

259120

26 September 1960
(Redacted)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT : Report of Meeting with Sergio Rojas

REFERENCE: [REDACTED] 301 (NY 17910), 24 September 1960

21-1

1. When it was learned here that Rojas was intending to go to Miami and there to stay with Ricardo Loris, it was thought it might be best to talk to him before he visits Miami so as to somewhat condition his thinking before he is exposed to the full blast of Marxist sentiment which he is certain to get from Loris and associates. I telephoned Rojas in New York on 25 September, using the incredible recognition phrase given in Paragraph 5 of reference, and he agreed to stay off in Washington en route to Miami 27 September.

2. I met with Rojas for several hours in the Sheraton-Carlton Hotel on 27 September. Rojas began our conversation with a lengthy discourse intending to establish a picture of himself as a complete neutral among the opposing Cuban exile groups, as not being a member of any group and heavily endowed with objectivity. As a matter of fact in the course of our discussion he spoke with seeming objectivity, but no balance clearly favored the point of view of those young revolutionaries of two types who now make up the Alianza de Liderazgo, who find themselves rejecting and rejected by the FID. He is well acquainted with the history of the MNR's part in the formation of the FID, the initial selection of AMILCAR-L to represent the MNR, and what occurred later to create the split of the MNR with the FID. He presented the usual argument that the MNR had made a bad mistake in allowing AMILCAR-L to be their representative in the FID, and AMILCAR-L was subsequently captured by the political and came finally to a point of representing only his own views and interests in the FID, largely to the exclusion of the MNR interests. (This argument, which was as well presented by Rojas as I've ever heard it, is accurate. Is is the thing which we have tended to sweep under the rug and perhaps have not taken sufficient notice of the fact that the MNR dissidents, so called, which were expelled from the FID, was in fact the heart core of the original MNR.) Rojas spoke at length about the Alianza also and said that he had talked with a number of these people in New York the day before. He loudly lamented the fact that a number of valuable young men, particularly of the military type, are being wasted as a result of these differences which exist between the young revolutionaries and the FID, as he put it. I told him that I agreed with him but that I thought that the solution for these military types was simple - i.e., if they could present themselves as individuals offering their services to the FID that they would be accepted and their valuable services would be put to good use. Examples in this case were Crimenes, Pino Acosta, Rino Diaz, et al. (Presumably, Rojas was completely unaware of Pino Diaz's mission.) I suggested in this connection that people of this type were being victimized by such people as Luis Coeste Aguirre who in support of their political aspirations are using these military types for violence-breeding and political bargaining fodder.

RETURN TO CIA
Background Use Only
Do Not Reproduce

VBR

-2-

Rojas seemed somewhat sympathetic with this argument so I suggested that he might use whatever influence he had to influence people like Chaves, whom he describes as being moderate in New York and sympathetic to the point of threatened suicide, to break away from the politicos and join the FED.

3. Rojas then began to speak of the basic differences between again that he calls the young revolutionaries, mostly the original 26th-of-July Movement people, and the politicos, such as Vargas, Lopez Arango, et al., whom they refer to as "Los Viejos". The young revolutionaries feel that with "Los Viejos" in power that Cuba will slide backwards toward the old political regimes and that these "vietas" are only paying lip service to the proposition that the original aims of the revolution must go forward but without Castro and, of course, without any Communist influence. Rojas obviously referred to these politicos in the PDC as being too far to the right. I said that this view of theirs was completely contrary to the view held by most who consider them to be liberals and probably far to the left. I added that if these people were in, even to the left, they would be a source of serious worry to the U. S. Government. Rojas changed the subject.

4. He wanted then to know if it would be possible for the U. S. Government to lend its support to more than one group. When I responded that the U. S. Government was not supporting any group, Rojas just shrugged. He went on to say that if support could be given to individuals such as the military types he had mentioned, especially anti-pactos to the Alianza, for example, as a whole organization (stating at Santa Agnus pitch), that this would not only gain the services of many valuable people in the right against Castro but might also the means of political stability for the future. He pointed out that if the young revolutionaries who are now rejected by the PDC are stifled in their efforts to contribute to the anti-Castro struggle, they will represent a built-in anti-American Cuban element for the future, which could be very dangerous to U. S./Cuban relations. I think there is a fair amount of substance to this argument and I told him so. In any case, Rojas was given the "works" on U. S. involvement in these exile affairs (which, of course, he was trying, and trying hard to do whatever he can to bring about unity among all groups). He was told that the FED appeared to be the group with the greatest organization and greatest apparent potential for success, and that all concerned would be well advised to attempt to re-establish contact. Rojas said that he had a strong feeling that unity on this basis was not possible at this time because the feeling among the young revolutionaries was running too high and too emotionally against the JDC. He said he thought these young revolutionaries feel that they have been thrown out of, self-righteously, families, and their friends and associates in the U. S. Government; have traded their books and abandoned them. Because of this they are suffering bitterness and disillusionment. Rojas then suggested that perhaps I would be willing to arrange a meeting for him with some representatives of the JDC, specifically this was not to be one of the five principals, who could meet with me in an attempt to settle their differences and re-establish relationships and cooperation with the FED. I told him that this was an interesting suggestion but I thought it was not possible, but then as the U. S. Government, while

14-00000

-3-

it maintains a continuous interest in these affairs and his occasional contact with the several groups, is not and will not become involved. Rojas said he knew this to be untrue and is bothered at the insistence that the U. S. Government is not sponsoring the AIAU. I made the counter-suggestion to him that he inform his friends, e.g., Santa Agnese and Luria, to approach the PED again and attempt on their own to make some accommodation. In doing so, I suggested they might consider obtaining the services of an older, more sophisticated, mature individual whom they could trust to represent them in these overtures to the PWD. I said I thought that the PWD's difficulties, for example, stem from poor representation. I believe that Rojas immediately mentalized himself in this role. Our discussions ended at this point.

S. Rojas said that he was planning to stay in Miami only a few days, after which he would return to London. He said further that if as a result of his visit here he became convinced that he could contribute substantially to this Cuban exile situation in the U. S., he would return; otherwise, he plans to remain in Europe and attempt to find employment there. In Miami he stated he will stay with Luria, whose new phone number is Canal 6-0181.

~~RICHARD A. REICHEART~~

AMERELA (28 Sept 1960)

Distribution:

0 - C/R/A
1 - Elias Dossier
I - WH/4/PA Chrome