Polder 13 TTEN#2

DRAFT

deMohrenschildt (Cont'd)

(Insert A)

CIA HAS NO OBJECTION TO
DECLASSIFICATION AND/OR
RELEASE OF THIS DOCUMENT
AS SANITIZED

RECORD

RUR 6-4-97

FOR RELEASE.

to Dundson during te last week of Decertur, 1963. Le Corterts & de ressage were not brown.

[DAVIDSON BACKOPOUND]

Level Stelly and Committee Final Regort, reference is made to a regorted fine-how delay of a Cubana flight from Mouro Cty to Havana the evening of Prisodent Kennedy's assassination, 42 Nevember 1963. The Senter Intelligence Committee Right descarbes the delay as being from 6:00 PM EST to 11:00 PM EST. The most integring assert of the regort was that the regorted delay was to award arrival at 10:30 PM EST of a grunt turn-engined arrival at 10:30 PM EST of a grunt turn-engined arrival the desisted an unidentified descent who branched the Cubana arrival without customs cleanance and traveled to Havana in the Julit's cubin. The senate Stelly was first Regort emphrasized CIA's against failure to feeling by ingining further into the mother [6:1]

Book I & the senate intelligence Committee Finish Regard states that the CIA ends not explain at the time of the writing 2 the regard, why there was no record of a following. The Sential Intelligence agency o 1977 staff report explains that the Senate Intelligence Committee was aireard—that the Mexican authorities were asked about the regarded flight alley, although there was me recorded response, Letting from CIA to Senate

The Central Intelligence Agency, conducted regular surveillance of Cubana flights, pling cable regards to Headquarters. There was one unlateral of CIA surveillance team (JiFIRE) that observed arrivals and departures of Cubana flights, regarding any unusual incidents and grinding copies of Jelight manifest. The Mexican authorities 25 That a

surveillances team [25 Jut the airport, which hotographo of grasporte and copies of passanger. lists. Odditionally [a telephone tag operation (Lienvox)] [against the Cuban Embarsy Divided Iteansmits conversations with the Culana give and the Mexican aigost Control Office (16d) The [LIENVOY] transcripts pread a series of discussions about the status of the 22 Muember flight --[Shee records show that the flight arrived at the landed a few minutes earlier. At one goint great to arrival of the aircraft, one geroon [squatury on the] [telighone] stated that the averyt was due at 1636 hours and " it will go at 1730, puggesting a quick time, as well so serving to a weig short grid. How D. M. 3] time, as well as servering to the key right on the departure of the ancient was a statement at 2040 hand that the arrest had taken go fine minutes earlier, 1.2., 2025 Louis [[14 d, No. 71, 1965]]

The following facts stand not, in content to the growtherm in the sende distilline Committee Final Right.

a) The Cubana flight was on the grand in Mexico City for a total of four hours and about ten minutes. It was not delayed fine hours, as righted in Book I.

b) The Cubana flight total off at 2035 hours Mexico.

City time, 55 minutes ahead of the "alleged" animal at 3th synthem.

2130 & a grivale flight with a secret gassinger. 2035 hours also further with the alleged departure.

11.13

Œ

12

time of the Cubana flight, which the senate Intelligence right stated to be 2200. The actual degraline greeded substantially the regorted around of the averaft for which it ollegedly was delayed

In view of the survillance Jensenge of the Culous flight, it is very doubtful that the alleged attenty involving the guinte twee engued arough and occurred. Personnel in Mexico arty at the time were owere 2 these somes and may have know the above facts, freling is need to follow further

The state of the s

ting the second of the second

en de la composition de la composition

and the second of the second o

13

15

The Passenger on the 27 November 1963 Flight

At pages 61-63 and 104, the SSC Final Report describes in considerable detail information concerning a Cuban-American who came to the attention of the CIA and the FBI in the period following the assassination of President Kennedy. The introductory comments of the SSC Final Report state that:

"... one source alleged that the Cuban-American was linvolved!"

... one source alleged that the Cuban-American was 'involved' in the assassination!"

The SSC Report states that the CIA reported the case to the FBI "almost immediately," but that the Bureau did not conduct a follow-up investigation "as part of (its) work for the Warren Commission." Further down the same page the SSC Report states that "(t)he FBI did investigate this individual after receiving the CIA report of his unusual travel." At page 63 the SSC Report observes that "...the suspicious travel of this individual coupled with the possibility that Oswald had contacted the Tampa chapter (of the Fair Play for Cuba Committee--FPCC) certainly should have prompted a far more thourough and timely investigation than the FBI conducted..." We do not know just what the Bureau did in thsi respect, nor have we tried to resolve the apparent inconsistencies in the SSC Report noted above, but the SSC Final Report contains considerable detail about the man, presumably reflecting the results of FBI inquiries.

While this section of the SSC Report is directed primarily at the FBI, we reviewed the reporting because of CIA's initial role in reporting about the man. There is also one implicit spitioism of CIA which will be man.

the man. There is also one implicit criticism of CIA, which will be noted.

Book V of the SSC Final Report has the following summary statement at page 104, in the chronology section:

"December 5- Mexico Station cables that someone who saw the Cuban-American board the aircraft to Havana on November 27 reported that he 'looked suspicious'..."

At page 61 it states that there "is no indication that CIA followed-up on this report (that the man was "involved in the assassination"), except to ask a Cuban defector about his knowledge of the Cuban-American's activities." (sic.)

The presentation of this matter in the SSC Report contains some inaccuracies. First, the Mexico City Station did not cable Washington that the man "looked suspicious." There was a cable, (Mexi-7253, IN74227, 12/5/63) dated 5 December 1963, but it reported that the man had "crossed at Laredo, Texas on 23 November," that he registered at a certain hotel in Mexico City at a certain time and departed for Havana "as only passenger on Cubana Flight on night 27 November," and that there was a good photograph of him taken at the airport. This was followed by a dispatch (HMMA-22579, 12/5/63) the same date, repeating the basic information in the cable, enclosing the photograph, and containing the following cryptic statement:

"Source states the timing and circumstances surrounding Subject's travel through Mexico and departure for Havana are suspicious."

This comment is cryptic, at least, and-given that dramatic moment in history-doubless reflects a preliminary comment of a person who was on the alert at that time for anything that might be construed as possibly unusual. The above quotation was the Station's actual report of the observation by the source, and is what was reported to the FBI; it differs from the quotation in the SSC Report. There was an internal memo (See Memorandum for the Record, 3 December 1963) in the Station that was even more cryptic, but

which was in the nature of an informal reminder, which stated that the man was reported/ to have "looked suspicious"; but this formulation never found its way into the more careful statements that usually characterize official re-j porting. The point is that the observation was cryptic and impresionistic, rather than constituting a tangible basis for dramatic activity or final conclusions.

There is one piece of reporting that could confuse those reviewing the record, but which is essentially resolved when considered in the context of known facts. On 19 March 1964, [IN 43193, 3/19/64] [IN 4319

There is one additional aspect of the matter, in which the record is confused. If we are to comment negatively on the presentation by the SSC in its emphasis on report, we must point out that the Mexico City Station's response to the Monterrrey report contributes to such confusion as may exist on the matter. When Mexico City received the Monterrey cable the Deputy Chief of Station replied (MEXI-8740, IN 43940, 3/20/64)that the information in the report "jibes fully with that provided Station by (Mexico City source) 4 December 63." It did not jibe in most respects, other than the date and place of entry into Mexico. The mistake of that cable cannot be explained today, but wrong it obviously was. It does, however, serve to highlight the basic unreliability of the report and indicate how it shouls be considered responsibly.

Implicit criticism of CIA's not collecting more information on the man is not well founded. It had no real sources with access to information concerning him; when a defector from Cuba became available with such information he was queried and the results were provided the authorities.

CUBAH AMERICAN FOOTHOTES

- I. The Investigation of the Assassination of President John

 F. Kennedy: Performance of the Intelligence Agencies,

 Book V, Select Comm. to Study Governmental Operations,

 4/23/76, P. 61. Senate Report No. 94-755.
- 2. ld.
- 3. Classified Stlate Survivary of Review of CTA Documents,
 MACOSOFFEE.

4. la.

5. CIA Downert Mexi In 72829 3 Dec 1963

6. Classified CIA Document _____ 4 Dec 1963

7. la.

8...

Classified CIA Downert 9. Mexi 7253, IN 74227, 12/5/63

10. ld.

11. Classified CIA Document Mentional Extractor Total 12/5/63

12.12.

ا بدا . 13. 14. Classified CIA Document 15. ld. 16 Classified UA Downent 18. la. 18. 6. 19. 10. 26. la.

13. July Classified CIA Document, Personality File Action Request,

25. la.

16 December 1963, #201-741600.

24. Classified Ch Downert

254 FBI Beport, Markey, Serial 105-126109-12, p.

28. 16 id. at

Zi, Ibia. at

30. Ibia at

31. Ibia. at

32.16, i. ot

33. 16.2. ex

34.

瑟.

34.

37...

38.

39.

to.

41.

42.

45

44

45.

46

47.

₩.

49. 151 i. at

According to Lopez's F.B.I. file, he registered with the Selective Service at Key west on July 29, 1960 and was classified 4-F on February 23, 1962 due to a language barrier.

50.

51.

52. FBI Report, 3/31/64, Serial 105-126109-9

53 Ibia. az

54. 1612

55.

56.

57.

58.

59...

60.

61.

62. FBI Report, 10/26/64, Serial 105-126109-13

Bresident John F. Kennewy, Book V, p. 63.

64. ld.

15. See Senate Report, p. 104

bb. CIA Classified Dowment, 1977 Inspector General's Report,

Tab B, p. 16.

67. BARNOMO

68. la.

69. Ibid. at 17