

VIA: COURIER

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION AKLASH/1
TO: Chief, WF COS, []		X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
ATTN: C/WF/4			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM: Chief of Station, []			EXCEPTED
SUBJECT: KEWAY/PERUMEN AKWHIP/2			
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
ACTION: See paragraph 5			
<p>1. At a 20 August 1965 meeting AKWHIP/2 was asked about a series of PERUMENs (15 in all) who, he was told; are or have been in Rome. Included in the list at intervals were AKLASH/1, 2, and 3. (BUNCE Comment: In accordance with a discussion with LANGOSCH during his recent trip to Rome.) AKWHIP/2 was given no further information and nothing about the fifteen men except their names.</p> <p>a. Subject did not respond re AKLASH/3.</p> <p>b. Subject had heard AKWHIP/1 and others mention AKLASH/2, whom Subject does not know personally. He knew of him only that he had been in Paris a number of times and that he was said to be stupid.</p> <p>c. Subject knows AKLASH/1 well, although not as well as does AKWHIP/1, through whom AKWHIP/2 met AKLASH/1. Subject described AKLASH/1 as a very complicated person of confusing and perhaps confused loyalties. Once, when AKLASH/1 was so drunk and ill that he was heading for the bathroom to vomit, he kept shouting curses at the AKTHUG regime which "murdered my son." Sober, he is also critical of AKTHUG. But as soon as anyone else is similarly critical, AKLASH/1 immediately defends AKTHUG and his government. AKWHIP/2 believes that AKLASH/1 is emotionally and psychologically mixed up and does not know where he stands.</p> <p>d. AKWHIP/2 knows why AKWHIP/1 and AKLASH/1 met. (He did not state the reason and was not asked.) He knew, however, only through what AKWHIP/1 volunteered, and not through being present at any meetings. When these occurred, if AKWHIP/2 chanced to be present, he left the room voluntarily and at the outset.</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	OIRA-41342	24 August 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER	
		201-726079	