5

6

8

9

10

11

12

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN RE: POSSIBLE VIOLATIONS

OF 18 USC 2511 & 2512

50.1

Grand Jury Room No. 3
United States District Courthouse
3rd & Constitution Avenue, N. W.
Washington, D. C.

Thursday, September 13, 1973

The testimony of JOHN EHRLICHMAN was presented to a full quorum of the Grand Jury.

BEFORE:

JAMES F. NEAL, ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

RICHARD BEN-VENISTE, ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

GEORGE T. FRAMPTON, JR., ESQ. Assistant Special Prosecutor United States Department of Justice

JILL W. VOLNER
Assistant Special Prosecutor
United States Department of Justice

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

05

HODVER REPORTING COLUNC. 326 Massachusetts Avanua, N.C. Wachington, D.S. 20102 12124 546-5668

3

4 5

6

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

2

DOVER REPORTING CO., INC Massochusetts Avenue, N.E. Higton, D.C. 20002 - 546-5666 meeting with Mr. Colson and Mr. Shapiro?

A If it's on the log, I did. If it isn't, I didn't.

I don't know. It would have been in my office.

- Q On April 14th, you've testified that you called Mr. Kleindienst and gave him a report on your investigation.
  - A Well, yes, that's true.
  - Q What did you tell him?
  - A I told him that I had conducted it.
- Q Did he know at that time that you were conducting an investigation?

A He knew I was going to because I had talked to him on the 31st of the previous month. I didn't give him the results of it.

I told him I had just talked with the President at length about it, that I was available to him should he want any of theinformation that I had; that I had just talked with Magruder and Mitchell, I believe, and that from what I had heard and what I had reported to the President, that Mr. Mitchell, at least according to Magruder, was directly and seriously implicated in the break-in.

- Q Mr. Mitchell was?
- A Yes, and that the President wanted me to call and say that to him, and say that I was available should he wish any of the other information I had.
  - Q Did you instruct Mr. Kleindienst to do anything?

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

00

21

22

23

24

25

A No, I don't believe so.

Q Did you suggest that he do anything?

A I don't know whether I told him that the President wanted to meet with him, or whether the President told him.

But that was arranged around that same period of time.

Q But you don't recall that it was arranged through your telephone call to Mr. Kleindienst on that day?

A It may have been, or I may have just handed the phone to the President. I made the call in the President's office. I may have just handed him the telephone.

Q Did you use the President's telephone, his personal telephone?

A Yes, one of them.

Q I assume that would have been taped.

A I don't know. The room, I understand, is taped so that my end would be taped anyway. I used the telephone over by the couch. I don't know whether that's one of those that's in the system or not over there.

Q So you did not tell Mr. Kleindienst any of the underlying facts, or any facts at all which you had learned in your investigation, except that you did tell him your conclusion that Mr. Mitchell evidently, at least according to some testimony, was seriously involved?

A Right.

Q Did you tell him that Mr. Magruder was talking, had

HOOVER REPORTING CO., INC. 320 Massachusetts Avenue, N.E. Washington, D.C. 20002 (202) E46-6666

3

5

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

91

:00

24

HOGYER REPORTING CO., INC. 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.C. vasaington, D.G. 20002 202:516-5566

been to the prosecutors?

That was discussed. I think what was discussed was that I had talked to him, but I had not talked to him until after he had been to the prosecutors.

I believe you have testified in a Senate committee about a meeting which you had with Mr. Dean, Mr. Helms, and Mr. Colby on December 15th, 1972.

A Yes.

What was thepurpose of that meeting?

A Thatmeeting was for the purpose of enabling the CIA and the White House to respond to a request from the United States Attorney for information about the relationship between Mr. Hunt and the CIA in 1971.

Did the people from the CIA, Mr. Helms and Mr. Colby, bring with them to that meeting a list or an actual package of the documents, materials, and answers to inquiries, which they had gathered up in response to the questions put by the prosecutors?

I don't believe so. I don't recall seeing that.

Was there any discussion of the material or information or answers to questions that they had gotten together in response to the prosecutors' questions?

Yes, we discussed the questions and what the answers A were thought to be.

And that was the purpose of the meeting, wasn't it?