

OGC 1013

UNCLASSIFIED  INTERNAL USE ONLY  CONFIDENTIAL  SECRET

### ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional) **OGC Subject: ITKIN, HERBERT**

FROM: *OGC* Deputy Chief, CI Staff 2C43 EXTENSION: 6977 NO. DATE: 15 June 1970

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building) DATE RECEIVED FORWARDED OFFICER'S INITIALS COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. OGC Mr. Houston 7D01

6/18/70

Larry:  
You will be interested at this MOR from [redacted] which we solicited. It adds materially to the cable you have already seen. The Bureau's London representative was certainly more candid than his New York colleagues. I am sure you will want John Greaney to see this too.

2.

3. IRG

*gpc*

4.

5.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

*Rock*

*1-3. This really puts it down. Do you think Watten however would eat it? Let's join the pickets at Greaney's in N.Y. LAG 6/18/70*

09-70-1013

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
**SECRET/RYPAT**

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, European Division		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.	Chief, WOMUSE	X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	RYBAT/KEYWAY - Mr. Herbert Itkin		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

DIRECTOR 037202

Attached herewith is the Memorandum of Record for WOMUSE files as requested in reference.

John L. LAUTERBACH

Attachment: H/W  
As Stated

Distribution:  
3 - EUR w/att  
2 - WOMUSE w/att

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
	(OELT) 12,734 22	29 May 1970
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER
	SECRET/RYPAT	

2/12

SECRET/RYBAT

20 May 1970

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: London LNERGO Handling of Mr. Herbert Itkin

REF : DIRECTOR 037202

1. As Acting Chief of [redacted] I was called to (SMOTH) by (SNUPROOT) (the (SMOTH) equivalent of C/WH who also acts as JKLANCE liaison control officer) on 18 May 1970. (SNUPROOT) asked whether an article which had appeared in the London Telegraph on 16 May to effect that Itkin was on a JKLANCE secret mission in Britain in 1965, was accurate. (SMOTH) expected to be questioned by the British FCO on this. Because I was unfamiliar with the background of the Itkin case, I agreed to ascertain the facts and come back to (SMOTH) with the answer.

2. Before sending my query to Headquarters (see [redacted] 1613, I questioned the local Chief, LNERGO. He said he was surprised at the press assertions that Itkin had any connection with JKLANCE at the time he was in London in 1965. He said that he personally had handled Itkin here in connection with a criminal investigation. (JAGUAR) was at first not told about the local LNERGO/Itkin relationship, but did share the results of Itkin's collaboration. Subsequently, (JAGUAR) (SMIDOSE) was able to accurately guess the source of Itkin's information and/or the local Chief, LNERGO had told (JAGUAR) he was using Itkin.

3. The local Chief, LNERGO recalled that he had been led to believe by his own headquarters that there once had been a relationship between Itkin and JKLANCE but that that relationship had been terminated before Itkin came to London. The local Chief, LNERGO said that he had not known and was surprised at the inference in the press that JKLANCE and LNERGO had simultaneously had relationships with Itkin as late as 1965.

4. The local Chief, LNERGO did not describe to me the nature of the investigations for which he used Itkin beyond the fact that they concerned criminal matters of interest to LNERGO and (JAGUAR).

5. The local Chief, LNERGO permitted me to tell (SMOTH) that he had handled Itkin in London on a criminal matter about which (JAGUAR) had been advised.

John L. LAUTERBACH

15/2