

28 September 1960 →

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Report of meeting with Sergio Rojas

REFERENCE: [redacted] 3881 (IS 17910), 26 September 1960

20-1

1. When it was learned here that Rojas was intending to go to Miami and there to stay with Ricardo Lorie, it was thought it might be best to talk to him before he visits Miami so as to somewhat condition his thinking before he is exposed to the full blast of anti-FID sentiment which he is certain to get from Lorie and his associates. I telephoned Rojas in New York on 26 September, using the incredible recognition phrase given in Paragraph 5 of reference, and he agreed to stop off in Washington en route to Miami 27 September.

2. I met with Rojas for several hours in the Sheraton-Carlton Hotel on 27 September. Rojas began our conversation with a lengthy discourse intending to establish a picture of himself as a complete neutral among the opposing Cuban exile groups, he not being a member of any group and heavily endowed with objectivity. As a matter of fact in the course of our discussion he spoke with seeming objectivity, but on balance clearly favored the point of view of three young revolutionaries of the type who now make up the Alianza de Liberacion, who find themselves rejecting and rejected by the FID. He is well acquainted with the history of the MBR's part in the formation of the FID, the initial selection of AMRIBDOY-1 to represent the MBR, and what occurred later to create the split of the MBR with the FID. He presented the usual argument that the MBR had made a bad mistake in allowing AMRIBDOY-1 to be their representative in the FID, and AMRIBDOY-1 was ultimately captured by the politicos and came finally to a point of representing only his own views and interests in the FID, largely to the exclusion of the MBR interests. (This argument, which was as well presented to Rojas as I've ever heard it, is accurate. It is the thing which we have tended to sweep under the rug and perhaps have not taken sufficient notice of the fact that the MBR dissidents, as called, which were expelled from the FID, was in fact the hard core of the original MBR.) Rojas spoke at length about the Alianza also and said that he had talked with a number of those people in New York the day before. He loosely lamented the fact that a number of valuable young men, particularly of the military type, are being wasted as a result of these differences which exist between the young revolutionaries and the FID, as he put it. I told him that I agreed with him but that I thought that the solutions for these military types was simple - i.e., if they would present themselves as individuals offering their services to the FID that they would be accepted and their talents & services would be put to good use. Examples in this case were Chingo, Diaz Diaz, Nine Diaz, et al. (Presumably, Rojas was completely unaware of Elias Diaz's mission.) I suggested in this connection that people of this type were being victimized by such people as Luis Cuesta Aguirre who in support of their political aspirations are using these military types for window-dressing and political bargaining pieces.

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

14-00002

-2-

Rojas seemed somewhat impressed with this argument so I suggested that he might use whatever influence he had to influence people like Chibana, whom he described as being restive in New York and depressed to the point of threatened suicide, to break away from the PDC to join the U.S.

J. Rojas then began to speak of the basic differences between again what he calls the young revolutionaries, meaning the original 26th-of-July Movement people, and the politicos, such as Villanueva, Claudia Arango, et al., whom they refer to as "Los Viejos". The young revolutionaries feel that with "Los Viejos" in power that Cuba will slide backwards toward the old political regimes and that these latter are only giving lip service to the proposition that the original aims of the revolution must go forward but without Castro and, or course, without any Communist influence. Rojas curiously referred to these politicos in the PDC as being too far to the right. I said that this view of them was completely contrary to the view held by most who consider them to be Liberals considerably far to the left. I added that if these people were any more to the left, they would be a source of services very to the U. S. Government. Rojas changed the subject.

4. He wanted then to know if it would be possible for the U. S. Government to lend its support to more than one group. When I responded that the U. S. Government was not supporting any group, Rojas just shrugged. He went on to say that if support could be given to individuals such as the military types he had mentioned previously and perhaps to the Alianza, for example, as a whole organization (users of Ctro Aguero pitch!), that this would not only gain the service of very valuable people in the fight against Castro but might sow the seeds of political stability for the future. He pointed out that if the young revolutionaries who are now rejected by the FED are stifled in their efforts to contribute to the anti-Castro struggle, they will represent a healthy anti-American Cuban element for the future, which could be very dangerous to U. S./Cuban relations. I think there is a fair account of substance to this argument and I told him so. In any case, Rojas was given the visita non-U. S. involvement in these exile affairs (which, of course, he was not yet) and advised that he do whatever he can to bring about unity as between several groups. He was told that the PDC appeared to be the group which had the greatest organization and greatest apparent potential for success, and that all concerned would be well advised to attempt to live in peace with it. Rojas said that he had a strong feeling that unity on this basis was not possible at this time because the feelings among the young revolutionaries are running too high and too emotionally against the PDC. He said he thought these young revolutionaries feel that they have been turned out by self-seeking politicos, and their friends and contacts in the U. S. Government have turned their backs and abandoned them. Because of this they are suffering bitterness and disillusionment. Rojas then suggested that perhaps I would be willing to arrange a meeting for him with some representatives of the PNM, specifically this was not to be out of the five principals, who might meet with us in an attempt to reduce their differences and reestablish relationships and cooperation with the PDC. I told him this was an interesting suggestion but I thought it was not possible because of the U. S. Government, while

it maintains a continuing interest in these affairs and has occasional contact with the several groups, is not and will not become involved. Rojas said he knows this to be untrue as: he has learned at the insistence that the U. S. Government is not sponsoring the FID. I made the counter-suggestion to him that he inform his friends, e.g. Luis Aguirre and Lorie, to approach the FID again and attempt on their own to make some accommodation. In doing so, I suggested they might consider retaining the services of an older, more sophisticated, mature individual whom they could trust to represent them in these overtures to the FID. I said I thought that the FID's difficulties, for example, stem from poor representation. I believe that Rojas immediately mentally cast himself in this role. Our discussions ended at this point.

5. Rojas said that he was planning to stay in Miami only a few days, after which he would return to London. He said further that if as a result of his visit here he became convinced that he could contribute substantially to this Cuban exile situation in the U. S., he would return; otherwise, he plans to remain in Europe and attempt to find employment there. In Miami he stated he will stay with Lorie, whose new phone number is CANal 6-0480.

RICHARD K. REICHARDT

REB:slm (23 Sept 1960)

Distribution:
0 - G/H/R
1 - House Director
1 - RG/d/PA (three)