## FILE COPY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 2 3 IN RE: POSSIBLE VIOLATIONS 11.1 OF 18 USC 2511 and 2512 5 6 Grand Jury Room No. 3 United States District Courthouse 7 3rd & Constitution Avenue, N. W. Washington, D. C. 8 Thursday, February 14, 1974 9 The testimony of JOHN W. DEAN, III, was presented 10 to a full quorum of the Grand Jury. 11 BEFORE: 12 RICHARD BEN-VENISTE, ESQ. 13 Assistant Special Prosecutor U. S. Department of Justice 14 JILL VOLNER 15 Assistant Special Prosecutor U. S. Department of Justice 16 GERALD GOLDMAN, ESQ. 17 Assistant Special Prosecutor U. S. Department of Justice 18 GEORGE T. FRAMPTON, JR., ESQ. 19 Assistant Special Prosecutor U. S. Department of Justice 20 21

LIZABETH ARN TIPTON 1225 Dewey Court Lensington, Maryland 20795 301) 946-4438

22

24

25

5

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IZABETH ANN TIPTON 225 Dewey Court nsington, Maryland 20795 01) 946-4436

meeting with Mr. Haldeman and Mr. Ehrlichman, did you not?

Yes, I did.

During the course of that meeting, was there any discussion about what your preference might be as to Mr. Mitchell's future actions?

Yes, there was. The nature of that conversation was that it was felt that Mr. Mitchell should be the one to step forward and stand responsible for the entire Watergate matter and that, aif he did, the problems that had occurred after June 17th would dissipate themselves and there would be a satisfaction with somebody that was standing accountable for the matter.

In other words, a big enough fish would have been caught that the problem would have been resolved.

In other words, that would have taken public opinion away from what might have occurred after the break-in, in connection with the so-called cover-up?

That is correct.

Now, on the 22nd of March, in the morning, did you attend a meeting with Mr. Haldeman, Mr. Ehrlichman and Mr. Mitchell?

- Yes, I did attend such a meeting. A
- Where did that take place? Q
- A In Mr. Haldeman's office.
- Q Do you recall any reference being made to Mr. Hunt's

demands at any point in that meeting?

A Yes. I do recall very well the circumstances. It was just as the meeting was starting. The participants -the four of us -- were seated in the four chairs in front of
Mr. Haldeman's fireplace and Mr. Mitchell was directly across
from Mr. Ehrlichman and I was directly across from Mr. Haldeman.

As we were sitting down, Mr. Ehrlichman had, in sort of an offhand -- not particular focusing anyone's attention on it -- manner, but something that everyone could clearly hear, to Mr. Mitchell or just openly to the air, that he wondered about Mr. Hunt's problem and how that was -- something to that effect -- and Mr. Mitchell responded, immediately, that he didn't think that Mr. Hunt was a problem anymore.

- Q Was the matter dropped at that point?
- A That was all that was said.
- Q Now, was there any discussion with Mr. Mitchell about Mr. Mitchell coming forth in the manner which you had discussed the previous afternoon?

A No. There was not. In fact, I had expected to witness a rather spectacular show that morning, when they presented this to Mr. Mitchell, and there was not so much as a word mentioned to Mr. Mitchell that he should account for himself.

Q Was some reference made later on during the course

ELIZABETH ANN TIPTON 11225 Dewey Court Konsington, Maryland 20795 (301) 946-4436