struggle for freedom. We have gathered on the DVD just under 2,400 newly declassified documents on various topics and activities on Berlin from 1962-1986. An additional 2,100 documents are available at the National Archives at College Park. The publication covers the period between two of the most famous speeches by the visiting American Presidents Kennedy and Reagan. With his iconic speech on June 26, 1963, President John F. Kennedy united the citizens of Berlin with the United States by his statement that “Ich bin ein Berliner,” he connected with the citizens of both East and West. Shortly after President Kennedy’s death in November 1963, the square where he had made his famous speech was renamed the John F. Kennedy Platz. A street in Berlin was named in honor of Dr. King after his assassination in 1968. Our other short vignettes contained within A City Divided; detailed the creative uses of balloons, “The Use of Balloons in the Cold War,” the politics of the time, “Espionage and US Policy,” and the risks of “Escapists...” during the exfiltration of German citizens to the West. In “Getting Classified – United States Military Liaison Mission Incidents,” military liaisons on each side played antagonizing cat and mouse games, to gain short-term advantage over the other. “Convoys! Allied Access to Berlin,” details the harassment of American forces traveling the corridor between Helmstedt-Marienborn and the Berlin suburb of Dreilinden by East German and Soviet soldiers. As described in “The Berlin Wall,” the East strengthened the original Wall to a permanent structure, tightening its hold with bunkers, towers, death strips, lights, and concrete and further restricting the freedom and movement of its people. The period had its lighter moments too, and “The Spy Camera and Deface” shows these less intense discussions between the two powers. These are only a sample of the newly declassified and released documents. The higher and stronger the wall, so grew the desire for freedom by those in the East. The documents fill in the rest of the story.

HIGHLIGHTS OF A CITY DIVIDED: LIFE AND DEATH IN THE SHADOW OF THE WALL

The newly released documents in this publication showcase the struggle for freedom from 1962 through 1988. “Flight to the West” is a photo essay commissioned by the NATO public relations office during the beginning of the crises that was to chronicle the events of 1961 before the Berlin Wall was fully established. NATO never published the essay and accompanying photos, which are published for the first time in our publication. Although we have edited the essay for inclusion here, the full version is available on the DVD. The photo essay captures a very tense period during the crises.

Highighted in two vignettes, “Ich Bin ein Berliner” and “...there is no East, no West... Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Visits Cold War Berlin,” American visionary President Kennedy and Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. visited Berlin and spoke before huge excited crowds. Neither man would live to see his vision realized. When President Kennedy united the citizens of Berlin with his statement that “Ich bin ein Berliner,” he connected with the citizens of both East and West. Shortly after President Kennedy’s death in November 1963, the square where he had made his famous speech was renamed the John F. Kennedy Platz. A street in Berlin was named in honor of Dr. King after his assassination in 1968. Our other short vignettes contained within A City Divided; detailed the creative uses of balloons, “The Use of Balloons in the Cold War,” the politics of the time, “Espionage and US Policy,” and the risks of “Escapists...” during the exfiltration of German citizens to the West. In “Getting Classified – United States Military Liaison Mission Incidents,” military liaisons on each side played antagonizing cat and mouse games, to gain short-term advantage over the other. “Convoys! Allied Access to Berlin,” details the harassment of American forces traveling the corridor between Helmstedt-Marienborn and the Berlin suburb of Dreilinden by East German and Soviet soldiers. As described in “The Berlin Wall,” the East strengthened the original Wall to a permanent structure, tightening its hold with bunkers, towers, death strips, lights, and concrete and further restricting the freedom and movement of its people. The period had its lighter moments too, and “The Spy Camera and Deface” shows these less intense discussions between the two powers. These are only a sample of the newly declassified and released documents. The higher and stronger the wall, so grew the desire for freedom by those in the East. The documents fill in the rest of the story.

Since 1995, the US government has reviewed 1.4 billion pages of classified information, exempting millions of pages that original classification authorities believe need further protection. On the occasion of our City Divided Symposium, the NDC will release over 5,500 documents and over 14,800 pages of material.

The release of these newly declassified documents provides a detailed snapshot of life and death in the shadow of the Wall, and furthers our understanding of critical events in the life of those living during the Cold War. The Berlin Wall would stand for another 28 years before the people of East Germany would peacefully rise up and regain their freedom.

“Compulsory military service is an honorable duty. The protection of the Socialist Fatherland is the most righteous thing of the world.”
Sunset – August 12, 1961: The combined British, French and American sectors of Berlin were surrounded by 155 km of fortifications on their north, south and west sides and mirrored the defenses emplaced by the German Democratic Republic (GDR) along the inner German border. Only along the relatively “open” border between the Soviet and Allied Sectors, could Berliners go to and from East and West for work, family or pleasure.

Dawn – August 13, 1961: Berliners awoke to a new set of fortifications: the barbed wire and guards that the East Germans used to barricade the border between the Soviet and Allied sectors. Now, East and West Berlin were two separate cities. At this point in history, West Berlin evolved into a symbol of freedom—an island representing choice and self-determination in a terrain of suppression. What the Berlin Wall was and why it was constructed are two key points to understanding, this iconic symbol of the Cold War.

The Berlin Wall closed the last, easily accessible route that East Germans had for emigration to the West. (Figure 1) The GDR had lost over three million citizens, roughly 20% of its population, since 1952. These were GDR’s “best and brightest” usually well educated and skilled workers that were deemed the economic foundation of the socialist state. This brain drain led Walter Ulbricht, leader of East Germany, to push Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev for a resolution to the status of Berlin and an end to mass emigration. Though actually built to control the outward movement of East Germans, the wall was promoted inside the GDR as an “anti-fascism wall,” designed to keep what were referred to as Western fascists out of East Germany.

The structure was built just inside the Soviet occupied sector border, technically outside the control of the Allied occupying powers. The Wall was first made of barbed wire strung between posts with VOPOs (Volkspolizei - East German Police) or GDR soldiers standing guard. Within weeks of the first simple barricades going up, there was a concerted effort to build guard towers and replace barbed wire fences with concrete slab walls. People were forcibly moved from buildings that were along the border and could provide escape routes to the West. Some buildings were demolished to expand the “no-man’s land” along the route of the Wall. Along Bernauerstrasse, complete apartment blocks were destroyed.

Ultimately, the Wall and its accompanying “death strip” surrounding West Berlin consisted of a wire fence, raked sand or gravel, more than 40,000 reinforced concrete slabs topped with smooth surfaced pipe, flood lights, reinforced wire fence/mesh, trip wires and signal fences, concertina wire, anti-vehicle trenches, tank traps and guard dogs leashed onto wire runs. By the end of the 1960s, there were approximately 110 watchtowers and 20 bunkers. The “Death Zone” around West Berlin mimicked the Inner-German border, but without the mines and spring guns that were an added feature of the inner-border defense system. It was said that air travelers could tell when they approached West Berlin at night — there would be a ring of light formed by the floodlights illuminating the Wall’s route — a counterpoint to the darkened landscape of East Germany.

Throughout the collections, there are descriptions of the Wall, the Allied responses to its existence and its impact on the lives of both East and West Berliners.
Figure 2.
Translation of the Wall Legend.
this right, however, can be limited by law. Travel to West for visiting purposes is also severely restricted. Attempts at unauthorized exit (Republikflucht) are subject to severe penalties. Moreover, GDR's western borders are equipped with intricate system of barriers, including mine fields and automatic shooting devices, and border guards have standing orders to fire at would-be escapees. Most recent shooting incident occurred at Berlin Wall, in which escapee was killed (Ref 8).

2. No GDR emigration laws or regulations have been made public but presumably such exist in unpublished form toprovide "socialist legality" and guidance to GDR officials handling emigration requests.

3. GDR pensioners, unproductive element which regime evidently regards as economic burden and therefore expendable, are only category of citizens exempted from otherwise virtually total ban on private travel to West. They are allowed one or more trips totaling up to 30 days a year. country Some are also permitted to leave permanently, and some simply fail to return. In context of FRG-GDR Basic Treaty, GDR agreed to certain measures for reunification of families; assessment of implementation will be possible only after that treaty enters into force.

4. According West German figures, 11,627 GDR residents, almost without exception pensioners, legally emigrated to FRG/West Berlin in 1972. Further 5,537 left GDR illegally, of whom 1,245 in circumstances dangerous to their lives.
Attempts to escape from East Berlin began as soon as the Wall was built. At first, it was not too difficult; the concertina-wire barriers and mortared concrete block walls that were thrown up by the East German troops were slap-dash and full of holes. East Berliners found ways to go over, under or wriggle through the Wall. Sewer lines or subway tunnels provided ready access. Attempts were made to swim across the River Spree and, in places like Bernauer Straße, where apartment buildings abutted right on to the dividing line between East and West, people could drop down into, or jump into, the Western half of the city. West Berliners helped and the Fire Department tried to catch would-be escapees who jumped from upper-story windows. Some smashed through the traffic barriers in cars or trucks or simply legged it as fast as they could through the checkpoints. It was always dangerous, but the guards could be taken by surprise, or they might hesitate before shooting, or pity might stay their hands. Maybe.

This did not last. The East German regime, confronted with the reckless attempts of their citizens to flee, quickly began to improve the barrier and to plug the holes through which they were escaping to the West. Gratings were installed in sewer lines, subway tunnels were sealed or blocked, windows looking out on West Berlin were bricked up; anything offering cover near the border was removed. The Wall gradually became a fortified zone, with guard towers, spotlights, dogs and a “death strip” swept by machine guns and filled with antipersonnel mines. At some point, the infamous Schießbefehl (Order to Shoot) was issued. Although not given written form until 1982, this secret order was implicit in the regulations issued to the border guards, which criminalized escapes and made preventing escape into the West an “absolute priority.” Guards were further enjoined to use every means at their disposal to prevent unauthorized border crossings. The fatal consequences of the border regime were made manifest on 24 August 1961, when 24-year-old Ginter Litfin was shot dead trying to swim across the River Spree, the first of some 90 East Germans to be killed while trying to escape to the West. Under these circumstances, tunneling quickly emerged as the most viable means of escaping to the West. It was not completely safe—the East German border guards were on the lookout for tunnels and were not afraid to use violent means—including explosives—to shut them down. But, with caution and luck, a single tunnel could be a path to freedom for dozens of people before it was discovered.

A total of 70 tunnels are known to have been dug, of which 19 are believed to have been successful. The first known tunnel was completed in October, 1961. Leading into Zehlendorf, at the south edge of the city, in the American sector, this tunnel was dug from East Berlin into the West: unusually so, for it was considered less dangerous to dig from west to east and most tunnels took that direction. Between five and 14 East Berliners escaped through this first tunnel, the vanguard of at least 209 East Germans who crawled their way into West Berlin and freedom.\(^2\)

Tunnels were attempted throughout most of the Cold War, but about half of the known tunnels were attempted in 1962. The year also saw the widespread appearance of Fluchthelfer, groups of “escape helpers” who worked with East Berliners to arrange escape attempts. Most were volunteers, students from one of West Berlin’s two universities—the Free University and the Technische Universität. Some worked for pay, some were con artists and a few were agents of the East German Ministerium für Staatssicherheit—the Stasi. They were invaluable for tunneling operations, which were intrinsically labor intensive and required organization, planning and considerable logistical support. The first tunnel project known to have involved Fluchthelfer was begun in September 1961. Digging from a rail freight yard in Schöneholz, the tunnel emerged on the eastern side in the Pankow Municipal Cemetery. Between 20 and 100 people used it to escape before it was uncovered by the East German police in December—making it possibly the most successful tunnel ever.1

The appearance of Fluchthelfer highlighted what was perhaps the biggest problem in any tunneling attempt, that of security. Tunnels had to be dug by the more workers who could be brought in, the quicker a project could be completed. But the more people who were involved in any undertaking involving East Germany—even legitimate ones—the more likely it was to be compromised to the police. A successful group of Fluchthelfer offered some reassurance in that regard—since, if they were successful, they were unlikely to have been penetrated by the Stasi. But, the fact of their success made them more likely to be targets of the East German security services. Success in any tunneling operation meant, first and foremost, resolving security services problems. Both tunnel projects quickly experienced money problems. Both sought out an interesting source of funds for materials and equipment: American television.

From the beginning, the Kießholzstraße tunnel was the more problematic. Security was chaotic—tunnel diggers and would-be escapees were recruited by word of mouth and the need for volunteers was widely broadcast in university circles in West Berlin, which meant that it was only a matter of time before the Stasi learned of the tunnel’s existence. Reportedly, it was the local branch of the West German security service which brought the Girmann Group into the project, in an effort to establish some security discipline.2 Nevertheless, it was with some alarm that the US Mission Berlin learned from old Berlin hand James P. O’Donnell—for a long time Clay’s staff—that the diggers had made contact with CBS News Correspondent Daniel Schorr, with an offer of exclusive rights to the story and the opportunity to film the whole escape attempt.3 Citing the “adverse effect on our relations with the German press and Berlin city officials,” the Mission applied pressure, first to O’Donnell and then to Schorr, in an effort to dissuade them, eventually bringing pressure on the Head of CBS News from the Secretary of State.

Fortunately for Schorr, they were successful. On 7 August 1962, as truck loads of would be escapees converged on the Eastern terminus at the corner of Kießholzstraße and Puderstraße, the East German guards moved in. Fortunately, look-outs from the Girmann Group saw what was coming. At the last minute, the diggers—including Herschel, Pfeifer and Rudolph—were able to escape back down the tunnel. But, the East Germans arrested 37 East Berliners waiting to go down the tunnel that night and, after interrogations, another 52 participants in the project. Since Schorr was not there, a major diplomatic incident was avoided, while Schorr himself had escaped possible arrest and imprisonment.4

Ironically, it was the Girmann Group that had compromised the tunnel. At the end of March 1962, the Stasi had placed an informant (Unfischliche Mitarbeiter), codenamed, “Hardy,” in the group. Hardy was assigned to the Kießholzstraße tunnel, working as a courier. He blew the tunnel to the Stasi, who simply laid a trap, waiting until the tunnel was finished to gather as many as possible into the net.5

The Bernauer Straße tunnel was a much more closely-held affair. Luigi Spina and Domenico Sesta, who were really the driving force behind the project, spent much time reconsidering likely sites, trying to balance accessibility, ease of construction and security. The site they finally chose was unusual. The western terminus of the tunnel from which (as was typical) the digging began, was in the basement of an abandoned swizzle-stick factory on the western side of Bernauer Straße. The eastern terminus was the basement of a deserted apartment building on Schöneholzer Straße—ironically, vacated by the East German police because it was too close to the Wall and might be used for escape attempts. It was to be a longish tunnel—140 yards—and would require the engineers from the Technische Universität to make full use of their skills. The result was the most sophisticated effort thus far, employing extensive internal structure to reinforce the tunnel. Electric lighting, ventilation, a miniature electric rail line to retrieve the excavated dirt and a pumping system to prevent flooding. All of which they would need.6

Work on “Tunnel 29” began in March 1962.7 Almost immediately, it became apparent why other tunnel projects had paid more attention to soil conditions and less to security. Fifteen feet down, they were secure against East German sensors and below the cable and pipes of the Berlin substrate, but well inside the shallow Berlin water table. The soil was sandy and, what was worse, requiring consider support to keep the tunnel from collapsing. Even though the tunnel was only three

---

1Arnold, Dietmar and Son Felix Kollerhoff, Die Fluchtröhren von Berlin (Berlin: Propyläen, 2000), pp. 279-88. Because of the secrecy implicit and necessary in these dangerous enterprises, one information is incomplete. Probably more tunnels were attempted and failed, most likely because they were abandoned before much progress was made. Some others may have been discovered by the East German authorities, some may even have been successful.

2Ibid., p. 279.


4Ibid.

5National Archives and Records Administration RG 84 Entry 256 Box 36, Memorandum for the Record, 3 August 1962.

6Daniel Schorr, “The CBS Tunnel Documentary That Never Was,” Newseum, 12 June 2012 (Interview on YouTube). Schorr remained bitter about the whole affair until the end of his days.

7Neofs and Dollmann, op. cit., p. 20.


9This, like other tunnels, become known for the number of people who successful used it to escape.

A CITY DIVIDED LIFE & DEATH IN THE SHADOW OF THE WALL
feet by three feet, it eventually consumed some 20 tons of lumber, while it was necessary for pumps to be running constantly, taking out 8,000 gallons of water a week. Like all tunnel projects, this one was on a shoe-string budget, living off donations begged from local political groups, anti-communist groups and newspapers. These funds ran out before the tunnel was one-quarter done. More money had to be found.

Fortunately, the word was out that NBC Berlin Correspondent Piers Anderton was looking for a tunnel. Spina approached Anderton and presented him with a demand for $50,000—which Anderton bargained down to $12,000. Anderton had virtual carte blanche from the head of NBC News, Reuven Frank, who was determined to produce a tunnel documentary and was equally determined that the tunnel be a successful one. Frank was willing to keep the tunnel builders on a long-lead and kept Anderton and his cameramen away from the tunnel until it was time to film the escape. Knowledge of the tunnel was strictly on a “need to know” basis at NBC. Frank himself never saw the tunnel, and only drove by the swizzle-stick factory once, the night of the escape.

This coalesced with the innate caution demonstrated by Spina and Sesta. The group directly involved in the tunnel was kept small—initially only eight and never more than 21. University affiliation also may have been a factor. Drawing from the Technische Universität, they had a different labor pool than other groups, which drew from the poets and scholars at the Freie Universität.

Then, too, if they did know of the tunnel, the Stasi may have been convinced that it was doomed. Taking seven months to dig, the tunnel was constantly threatened by flooding. Work had to be suspended in June, when a nearby water main broke and then again in July, when the tunnel was inundated by 40,000 gallons of water.

It was during this period that Herschel, Pfeifer and Rudolph went to work on the Kiefholzstraße tunnel. If they let it be known that the Bernauer Straße tunnel was abandoned, they may well have saved the operation.

But then, finally, it was finished. A race against flood waters to the end, on 14 September the eastern terminus was opened. Over that night and the following one; 29 East Berliners made their way to freedom. At the last they were up to their faces in water—a terrifying experience, 15 feet underground. Late on the fifteenth a final flood closed the tunnel forever.

The NBC camera men were on hand for the escapes. The documentary was kept secret until it was ready to be shown, on 31 October. A mix of actual tunnel footage and later reenactments by the participants, it employed no interviews, letting the footage and Anderton’s spare narrative speak for themselves.

The State Department did not become aware of the film until October. With the Cuban missile crisis underway, they acted to delay or prevent its being shown on television. The government of West Berlin also was alarmed. NBC deferred to the “National Interest,” but eventually broadcast the documentary in December.

It stands as a masterpiece of Cold War journalism.

---
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"ICH BIN EIN BERLINER"

MONICA OYOLA-COEUR
NATIONAL DECLASSIFICATION CENTER

President John F. Kennedy's iconic statement delivered in his speech to the citizens of West Berlin during his eight-hour visit on June 26, 1963 became a symbol of the friendship that was forged between the Berliners and the Americans during the blockade and the support for American troops in Berlin's US Sector. In a memorandum dated July 4, 1963, Robert H. Lochner of the USIS Radio in the American Sector (RIAS) reports to Mr. A. R. Day of the US Mission in Berlin that the visit by the President of the United States "ranks equally with the American inauguration of the Air Lift 15 years earlier," and asserts that these were the two most important events that had happened in Berlin since the end of World War II.

Berliners received the President with affection and gratitude, and according to RIAS analysts these expressions of honor, faith and confidence inspired his "Ich bin ein Berliner" assertion. A telegram to the Secretary of State dated June 27, 1963 describes the Berlin reception as unprecedented: a record crowd of approximately 1.5 million lining the entire 35 mile presidential route (Figure 1). This telegram also discusses the long-term commitment to US-Berlin relations set forth by State Department foreign policy two months prior to the presidential visit, and clearly stated by President Kennedy to the people of Berlin.

Figure 1.
An estimated one-and-a-half million Berliners line the 50-Kilometer route taken by the President through the city. Here crowds wave greetings on the way to the airport.

Figure 2.
State Department telegram from US Mission Berlin dated June 25, 1963 regarding smuggling of bouquet into West Berlin for President Kennedy.
These demonstrations of affection and gratitude to the US President and his message of freedom were not contained by the 12 ft. high barb-wired wall. A recently declassified document confirms that East Berliners were likewise uplifted by the visit of the American President to Berlin and they wanted President Kennedy to know. In a telegram dated June 26, 1963, Mr. Calhoun of the US Mission in Berlin informs the Secretary of State of the intention of East German construction workers to smuggle a bouquet to be presented to President Kennedy on occasion of his address to the Labor Unions Congress, taking place in West Berlin in conjunction with the President’s visit. (Figure 2) Georg Leber, Chairman of the Construction Workers Union and one of the labor convention leaders, submitted the request to the US Mission hoping that the President would accept the bouquet. The request was hence submitted to the Secret Service and the smuggled bouquet got its “good to go” with no security. In contrast to the overwhelmingly warm reception afforded to President Kennedy on June 26, Soviet Premier Khrushchev’s visit to East Berlin two days later did not seem to draw much response and enthusiasm from the East Berliners. It was reported that only a small crowd gathered along the route that Khrushchev and East Germany’s leader Ulbricht followed on June 28. (Figure 3)
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The division of Germany and the concurrent division of Berlin created an outpost of freedom deep within East Germany. West Berlin was an island where life hinged on the air corridors and land transit routes crossing the territory controlled by the Soviets and East Germans. Everyone who traveled to or from Berlin and everything produced or used in Berlin—from coal to soup—arrived or left the city at the forbearance of the Soviet-bloc authorities—who were extremely aware of West Berlin’s isolation. The Western Allies refused to yield any iota of the treaty-defined rights that guaranteed their presence in and access to Berlin. The result was an endless series of confrontation on almost a daily basis as each side tested the resolve or tolerance of the other. Although these confrontations usually stayed at a low level of hostility, they could escalate—especially when dictated by Soviet policy. The most infamous example of this type of escalation was the blockade of 1948-49.

Access by air was the easiest, since it was guaranteed by treaties hammered out during and after the war. For safety’s sake, West Berlin had a functioning air-traffic control system that was accepted by both sides. On the other hand, there was the occasional air-opportunistic harassment by Soviet or East German fighters—often with hair-raising results. All in all, the air access system generally functioned without conspicuous interruption.
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the stopping of convoys was a continuing plan of small harassments that reminded the Allies about the Berlin issue and the greater issue concerning the two Germanys. It also was a way to pressure the Allies into abandoning West Berlin, and would leave the East Germans in full control of the city and allow the Soviets to score a major propaganda coup in the Cold War.

A military convoy on the move.

Figure 1. State Department telegram dated October 13, 1963 with a chronology of events of the autobahn incident of October 9-10. (2 pages)
In 1964, the city of Berlin was divided between East and West Berliners, much like the United States was segregated by black and white Americans. If anyone knew and understood the political and social ramifications of such divisions and discriminations, that person would be African American Baptist minister, nonviolent civil rights activist, and leader, Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. At the invitation of Willy Brandt, Mayor of West Berlin, Dr. King traveled to Cold War Berlin in September 1964 to speak at the 14th annual cultural festival. In one and a half days, Dr. King spoke and toured the city of Berlin “which [stood] as a symbol of the divisions of men on the face of the earth,” on the principles of unity and faith: “we are all one in Christ Jesus and that faith overcomes all man-made barriers.”

Following Dr. King’s signing of the Golden Book at Berlin City Hall (Figure 1), he opened the cultural festival at the Berlin Philharmonic Hall with a memorial service to late President John F. Kennedy, who visited the city the year before he was assassinated. In his eulogy, Dr. King emphasized “Kennedy’s devotion to human rights throughout the world and specifically to civil rights issues at home.” Later that afternoon Dr. King delivered a sermon before a crowd of 20,000 West Berliners in Waldbühne amphitheater on the occasion of “Tag der Kirche” (Day of the Church). After his sermon, Dr. King learned that an East Berliner had been shot when he attempted to escape to West Berlin. Immediately, he insisted to be taken to the Berlin Wall where the shootout had taken place.
between the U.S. soldiers and East German border guards. (Figures 2 & 3)

In his pursuit to promote the spirit of brotherhood, he also wanted to visit East Berlin, as he believed that “we are all one in Christ Jesus, for in Christ there is no East, no West, no North, no South, but one great fellowship of love throughout the whole, wide world.” Later that evening, without a passport, he surprisingly managed to cross at Checkpoint Charlie, the border crossing point between West and East Berlin, into East Berlin with his American Express card as his form of identification. While there, Dr. King spoke at a church service at the Marienkirche (St. Mary’s Church), where he preached essentially the same sermon he gave earlier that day in West Berlin to 2,000 standing-room-only East Berliners.

“My dear Christian friends of East Berlin,” Dr. King began as he spoke eloquently of “his spiritual message of brotherhood” as the city of Berlin symbolized a “divided humanity.” Identifying faith as a means to reconcile and not divide the people, regardless of the “man-made barrier” of the Berlin Wall, he stated, “…this city, which stands as a symbol of the divisions of men on the face of the earth. For here on either side of the wall are God’s children, and no man-made barrier can obliterate that fact.” He also called attention to African American’s fight for civil rights in the United States, “As you know, there is a great social revolution taking place in the United States of America, and it is the struggle to free some twenty million Negroes from the long night of segregation and discrimination.”

The congregation was so moved by his sermon’s emphasis on the similarities of the faith, struggles, and sufferings of African Americans in the U.S. to their own, that some wept openly. Since the church was filled to capacity, the overflow crowd was sent to the nearby Sophienkirche (Sophia Church), and Dr. King ended up making a second, last minute appearance there. Before returning to West Berlin, Dr. King also took the time to speak with students from Humboldt University and church officials at the Hospice Albrecht.

Dr. King’s visit to Cold War Berlin (Figure 4) and his message of brotherhood, peace, faith, and civil rights for all of humanity, brought hope to both West and East Berliners of a possible peaceful social revolution. Dr. King argued that a “common humanity, common history, common calling, and common hope for the salvation of the world” binds together people in the divided city of Berlin and also in the segregated America, “regardless of the barriers of race, creed, ideology, or nationality.”

---
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Relations with the Soviet Union during the administrations of Presidents Richard Nixon and Gerald R. Ford are collectively known as a period of "détente." This period was characterized by a number of summit meetings and important agreements between the two nations.

It is important to note that détente did not mean that the Soviet Union and the U.S. suddenly became allies; rather, they did not actively seek conflict with one another. During both the Nixon and Ford administrations, Henry Kissinger, first as National Security Advisor and then as Secretary of State, met weekly with the Soviet ambassador. The frequent meetings were intended as a way to bypass the bureaucracy and create an avenue for the President to exercise more control. Although the decision to bypass standard procedures led to additional complications at times, this period marked a series of agreements between the two states.

When Vice President Gerald R. Ford assumed the Presidency in August of 1974, he reassured the Soviets both publicly and privately that he planned to continue many of Nixon's policies, including various treaties and the policy of détente.

In March of 1975, the Soviets filed a formal protest with Secretary Kissinger regarding a Nikon camera that fell from a foreign plane circling over the German Democratic Republic. This demarche illustrates the changing nature of the relationship between the Soviet Union and the U.S. As written on the bottom right corner, the memo was hand delivered by Soviet Ambassador Anatoly Dobrynin to Kissinger. This delivery indicates this was a matter to be kept between Ambassador Dobrynin and Secretary Kissinger.

The tone in the memo is warning, but not hostile. The Soviet Union demands no explanation, no public investigation. Instead the Soviet Union allows the U.S. to handle the matter internally with no interference.

The rather neutral phrase, "[t]he Soviet side expects that the US military authorities will take all necessary measures to rule out the repetition of such cases in the future" is used. This allows the U.S. to claim that they have appropriately rebuked those responsible, while making no references to specific individuals or punishments.

The Soviets also did not publicize their acquisition of the Nikon camera. This contrasts with the confrontation that took place 15 years prior when the Soviet Union shot down a U-2 spy plane over Soviet airspace and captured the pilot, Gary Powers. Two weeks after the U-2 incident, the Soviet Union, U.S., Great Britain, and France were scheduled to have a summit in Paris to discuss among other concerns Berlin and disarmament. Premier Khrushchev refused to continue the


2 Meeting with Foreign Minister Gromyko, September 20, 1974 11:00 am, Kissinger-Scowcroft West Wing Office File: USSR-Gromyko File, Box 35, National Security Advisor, Kissinger-Scowcroft West Wing Office Files, Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library and Museum.

Exfiltration, the clandestine escape of persons from an enemy-controlled area, was a prime motivation for the building of the Berlin Wall, continuing in Berlin long after the Wall’s construction. The Department of State “reacted forcefully” against both U.S. private civilian and military personnel involvement in exfiltration, as is evident in a November 23, 1965, memorandum from Minister John A. Calhoun of the U.S. Mission Berlin (USBER) to Major General John F. Franklin Jr., U.S. Commander, Berlin, declassified as part of this project. (Figure 1) The memorandum mentions a “regular program of measures” to prevent the involvement of members of the armed forces, but provides more detail with regard to the Department of State’s reaction to private civilian attempts to aid escapes, which could take the form of an official warning from USBER or an attempt by State to enlist the civilian’s parents in attempts to aid escapees, which could take the form of an official warning from USBER, or an attempt by State to enlist the civilian’s parents in deterring involvement. Calhoun felt that successful deterrence rested upon the accurate assessment of the potential American citizens’ intentions. He asked Gen. Franklin for “a more systematic approach to the problem of obtaining information” via surveillance programs already in place, in order to find evidence of unofficial American involvement in exfiltration in the hopes of discouraging it.

The obvious benefits realized by the West in increasing the rate of successful exfiltration of East Berliners, however, required a more complex policy. Two separate briefings were provided to military personnel serving in Berlin in May 1975: one for enlisted personnel, discouraging U.S. involvement entirely; and one for officers, suggesting a program more tailored to the minimization of impact to U.S. military personnel in exfiltration incidents not discovered by the Soviets. The contrast between these two briefings corroborates a continuing policy of toleration—but not at the expense either of public safety or of free American access to East Berlin.

Official U.S. vehicles, vehicle plates, and personnel uniforms were all implicated as tools used by East German refugees to escape to the West. This use of American “cover” to aid escapes caused concern over the ability of the Department of State to protect private U.S. citizens in West Berlin; officials feared that Soviet representatives would detain more of the U.S. and other Allied personnel and vehicles which entered East Berlin. The policy excerpt shown here (also Figure 1), from November 1965, expresses this concern, but contrasted with the “general desirability” of successful escape.

The concerns raised in the excerpt are laid out in more detail by a January 26, 1966 memo from the Department of State’s James Carson to John A. Calhoun in Berlin. The memorandum outlines actions that State Department officials should take in any of several situations should East German guards suspect that vehicles with U.S. license plates may be carrying refugees through the various checkpoints between East and West Berlin. For example, should a vehicle with a U.S. license plate be shown by U.S. checkpoint records not to have entered East Berlin at that check point, the official could presume that the plates were either stolen or forged, and that the vehicle’s occupants were either refugees or those assisting them. Alternatively, if a U.S. licensed vehicle known to have entered East Berlin should be detained upon its return, the State Department official should demand the presence of a Soviet officer—knowing that it was unlikely that this official would appear according to Soviet policy on their role in East
Germany—considerably hampering the possible actions of the East German checkpoint guards while pressuring the guards to allow the vehicle to proceed regardless of its occupants. The end game, said Carson, was to "not open ourselves to charges of having too easily handed over any refugees," but ultimately providing tacit assistance when possible.

During the Cold War period, there were many attempts by both governments and individual citizens in Western Europe, to disseminate propaganda into East Germany and Eastern Europe through the use of balloons of various types and sizes. State Department telegrams for the period November 1964 thru February 1965, document several such incidences. East German refugees seeking asylum in West Germany, also used hot air or gas balloons as a means of escape.

Radio Free Europe (RFE) and Radio Liberty (RL) conducted the largest Cold War propaganda campaign using balloons.

Figure 1.

Freedom or Death, March 1965; US Information Agency. Department of State
They launched an estimated 590,415 balloons from West Germany, along the border with Czechoslovakia, during the period July 1951 through November 1956. Each balloon was four feet in diameter, buoyed with hydrogen gas, and was loaded with approximately ten pounds of food and 5,000 leaflets with a message of friendship for citizens of Eastern Europe.

Several State Department telegrams also highlight efforts by individual citizens to deploy balloons for the purposes of disseminating propaganda. These telegrams also reveal the concern by West Berlin authorities that such propaganda efforts should be restricted as much as possible to prevent friction with both the East Berlin and East German authorities. The West Berlin Police closely monitored certain individuals known to have engaged in previous such activities. State Department telegram, Control #134, dated December 31, 1964, describes an incident involving several balloons deployed from West Berlin. These balloons are described as “…children’s toys which are equipped with primitive fuses that burned until contact was made with [the] skin of [the] balloons causing them to explode…” This explosion caused the propaganda material attached to the balloon to be distributed in mid-air. The report indicates that West Berlin police subsequently arrested one individual and questioned a second person in connection with the incident.

East German refugees seeking asylum in West Germany, in at least two instances also used hot air or gas balloons as a means of escape. Perhaps one of the most dramatic events of the Cold War was the successful night-time escape by the Strelzyk and Wetzel families, comprising four adults and four children, from East to West Germany, on September 16, 1979, in a homemade hot air balloon. Walt Disney Productions later produced the motion picture Night Crossing (1982) based on this story. Winfried Freudenberg, a resident of East Berlin, made one of the last major escape attempts from East Berlin prior to the fall of the Berlin Wall. He was killed when he fell from his homemade gas balloon, after it had successfully transited from East to West Berlin, on March 8, 1989.

Further examination of incident reported refel revealed that balloons were gas-filled but carried no explosives. They were in effect children’s toys equipped with primitive fuses that burned until contact made with skins of balloons causing them to explode. Propaganda carried included open letter to mother of Egon Schultz, East German border guard killed during October 5 tunnel escape. (Berlin’s 509 to Department)

Shortly after incident, West Berlin police arrested Wolfgang Fuhs and his wife. Fuhs is well-known, right-wing anti-Communist, who was principal organizer mass tunnel escape October 4 and 5. At request of Mayor Albertz, who feared negative public reaction, earlier order of US authorities for police to hold couple overnight was modified and they were released 1:05 hours December 31.

Police interrogated but did not hold Rainer Hildebrandt, equally
The Huebner-Malinin Agreement of 1947 allowed for the reciprocal deployment of military staff in the United States and Soviet zones of Germany. This was ostensibly to allow for monitoring of post-war Germany and the furthering of cordial relationships between the occupying forces. After the Cold War got going in earnest, the U.S. and Soviets used the Liaison missions to keep tabs on each other. Both sides (as well as Liaison Missions in the British and French zones) used their personnel as overt intelligence gathering units. Both sides were generally free to roam through their respective areas of accreditation, so long as they did not enter one of the many permanent or temporary restricted areas. The U.S. Military Liaison Mission staff (USMLM) were based in West Berlin, but generally started their “tours” of East Germany from Potsdam. For the U.S. staff, being caught and detained by either Soviet or German Democratic Republic (GDR) forces was known as getting “clobbered.” Getting clobbered was generally more of an inconvenience than anything else, but there was always the possibility of physical harm coming to both vehicles and staff members. “An average of 10-15 USMLM detentions/incidents occur annually, of which two or three may be considered serious. Considering over 600 tours are dispatched each year, the percentage of incidents could be much higher. Tour officers and NCOs are extremely well-trained and versed in all aspects of touring techniques; it is impossible, though, for all contingencies to be anticipated while operating in East Germany. Consequently, decisions as to the conduct of a tour in a given situation must be based on experience and judgement (sic); at no time, however, is the safety of USMLM tour or Soviet/East German personnel to be placed in jeopardy. Thus, a certain trade-off must be realized between aggressive intelligence collection and compromise of the tour (safety, discovery, etc.); prudent judgement (sic) from the tour officer is essential, with safety as the overriding concern.”

There were more than twenty serious incidents between 1975 and 1987. These included detentions of U.S. personnel by Soviet forces, ramming of vehicles, assaults and shootings. Side-swiping, ramming, or reversing trucks into USMLM vehicles was a fairly common tactic employed against American and Allied mission staff, and major injuries could result from such actions. One case on 13 March 1979 ended up with the tour vehicle rolling over twice and the tour officer being incapacitated for four weeks. In 1984, French Mission officer Philippe Mariotti was killed in a ramming incident with GDR troops. Some of the shootings were clearly simple warning shots, while some came very close to harming USMLM personnel. An incident in 1973 left a bullet hole in the boot of a USMLM driver, barely missing his foot. The most serious shooting incident resulted

---

Footnote: Memorandum from DCSI USMLM to Chief of Staff, 5 Jan 1982, MH1-2007-99-0001-0003, USMLM, box 99, United States Army Heritage and Education Center.
in the death of Major Arthur D. Nicholson on 24 March 1985. Maj. Nicholson was the last American casualty of the Cold War and the only USMLM tour officer to die in the course of his duty. (Figure 1)

Reports of all incidents were quite detailed and there was always a great deal of discussion as to the most appropriate U.S. response. There was always a desire to keep tensions with the Soviets to a minimum, while pointing out the eastern forces' responsibility for their actions. The Soviets offered an outright apology for the shooting incident of September 17, 1987 in which a USMLM driver was injured. Such incidents continued to occur until the Missions ended in 1990 just before German reunification.

Figure 1.
A USMLM driver's boot, showing how close he came to being hit by an East German bullet on October 28, 1973.

Figure 2.
Letter from General Michael S. Davison to General Ivanovski dated November 8, 1973 protesting the shooting incidents of 1973. (2 pages)

Dear General Ivanovski:

I recall that during my recent visit to your headquarters in September of this year, we reaffirmed the desirability of maintaining harmonious relations between our commands. We also agreed that our respective missions should be free to pursue their assigned tasks under the provisions of established agreements.

It is with regret that I must invite your attention to a series of three incidents within a period of 42 days which appear to be in direct violation of our understanding.

On 16 September 1973 a Soviet guard fired at a United States Military Liaison Mission vehicle near Wittstock. My Chief of Staff protested the incident and received the reply that the Soviet guard had acted "strictly according to regulations." I am sure your regulations do not direct sentries to shoot at obviously unarmed personnel.

On 21 October 1973 an East German guard fired two shots at a United States Military Liaison Mission vehicle in Guderfeld. Fortunately, neither the personnel nor the vehicles were hit in either of these two incidents.

At 0630 hours on 28 October 1973, an East German guard fired several bursts from his automatic weapon at a United States Military Liaison Mission vehicle within the city of Leipzig. One round hit the vehicle and traveled into the car striking the boot of the driver. Fortunately, the driver was not hurt. I have attached some photographs and am prepared to have the vehicle made available for your personal inspection should you desire this.
Such irresponsible acts cannot be condoned. The risks in terms of human life are obvious. The need to maintain good will between our commands is too vital to permit individual soldiers to act irresponsibly and use firearms against liaison personnel based upon that individual soldier’s interpretation of what are or are not the established rules of conduct for the military liaison missions. Every step possible has been taken to ensure that your liaison mission in Frankfurt has not been fixed at when they have been in violation of established rules. I am sure that you will take the appropriate steps and assure me that the same will be done for my mission.

I regret these incidents have served to mar the excellent relations between us and I am convinced the measures you will take, will serve to restore these relations to their proper, excellent level.

Respectfully,

MICHAEL S. DAVISON
General, USA
Commander in Chief

General of the Army Y. Ivanovski
Commander in Chief
Group of Soviet Forces, Germany

SECRET

Finally, in early October, Diepen attempted to get the Allies to delay their official response to the (unacceptable) FRG proposals. Communicating through Chancellor’s Chief Strook, he made a baldly political argument. The influx of draft dodgers, said Strook, was changing the composition of the Berlin electorate. This, he argued, was “not in the interests of any of us.”

In other words, Diepen and his colleagues were asking the Allies to enforce FRG conscription laws as a means of ridding Berlin presumably objectionable voters. It goes almost without saying that the Senate got nowhere with such arguments.


6.49. Shortly before 4 a.m. on 24 March 1985, a member of a US Military Liaison Mission patrol, Major Arthur D. Nicholson, Jr., was shot and killed by a Soviet soldier in a local training area of a Soviet tank regiment near Ludwigslust, a town in the GDR northwest of Berlin. Soviet behavior in the immediate aftermath of the shooting was nothing short of outrageous: roughly an hour passed before the Soviets rendered Major Nicholson medical help (by then far too late), and the first Soviet public announcements blaming Major Nicholson for provoking his own death by conducting intelligence operations on prohibited territory.

6.49. For a full history of the incident, the reader is referred to two classified studies: (a) to the 1986 USM Unit History, which has an excellent short treatment of the Nicholson shooting, and (b) to a comprehensive study conducted by the Center for Military History in Heidelberg. Since USM activities came under USAREUR, rather than USCSFR, auspices, this treatment will be limited to an outline of key events and outcomes.

6.74. Immediate Aftermath.

6.74. On 25 March the USM brought Major Nicholson’s body back to Berlin. A memorial service was held in the Berlin Barracks Chapel on 25 March; and on 30 March Major Nicholson was buried in Arlington Cemetery. Although the incident was not resolved by continued Soviet refusal to apologize. Nonetheless, the Department of State decided early on to pursue a damage-control policy. In particular, the Department worked against a retaliatory use of persons non-grata expulsions of Soviet MML personnel. Thus, the Department reasoned, might initiate a cycle of such expulsions that would in the end reduce US and Allied MMLs in Potsdam. The US policy of restraint was reflected in President Reagan’s comments at press breakfast on 26 March, where he characterized the killing as an “unnecessary tragedy.”


6.75. On 29 March 1985 the USM delivered to the Chief of Staff of the Group of Soviet Forces in Germany (GSFG), a strong but measured protest letter from USAREUR Commander in Chief General Glenn E. Otis to the GSFG Commander in Chief General Zaytsev. General Zaytsev’s reply arrived on 10 April. It was curious document. Most of it was...
THE WALL REMAINED…

DR. DONALD P. STEURY
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

The Cold War began and ended in Berlin. For 44 years the Western allies and the Soviet Union confronted each other across the sector borders that divided East from West Berlin. The Berlin Wall changed the nature of that confrontation, but it did not eliminate it. Throughout the Cold War, West Berlin remained a symbol of Allied determination not to surrender Europe to Soviet domination. Before the Wall, West Berlin was, additionally, a lifeline to the West. After the Wall was built, it was an island of freedom in a sea of oppression. The Wall itself was a symbol of tyranny; the machine guns, the dogs, the concertina wire were the tools of dictatorship. There was no more clear-cut expression of what the Cold War was about, than Berlin.

At the heart of the city, the Berlin Wall stood as a physical manifestation of the Iron Curtain. West of the Wall, Berliners reveled in the sense of a country of a new, democratic Germany. East of it they labored under the apparatus of a new dictatorship, still overshadowed by the ruins of the old. This dichotomy defined life in Berlin. The longer the Cold War lasted, the more concrete that was added to the Wall, the more it seemed that this dichotomy would endure.

Yet, permanent though it appeared, the Wall was impermanent. It was built, not as a demonstration of strength, but as a demonstration of weakness, to halt the flow of refugees who were fleeing to the West at the rate of 300,000 per year.1 Throughout the Cold War, it stood as a reminder that here was a regime that lacked the support of its own people and could survive only by wailing them in. It was obvious to all that, when the Wall fell, so, too, would the East German regime.

It was also obvious that the fate of East Germany was forever tied to that of the Soviet Union. Despite the formidable nature of the Wall’s defenses, despite the fact that it was East German guards who patrolled it day and night, there was never any doubt that the Wall was built with the support of Moscow. It and the regime that had built it would vanish as soon as that support was taken away.

No one thought that this would be soon. East Germany was an armed camp, groaning under the weight of tanks, troops and aircraft. The massive military housing projects, the giant statues of Lenin that decorated the landscape, made it clear that the Soviets were there to stay.

Thus, the construction of the Wall brought a kind of enduring stability to the confrontation in Berlin, a recognition of the status quo. This was a shift in Soviet policy. Both Khrushchev and Stalin had pledged to drive out the Western Allies. There is evidence that Khrushchev planned military action to do so, if necessary.1 The Wall, by putting an end to the steady depopulation of East Germany, seemed to make that unnecessary. East Germans had little choice but to accept the situation and most did so.

This was reflected in the number of escape attempts, which dropped off dramatically after 1962. In part, no doubt, this was simply the product of the growing effectiveness of the Wall itself, which quickly became more of a fortified zone than a simple barrier. Escape, always risky, became unacceptably dangerous for attempts that frequently involved children and whole families. Those who were most desperate to escape made their attempts first. By the end of 1962, those who remained had decided to settle down and try to get on with their lives. It is noteworthy, though, that, although escapes became rarer, they never ceased altogether. Each year, the Wall claimed a steady stream of victims, the last three in 1989, the year the Wall came down.4

The Wall further reinforced the separate civic identities of East and West Berlin, already well-established when President John F. Kennedy gave his famous speech, just outside Rathaus Schöneberg, the seat of West Berlin’s government. Across the Wall, East Berlin’s government was enconced in the red-brick Rotes Rathaus. Those two buildings came to symbolize the two Berlins, much as the Brandenburg Gate was identified with the division of the city. The two Berlins grew apart, separate but intertwined. For what it was worth, East Berlin had the additional prestige of being the capital of the DDR. West Berlin became a Federal German Land.

Inevitably, relations between East and West Germany on the one hand and the two Germanies, the Soviet Bloc and the Western Allies on the other, gradually became regularized. In 1978, West German Federal Chancellor Willy Brandt—Governing Mayor of West Berlin in 1961—signed the Treaty of Moscow, in which the Federal Republic recognized the postwar borders of Germany and conceded de facto recognition to the German Democratic Republic. The following year, the four World War II allies reaffirmed the rights of the Western Powers in Berlin, effectively recognizing the post-Wall status quo. In 1972 Chancellor Brandt signed the Basic Treaty with East Germany, mutually establishing formal diplomatic recognition. That same year, the two governments signed a transit agreement, guaranteeing West German rights to visit East Germany. The way was clear for the establishment of trade and for both Germanies to enter the United Nations, which they did in 1973. As the decade wore on, the two Germanies were more and more regarded as two separate states.

But, East German economic success was relative and really only served as a measure of how bad things were elsewhere in Eastern Europe. In any case, the standard by which East Germany measured their relative well-being was not their fellow Soviet bloc countries, but West Germany. Reports from relatives, visiting West Germans and the sheer proximity of prosperous West Berlin meant that East Germans were well aware of how poorly they fared by comparison. If that were not enough, they were reminded daily by the omnipresence of West German television broadcasts, never blinked by the regime and received throughout the DDR. And it was noteworthy that, although West German rights were

2The Arts and the Public Sphere: The Case of West Berlin’s Film Industry. See the testimony of Oleg Penkovskiy in Stu, pp. 615-17.
4In 1989, the per capita income in East Germany was $9,679—compared with $7,878 in Czechoslovakia, $6,108 in Hungary and $4,561 in Poland. That of the Soviet Union was $8,700. CIA World Factbook, 1999.
5In 1989 per capita income in West Germany was $15,300. Stu.
was a gigantic information processing machine—sometimes political, sometimes not. A few feet away, in East Berlin, non-state-sponsored political activity was, of course, impossible, but the silent presence of the Wall made a mockery of officially sanctioned rallies and marches down Unter den Linden, the central thoroughfare of East Berlin.

Increasingly, the physical barrier was festooned with graffiti—sometimes political, sometimes not. Alongside the Wall, the Stasi manifested the undercurrent of violence that remained in Berlin throughout the Cold War. The Wall was a dangerous place, even for those who were not trying to cross it. Although not necessarily directly related to events outside of the Berlin, tensions along the Wall seemed to wax and wane along with Cold War tensions in general. The Vopos were prone to fire random shots into West Berlin, to counter what they regarded as suspicious behavior, or because they were bored. They also occasionally lobbed tear gas grenades into the West, while there were explosions—some of them bombs set by Western protesters. Random harassment of trains and truck convoys going to and from Berlin persisted. Hold-ups at the Sector Checkpoints were frequent, while the Stasi monitored every eventuality. The economy and society of ironies—hard-currency loans from West Germany—were subjected to espionage, or coerced—often by conviction, opportunism, or coercion who were killed when escapees or Western police returned their fire. In August 1986, school children placed wreaths on the memorial in Jerusalemstrasse, to mark the twenty-fifth anniversary of the “Anti-Fascist Barrier.”

Meanwhile, the accession to power of Mikhail Gorbachev in the Soviet Union cut away the major external support for the East German regime. Under Gorbachev, the Soviet Union embarked on a program of internal reform that eventually would result in the overthrow of the Communist government in Moscow. In foreign policy, Gorbachev moved towards better relations with the United States and NATO and towards serious reductions in nuclear armaments. Sometimes between 1986 and 1988, the Soviet leadership came to the “momentous conclusion” that the massive Soviet military presence in Eastern Europe worked to the detriment of Soviet security. From that point on, they began to move inexorably toward withdrawal of the Soviet forces there. With this decision, the web of Soviet domination began to unravel all over Eastern Europe.

Against the backdrop of fading Soviet power, the Wall loomed once again in public consciousness. In 1987, President Ronald Reagan went to Berlin. Like all American Presidents, he made an appearance at the Wall, standing before the Brandenburg Gate, the monument that divided East from West Berlin. But Reagan’s intentions were different from those of any of his predecessors. All too often, he had forged personal ties with the Soviet leader, Mikhail Gorbachev. Even as he stood at the Wall, the United States and the Soviet Union were beginning to implement the INF Treaty, bringing about, for the first time, the destruction of an entire class of nuclear weapons. Already, negotiations were underway for the dramatic reduction of strategic nuclear weapons and for the multi-lateral reduction of conventional forces in Europe. Now, President Reagan sought to surmount the Wall, reaching out to the Soviet leader to bring down the last bastion of Cold War tyranny. “Mr. Gorbachev,” he said, “open this gate! Mr. Gorbachev, tear down this wall!”

And the Wall remained. Running like an open wound through the center of the city, it was a center of political activity. No Western politician ever visited Berlin without a stop at the Wall. Political demonstrations in West Berlin, large or small, focused on the Wall—and sometimes were met by shots from water cannon fired by the Vopos. Its western face soon was festooned with graffiti—sometimes political, sometimes not.
Erich Honecker mobilized the army and the Stasi. Increasingly isolated inside the Soviet bloc, in West Berlin, the East German leadership was holding the forces of counterrevolution at bay. Holding the forces of counterrevolution at bay, Gorbachev was to meet internal dissent with force. 

To the overwhelming majority of Eastern Europeans, Gorbachev’s statement was an affirmation of the right of national self-determination hitherto unseen in the Soviet bloc. To Erich Honecker, it was an endorsement of his right to try to sustain a Stalinist dictatorship against the rising tide of liberalism and reform. Poland, Czechoslovakia and Hungary began to move toward popularly elected democratic regimes. East Germany rejected all but the most cosmetic reforms and began to distance itself from Moscow.

Increasingly isolated inside the Soviet bloc, Erich Honecker mobilized the army and the Stasi to meet internal dissent with force. Although he had done nothing specifically to discredit the East German regime publicly, Gorbachev was identified with the wave of change sweeping over Eastern Europe and, by extension, with dissent inside the DDR. After decades of holding the forces of counterrevolution at bay in West Berlin, the East German leadership was horrified to find them coming in from Moscow. New publications coming in from Moscow were banned. Demonstrators chanting, “Gorby! Gorby!” were arrested and soon even officially sanctioned gatherings were producing violent clashes with the police. Worse, the “bacillus” of democratic reform drifting in from the Soviet Union was infecting even East German communists. In 1988, 23,000 SED members were put on trial for ideological offenses, the highest number since 1946. Still resisting the forces of change, on 18 January 1989, Honecker declared, “The Berlin Wall will still be standing in 50 or 100 years.”

Before the year was done, both Honecker and the Wall would be gone.
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LIFE & DEATH IN THE SHADOW OF THE WALL

16 OCTOBER 2013

at the
NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION
WASHINGTON, DC
The National Archives and Records Administration – Declassification Center and the Historical Collections and Information Review and Release Divisions of the Central Intelligence Agency’s Office of Information Services reviewed, redacted, and released hundreds of documents related to the Berlin Wall for this event. The accompanying DVD contains over 500 documents and over 12,000 pages of material and is only a small part of the greater collection located at the National Archives and Records Administration, College Park.

A City Divided: Life & Death in the Shadow of the Wall document collection features memorandums, summaries and estimates; Department of the Army documents and summaries; documents from historical collections of NATO, SHAPE, and the US Departments of State, Energy, and Defense, along with materials from the Kennedy, Johnson, Nixon, Ford, Carter and Reagan Presidential Libraries, US Army Heritage and Education Center and the US Army Center of Military History.

The multimedia collection – photos, audio, and video materials are from the collections of the above contributors. This DVD will work in most computers, and the documents are in .PDF format.
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