

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

**PRIOR TO FILING**

1. Fill in Sect. 2. on back. Detach back flap and forward to RID.
2. Destroy front cover sheet, unless it records significant actions taken or comments requiring preservation.

**TO: ACTION BRANCH**

If file no. and title shown are not proper, insert proper file no. below and forward document to RID/AN.

**FROM:**

*RID/AN*

**PROPER FILE NO.**

**TO: ALL ADDRESSEES**

FILL IN SECTION 1 ON BACK, IF APPROPRIATE

**COMMENTS**

TO	ROOM NO.	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS
		RECEIVED	FORWARDED	
1.				
2.	<i>WE-1</i>	<i>9/5</i>	<i>9/5</i>	<i>RF</i>
3.	<i>DC/WE-1</i>	<i>9/5</i>	<i>9/5</i>	<i>W</i>
4.	<i>WE-1/K</i>	<i>9/5</i>		<i>W</i>
5.	<i>WA</i>	<i>9/5</i>		<i>W</i>
6.	<i>WE-1/CE</i>	<i>9/5</i>		<i>W</i>
7.	<i>DC/WE-1</i>	<i>24/5</i>	<i>24/5</i>	<i>W</i>
8.				
9.	<i>WE-1/CE</i>			<i>W</i>
10.				
11.				
12.				
13.				
14.	<i>RID/AN</i>			<i>W</i>
15.	<i>M/UIS</i>			<i>W</i>

**MICROFILMED**

**JUN 19 1961**

**DOCUMENT MICROFILM SERVICE**

*cc sent 5/2/2*

*Subject has been listed effective 1970.*

*6-7: This of two views about this one. In a sense, I'm inclined to like subject's retention of free value. I don't see what program would have been... only great the government of intelligence is a... functions. Last bit would be continued surveillance, reinterrogation (with threat of dismissal), and checking on... stop with several... attempts to...*

**INDEXED**

*14: pls file orig and att in*

RETURN TO CIA  
Do Not Reproduce  
Ground Use Only

16. RETURN THIS DOCUMENT TO RID FOR MICROFILMING BEFORE IT IS FILED. IF THIS DOCUMENT IS TO BE RESTRICTED, CHECK HERE RESTRICTED TO

17. RID/AN *WE-1/CE - For filing in 201-294855*

FILE TITLE: Unit Designation *501-294855*

FILE NUMBER (PRIMARY CODE) *201-294855*

TRACE REQUEST

ABSTRACT *X*

INDEX *X*

DATE MICROFILMED

DOCUMENT DATE *with Ref 6*

DOCUMENT NUMBER *201-302030*

*05054*

<b>DISPATCH</b>		SECRET	CLASSIFICATION
TO	Chief, WE		
INFO	Chief, SR	<b>P R I O R I T Y</b>	JUN 19 1961
FROM	D/Chief of Station, [redacted]		DATE: April 1961
SUBJECT	ROODCAP/LCLMFCVE - Meeting of Harry Alfons *SUNDVIK (b. 19 Sept. 1930 in Helsinki) and Anatoliy Mikhailovich *KLIMOV (201-224935) in Leningrad	NO INDEX - CHECK Y ONE	
		INDEXED FOR INDEXING	
		NO INDEXING REQUIRED	
ACTION REQUIRED	PVT		INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ DESK ONLY
REFERENCES	5048, 21 April 1961		

1. Transmitted herewith are the following:
  - A. A five-page report of ROODINE's interrogation of Harry Alfons SUNDVIK on 25 April 1961
  - B. A two-page memorandum from SUNDVIK covering points he had forgotten during the interrogation

2. When reading the attached interrogation report, there are a number of points to be noted. First of all, SUNDVIK's first story was a lie and it was only when he was pressed by ROODINE that he made any mention of his meetings with KLIMOV. Therefore, this story could be only the second line of defense and not a true story of their relationship. Second, the story does not attempt to explain why, when SUNDVIK had a week off from work (from Saturday, 8 April to Sunday, 16 April), he only spent the four middle days on his trip instead of utilizing the rest of his time. Third, why KLIMOV persisted until the last moment and made arrangements to see SUNDVIK again socially in Helsinki if he had really "lost his grip" to SUNDVIK's superior arguments and hostile attitude as SUNDVIK states. Anyway, to say the least, we are not ready to accept SUNDVIK's story as it stands. He has been asked to write out in detail, at least five single-space typewritten pages, the history of his contacts with KLIMOV. We will check this paper against the report in hand, for a starter.

3. VALINK/6 is being kept informed of the details of this case. He agrees with ROODINE that there is a good deal more than has been revealed so far. (By the way, we might mention that this is another case in which VALINK/6 and the help of the VALINK organization have been invaluable. They have provided full background reports on all concerned, and have been surveilling SUNDVIK off and on ever since we expressed our interest in him.)

4. As far as KLIMOV's statements about COSTILLE and ROODINE go; this was only to be expected and does not surprise either of them. For the history of COSTILLE's previous encounters with KLIMOV, see OPMA-4981, 20 March 1961. ROODINE ~~XXXXXX~~ has never met KLIMOV.

5. The Ambassador, the Counselor, the Security Officer and the General Services Officer (SUNDVIK's immediate boss) are aware of this case. The interrogation took place in the Security Officer's office. Only ROODINE and SUNDVIK were present, but FURSTOSS was in the adjoining office listening through the wall.

6. We still have not figured out exactly how to handle this case. SUNDVIK will eventually be dismissed, but we would like to get some more information before we let go of him. Anyway, since he has been working at the Embassy since 1949 there is not much to be gained by throwing him out tomorrow. He has volunteered that he will be seeing KLIMOV in the next few days to collect the bottle of vodka mentioned on page five of Attachment A, and we would like to hear the story of the meeting. It is possible that we might ask for assistance to LOMPUTTER SUNDVIK, if this is feasible, since a lot might be learned from this. (SUNDVIK's command of English is excellent, as witness Attachment B, which he prepared by himself.) One thing we do not intend to suggest, and that is a double-agent operation. Considering the position he holds, SUNDVIK is too dangerous to us. We can just imagine KLIMOV licking his chops when he first

*copy*  
*302-303*  
*290*

**INDIV X**

3/BR

checked into SUNOVIK's background (for partial information, see reference). Here is a Finnish employee of the American Embassy with natural access (by the direction of the Americans) to SUNOVIK's office once a week or more. SUNOVIK knows all the couriers personally and also has complete information on their schedules. Moreover, he can wander about the Embassy offices (except the Station's, of course) almost at will. He would have been surprised if there hadn't been any recruitment attempt. We might point out here that the above does not only apply to SUNOVIK but to several other Finnish employees as well. In this connection, please note the last paragraph of attachment A.

7. One last point: we are at present translating a long report from the VALIKS on Harry SUNOVIK's fiancée (hökka Kivikö) and her family. This will be transmitted next week. We might note, however, that her father, Kalle Edvard \*MANTILA, born 17 March 1903 in Teisko, is a long-time Communist with an impressive police record.

*Madame S. Furstoss*  
MADAME S. FURSTOSS

Attachments:  
As stated in para. 1

Distribution:  
0 & 2 - WE, w/atts. single copy each  
2 - SR, w/atts. single copy each  
2 - [ ] w/atts.

14-1

*Harry Alfons SUNOVIK* <sup>of Finland</sup> PD08:  
19 Sept '30, Helsinki, Finland  
Subj's interrogation report re:  
contacts w/ Anatolij Mikhaylo-  
wich KLIMOV (201-294855), sus-  
pect R.E.S.

*Anatolij Mikhaylovich KLIMOV* <sup>of USSR</sup>  
(201-294855). Report on subj's con-  
tacts w/ Harry Alfons SUNOVIK,  
in Leningrad + Finland

(2)