OGC 75-2491
8 July 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Associate Deputy Director for Administration
Associate Deputy Director for Operations
Associate Deputy Director for Science and Technology
Associate Deputy Director for Intelligence

SUBJECT: Eugene B. Dinkin v. Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agency

1. This Office has recently received a copy of a complaint filed on 26 June 1975, against the Department of Defense and this Agency in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York by Eugene B. Dinkin. Mr. Dinkin is seeking damages based upon a belief that a Warren Commission document (No. 943) entitled "Allegations of PFC Eugene Dinkin re: Assassination Plot" is a misrepresentation by CIA and DOD of the facts surrounding his assertion in 1963 of prior knowledge of the assassination of President Kennedy.

2. I would appreciate a response from your office on the existence of any files or records on Eugene B. Dinkin by 21 July 1975. Should there be a delay in complying with this request or should you have any questions, please contact me on x7503 or x1395 (red).

EMILE L. JULIAN
Office of General Counsel
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>NAME AND ADDRESS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>A/DDO</td>
<td>10 JUL 75</td>
<td>JF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C/CI Staff</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>C/CI/IS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>DIRECT REPLY</th>
<th>PREPARE REPLY</th>
<th>APPROVAL</th>
<th>DISPATCH</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
<th>COMMENT</th>
<th>FILE</th>
<th>RETURN</th>
<th>CONCURRENCE</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Remarks:

1-2.

Please Act for

3-5 You may wish to consult with Paul Hartman on the Warren Commission thing. C/CI
MEMORANDUM FOR: Office of General Counsel

ATTENTION: Mr. Emile L. Julian

SUBJECT: Eugene B. Dinkin v. Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agency

REFERENCE: Your memorandum to the Associate Deputy Director for Operations (OGC 75-2491), dated 8 July 1975, subject as above.

1. Your memorandum was referred to the Counterintelligence Staff for action. In it you inquired about the existence of any files or records on DINKIN.

2. Attached are copies of all pertinent documents and cables concerning Eugene B. DINKIN. These are part of the personality file on Lee Harvey OSWALD, and are thus readily available at any time. There are no other references to subject in the DDO records system. Please note that the document with the source symbol and number, XAAZ-17618, dated 19 May 1964, is also Warren Commission Document No. 943.

3. Any proposed use of the attachments or the information contained in them will require coordination with this Staff, which will of course want to sanitize them.

George T. Kalaris
Chief
Counterintelligence Staff

Attachments: (1) BERN 2397, 7 Nov. 63
            (2) BRLN 4752, 8 Nov. 63
            (3) BERN 2405, 8 Nov. 63
            (4) DIR 85770, 29 Nov 63
            (5) XAAZ-17618, 19 May 1964
Distribution:

Orig & 1 - OGC
1 - ADDO
1 - C/CI/IS
1 - CI/IS nametrace file
1 - GIRA - M.P. Hartman
1 - IS chrono
Re OGC request concerning DINKIN (OGC 75-2491) — on 16 July I received the attached transmittal slip from OGC, asking C/CI/IS to act for the DDO. I passed the request to Paul Hartman on 18 July, and he and I prepared a response the same day (CI 155-75). This package was misplaced in one of my safes. On 30 July there is a note to the effect that CI/EXO was handling the matter for CI Staff. On 29 August, Diana Porter recorded the following:

"CI/EXO office called saying they had an inquiry regarding OGC case #75-2491 (DINKIN) stating that CI Staff was only component still outstanding on this request. I took our completed file to CI/EXO, which indicated CI/EXO was office of control. CI/EXO remembered case and is checking his records and will reply to OGC."

There is no record of any reply from CI/EXO in our files, so I do not know whether the five documents attached to CI 155-75 were in fact passed.

Attachments
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