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Summary:

On November 18, 2009, the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) announced that it had convened a forensic document examination team to study two pages of the handwritten notes of Harry Robbins (H. R.) Haldeman, a chief of staff to President Richard M. Nixon, 1969-1973. These notes are among the permanent records in the holdings of the National Archives; and that examination process, as outlined in NARA press release 10-24, is now complete.

The two pages under investigation, designated Government Exhibit 61, U. S. District Court case District of Columbia Miscellaneous Case 47-73, were purported to have been created during Mr. Haldeman’s 11:30 A.M. meeting with President Nixon on June 20, 1972, in the Executive Office Building, three days after the break-in at the Democratic National Committee headquarters. This is the same meeting in which 18½ minutes of tape-recorded conversation between Mr. Nixon and Mr. Haldeman were erased, prior to the White House tape-recorded conversations being turned over to Judge Sirica in response to a subpoena from the Watergate Special Prosecution Force. The specific purpose of further scientific examination of the handwritten notes has been to determine whether there is any evidence that additional notes were taken at the meeting that are no longer part of the original file.

On October 29, 2009, a panel of experts in the areas of forensic document examination, conservation science and imaging met in the offices of the National Archives and Records Administration at College Park, Maryland, in order to evaluate Exhibit 61 and to develop and implement an appropriate course of examination and analysis. Participants included the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration Forensic Science Laboratory, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives Forensic Science Laboratory, and the Library of Congress Preservation Research and Testing Division. In addition to the two pages of handwritten notes of Exhibit 61, the panel evaluated seven pages of handwritten notes from June 19, 1972; five pages of handwritten notes from June 21, 1972; and ten pages of handwritten notes from June 22, 1972; all from the Personal Files (1969-1973) of H. R. Haldeman now among the White House Staff Member and Office Files held by the Richard Nixon Presidential Library of the National Archives.
On November 19, 2009, hyperspectral imaging (HSI) of the documents was undertaken at the Library of Congress Preservation Research and Testing Division HSI Laboratory in Washington, DC. On December 11, 2009, and January 13, 2010, electrostatic detection analysis (ESDA) and video spectral comparison (VSC) examinations of the documents were undertaken at the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration Forensic Science Laboratory in Beltsville, MD. In support of these examinations, high resolution scans of the original documents and of the ESDA results were prepared at the NARA Special Media Preservation Reformatting Division Digital Imaging Laboratory in College Park, MD.

None of these efforts revealed or recovered additional content regarding H. R. Haldeman’s 11:30 A.M. meeting with President Nixon in the Executive Office Building on June 20, 1972.

Handwriting inter-comparison undertaken by the forensic document examiners indicated a common authorship among the handwritten notes examined. Hyperspectral imaging and video spectral comparison examinations revealed differences in the black ballpoint inks used to prepare the date and page number, versus main text entries, of Exhibit 61 (June 20, 1972); as well as differences in the blue non-ballpoint [porous-tip pen] inks used to prepare the date or page number, versus main text entries, of notes from June 21 and June 22, 1972. Hyperspectral imaging of Exhibit 61 revealed the set-off or contact transfer of red non-ballpoint [porous-tip pen] ink transcription notations from the front of Page 2 to the back of Page 1; but it was not possible to determine whether this transfer or migration occurred at the time of preparation or over long-term contact in storage. Electrostatic detection analysis of Exhibit 61 revealed the presence of angled, extraneous indented writing, suggestive of a possible signature but otherwise illegible, on Page 2 of the document.
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Attachments (Individual Reports):


Background and Preliminary Observations

In reviewing the handwritten notes prepared by H. R. Haldeman during his 11:30 Executive Office Building [EOB] meeting with President Nixon on June 20, 1972, it has been suggested that the two pages contain insufficient content to represent the entirety of the meeting; that the first page describes the initial few minutes of the meeting and the second page describes a discussion consistent with the end of the meeting; and that one or more intervening pages of original notes corresponding to the content of the audio tape erasures may have been removed prior to submission of the materials on subpoena.

Preliminary visual examination of the questioned documents, designated 6/20 “1130 EOB” Page 1 and Page 2, yielded the following observations: Each of the two pages originated from a nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound [perfect] tablet of regular-ruled yellow paper. The handwritten entries occur on only one side of each page and appear to be rendered in black ballpoint ink; the first page bears the date 6/20 and the second page is numbered “2.” Additional “strikes” and “check” marks suggestive of transcription notation are present and appear to have been rendered in red non-ballpoint [porous-tip pen] ink. Multiple staple holes are present at the upper left corner of each page.

Indented writing impressions can occur on sheets of paper present below those bearing the original handwritten entries; depending upon specific circumstances, legible images may be recovered from one or more pages below the page bearing the actual entries. Electrostatic detection analysis [ESDA] is an imaging technique that produces positive 1:1 transparencies of indented writing without alteration or contamination of the original documents by the toner or cascading beads used to develop the image. Pre-humidification of a paper document prior to ESDA examination may be undertaken in order to enhance the image development; but this temporary humidification is also not harmful to the document. In the current case, the likelihood of successful ESDA recovery of legible indented writing was enhanced by the following factors: the use of a ballpoint pen; the use of tablet(s) with inherently overlying pages, typically used in direct sequence; and the writing on only one side of a page.

Because more than one nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound tablet of regular-ruled yellow paper was likely in use by H. R. Haldeman at the time the questioned documents were prepared, all of the similar pages present from his 1972 notes dated 6/19, 6/21 and 6/22 were made available for comparison. [No additional pages similar to the questioned materials were present for 6/20.]

These additional known contemporaneous documents were obtained from among the following records: Richard Nixon Presidential Library / White House Special Files / Staff Member and Office Files / H. R. Haldeman / Haldeman Notes / H Notes / Box 45 / Folder 4: April-June 1972 [May 9, 1972-June 30, 1972] Part II.

“Haldeman Notes” dated 6/19 were found to include four sets of handwritten notes that originated from nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound [perfect] tablet(s) of regular-ruled yellow paper: 6/19 “phone KB” – 1 page, not numbered, no staple holes, all entries in non-ballpoint ink; 6/19 “1130 KB” – 3 loose pages, second and third pages numbered, multiple staple
holes, all entries in non-ballpoint ink; 6/19 “Shakespeare-Saigon phone to AF1” – 2 loose pages, not numbered, multiple staple holes, all non-archival entries in non-ballpoint ink; and 6/19 “AF1 to DC” – 1 page, not numbered, no staple holes, all entries in non-ballpoint ink.

“Haldeman Notes” dated 6/21 were found to include two sets of handwritten notes that originated from nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound tablet(s) of regular-ruled yellow paper: 6/21 “Be sure S. is with Burns” – 2 stapled pages, not numbered, multiple staple holes, all non-archival entries in non-ballpoint ink; and 6/21 “1330 D econom grp Mon” – 3 loose pages, second and third pages numbered, multiple staple holes, all entries in non-ballpoint ink.

“Haldeman Notes” dated 6/22 were found to include three sets of handwritten notes that originated from nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound tablet(s) of regular-ruled yellow paper: 6/22 “0930 re the Dem bugging” – 3 stapled pages, second and third pages numbered, multiple staple holes, all non-archival entries in non-ballpoint ink; 6/22 “Press Conference - Oval Office” – 6 loose pages, second through sixth pages numbered, holes consistent with only one staple, all entries in non-ballpoint ink; and 6/22 “1630 tell Laird to take pressure off of beef” – 1 page, not numbered, no staple holes, all entries in non-ballpoint ink.

Numerous “strikes,” “x” and “check” marks, rendered in red non-ballpoint [porous-tip pen] ink and suggestive of transcription notation, are also present among these known contemporaneous documents selected for comparison.

It should be noted that while the environmental conditions of storage and archival access and handling practices for these documents while in NARA custody have been excellent, the documents [especially those held by the District Court] have not necessarily been protected from fingerprints, incidental indented writing, or multiple stapling, un-stapling and re-stapling during their entire history.

In order to determine whether Haldeman’s use of a ballpoint ink writing instrument for his 6/20 “1130 EOB” handwritten notes is an isolated occurrence, a comprehensive search was undertaken of documents present in the following expanded range: Richard Nixon Presidential Library / White House Special Files / Staff Member and Office Files / H. R. Haldeman / Haldeman Notes / H Notes / Box 45, Box 46, and Box 47; spanning January 1972 through November 1973. None of the documents present among the twenty-three months of corresponding “Haldeman Notes” [handwritten notes that originated from nominal 8.5 x 11 inch top-bound tablets of regular-ruled yellow paper] was found to have been prepared using ballpoint ink.
Inventory of Documents Examined

Government Exhibit 61, U. S. District Court for the District of Columbia
Miscellaneous Case 47-73

6/20 “11:30 EOB” [2 pages]

Richard Nixon Presidential Library / White House Special Files / Staff Member and
Office Files / H. R. Haldeman / Haldeman Notes / H Notes / Box 45 / Folder 4: April-
June 1972 [May 9, 1972-June 30, 1972] Part II

6/19 “phone KB” [1 page]
6/19 “11:30 KB” [3 pages]
6/19 “Shakespeare-Saigon phone to AF1” [2 pages]
6/19 “AF1 to DC” [1 page]

6/21 “Be sure S. is with Burns” [2 pages; document withdrawal N5 / 173]
6/21 “13:30 D econom grp Mon” [3 pages]

6/22 “09:30 re the Dem bugging” [3 pages; document withdrawal N6 / 175]
6/22 “Press Conference - Oval Office” [6 pages]
6/22 “16:30 tell Laird to take pressure off of beef” [1 page]
Around Aug 3-4:
ok on a weekend at Walker
if good weather - to get seen etc.

Hold higher ed. to Fri.

Gov 50 expressed concern re his election
P wants us to him

if 200 make about 10 foreigners

Dear Gov,

May 4.16 - Hope you very warm welcome
On what was evidently very bad day for
Page 50 -

The 22 moved from the hotel

Mr. N + I have along some paper work
from 50 Dec. been sent
were moved to about 50 miles south
here they moved to 50. Not the

Worthing.
2.

Be sure FBI office is thoroughly in the loop at all times — etc.

What is our counter-attack?
PR offensive to top this —
Hit the opposition on their activities
Get our libertarians here before full disclosure
Do they justify this cost? How?
Keeling Pentagon papers, Anderson file ok?

We should be on the attack — for diversion —
What is said in PR STM hearings?

Go to Embassy — cf HW
Julie come out later
HW got to the shower
6/19

Phone KB.

Told to Camp last night.

Have just received reports are

So P. can send him a wire occasionally

Maintain contact

10 P.M. finished by 8:00 to 11.1

1 P.M. start on it. Thur. AM

Stay til 3:30 - 4:00 = 7:30

have often gone back early

2. Be sure 2 parts of the Camp phone carburet

tor trip

T add again at P request

P report to P at 7:30

don't know date

had just met w. Aunt. Think

talked to w. A.K. Phone to Hauwaii
6/19

Long talk of S. Graham
He has him into Wallace - Then Mrs.
Left talk to him whenever we want
Our start - keep Wallace in the Dam party

Act
Way after Dam Care - Hld to Graham
No. has to decide if he's to be used as apolo
Then what will get in
Try to keep this a 2 way race

Who is going to talk to W - Graham the one?
What is his price

I need to return chains again re prices
Who can do it?

Col's collect the worst mean stuff in N - for a speech
Have a counterattack event to split them
in a Village Voice etc.

Col's get out the theme
Our fears about his media spot for Mc
Pero - avoid tax problem -
as much as possible -
forget polls
Came with what makes did it W f it
2.

Buckley notion - a ker-kekeke - a taste

Don't use Tr In Capet el fr interviews unless we have a real reporter, real control, and maintain some scarcity value

Talk to M this wk needs an exec officer - tell details as his fee for decision Match super - anonymity type runs good ship - keep stuff off your desk won't contact empire build in limited way

for chief of protocol have a good man + super social grace shouldn't have protocol ride in car off guest all crowded into car of wife for all ceremony - dinner - etc

Next lab 12th after set for lunch - at 2 - dinner rail feeling campaign afternoon 11th - dinner - then town maybe show the documentary

About 11th at 3:00 - late after call + dinner
3.

D. after Comm-
  if have to meet by petition.
  all the St. Comm. - Natl Comm.
  at WH.
  don't have wives - have souvenir picture.

L. states 1 acid chrome souvenir
  the other I ordered.

C. ask plan to see mount etc that can help us
   St. Comm.

H. asked were our apparatus for bed were 7 plan it
   with ABC & Sons.
   let them come in. see P. more support.
   also you'll tell us - etc.

W. Web deal w/ K. then accept at 5%.
6/19 "Shakespeare-Saigon phone to AF1"

Shakespeare-Saigon phone to AF1

at Benjui's see
last note...deniers...Abras...cuttie...som
chie. Godfrey ran NVN

1 B-52 today & 8 FY put 4/F Oct put 4/F
NAGAL & receivers run
no sig evide...effect vs...default
St US air can...nd

all agree on this
diversion of effort...high risk...expense
not worth it in this effort

all agree...with Aunt Abraus
10 addl...dropped...sched.

de & for win...children...shld be taken
over SVN...enemy...shld be isolated &...shld be killed

Next...to resume...not...with this...shld be

Major step...of...Radeck

all agree...it's effective...schooled &
leagly is...and, dangerous, division
Vogt has mission school for truce - needs order to stop - he wants it - old use - need order again 3-4 tus - direct to Czech
6/19 “AF1 to DC”  Page 1

6/19

AF1 to DC

On AF1

Have Simmons on major trip

Go ahead on Abrams & Moore.
6/21  “Be sure S. is with Burns”

Be sure S. is with Burns

Colt told P. Peluso is involved
get the exact charge from Colt
ask Babe what he knows about these people

75
Read 1 hour
Burns to have - you sit in

Next week
SET Cap 5 to E is good connections
P. wants to get his hand in-

Can get Rapfetz involved in campaign
can't to have people see Mr. M.

e full time camera crew for Burns in Fla

Main concern is to keep Witt out of it
6/21 "Be sure S. is with Burns"

Date:
Attack O'B for malicious label
v. guilt by reason of W.H.-P.
6/21

1330

Econom grp Mon - at Fin aft.

D what time does it get in.

got the Bebe thing re Jack Anderson

Beke P. to Calby Fai - P.M not there til Sun rite
But Beke come out - go from Fai Fai
leaving Fai or Sat rite
stay at S.C. Inn

Ached Fai aft. - felled in about 500 - to 60.
cloting have to Econ until then

M old Chot rule - M ridden in strongly
(Jack Anderson col Vol N People Traveling
first class, living high)

Campage team must be lean
in conduct, thin if tall or Candidate
Avoid out of body appearance

Swesty long in noses, fancy restaurants
just gives opp ammunition to party if related
+ hard to justify to contain it, votes.
2.

This must hold very strongly -
all campaign staff.
Tighten up on - so it doesn't leak fast.
(Could - how's when the drill is going)
Need counter attack in WC money huge spady

every time there's a leak
Change we're being plugged.
Plant one & discover it.

ckomo n pk judgment - as well as M & E
Don't panic in the direction of the media

(2:00)
P.C. 3:00 tomorrow
how cover com. today & fr. 25. next week

Asked 2 for
how many prime time appearances has made
+ others aired in news of TV this yr.
+ Russian & China coverage

With re buggy - they were just trying to
win a Pulitzer Prize.
3.

If how to half losing g.
6/22 “0930 re the Dem bugging”

0930

Rebworth Beach—

Morton’s place in Cheyenne—place to take Agnew—like the day to swim off the boat.

Don’t we write all the mayors who voted for an auto phone tract to those who spoke.

Clint Eastwood & Bob Stack

Both esp nice to PV.
P wants to do something for them

New to do something separately

See the movie The Cardinal

E. strong plank in platform

At 10 parochial schools
2.

Re platform
must be rewritten
by editor of some ging
Saffin Buchanan - alt
edit it up to it cites
also develop short from - tabules
What are for - what we've got.

Righter that Doles is writing it
got it sharp - not turgid

not to Ray Price - cause too slow

Get Price started now on accent speech
2000 wds. max

write it right after Deans
draft to A. July 22. to review

play the theme
as strong - Valley - mechanized
vigorous
Challenger - weak - happy
Supped by green way out fringe
show some excitement on our side
not just throw record of accomplishment
3.

Can't be just matter of fact - sincere

They this tactical semantic g.
Agents as stand on own
Consequent to go ahead of defense

re VN 5 - generalize
must meet mid. cost. Enemy invading
That's a theoretical fig
based on time. duration.
6/22 Press Conference - Oval Office

1. Obie sent bag - link to CUP
   2 + M have stated facts accurately
   WH has had no more whatever
   now under review -

2. Called Food Prices - any kind - controls on food
   whole area inflation - good news generally
   good meat island area
   have we CCL in between this to further achieve
   app to meet prices
   - control side - want all alone
   here would shift to increased
   - supply side - growth of system
     temp. lift growth in import

3. View of aid SS def weapons - budget - SALT
   control aid SecDef - P.K.-R
   in context -
   SecDef has sent joint Sec. P.K. 
   SALT aid def weapons will improve we see
   1 - All agents approved on their merits
   2 - off weapons agent in our interest
   3 - approval of both
   2 - after that
   while give aid to weapons
   increase we see
2.

1. If SALT I not weapons
   50 US ahead of USSR in short term
   if US falls to 3-point overall
   open mind on SALT II and
   2 morepluralempiric
   exp. McEwan
   US must make role of keeping peace
   not in inferior point
   USSR has their program
   Y is moving forward
   in areas not controlled

2. This agent is only the first try. Not suggest
   agent is form. him on off weapons
   if US doesn't have any perp
   50 has no chance of 50 might claim
   they'd have no incentive to limit
   see Def point is sound for sec. of US
   SALT alone does not deal with total of 50 of US

4. If E cannot be dumb & flabby guy (Helen Thomas)
   YI not dumb & flabby
   he ref to tendency in E Roose Consp
   gives smaller sessions better
   less press / dumb & flabby
   not many soft balls
5. Poor badly want welfare bill - compromise on H.R. 1
Hot to go this in next
Present plan costs us at cost we can afford
Tech. bill - values claim - moved to K.F. etc.
8. Then view and merits that's wrap
Cut in cost of welfare
70 in in,
of welfare.
9. What in you then offer bill in find for
Intention to stay by our middle part
If it can get by this long
We get law 5 from same our part sound
Want reform - but not more raise in cost

6. 60th inning left 400 N. temp visas employer + illegal
B. J. may apply another
Able contribute to ex convent
Asked Dept. labor to examine

7. Court - 1. Writ of sumit in done - ask for sps?
2. Org $1.00 under anthanur - as to long - logic?
1. Get out tax from writ - not joint this claim.
Reached 10th in '63 - no done see
4. Thgancy claim under N. sps.
No need for sps - we will decide by it
Court allows 1st to get Court order
 Done't rule out when clear com. 6th fr. got.
6/22 “Press Conference - Oval Office” Page 4

4.

2. He feels don’t even know who in fact place called Mayor Houston - he that we believe we present that on it.

6. Reasons for deciding 1st over 2nd phase will there's this fall go to campaign, candidacy, etc.

7. Plans for higher ed bill signed decision tomorrow - direct case - mixed reaction, clout. Joint one sees if this Admin.

Lend legs in case.


Highly doubtful.

Can't most flag except tight all joints. I believe that an applied measure. Love - respect.

Ag of racial call above ground. This will mean the areas before next this fall.

This puts result tonight or long moment into steps this face is. Decision just this fall.

Unless long move or moment...
10. If veto - what about most of the bills we need being have been done? This
    prop is doubtful - that's why close call.

11. is that a Terr. Sen?
    "touched on in 79 -
    Cause that will kill Tenn.

12. MI stuck to source 10 mill - Civil rights law
    2 has hirp - 2 - Sun - 4 M
    Stump 3 Stan point.
    "It's rap of all to obey law" totally
    if long wanted this happens before date
    They can have made it.

13. Feel trends to Miami - here you part decline - teach
    was not part of decision
    All of rap for local officials
    guess don't think (at least outside St. Louis)
    won't have fortune, go sit
    youth turned off by this

14. prop toward relief goes first 9 final school
    get first of the low - Commercial Mills stand
    not like came in election year
    intensive study - will be ready for Nov 9 next yr
    Don't pre judge - don't will relief from open public schools
    commuter
6.

VAT - didn’t think I could use it as pure. approved
only if non-entrap formula
tax reform not seen for tax increase
have had consid. tax reform in 3 yrs
accepted it.
Tax system is capriciously complex
important to tax law
was to ask help; ask him to change

15 proposals not in after 10-17
we make them before - but not by long til after

16earing - Comt Anttunt?
a step that could be taken only if cogicled.
unpossible
overreaction - prefer legis route
If not upman is antnt

17 court-martial levels to bring out facts
Secretary stated his view - made appropriate decision
6/22 "1630 tell Laird to take pressure off of beef"

Tell Laird to take pressure off of beef.

No amount - just do it.

Move heavily into lent, pork, chicken, fish.

All rest of food estate - quit buying meat.

CCL also lose eye the street meat - just in the meat - or use it.

PC serves our interest

Guidance is Admin effective way to deal of a tough issue.
Inventory of Hyperspectral Imaging Examinations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Page</th>
<th>Side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>“11:30 EOB”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>“11:30 EOB”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>“11:30 EOB”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/20</td>
<td>“11:30 EOB”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“Be sure S. is with Burns”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“Be sure S. is with Burns”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“Be sure S. is with Burns”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“Be sure S. is with Burns”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Front</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/21</td>
<td>“13:30 D econom grp Mon”</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Back</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inventory of ESDA Examination Results

From 11 December 2009

Test Pages – 1 written, 1 latent ["Page 2"]

Test Page ["Page 2"] – ESDA^2

6/20 “11^30 EOB” [2 Pages]: Examinations prepared using ESDA^2

- Page 1 – Front – Dry – TIGTA beads
- Page 1 – Front – Dry – ATF beads
- Page 1 – Back – Dry – TIGTA beads
- Page 1 – Front – Humidified – TIGTA beads
- Page 1 – Back – Humidified – TIGTA beads
- Page 2 – Front – Dry – TIGTA beads
- Page 2 – Back – Dry – TIGTA beads
- Page 2 – Front – Humidified – TIGTA beads + toner
- Page 2 – Back – Humidified – TIGTA beads + toner
- Page 2 – Front – Dry – TAD with toner only
- Page 2 – Front – Dry – Recharged – TIGTA beads + toner – Multiple cascades

6/21 “Be Sure S. is with Burns” [2 Pages]: Examinations prepared using ESDA^2

- Page 1 – Front – Dry – TIGTA beads + toner
- Page 1 – Back – Dry – TIGTA beads + toner
- Page 2 – Front – Dry – TIGTA beads + toner

From 13 January 2010

Test Pages – 1 written, 1 latent ["Page 2"]

Test Page ["Page 2"] – ESDA^2
Test Page ["Page 2"] – ESDA “1”

6/20 “11^30 EOB” [2 Pages]

- Page 1 – Front – Dry – ESDA^2
- Page 1 – Back – Dry – ESDA^2
- Page 1 – Front – Dry – ESDA “1”
- Page 2 – Front – Dry – ESDA^2
- Page 2 – Back – Dry – ESDA^2
- Page 2 – Front – Humidified – ESDA^2
- Page 2 – Back – Humidified – ESDA^2

6/21 “Be Sure S. is with Burns” [2 Pages]

- Page 1 – Front – Dry – ESDA^2
Inventory of Video Spectral Comparison Results

6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 545-675 nm [magnification 3.04]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 545-640 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 605-675 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 445-675 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 515-675 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 545-675 nm [magnification 1.52]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Page 1  Spot Light 585-675 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Spot Light 485-675 nm [magnification 1.73]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Spot Light 485-675 nm [magnification 2.00]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Spot Light 585-675 nm [magnification 1.99]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Spot Light 585-675 nm [magnification 4.50]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Ultra Violet Light 365 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2  Spot Light 485-675 nm [magnification 12.08]
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2; 6/19 “phone KB;” 6/21 “Be sure S.” Page 1  Ultra Violet Light 312 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2; 6/19 “phone KB;” 6/21 “Be sure S.” Page 1  Ultra Violet Light 254 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2; 6/19 “AF1 to DC;” 6/21 “Be sure S.” Page 1  Ultra Violet Light 365 nm
6/20 “11:30 EOB” Pages 1 and 2; 6/19 “AF1 to DC;” 6/21 “Be sure S.” Page 1  Ultra Violet Light 312 nm
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Participants in the Forensic Document Examination of H. R. Haldeman Notes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nancy N. Berthold</td>
<td>Director, Forensic Science Laboratory, Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>William A. Christens-Barry</td>
<td>Chief Scientist, Equipoise Imaging LLC, Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raesin Caine</td>
<td>Forensic Document Examiner, Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan L. Cooper</td>
<td>Director, Public Affairs and Communications, National Archives and Records Administration [NARA]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenella G. France</td>
<td>Research Chemist, Preservation Research and Testing Division, Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick P. Johnson</td>
<td>Senior Forensic Document Examiner, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Ann T. Kelly</td>
<td>Research Chemist, Document Conservation Division, NARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert W. Lesnevich</td>
<td>Senior Forensic Document Examiner, Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earl A. McDonald</td>
<td>Visual Information Specialist, Special Media Preservation Division, NARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martin F. McGann</td>
<td>Deputy Director, Richard Nixon Library-College Park, NARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David G. Paynter</td>
<td>Archivist, Special Access / FOIA Staff, NARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard T. Taylor</td>
<td>Video Editor / Post Production, LB&amp;B Associates Inc., NARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven D. Tilley</td>
<td>Director, Textual Archives Services Division, NARA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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