

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)			
FROM: A/DO/CA Room 563 Matomic		EXTENSION	NO.
			DATE 1 June 1964
TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS
	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1. Mr. Gordon Mason Sp. Asst. to DDP Room 3C34, HQS			<i>SM</i>
2.			
3. DDP			<i>MT</i>
4.			
5. Mr. Chretien			
6.			
7.			
8.			
9.			
10.			
11.			
12.			
13.			
14.			
15.			

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

The attached draft column by Bill Buckley will appear in 75 U.S. newspapers, including the Scripps-Howard chain, during the week of June 8.

The background of this placement is the fact that Buckley worked for me [redacted] 1950-51 and we have remained close friends.

3 to 5:
A copy of this has been sent to the Director. Will you please forward to Mr. Elder biographical material on Wm. Buckley.
MT

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

NATIONAL
REVIEW

May 28, 1964

Dear Howard:

Here it is. You will note we had to cut fifteen lines because it was over. But I hope it helps.

Thanks a million for all the work you did on it.

I managed to read only about one-third of the book, and depended on you for the rest.

Give me a ring soon.

Hastily,

Bee

Mr. Howard Hunt

ON THE RIGHT - WM. F. BUCKLEY, JR.

Hate CIA Neck

Comes now a book called The Invisible Government, and it turns out to be not a book by an extreme rightwinger (as we have been taught to call them) complaining that this or that organization actually runs the affairs of the government; but a book by a couple of Liberals (as we have been taught to call them) who complain that the invisible government in America is our intelligence complex, the ganglion of which is the Central Intelligence Agency. The title of the book, I fear, is a giveaway to the essential cynicism of the authors, a judgment I make with considerable regret since one of them was an old pal of mine in college days. But the thesis that the CIA is an invisible government,

~~is a representation which dominates the foreign policy of the United States~~ is so palpably absurd that one must conclude that the purpose of the book, notwithstanding its sanctimonious pretensions, is simply to make a little scandal, ^(and) make a little money.

The true scandal lies not in what the authors reveal about the nature of the CIA, but in what they reveal about the CIA itself. For the politically interesting aspect of the book is that the CIA comes in for a full-fledged attack from writers whose interests transparently lie not in pressing the defensive war against the Soviet Union, but in discrediting an agency of the government which is increasingly identified among knowing people as the principal gathering place of knowledgeable anti-Communists.

I never thought those words would escape from my typewriter, because I have not in the past thought of the CIA as an impressive anti-Communist organization--although I have never believed that the fault lay with it, but rather with the foreign policy of the government that administers it. Whether it is fair to say that the CIA has obviously improved during the past few years, or whether it is more accurate to say that the CIA stands out only in refreshing contrast to the foreign policy of the United States, which during this period has become so relaxed as to be ga-ga about the Communist problem, I do not venture to say. The point is that precisely our determination to avoid a hot war against our enemies requires that we make diligent efforts to defend ourselves in the cold war--that we conduct effective psychological warfare; maintain keen intelligence operations; and help our friends fight back against the Communists by means that, many of them, require great secrecy.

Secrecy. The authors of this book do an incredible thing, and I pray that, there being apparently no law which will bring home to them the disservice they have done to their country, some day their consciences will speak to them about the meaning of what they have done. In their effort to achieve a lurid sensationalism they have actually named 30 persons who are CIA agents in deep cover; names whose names mean absolutely nothing at all to you, or to me, and indeed just as well, for the purposes of the book, have been spoken about pseudonymously. The same is true of various enterprises that are clandestinely backed by the CIA--they are, in the lingo of the trade, "blown." It will no doubt occur to the wags to say that if a couple of enterprising American journalists can find out the identity of CIA personnel and enterprises,

So can the Russians, and all that is proven is that CIA security procedures are not as tight as they should be. Such an observation is naive: Americans are an open people who, in Winston Churchill's phrase, thrive on indiscretion. But it is one thing to reveal the true identity of someone to an American reporter. It is another to reveal it to the world at large. In the world at large there are Communists.

The ostensible thesis of the book is that Congress and the State Department should more closely control the activities of the CIA. I believe the authors' is a phoney concern. The virulence of the book betrays, then, not any suspicion of sincerity. Their own bias seems to be plainly on the side of ~~the CIA~~ ~~improving~~ the CIA. That agency's finest hour was the liberation of Guatemala from the Arbenz pro-Communist dictatorship--a venture the authors deplore. As they did, in effect, the U-2 operations. Professor Owen Lattimore, whom a Senate Committee described as a "conscious, articulate agent of the Soviet conspiracy," is referred to simply as a "Far Eastern expert." The authors are especially riled at the expense by the CIA to liberate Cuba from Castro, and Indonesia from Sukarno.

Granted there are grave problems involved in the operation of a secret organization whose activities are outside the purview of the American people. But The Invisible Government is not a serious book about a serious problem of democracy, but a sensationalist book by authors who verge close to unpatriotism, and whose target suggests, in the shifting context of our time, that that other invisible government which controls the minds and the impulses of so many American Liberals, has backed the CIA as a major target of attack.