DPOP: 14 June 1937, Mavama, Cuba

A # 12 347 777 - MORALES entered the U.S. as a Cuban gafugee at Miami, Fla 29 Nov 60. We was a self-admitted former G-2 agent. In the U.S. in 61-52 he became a leader in the anti-Castro group Commandos Libres.

Other info. in 61 stated that he was a possible G-2 agent who had infiltrated anti-Castro movement in Miami, Fla.

Granted POA 18 Mar 64 for use as radio operator and leader for PM infiltration team.

Operated under MOC eff 1 May 64 at \$200. mo.

OA granted 19 May 64.

Terminated 26 Aut 64 - never used in Agency sponsored mission. Reason: security violation. MORALES made several attempts to find out about a JMMAVE/FM op going as far as contacting wives of agents already committed on ops. KOT recommended for re-use. Too "wise" and not too clever for own good. MORALES was warned to stay away from known op contacts of Agency interest.

He was re-recruited by SOD Sept 64 for Project THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN as pilot in Congo but never served this capacity.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE BY ON 4.29.13 CORALES signed a releasing agreement with Agency

Seems that Agency turned MORALES over to FRI in 1969 and has had no contact with him since them.

The FBIhas had an interest in MORALES since 1953
THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN when the FBT used MORALES as a prosecution witness
APPROVED FOR FELEASE BY

15 Dec 64.

as sanitized

ON LAPR 94 MORALES told a Station asset in Mar 72 that he was employed by the FBI and recd salary of U.S. \$700 month and was en route to Colombia on drug case at that time. MORALES is not listed in the INN ISR source registry and I do not know what if any current association he has with FBI.

In 72 MOPALES claimed to Caracas asset that he was in touch with CTA but we unable to substantiate claim so apparently it untrue.

The way it looks to me is this:

also may be FBI source Is mot CIA source

There is ref that "ORALET is U.S. CITIZES which I have not been able to confirm at this point.

A ref I'm attempting to locate atates he's a rep. of GRANCO. Sounds like a cover org, but at this moves I dealt hear that it is, and it is a result of the second or the second or the second of the second or the s