104-10107-10084

DEVILLE

gad

## RETURN TO CIA Background Use Only Do Not Reproduce

OGC 69-0399

4 March 1969

MICS

the Office of Security, which carried with it the inference that he had been privy to all the reports | gave to the FBI. Roberts was told that I had dealt with Mr. Hogan's office, the New York District Attorney, and Mr. Morgenthau, the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, and had talked with Herbert Itkin personally.03 was fully cooperative during the entire debriefing and did not hesitate to discuss any topics. has not been involved in anv We concluded that of Itkin's illegal activities since\_ \_\_\_\_has been an employee of CIA. It is my opinion that is an individual with a strong sense of dedication to principles so long as they result in what he considers a worthwhile sense of accomplishment. I think it is significant to mention this assessment since does not give the impression of having been motivated solely by monetary rewards in any of his activities. This lack of concern for money was disturbing during certain phases of the debriefing because was rather vague about the amount of income he received from the law firm of He stated that he used a drawing account and only took what was needed for his living expenses gives the impression of being a proud individual who is not prone to admit to his personal deficiencies. 02 (LPGLOBE files contain several references to admonitions given by station representatives to for non-achievement in the operational field. Although did not allude to these admonitions voluntarily, he did discuss them when asked. It was our feeling that did not discuss with Herbert Itkin his employment with CIA, nor did he discuss with Itkin his earlier reporting activities to the FBI.03 not aware of Itkin's relationship to CIA and did not know of Mario Brod's affiliation with CIA. After the debriefing had been concluded, told of the possible exposure of his employment with CIA by Itkin's defense counsel, F. Lee Bailey, should Itkin be tried in the New York state court.<sup>0</sup> | appeared to be genuinely disturbed and expressed bitter feelings toward Itkin since he

## SECRET

	feels that such exposure could prevent him from performing
	future operational assignments. He is enthusiastic about his
	will permit him to pursue his operational tasks in the very
	near future.
	<u></u>
	7. Mr. left Frankfurt for the night of
	21 February and was told to continue with his disengagement
	plans as his departure from had already, been announced
	to his local contacts. It was suggested that take a boat of
	from to Marseille. After his arrival in Marseille,
	was to proceed to Austria and take a ski vacation which is con-
•	sistent with earlier vacation plans when he traveled from
	to Austria during the month of Manch for ality in
	to Austria during the month of March for skiing in previous years. Roberts has been instructed to remain in contact with
2	The state of the s
	(LPGLOBE so that further instructions can be furnished him when
	a firm estimate of future events with regard to the Itkin trial
	can be decided.
	8. On 24 February 1969, I discussed with Mr. David 63
	8. On 24 February 1969, I discussed with Mr. David ७३
	murphy, Chief of Station,   the possible exposure of
	in the event Herbert Itkin is tried in the New York state court.
	I explained how had been a of Itkin but as a
>	result of our debriefing in Frankfurt we had concluded that
ול	was not involved with illegal activities with Itkin since
3	has been employed by CIA. Mr. Murphy appreciated
	being briefed on the complicated case but indicated that he was
	anxious for to arrive in for his operational assign-
	ment in view of the priority need for his services in penetrating
	certain leftist organizations. Mr. Murphy felt that if Headquarters
	spend this time in establishing himself with the target
	community and not have any station contacts. Mr. Murphy
	understands that this decision of necessity must be made at
	Headquarters, but that he as Chief of the Station does not
	feel that circumstances as they exist today represent a compromise
	of such magnitude that would preclude operational assignment
	to
	15-4-12
	and the second s
	Jun / Fleary
	JOHN K. GREANEY
	Assistant General Counsel
	cc: C/EurDiv
	CCS/LPGLOBE
	D/Security 3
	C/CI/R&A

19/M