

# DISPATCH

Chief of Station, [Redacted]

DATE: [Redacted]  
CLASSIFICATION: [Redacted]

Chief, SA via Chief, [Redacted]

22 Oct 59

ASPAWEX/5

Return photos to ASPAWEX/5

OFRA-7083

1. Comments regarding the continued participation of ASPAWEX/5 in your BRISKID affairs have been held pending customer evaluations of her reporting. With those in hand, we felt a more equitable judgment on our part could be made of her potential as a second short-term mounted KGB/INRA or as a long term resident asset in the USSR.

2. The CR implications of A/S's relationship with Gregory GOLOB and an assessment of A/S for utilization in a CR operation, have been summed up in CYPB-215, and it is generally agreed here at Headquarters that A/S would be unsuitable for this type of an operation. Depending on the end results desired of an operation, a relationship with Soviets outside by a prospective BRISKID traveler, could be viewed either positively or negatively. If, for example, A/S had been encouraged to further her liaison with GOLOB, then a trip to the USSR at some future date might have been recommended for the purpose of gaining additional information on his activities, or even on home ground. On the other hand a trip for FI or PR purposes could, we feel, be limited by those contacts in that A/S would probably be subject to provocative conversations or inhibited in performing her given tasks by heavy surveillance. Her relationship with GOLOB, however, is only one of the restraining factors in deciding on a repeat mounted trip for A/S.

3. The evaluation of A/S's FI production resulting from her first trip have provided some idea as to her potential as an observer and reporter of positive and operational intelligence. Her overall rating as an observer was "not stated". Customer evaluations of the one positive intelligence dissemination resulting from her trip are enclosed. CRIF found it to be of slight or no value. KUBARK gave a slightly higher evaluation of value, of importance, but accuracy could not be judged. Vital details were notably lacking, the reporting was vague. KUBARK's comments and indications of basic observations which would have considerably enhanced the report (time of sighting, altitude of plane, name of airport, take-off time, etc.) indicate shortcomings of A/S's reports. In comparison with reports of other travelers with little or less operational briefing than A/S had, her reports rating was low. The long report on education, credited to A/S's part, although supposed to represent a scholarly treatise on the subject was evaluated as fragmentary, general and based purely on A/S's own conclusions. It struck us as being naive to attempt to analyze such a broad field as Soviet education with so obviously little factual knowledge or intimate acquaintance with the subject. This report was not disseminated. The only portion of CRIF-3783 which is being considered for additional dissemination is paragraph 2 containing GOLOB's statements regarding A/S's personal which A/S was "reluctant to put in her report," and which CRIF-3783 included in his covering dispatch. A/S's reporting of her contacts was fair. All in all, we can say that A/S's production was not startlingly impressive, and justification for a repeat trip on this basis could be a little less.

4. Lacking a full personal assessment of A/S, it is difficult to state categorically whether or not A/S should be considered for a trip on the basis of FI qualifications. As a literature handler, the possible conduct of a CR operation, A/S would have to have a comprehensive knowledge of the Russian language to cover both carrying in literature or distributing it. A/S does not have this fluency. In addition, every test we have, as we have discovered this past summer from operations of this type, a few sharp individuals,

extremely knowledgeable on Soviet and American history, economics, and politics in order to effectively control the well-trained Soviet agitators. Although apparently knowledgeable of current events and ongoing in manner, we have nothing on which to judge A/S's articulation with the spoken word, her ability to hold her own in a debate with a trained provocateur, her potential for effectively promoting ideas or changing Soviet ways of thinking or her potential for accepting the message. You have indicated that she has an ability to attract crowds, not that she was able to do so with a group of Soviets by singing American folk songs. This matter as learned from experience that this technique was more of an operational liability than an asset. Singing and playing attracted the usual mixed crowds, but also invited the militia with the end result being confiscation of several records and application by the Soviets of stricter controls over all KROSTI travelers. Because of these incidents we have begun reexamining our PR techniques and devising new ones for reaching our target groups. We hope to have a paper out for you in the near future, outlining some of our experience in our PR efforts utilizing KROSTI travelers during the past year and suggestions for the future. Our impression at this point would be that A/S would be somewhat limited in her capabilities along PR lines, and we would hesitate to encourage a trip for PR utilization.

5. As to A/S's successfully getting herself into the University of Moscow for a year's study, we do not regard the possibility with much hope. In every past instance, without exception, where Americans have attempted to make individual arrangements with Soviet universities for prolonged study, the Soviets have allowed them to make all the preliminary arrangements up to the final step. Just before closing the deal, the Americans have been told that they must become part of the American exchange program. Usually, by then it is too late to apply or the individuals do not meet the qualifications. The agreement for the Academic Year 1960-61 is not yet signed and sealed because the Soviets are displaying their usual changeability. We do know, however, as of 12 October, the number of students to be exchanged was 15. There are no standard qualifications for applicants, but all applicants in the past have been 25 years or over, have been candidates for a doctorate degree and have been fluent in the Russian language. It is highly unlikely that the pattern of selection will change for the Academic Year 1960-61. A/S fits into none of the above-mentioned categories and it is doubtful she would be accepted. However, should she for her own interest wish to obtain information regarding the exchange, she may do so by directing a letter to:

Dr. David Sanford  
 Interuniversity Committee on Travel Grants  
 407 W. 117th St.  
 New York 27, New York

6. We have tried to vice a return trip for A/S from all possible angles, based on information we have here at head quarters and evaluations of her first performance, and, frankly, there just doesn't seem to be a positive hook to latch onto at the present moment. However, we are open to any further ideas and suggestions which the field feels may justify sending her back on another mission. It is possible that some new opening will appear between now and January, when, we understand, A/S will be leaving Helsinki.

7. Enclosed are A/S's two color slides and the one black and white print which you requested to be returned.

8. Traces on A/S's reported contacts, excluding the industrial guides, were negative. Although many of our travelers this summer ran into the same Soviet lines after time, none of these four had come up before.

2 Enclosures:

- A. 1 Photos
- B. Evaluations

ROBERT T. LIBERTY

19 October 1959

ESTER E. CARROLL

Distribution: 1 - RI w/o encs.  
 2 - Main R/Z office - RI/1 & 2 encs.  
 3 - P - RI/2 & 3 encs.

112 RB/S/AMSTERDAM 11 11 1959