

XAAZ-17623 2 July Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CBO in his office by Gov. Carter Panel letters: YADW. Since opinion that letter may have thought he spoke to Whitaker but really it was [unclear] have formed this one. ENH. This would have been [unclear]

XAAZ-22941 7 3 July 1964 Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Soviet docs. pertaining to Comrade's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 28 June relating a alleged statement by John Brown.

XAAZ-27222 7 7 July 1964. Letter from Rankin transmits State Dept. memo & questions re Soviet visa procedures, esp. re applicability to Soviet cases.

XAAZ-27221 9 July 1964. MOA by Mr. Wiggins: Discussion with W. Donald Ruman re questions of Soviet policy toward Russian cases of American & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?) Our reply had referred to sources having with headquarters, State had dealt with larger question of immig. departures.

XAAZ-22812 7 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA dispatch of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the info in report. Shuman said that the Commission would not reproduce at text but refer to substance. Carter discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall)

XAAZ-17623 7 22 July 1964. Helms & Rankin, transmittal of docs. translation. Requested by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

XAAZ-27209 7 10 August 1964: MOA by Sherry & Wigg on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Shuman re defector info handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of W-2s as info in Warren Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Shuman, Helms, Wiggins, [unclear]. Report formed agreement to report

✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 27 Aug 68: Sergievich or whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having killed LHO in body 1960, alleged that this account on KGB as well as he. An Am. emb. officer was present. S. alleged in plain one of two gets myocardial. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his extreme odd behavior indicates a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or injury. I really life treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Cooby. Failed medical exam. for FBI App. Institute. Claims had been present & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met LHO. April & May. Had phosors wet appear in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Based on by. Emb. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB pres. knew he was in the Emb. & his program. He walked out firing post both suit & amir.

? ? Mental case ^{is it}
Was this info passed to ^{anyone} ~~anyone~~ ? Did it not
wind up on 7 24 Aug ?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 1964: "Apparent bromocriptin in material furnished the Commission by Col. of the Dept of State" Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by Barkin & Nelson 3 July 1964. Refers to talks between Glenn & Wagner 9 July 1964 regarding questions re Sov. estyria disposition from USSR. Specifies one only mentioned in summary of 26 cases, including 10 American

XAAZ, 27249 cont'd: This ^{is} present ⁱⁿ ^{the} ^{Sergeant} ^{Walsh} ^{copy}

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of
H form file problem. Draft of a general
reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and
attached

② NA: one of these papers refers to a British ~~lab.~~
machine who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the lab.~~

XAAZ - 22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren
Comm. (Kobrin & Helms) for permission to
publish list of docs. as author. in "Probing
Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report.
Asks for a letter approval.

XAAZ - 22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MRR by Hull & Shorley
on Pros. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report & letter list
of selected para & wording

XAAZ - 22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov
X64. ~~Investigation, Kobrin & Helms~~ ~~Embassy, Moscow~~ [29 July 1964].
See additional notes

XAAZ - 22800 of 31 Aug 64. Helms & Kobrin: Extract
from memo of course title date 19 Feb 1964
sent to Comm.

XAAZ - 22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kobrin & Helms. Request
- translation, analysis of activities from LHO ~~about~~
via applications, ^{& comment} ~~all~~ from ~~author~~ ^{govt.} ~~State~~
translations. Also in case.

XAAZ - 22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Recommendations ~~for~~
copy copy. to Kobrin

XAAZ - 22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State
translations of LHO applications May. for review and copy
by Bureau 15 Oct, plus Helms ~~comment~~ comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Rankin to Helms, re
permission to publish Agency memo. 2 July 1964, re
statement made by an officer in the Soviet Consulate
in Mexico City, re a sub. memo containing info.
by same info.

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Helms memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's passport
no. First entry correct, is here passed through
Dwan on 0892526. This later ledger entry
show it as 0892526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Rankin
re Rankin A240E, re Cuba coast.
Loran

[NB: Boston Working interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
14 Sept 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22795 of 21 Sept 1964. Rankin to Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. Attached Census. Exh. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 21 June 1964 re
Oswald. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
was of 4 June 1967 re: info

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Cook on
results of visit to Commission & 4 copies FBI report
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were forgettable
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 of 15 Dec 1964: re allegation of unid.
account of Cuban involvement in ^{an} assassination
attempt. ^{held up at} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, since deceased, lived
and worked from a well known Cuban secretariat
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 he had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This forgotten by an alibi.
[DBI rejected this account because of internal
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9484) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 of 8 Feb 1965: letter from Katz, author of
DBI concerning working of security controls
on CIA corresp. furnished to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 D 27 Feb 1965: DBI's reply to the re
security controls on CIA corresp. . Explain
background and note how agreement
reached on such items . State that in no instance
was an inv. withheld from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the assassination
(Reply prepared by Chasly-

CSCI-316/01398 of 3 Grand 1965 1 forward copy of an
amb. letter to Sov. Emb. referring to LHO.
Named: U.S. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XOA2 - 27167 of 22 June 1966.

RSA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 64 AWD, Rankin, IT.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March 64 - Mr. Wilkins, Mr. Stone et al (James
Murray on case handling, working records
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Noble (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office)
with Murray & RSA, 27 March 14:30 (see above)
24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Stinson, Helms, Murray, Boyle)

NB: RSA named, p. 13 on one of the division officers
before Commission's action but omitted, in Jay
Coptain's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5277 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

on Commission's criticism of Warren Report (whose
discom is already noted). This brings down
general lines pointing out: (1) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (2) critics follow selective criticism
re details & questions (3) conspiracy, especially in
this case by nature of circumstances. (4) Oswald as a
loner (5) Report not a search job. (6) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 418 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

DBB-79914 of 28 Aug. 67: case of Eugene Guedols
Gonzalez. Mentions patent NYC, ^{issued} granted by FBI
19 Nov 1963 conferred to him member of team of
anonymous sent to help Kennedy. FBI says he was
never arrested nor interviewed. (See further
notes of 7 Feb 1967 in El Tiempo (NY) which claims
Carter looked out of team to US & built file.

XAAZ-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
Dawson case with other American cooperation
to USSR and mentions relating to re-defects
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission
of letter and accompanying docs. by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
espionage in company. (See Warren Commission Report
No. 299-310, 658-59, 730-86). Copies sent by letter
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. means
of comm. with Mrs. Perry (nee Garris) on 10 Dec
1965. Clean Garris m. to Arthur Perry. She documents
as Measurement.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

14-00000
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1905. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

Rodriguez
Lopez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinate, Regalado) were in contact with the ^{Mexican} agents of group led by Arcadio Aguilar which was plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for try. This confirmed by two sources.

Another Dis. Officer: Miguel P. ... 1st Lt Nuez
Police 59-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DGI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MIRABEL in that capacity. He acted as chief of DGI there in August, 22 June 63.

Alfredo Mirabel Diaz, Consul, arrived Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in contact. Saw Johnson Sec. Oct 63. Vega ref. Mexi 16 April 64 to release Regalado Rodriguez for inspection. Regalado left PC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

- Am. Mex < Har 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Har 6 Jan 63
- Am. Mex < Har. 13 Feb.
- Dep. Mex > Har. 13 Apr 63
- Am. Mex < Har 21 April 63
- Dep. Mex > Har 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Har 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1961

NB: only with lists turned to Co

NB: This would involve him about Mex on 9 23 Nov 63. Just before then during LIT 63 stay 27 Oct - 2 Oct

All people going to Cuba legal or alleged must first contact Subject. He to then bring date and send it to Cuba for name checking. This name checking procedure normally takes 15 days


Went back to Har. from Mex. Nov 63 and was connected to the Dep. of Intl. Aff. of DGI in Nicaragua. He left Mex. City 12 April 1964. He left

Vega meent de Nov 21 74. . . returned 1966.
was added to watchlist in possible threat
to US, 14 April 1966. Dependent on the
27 June 1966. Act 66 known as had been
the Petitioner. Interim report of the
de cultura en Cuba.

Vega meent 11/21/66 - 14 June 1967



Reported by the author of the Report


Am. Ver. sa. Broch. de Pedro L. R. 2016
How Costa Inc. Works






(1)











Duplicates

Nate

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 27 Nov the case was handled as a matter of ordinary business in both Mexi and in Hyp. Handling was by the book, keeping in mind @ Mexico Station had a large on going case load, and @ LHC, misidentified (by acct) and reported (18th Oct) to Hyp and disseminated (10th) was no more, and that case under the jurisdiction of Mexi.

2. Criticisms can be sustained against Mexico Station and Hyp concerning handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

(1) Mexico Station accepted as forwarded, 1st LHC identification of E & B based on Valla intercept only on 15th Oct.

It is pointed out as undevoted attention to the USSR. Error. Source. Or evidence from Mexico Station to the person identified by Mexico Station was not the same as the tea that was a reason to believe that he could not have been the same person.

(2) Hyp disseminated the Mexi info of the 8th on the 10th including the analysis info based on the photograde of unidentified individual.

(3) In its reply to Mexi on 11th, however Hyp gave Mexi a correct description of (LHC) which was at close variance with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, normally to break any evidential connection between the two facts.

(4) Mexico Station though asked by Hyp did not press or secure an identification or following on LHC before the arrest of Nov. (i.e. locate where he had stayed, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: meeting did

2. Criticisms can be sustained against Mexico Station and Hyp concerning handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov.

the legal attaché or the Mex. representative or the Embassy (which was informed by the 10th Det).

- (4) Though requested by Mexico Station to ask the Navy for a photo of the UHO, but did not permit and secure a photo before the event.
- (5) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 19th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 4 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy and on 15 Oct in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22/Nov.
- (7) Despite the discrepancies in description Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (in effect, being faced with a fait accompli). (Trans. notated)
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of UHO in Mexico City. The intro. of the unidentified individual - in good faith by COS - was restricted and compromised the operations in the long run, though every effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.
- (9) Two members of Cuban emb. known to have dealt with UHO: Silvia Lomon and Conrado Argente. Both left & replaced on 21/11/58. named.

9. The thrust of the information after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR report, rather than the Cuban coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The basic Calderon conversation - afternoon 22nd Nov. which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - This must be checked

- and (b) the ^{two} Brothers - Pinos through telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coverage after ~~20th~~ ^{length} based on technical coverage and size utilization of The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Smith & Labrous aware of the coverage visual & audio before 20th?

(b) Did Smith and/or Labrous have penetrations in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to show a major degree of contact between UFD ^{at various points during} than the substantive details of the intercepts?
Viz:

(1) Case of Gileberts Alvarado Ugarte - Nicaragua within 24 hr. investigation protracted through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silva Bureau. (Can see later in May 1967) → statements to confid. source he trusted? ^{!!} no there is also reason to review the Chile Case details -) Silva Bureau was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we knew or could surmise from its intercepts.

(3) Gloria Rojas Villanueva case (in broadcastings & lognormal). [This exposure to some have admitted.]

(4) Case of Elena Garro de Paz. See the audience's reports of that night affair, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in 60s denunciations were in a position to manipulate and circumscribe the Silvia Human and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point these two attacks have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Garro, in attendance at Cuban Human's party)

(5) Observations by Eliz. Cottrell de Ochoa (belonging to)
 notes lead (accompanied) ^{in person} Theodore Hill on his Cuban trip for FFFC org. Winnipeg - 21 June 1963
 Hill's relationship to FFFC activity is probably undoubted and undoubtedly on its face unless it can be assumed that FFFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

see also
 ...
 ...

(6) Case of Oscar Contreras. to him, case. Tropic requires in May 1961 relation to Hill's alleged contact with pro Castro student groups at U.S. Mexico was attempted to secure Hill, informant for CIA connections.

Dr. Betty Vildor

5. Acknowledging Guadalcanal report of Hill, which Mrs. Hill indicated.

(a) He denied the usual mode ^{of} ...
 safe to know about ...

(b) He was then ...
 ...
 ...

lets: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Soviets or Cubans or both, that must be beyond the transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Int. Unions to get things from Mexico). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage and that his support to the defeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving [and self-determined] "donations".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his dealings with Soviets and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent anti-Soviet and anti-Castro individuals which he felt the Soviets and Cuban leadership would not take the time to report, they knew.

6. What is the probative value - without factual collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of LHO's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Brazilian Embassy reception? Note that FBI records could require element of the AP statements without factual collateral its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's self-love. Therefore LHO's account must be a significant collateral weight for what is said about the active and degree of involvement of LHO against

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full facts held by Agency in sworn testimony Wed. May 6, 1964 (see Vol I: 120-124, and by affidavit Exhibits 868 and 869). Also he announced ^{1/16} on ^{2/17/64} that in no instance was any doc. withheld from public domain if it had a direct bearing on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a minimum input from Waver and no concern with the implications of Statement, concerning his Statement as Coster on 7 Sept 1963. [Query: what was reaction within all of highest levels to that statement? This should be traceable in Helms file, if not coster's file and Gp.]

11. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver and to G. B. ... on 4, 1963, see

12. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver
a) Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 4, 1963, see Exhibits 868 and 869.
b) The presumption is that these could have been Oswald's conversation with Waver on 2/18/64, based on 1969 release from Helms file, see 1969.
c) See also Helms testimony, Vol I: 120, 124, 129, who is contradicted by 1969, Vol I: 235

13. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver

14. Helms on record re Oswald's visit to Waver on 4, 1963, see Exhibits 868 and 869.

controlled him, requested a copy, offered to turn
 into a letter enclosed for info, and delivered to
 give him money. This incorporated as 3 Aug when
 strongly phoned the pending asset, wearing a
 sign, showing name of Sidell as holder of F.P.C.
 On 12th there was a municipal court matter
 for which L.H. pleaded guilty, a paid 11th. On 14th
 he was going out handbill in some cases. L.H. was
 out of court 5 1963 hours on 16th. On 21 Aug there
 was a radio debate. He also requested a copy of
 L.H. but is of little interest to the American.
 (see also records to Charles testimony)

(1) It is remarkable that no one apparently focused
 on the FBI's prosecution of the attempted

(2) What does one make of the evidence testimony,
 with other testimony, and the background, histo-
 rical

(3) What was the involvement of O's alleged son, later
 activities in H.O. on behalf of the F.P.C.? How
 are except this idea to look for evidence or
 contacts. after he had begun to write to L.H.
 cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
 What does a chronic account of his narrow
 int. movement look like starting with the
filled printed procedure dates?

See
 Delgado testimony

(4) Report does not note the AP report by Harker, on
 9 Sept 1963 - more was testimony taken on this
 point of change the decision that appeared in
Texas Bicentennial must have been seen by him.
 It reflects main line however p. 412 of.

13. Report does not consider Orlov's sequence of activity as ^{possibly} operational continuations in which Gora and Lubin were involved ~~in~~ their secret services than they can afford to admit. The Commission's report should have left ^{a matter} open on this aspect because:

- ① there was very reasonable argument and some facts to conclude awareness, acquiescence and handling of LHO ("Red Lion") in the Sov. Union and his Cuban interests, including an unresolved contact (Belgrade testimony) which went back to El Toro, and ~~if there were any doubt, Novak's testimony in KGB file is conclusive.~~
- ② The material furnished by the USSR and Cuba (via Sweden) fell far short of anything that fact and reasonable inference suggested.

(This is not to say LHO was under instruction on 22 Nov.)

14. In addition to the explicit identification of ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} suggested by the ~~house~~ ^{house} ~~(Goldman)~~ ^(Goldman) intercept - she is identified by a ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~as~~ ^{as} having been associated with the ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~being~~ ^{being} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} - thus an

① this ~~document~~ ^{document} - ~~Kenney~~ ^{Kenney} reports after the court - end 1962

(Ricardo L. ~~Robert~~ ^{Robert})

② ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~Netherlands~~ ^{Netherlands} ~~born~~ ^{born} 7 Nov 1903 - but reported after the ~~assassination~~ ^{assassination} (his ~~name~~ ^{name} was known at one time as "John" and eventually an ~~alias~~ ^{alias} known by the ~~house~~ ^{house} by his government was ~~given~~ ^{given} a ~~solidly~~ ^{solidly} ~~created~~ ^{created} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ 1961.

However, ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~document~~ ^{document} ~~from~~ ^{from} ~~Netherlands~~ ^{Netherlands} ~~born~~ ^{born} 7 Nov 1903 - but reported after the ~~assassination~~ ^{assassination} (his ~~name~~ ^{name} was known at one time as "John" and eventually an ~~alias~~ ^{alias} known by the ~~house~~ ^{house} by his government was ~~given~~ ^{given} a ~~solidly~~ ^{solidly} ~~created~~ ^{created} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ 1961.

Notes

O-File - 289248 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Henry. Reported to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar 19 Jan 1964 "before
developed by CIA on the subject of Lee Henry
Oswald in Mexico City, District 29 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, we stated:

"From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. Two words
in para seven of same report, on pages four
and five, a phrase in the Russian text
which means and means that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this later visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problems. This may well
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Wane Wane reported by the USSR of 22 Nov 2002, that
AMT-NT 53 reported AMBASSADE delegate and resident
sometime in Aug. 63 or 1962. (Other words. Summary of
AMBASSADE files related to name, same column 1963 on
22 Nov 2002)

Valerij Vlad. Kostikov is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th Dept operative. He is known to have worked
in matter of mission, sabotage. Pilgrimage of open installation
on potentially non-credible matter known to be at the
time an accepted doctrinal point at least among GML.
NB: we passed to FBI memos. (25 Nov) dated re Kotelov and
3 finished papers hearing on topic - 2 of these two were
concerned with Sov use of Kod. & news. Ex. Int. (Aug 61)
& the other, Sov St. Sp. Act. (Oct 61)

Dt 84915 of 25 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of 13:44 telephone info reported by Miami re "North
American" on 23rd aft. full file review


Report from Santiago Club re: Nov 22 re Cuba. Last meeting
indicated unind. meeting that was "yesterday
CIA", Extreme right re segregationist to KGB. This
was change Pedro Martinez, PIREZ. Source then has denied
that answer was included in 712C testimony that this
evening only suspect re testimony re right re segregationist
in to blame? (From Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov-63)

NB: There was no listening recorder on Sov. Int. on 4 Oct.
See Miami 7023 - IN 6714. This cable relates the North Amer.
82 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in case of 1 Oct, but
indicated no voice comparison could be done "because
last time was prior receipt of second call"

It is clear from context that FBI was sent copies of
Kotelov, afternoon record carried 2 sets of six
photos each reference, to Miami. but. on 7/1 & 7
at Miami at 11:00 AM.

14-00000

Mexi provided full numbers of all probable calls beyond
the 7th in Adama & including the 3 October call by # 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252).



23/4

According to C/Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera
was down on '27 feet for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trays. Also certain that was ~~conclusive~~
explicable or indicated. Not a matter of
human malfunctions & loading & unloading,
either. Would attempt to bring elements of
recall & consult them on this point. We
would get in touch.

Query: Granted above, why did we not
get E at the London institution on 18th 20th ?

- Custom create - processed in the poller. IR will not work out unless...
- At morning gets a message of error - after some time...
 oriented. promised to be... He also says
 doing other things. He had made his post here

John called about twice a week - collecting
 processed & things printed. Tom was around the
 way for just a year. A computer would be done in
 a few days.
 From Mike's account

Not a balance
from necessary
to some extent
to some extent

3/10/42
at least

Appears that Mexico City station, ^{within its} ~~was~~
~~position to do anything~~

Limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, ⁱⁿ compliance with
headquarters instructions; there were no

further constraints by Oswald to report, because
^{it was ultimately essential,}

he had left Mexico City, ^{on 2 October}

and, ^{in view of} ~~there were~~ photographs ^{which} it would
^{take any special effort}

have ^{been} ~~been~~ ^{to} make a positive
^{identification}

identification of Oswald's hotel
registration under alias.

Tell with Michael Forman

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? set, case 2 fig)
Action to web.
2. Dis 74676 to Stat, 3-51-2012
3. Dis 74830 to Mexi, ref. Mex 6453
22092.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1953 74-6357
17302. (copy for photo)

What is

111 Report in 013

Edmund S. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Disarmament Council of the Americas, New Orleans.
ask for testimony not taken from this man

Get Sony joined up by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assoc. report for
the Berlin + Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call Gene on non-family of labor
circle

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 12³

✓ Get transcription copy of H. Water & work

I don't know if you have seen the
report on the... The...
H. Water...

We can see the...
=

Discussions with H. Phillips 28 April = it was
Custom camera this was done, he does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing photos of the Emb. Council &
anti-air Club.

- Get the ...

- Get published ... for end
1963 ...

- We must ... in the ... of discussion with
the Commission ...

- ...

28/7

Mike ...