

XAAZ-17622 of 2 July. Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CIO in Los Angeles by Gov. Conrad Panel letters: Y48544. Since opinion that both may have thought he spoke in Houston but really J. or that K. could have learned this info. ENB. They would have been [LITMUS]

XAAZ-22941 of 3 July 1964. Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 28 June relating a alleged statement by Silvia Suarez.

XAAZ-27202 of 7 July 1964. Letter from Rankin, Texas - mentions State Dept makes a question re Soviet visa procedures, esp. re applicability to Soviet cases.

XAAZ-27221 of 9 July 1964. MOA by Leo Meyer: Discussions with W. Donald Stewart re question of Soviet policy towards American wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to spouses leaving with husbands, State had doubt with larger question of immig. departures.

XAAZ-22912 of 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA discuss. of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the info in report. Shown said that the Commission would not reproduce at text but refer to substance. Earlier discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

XAAZ-17623 of 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation. Regulated by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 of 10 August 1964: MOA by Murphy & Wolf re 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Stinson re defector info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of Housewife info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Stinson, Helms, Murphy, [unclear]. Report covered agreement by [unclear]

✓✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 24 Aug 64: Sergievsky or whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having heart attack in body 1960, alleged that this assault on KGB as well as he. An Am. Int. office was present. S. alleged in which one of two gets unguarded. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his excessive and behavior behavior a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or coma. Healthy life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Coaly. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been possessed & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met L.O.D. April & May. Head phrases wet appears in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Grand on by. Dist. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB prop. knew he was in the field & his purpose. He walked out of jury post both unit & union.

? ? Mental case <sup>in file</sup>  
Was this info passed to intelligence? Did it not wind up on 7 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 64: "Apparent harmlessness in Material 9 submitted the Commission by Co. 17 the Dept of State Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by Barkin & Nelson 3 July 64. Report to follow between Shannon & Wagon 9 July 1964 regarding practices re Sov. estyria departure from USSR. Report to our only was based on summary of the case, including 10 American

XAAZ 27249 contd. This <sup>part</sup> ~~is~~ <sup>is</sup> ~~in~~ <sup>in</sup> ~~Serg~~ <sup>is</sup> ~~copy~~ <sup>copy</sup> ~~of~~ <sup>of</sup> ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> ~~original~~ <sup>original</sup>.

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of H from file problem. Draft of a general reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and attached

(2) • NA: one of these pages refers to a British lab. working who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the letter~~

XAAZ - 22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren Comm. (Kaulin & Helms) for permission to publish list of docs. as author, in "Probe Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report. Wishes for a letter approval.

XAAZ - 22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MDR by Hull & Shorley on Pres. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report & letter gist of selected para & wording

XAAZ - 22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov ~~1964. Sergeevskiy, Kaulin & Helms Embassy, Moscow 29 July 1964.~~

XAAZ - 22800 of 31 Aug 64. Kaulin & Helms: Extract from memo of course title date 19 Feb 1964 sent to Comm.

XAAZ - 22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kaulin & Helms. Request - handwriting analysis of articles from LHO Cuba <sup>& comment</sup> ~~with application, all from Cuban govt. State~~ ~~translations. Also in case.~~

XAAZ - 22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations for memo. By copy to Kaulin

XAAZ - 22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State translations of LHO application Mex. for info and reply by Novena 15 Oct, plus Cuban consular comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Letter to Fisher, re  
permission to publish copy memo. 2 July 1964. re  
Statement made in an office in the Forest Experiment  
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.  
by some info

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Detained memo on dis-  
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's progress  
w. First color account is, has passed through  
Duran son D092526. Their later ledger copy  
show it as D892526. [This found to be mis-  
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0 ]  
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin  
re Rankin #240E, ex Cuba coast.  
Lagos

[NB: Betty Darling interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,  
8 April 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin & Helms for  
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in  
Minsk. A. H. Cohen, Comm. Ex. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info  
provided in memorandum of 2 Jan & 1 June 1964 re  
doin. info relative to intell. methods & org. Request  
date of 4 June 1967 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Darling on  
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports  
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable  
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 115 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.  
accident of Cuban involvement in bus organization  
aboard. ~~held up~~ <sup>at</sup> Cuban plane flight  
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, semi dressed, hood  
and suit from a well known Cuban secret  
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.  
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via  
Tijuana and Mexico city. This guy got to be sleazy.  
[FBI rejected the account because of notes and  
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA  
9434) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 7 Oct 1965: Letter from Katz, author of  
a book concerning working of security controls  
on CIA corrao. forwarded to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 11 Sept 1965: Old's only to the  
security controls on CIA corrao. Explain  
background and notes how agreement  
reached on each item. State that in no instance  
was an inv. with held from public domain  
if it had a direct bearing on the organization  
(high prepared by Chubb -

CSCI-316/01398 17 Oct 1965 1 forward copy of  
a book. letter to Sw. Sect. referring to LHO.  
Named: Um. R. DOBKINS.

↑  
This man was investigated by  
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XAA2 - 27167 of 27 June 1966.

ASA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, St.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March '64 - Mr. Wilkin, Mr. Stone et al (from  
briefing on case handling, working party  
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Lofth (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Golding)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting, prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June  
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office  
with Murphy & R.A. 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,  
Lawson, Helms, Murphy, Sogley)

NB: ASA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers  
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay  
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5877 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

re Commission's criticism of Warren Report (where  
discom is already noted). This brings down  
general conclusions out: (2) no signif. new evidence  
has emerged. (3) Critics follow selective narrative  
re details & quotations (4) conspiracy impossible in  
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a  
loner (6) Report not a much job. (7) The deaths  
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.  
Commission queried 48 witnesses, the FBI queried  
25,000.)


088-2914 28 Aug. 67: see 2 Enclosures, 1st

60 pages. Material placed in file by FBI

19 Nov 1965 conference in Washington, DC  
referred and to his family. FBI says he has  
more and more information. (See previous  
memo 27 Oct 67 in 87-1000 (NY) which shows  
letter last sent from 28 Oct 67.

XAT2-27214 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of  
General case with other American subjects  
to USSR and material relating to re-escape  
and their work (14 2)

HMA7-10152 27 Oct 1970



DST-18668 28 Aug 1971. James Earl Ray

of Little and missing. Also by that employee  
Charles William Thomas re performance of work  
referred to in comparison. (See James Earl Ray

report in comparison. (See James Earl Ray  
report 297-210, 67-59, 730-86). Copy sent by  
also to FBI of San Jose. Thomas still on. James

of case with the Ray (see above) on 10 Nov  
1965. James Earl Ray. See description

The report of the case provided

60 pages  
28 Aug 1971

14-00000  
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1965. 3 vols. Review vols of  
materials prepared for national circulation re  
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence





Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinates, Regalia) were in contact with two <sup>Honorable</sup> agents of groups led by Oscar Aguilar <sup>groups</sup> which were plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for trial. This confirmed by the sources.

Amador Del Olivo: Miguel Posa. 14 1st Lt Natl Police 54-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DBI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MARRASCEL in that capacity. Identified as chief of staff then in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo MARRASCEL Diaz, consul, consul Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in contact. San Gabriel Soc. Oct 63. Vega ref. Mexi 18 April 64 to release Regalia Rodriguez for investigation. Regalia left VC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

- Am. Mex < Mar 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Mar 6 Jun 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 13 Feb.
- Dep. Am. > Apr. 13 Apr. 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 21 Apr 63
- Dep. Mex > Apr 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Apr 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1964

NB: only 1/2 notes left turned to Co

NB: This would make her about Mex on 23 Nov 63. And her name there during LIT 63 stay 27 Sept - 2 Oct

All people against Cuba legal or otherwise must first contact Subject. He to then bring date and send it to Cuba for review dealing. This review checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Apr. from May. Nov 63 and was concerned to the Reg. Natl. Int. of DBI as Nicaragua. He left Mex. Oct. 1964. I left










-----

-----


-----







2



*Duplicate*





the legal advice or the Mex. representation or the Embassy (which was informed by the 14<sup>th</sup> Oct).

- (4) Though requested by Mexico Station, Mex. asked the Navy for a photo of H.O., but did not permit and secure a photo before the 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- (5) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17<sup>th</sup> and 18<sup>th</sup> Sept) until after the 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov. event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 15 Oct. in front of the Soviet Embassy, nor on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov.
- (7) Despite the discrepancies in description Mexico Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (the subject, being faced with a fait accompli. (Trans. not typed))
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of H.O. in Mexico city. The interest of the unidentified individual in good faith by CBS - was unreluctant and compromised the operations in its long run, though some effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.

(9) Two members of Cuban emb. known to have dealt with H.O.: Silvia Amador and Conrado Igore. Both left & replaced on 21<sup>st</sup> Nov 1953. named.

9. The thrust of Mex. intervention after the 22<sup>nd</sup> Nov event was against the USSR press, rather than the Cuban. Coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The Russian Coldcom. Conversation - after 11<sup>th</sup> of the 22<sup>nd</sup>. Which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - this must be checked

- (b) <sup>two</sup> the Brothers - Ramos Kennedy telephone calls on the — and — ,
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coverage after ~~exam~~ <sup>length</sup> based on technical coverage and since utilization of [ ] The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22<sup>nd</sup>?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show a known degree of contact between UFD <sup>was suspected by</sup> them <sup>at the time</sup> the substantive details of the intercepts?   
 viz:

(1) Case of Gileberto Hernandez Dujarte - Nica.   
 requires walk in 24 Nov. investigation   
 protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silvia Aronson. (Can her testimony in May 1967 → statements to confid. source be trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Clara Corro de los details.) S. Aronson was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we know or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Gloria Rojas Villaverde case (in broadcast & log journal). [This appears to have been rebutted.]

(4) Case of Elena Barros de Log. See the audience's reports of what they appear, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Copenhagen were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Skusa Bureau and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point that two attacks have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Barros, in attendance at Cuban Bureau's party)

(5) Observations by Eliz. Collett to Skaza (belonging down)  
 who had <sup>visited</sup> accompanied Theodore Lee on his Cuban trip for FTFC org. Skaza - 24 Jan 1963  
 Lee's relationship to FTFC activity is probably unclarified and unclarified on its face, unless it can be assumed that FTFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

some  
 on  
 (with  
 relation)

(6) Case of Exas Contreras. to him, Louis. Tompkins  
 becomes in May 1967. relation to Lee's alleged  
 contact with pro Castro student groups at U.C.  
 Madrid was attempted to secure this, request  
 for more connections.

Dr. Skaza, U.S. Bureau

5. acknowledging questionable aspects of Lee's work  
 and Lee's initial report.

- (a) He denied the most serious <sup>question</sup> ~~charge~~ of Lee's report, but he was very explicit in his denial.
- (b) He was very explicit in his denial of Lee's charges of Lee's work, but he was very explicit in his denial of Lee's charges of Lee's work.

10  
Lats: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Sorensen or Cubans or both, that must be beyond its transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Emb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage, and that his effort to redefeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his <sup>political</sup> dealings with Soviet and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent <sup>US</sup> anti-Soviet and anti-Cubanists - which he knew the Soviets and Cubans would admit after the events of 1962, they knew.

b. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Washington Embassy reception? Note that VPI would not require comment of the last statements without factual collateral. Its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated indirectly on a homicidal individual's July 1963. Therefore Castro must have a significant level of insight for what is said about the nature and degree of concealment of platform against

Prison, his alias and JFK is dead, now we see evidence  
in act of assassination whose it may have been a  
conditioned response by a psychiatric institution for  
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you have conducted  
an investigation in order to suggest that  
the assassination was indeed, a <sup>or could have been</sup> justified situation? again,  
in the absence of factual evidence that goes beyond  
what we do know, namely that it was the will  
of JFK and Walter Tippett and that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the British statements to  
his  interviewer: what specific evidential  
can he attach to what he said? (I included the  
remarks (see enclosed & page insight.)

The question of lack of supporting evidential associated  
also requiring that there were two separate sources  
which come out immediately after the assassination, one  
British (Hawkins) and the other American (Robert Gipe)  
through a variety of <sup>potential</sup> compromised circumstances which  
likely involve and cannot intelligible transmission series  
off this book

\*NB: by Gipe for a very long time and copied to the British  
40000 times, likely or more copy to the and last  
TIP: will be writing in present year, 1961.

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the word of the  
word the present in many aspects but it is not here to be to  
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not realizing what the  
anti-caste was doing, assuming they would do to be  
I know the policy was to deal with the thing in  
but not the encourage it to become desolate in land  
with no more other planning to be not assuming that the  
there is the Communist leadership in the past or not was  
the only organ with a theory in the absence of evidence  
concerning the conclusion what were to be done known  
to conclude to spit of the absence of evidence

(Note that after specifically completing his work for  
forming by his reporting identified by several aspects  
of foreign involvement.)

In part of the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's  
position was or is not known to me — it may be that  
the report did not <sup>was given subject and</sup> could have left a larger opening  
for further accumulation of evidence relative to  
the group conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was  
or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any  
case they did a number of measures or checking and  
since we are talking here of degrees of sympathy,  
successes the Report properly read does leave  
the question of ultimate responsibility of C.I. or  
a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate  
the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and  
that have investigated — in this instance by the FBI

R. Status of which developed abroad after reconstruction  
is possible direct bearing on assessment of the  
particular conspiracy theory.

- (A) South. Is. defector 1944 — info provided
- (B) Moscow — early 1944 — info provided in substance
- (C) Espionage — within for info <sup>1944</sup> ~~1943~~  
at length 1944. Associated name 3rd info  
at Berlin 1945. Identical case.

~~Additional information on espionage activities in Europe and Asia  
is available from the files of the various intelligence agencies.~~

- (D) Espionage — <sup>explaining</sup>  
to evidence that source has been provided  
with info defector, induction into, contacts  
and coverage.

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full  
facts held by agency in sworn testimony Wed. May  
6, 1964 (see Vol I:120-124, and by affidavit  
Exhibits 868 and 869). Also in summary of  
his <sup>1974</sup> 1965 that in no instance was any doc. withheld  
from public domain if it had a direct bearing  
on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a  
minimum input from WOOD and no concern  
with the involvement of Palumbo, according to  
statement of  
Coster on 7 Sept 1963. Query: what was needed,  
within all of our highest levels, to that Palumbo's  
involvement be revealed in "Clay's file" if  
not necessary and legal?

11. See memo dated 10/1/63 re Oswald case  
not to go into it but to get to bottom of it  
get her by, 1963, time

12. ~~What is the status of the Oswald case file?~~

- 12.1 Dispute - Oswald's name appears in  
file a certain date unless irregular. See  
what was said regarding Oswald's receipt  
back identification with Carter revolution.
- 12.2 The primary issue is that there could be  
some other involvement associated with  
Oswald's 1959 visit to Mexico City in 1959.
- 12.3 See also other testimony, Vol I: 124, 130, 139,  
which is included in Vol I, page 130.
- 12.4 See Johnson's exhibit testimony, 11/2/63
- 12.5 See Oswald's testimony, Vol I, page 130, 139,  
which is included in Vol I, page 130.

See  
also  
Vol I  
page 130



... contacted him, requested to bring, offered to bring  
... with his contact for info and help in the  
... distribution money. This cooperation as to Bay when  
... through found the founding asset, wearing a  
... sign, wearing name of Hiss on behalf of FPPC.  
On 12<sup>th</sup> there was a municipal court session  
for which Hiss pleaded guilty against 11<sup>th</sup>. On 14<sup>th</sup>  
... was seeing out bond in some cases. Foreign  
... of a great 1958 houses on 16<sup>th</sup>. On 21 Aug there  
was a radio debate. He also speaks of the  
... but is of date connected to the American.  
(is also related to British testimony)

FPPC is somewhat but more especially involved  
in the Hiss prosecution. What attempts

What does one make of the evidence testimony,  
... with the testimony, ... the testimony, ...  
... testimony

(2) What are the conditions of H's alleged ...  
... activities in H.O. on behalf of the FPPC? Can  
one accept this idea as best and guidance or  
... contacts. after he had begun to work & labor  
... cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?  
What does a chronic account of his ...  
... out. movements look like starting with the  
filled printed procedure dates?

See  
Delgado

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Hoshorn on  
9 Sept 1963 - was was testimony taken on this  
point, though the version that appeared in  
Time Magazine must have been seen by him.  
It reflects main line however p. 412 ff.



Notes

O-File - 289288 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under  
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Edward. Imported to  
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar, 19 Feb 1964 "Inform  
Developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Harvey  
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,  
para 4, sub 3, are stated:

"<sup>7</sup> From all the evidence on hand we deduce  
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate  
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963  
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.  
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other  
than once on the days mentioned, but we  
cannot exclude these possibilities. The words  
in para seven of same report, on page four  
and five, as based on the telephone  
calls made, do not mean that Oswald was  
at the Consulate at least once after his  
initial visit, and this, later, visit was on  
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban  
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about  
Oswald's visa problem. This may well  
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be  
certain of this conclusion."

Was Miami reported by the boss of 22 Nov, 2002, that  
AMCOT 53 reported AMISOLE delegate and radio date  
 sometime in Aug. 63 or 1962. (Other wild. Somebody  
 AMISOLE files, probably to issue some column 1960 on  
 22 Nov.

Valerij Vlad. Kostin is identified KGB agent, allegedly believing  
to be a 13<sup>th</sup> Dept operative. Being known to have worked  
in matter of military sabotage. Allegations of open hostility  
or potentially non-cooperative nature known to be not the  
basis an accepted doctrinal point at least among GLL.  
NB: we passed 2 FBI memos (25 Nov) dated re Costin and  
3 similar papers hearing on topic - 2 of these two were  
concerned with Sov case of Kost. & names. Ex. let. (Aug 61)  
& the other, Sov Sect. Ex. let. (Oct 61)

Dir 84915 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only  
the gist of intelligence info reported by Miami re "North  
American" on 23<sup>rd</sup> aft. full file review.

Report from Santiago Club 23 Nov re Cuba. 2nd section  
indicated unind. section that names were "younger or  
CIA", extreme right & segregationist to them. This  
was change Pedro Martinez, STEZ. Names to be listed  
that names were included in 712C directory that this  
common only suspect of extreme right & segregationist  
in to them? (This Santiago 6153 IN 6714),  
23 Nov 63)

NB: There was no known recorder on Sov int. on 4 Oct.  
(See Miami 7023-IN 67108). This cable relates the North Amer.  
82 the 28<sup>th</sup> calls to Oswald identified in case of 1 Oct but  
indicated no voice comparison could be done [redacted]  
last two word parts receipt of second call.

It is clear from context that FBI sent copies to  
Keller, afternoon 22<sup>nd</sup> carried 2 sets of any  
photos each returned, to Miami. but. on 27/27  
at Miami it should be the ...

14-00000

Man provided full number of all probable calls beyond  
the 27<sup>th</sup> in London & including the 3 October call by the 7033  
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252)

Messing  
Minnards  
Blair

29/4

According to Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera  
was down on 27 Sept for some mechanical  
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw  
worked personally on various subsequent  
photo trips. Was certain that malfunctions  
applicable or indicated. Not a matter of  
human malfunctions (loading & unloading),  
either. Should attempt to bring elements of  
recall - consult them on this point. We  
would get in trouble.

Derry: Granted above, why did we not  
get 5 at its usual distribution on 18<sup>th</sup> 20<sup>th</sup> ?

14-00000

{ - Culture female - formation of pollen IR interest  
worked out on line  
- at morning 1st - 2nd - 3rd - 4th - 5th - 6th - 7th - 8th - 9th - 10th  
over the moon - 1st - 2nd - 3rd - 4th - 5th - 6th - 7th - 8th - 9th - 10th  
long time, things had made things long

==  
from all that about time a week - collecting  
processed & stored printed Taxonomy  
my for pushing up a little, would be better,  
at first.  
==  
from state of affairs

1. [unclear]  
2. [unclear]  
3. [unclear]

3. [unclear]  
[unclear]  
[unclear]

Report that Phoenix City station, <sup>within its</sup> ~~was~~  
~~intended to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done  
<sup>that</sup>  
all it could, <sup>in</sup> compliance with  
headquarters instructions: there were no

further contacts by Oswald to report because  
<sup>it was ultimately assumed</sup>  
he had left Phoenix City, <sup>on 2 October</sup>  
and, <sup>in some instances</sup> ~~in~~ photographs ~~of~~ it would  
<sup>be hard to identify</sup>  
have ~~been~~ <sup>been</sup> ~~able~~ <sup>to</sup> make a positive  
identification <sup>of</sup> ~~of~~ Oswald's hotel  
registration under alias.

Tell with Michael Forman



1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? Sat, Cass 2 fri)  
Actions to W08.
2. Din 74676 to Stat, 351 < Mar. 2012 2
3. Din 74830 to Mexi, reg. Mex 6453  
22-09 2.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1993 164-0377  
1730 2. (reg. for plant.)



- Oct 4/5 = ...

- Oct publication of ... for end  
1965 - about 11 months up,

- We must now in the ... of ... with  
the Commission ... photo -

- ... ..  
... ..

28/4

... ..  
... ..  
... ..