SECRET

Anuly/

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Net Reproduce

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, WHD for Caba

SUBJECT

: Espinosa Allegations

1. Sensitivity: Regardless of accuracy, they

A. present a problem because of their having been presented to other government agencies;

B. in view of matters touching or U.S. security, are delicate and could have unfavorable repercussions if repeated to newsmen by the complainant or his associates (whose independent, previous threats were of greater potential embarrassment);

C. affect the reputations and the security of our personnel at Madrid and Paris,

2. Action: In view of the foregoing, the allegations

A. should be put in proper perspective i.e., not ignored because of the potential embarrassment but considered in the light of what we already know (Almost all of them are repetitions of previous charges and reports, known well to both the stations and to headquarters officers concerned with the AMLASH group, whose members' reliability, reputations and good faith are questionable. Too of the charges - about Earle and "Tota" and about AMWHIP-1 and LARKHILO - are new.);

B. should be treated so as to protect us against any charge of laxity and so as to establish the facts but, at the same time, treated so as to avoid attributing to them more importance than they deserve;

C. should be kept in mind as examples of other charges which may be manded about and reported by the AMLASH group to other governmental agencies or to other governments or to news media (In the last connection recall the implied threat of publishing ODYOKE responsibility, as reported previously by 3716, IN 38634.);

21-2

- D. should be examined together with all the other available reports so that we may
 - (1) clarify our own objectives and wishes with respect to all the ANLASH group (and this includes ANTHIP);
 - (2) sort out which people, if any, are worth ourtime in the future;
 - (3) take appropriate measures, including interrogation and polygraphing, to clarify doubts and then, when necessary, terminate those who are working for us but are not worthwhile:
 - (4) tighten up our own operational and security procedures in connection with the AMLASH group.
- A. The AMLASE conspiracy As detailed by (3716 (IN 28634) on 6 April 1965, QUSPORT-1 reporting on a secting of the AMLASE group, including AMAHIP 1 sentioned the following significant points:
- (1) KUBARK was criticized for "fooling around for years" without helping and for jeopardizing the operation;
- (2) the group was to be prepared to denounce ODYOKK as responsible if the operation fails and believed that the resulting scandal would make the Bay of Pigs seem insignificant; and
- (3) AMLASH-3 was in touch with Cuban Intelligence as established by coded nessages which he exhibited.

As reported by PARI 1532 (IN 82939) on 4 Jine 1965, based on sectings with ESPINOSA, the problem seen by Paris is that

"the ABLASH circle is wide and each new friend of whom we learn seems to have knowledge of the plan." I believe that the problem is a more serious and basic one. As indicated in paragraph 3 of the present memorandum, F.del CASTRO himself reportedly knew as far back as 1962 that the group was plotting against him and, knowing it, enlisted its support. Hence we cannot rule out the possibility of provocation. Assassination, obviously, is a dangerous game, not merely to the plotters in a physical sense, but to a sponsoring government which may suffer severe political repercussions at home and abroad if its involvement is made known. In the instant case, the risks of exposure of the ODYOKE hand would appear high, whether there is a provocation or not. Considering the individuals who are involved directly, their contacts with KUBARK officers, and their reported plan to expose ODYOKE, persisting in the plan could be highly embarrassing to kUBARA. (This is even more the case now that ESPINOSA has talked to ODENTY and ODURGE, although fortunately the tenor of his complaint was that KUBARK had not given adequate support to the plan.)

The contacts at Paris and the matters affecting station - The "recruitment" of Eauroen had been the the and Headquarters | 6 subject of an exchange of cables between and of discissions at Headquarters between AH/C and WE (See 1071 (IN 66557) and DIR-10955). The annoying thing in this connection now is that LSPINOSA has talked about it to ODENVY and ODURGE and the danger that, if he is indiscreet, his story about this (and the other matters) could reach some curious newspaperman. (In this connection, it appears that the questionable decision to put Maureen in touch with the ANIASH group was made without the knowledge of STOCK#OOD. 1 ESPINOSA did not report any criticism of the KUBARKers at is evident that the AMIASH group knows more about them than we might wish. What is nev and of concern from ESPINOSA about matters in Paris is his story of AMMHIP 1 and UNSNAFU-19 02 dealings. The questions raised about AMWHIP I are of mutual concern also to Headquarters which has been running him and which he has visited often. () (On the occasion of my us trip to on the will OPS-1 case, GROWERY had voiced on the whole group and specifically about ANWHIP-1 and his connection with UNSNAFU-19.) At issue are two basic points, the knowledge on the part of UNSNAFU-19 about KURARK's connection with AMHIP 1 and the charge that AMMIIP-1 has been defrauding KUDARL in the jewelry transaction. Also to be kept in sind is the fact that ODENVY has an office in Paris which well might have been informed of the allegations and which might have been asked for comments - although ODENTY policy always has been to stay away from any investigation of other government adencies unless specifically directed by the Attorney General to investigate.

The second

the Station by the AMASH group is not new. AMASH-2
and 3 were in and wanted direct contact, but the
Station has had reservations about seeing them. The Station has
been involved indirectly because of reporting by its sources
about and involvement of Station targets with both the AMASH
about and involvement of Station targets with both the AMASH
and AMWORLD operations (both handled from Headquarters).
and AMWORLD operations (both handled from Headquarters).

(See 2982 (IN 72256) and 2998 (IN 73094) (both RYBAT).)

Meetings among QUEPORTY QUESTIFF-1, AMAHIP-1 and AMASH figures
meetings among QUEPORTY QUESTIFF-1, AMAHIP-1 and AMIASH figures
produced conflicting reports which previously were discussed at
moduced repor

- 4. Further involvement of ESPINOSA: On 10 June ODENTY notified KURARK at New York that AMIASH-2 had telephoned ESPINOSA to ask whether he had succeeded in contacting "the proper people" i.e., responsible KUBARK representatives and to request notice of the resulting arrangements.
- 5. ANCHIP-1: Our plan calls for meeting him next week to obtain PRQ information and to obtain clarification of the roles played by those involved with him in the ANLASH operation. His dealings with UNENAFU 19 also will be covered. Following this, an ICFLUTTER examination is planned. This may help to determine whether he has been truthful in his reporting.

Harold F. Swenson wH/C/SP

SIGNAL STATE