

INDEX: YES NO
 CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 50-6-22/4 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 30
 REF TO FILE NO. _____
 FILE RID REF. TO BRANCH
 DESTROY DATE: 2/21/76
SECRET
 PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____
 REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	5
3	7
4	8

 ACTION: WHI RID COPY ISSUED SLOTTED TUBED
 INFO: FILE W, EUR 8, SR 8, clips, FILMED

SECRET 151600Z CITE [] 4133
 MEXICO CITY INFO DIRECTOR
 REDCOAT
 REF # MEXICO CITY 0121 (IN 88249)
 # MEXICO CITY 9919 (IN 77928)

APPROVED
 WHI
 ABSTRACT

15 FEB 67 0138E

LOCAL LNERGO REP PASSED REF INFO [] TO SMABOVE 13 FEB 67.

SECRET
 BT

SECRET

KIFENT

50-6-32/4
 15 FEB 67

INDEX YES NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 506-34

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 41

00000087

X-REF TO FILE NO.

FILE RID RET. TO BRANCH

DESTROY SIG. WALL

SECRET

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1		5
2		6
3		7
4		8

ADVIS

ACTION

DISSEM BY AP

WH 8

RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

ISSUED

SLOTTED

TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE, NR, C/1-2, EUR 8, SAR, WH/COG 8, C/APS, FI, F/INT

SECRET 272155Z CITE MEXICO CITY 2121

DIRECTOR INFO [REDACTED]

REDCOAT

PEF: MEXICO CITY 9919 (PLS RELAY [REDACTED])

MEXI

AN PS

W 4/1

MICROFILMED

APR 14 1967

ABSTRACT X

INDEX ✓

ABSTRACT ✓

INDEX ✓

1. PER LIFEAT [REDACTED] AGAIN CALLED LEONOV 19 JAN

IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS [REDACTED] HAD BEEN UNABLE TO CONTACT [REDACTED] BUT LEONOV SAID HE COULD NOT HELP HIM AND THAT HE SHOULD KEEP TRYING.

2. LOCAL LNERGO HAS RECORD OF ONE [REDACTED]

LOCAL LNERGO IS NOTIFYING THEIR REPRESENTATIVES IN [REDACTED]

WASHINGTON [REDACTED] TO SEE IF [REDACTED] STILL ON WANTED LIST [REDACTED]

3. STATION HAS LIONION PHOTO OF MAN [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] ON 9 JAN AND SHOWS SOME RESEMBLANCE TO VERY OLD

LNERGO PHOTO [REDACTED] CAN POUCH PRINTS IF

[REDACTED] STATION WISHES.

4. SUBSTANCE OF THE ABOVE AND INFO IN PEF MAY BE PASSED TO SMAROVES, DISGUIISING SOURCE. REQUEST [REDACTED] STATION COORDINATE ANY ACTION WITH LNERGO.


SECRET

SECRET


MICROFILMED
MAR 7 1967
DOC. MICRO. SER.

50-6-34/4-
50-6-34/4
27 JAN 67

BT



14-00000




DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Deputy Chief WH/C		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESA CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	TYPIC/LIFEAT/Transmittal of Traffic of Subject of [] and []		MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. Attached as A are copies of traffic covering the Subject of [] for the period 1, 2, 4, and 7 June 1966. This traffic was provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>2. Attached as B are copies of traffic covering [] for the period 30 May - 12 June 1966. This traffic was also provided Station Mexico by LIFEAT.</p> <p>3. Attachments are being forwarded KAPOK and under separate cover.</p> <p>[]</p>			
<p>Attachments: A & B a/s KAPOK u/s/c</p> <p>Distribution: ✓ 3 - DCWH/C w/atts u/s/c 1 - C/WHD w/o atts 4 - FILES</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER HNDAA-29211	DATE 16 June 1966
		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	MO'S FILE NUMBER 50-6-12/1


LIFEAT
CB
DEFERRED
50-6-32/4





.

































DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief of Station, [redacted]	XXXX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WH Division		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	LIFEAT Coverage of [redacted] Mexico City		
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES			
<p>Attached for Addressees' information and retention are copies of the transcripts of the LIFEAT coverage of the [redacted] [redacted] in Mexico City, reels 21 through 34 for the period 2 to 9 May 1966.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p> <p>Attachments: As stated above, h/w</p> <p>Distribution: 2 - CCS [redacted] w/atts 1 - Chief, WHD, w/atts</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Handwritten Signature]</i></p>			
/att CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER HMMA-28843	DATE 10 May 1966
		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HC'S FILE NUMBER 50-9-3

50-6 32/4










183-














14-00000



















































Vertical text or markings along the right edge of the page, possibly a page number or reference code.









































































14-00000







1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100





14-00000








DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION	
		SECRET/KAPOK		<input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN MAKE INDEXING <input type="checkbox"/> MICROFILM
TO	DCWHD/C			
INFO	Chief, WH Division			
FROM	Chief of Station, Mexico City			
SUBJECT	TYPIC/AMROD/LIFEAT			
ACTION REQUIRED REFERENCES				
Action Required: Pass to <input type="text"/>				
Forwarded herewith is verbatim conversation between <input type="text"/>				
and <input type="text"/> on 20 November 1965. (Source: LIFEAT)				
<input type="text"/>				
Attachments: As stated - Herewith				
Distribution: 2 - DCWHD/C w/att (h/w) 1 - C/WHD w/o/att 3 - Files				
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL	DATE	50-6-3214	
	ES COPY			
	ESG 27885	15 December 1965		
	SECRET/KAPOK	HC FILE NUMBER	201 - 020481	

















1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100



DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Chief, MI Division			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, Mexico City			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	[REDACTED]		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCE			
<p>1. Attached for Headquarters' information and retention are copies of the LIFEAT coverage on Subject, reel #269 through reel #272 for the period 2 through 14 July 1965 and reel #1 through reel #16 for the period 31 July through 16 August 1965.</p> <p>2. This coverage was temporarily discontinued from 15 through 30 July because of technical difficulties with the instrument in the [REDACTED]</p>			
[REDACTED]			
Attachment: As stated in paragraph 1			
Distribution: 3 - Chief, WHD, w/att			
<i>File Lima 27/8/65</i>			
QSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	INMA-26,933	19 August 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQ'S FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	201-745927	

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, EED		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.		XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WHD		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
	Chief of Station, Mexico City		MICROFILM
SUBJECT	DMILET/LIFEAT/Operational		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES	Transmittal of LIFEAT Tapes and Transcripts		
<p>1. Forwarded herewith for translation of the [] portions are the following LIFEAT tapes: 7, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14. Also forwarded are the following [] transcripts: 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 & 14.</p> <p>2. Because of the DMSAUCE/1 flap, the [] transcripts of the LIFEAT tapes will continue thru the end of this week (10 April), after that there will be no transcribing done in the field.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">for Willard C. CURTIS</p>			
<p><u>Attachment:</u> 1. As Stated (HEREWITH)</p> <p><u>Distribution:</u> 2 - EED w/att h/w X - WHD w/o/att 2 - Files</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>FILE LIFEAT Prod.</i></p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	HMMA-25814	8 April 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION	MCS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	SC-8-2/9	

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/INTEGRATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>Department of State</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY <u>State Dept</u>			
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>February 1963</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/538,352</u>	<u>State Dept</u> <u>Foreign Affairs</u> <u>12 March 63</u>			
CHIEF COUNTRY <u>Yugoslavia/Mexico</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>44-1070-254-1</u>	PLEASE RETURN EVALUATION			
SUBJECT <u>Yugoslav Commercial Activities in Mexico</u>		BY FAX OF INR/CS - ROOM 4173			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within <u> </u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.					
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS			
A <input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS. We would appreciate your comments evaluating this report.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS			
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY.		As a status report, document is of moderate value. Generally negative progress of Yugo attempts to establish a commercial foothold in Mexico not in itself of great interest to this office, at this time, but would increase in interest in direct relation to information on decision of Belgrade to grant a loan to Mexican govt.			
C ACCURACY: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.					
D RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication.					
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT.) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.					
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS					
F OTHER REPORTING NEEDS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.					
G (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY.					
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:) <input type="checkbox"/> 1. HE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.					
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>P. Adler</u>			COMPONENT <u>INR/RSE/EA</u>	PHONE <u>x 3503</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED. <u>Mar 1</u>			DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>Mar 6</u>	

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>State Department</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY																													
DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>27 January 1961</u>	DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/L63,061</u>	<i>Subject - date</i>																													
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Cuba</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HM-5100</u>	<i>10 Apr 61</i>																													
Subject <u>Mexican Technicians Going to Work in Cuba</u>																															
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <u>priority</u> standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.																															
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS																													
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. MAJOR</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1. MAJOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. MODERATE	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. LITTLE	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NO	<p>IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</p>																					
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. MAJOR																														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. MODERATE																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. LITTLE																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NO																														
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:																															
B	<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>	CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. MODERATELY	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY	<p>EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS</p> <p>This report would have been of greater value if it had included some comment on the political orientation of the technicians (if any) and whether they were being sent by the Mexican Government or were hired on a purely personal basis.</p>																			
CONTRIBUTED TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:																															
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. SIGNIFICANTLY																														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3. MODERATELY																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY																														
C	<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">ACCURACY:</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Doubtful</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. <i>False</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>	ACCURACY:		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. CREDIBLE	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Doubtful</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>False</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																		
ACCURACY:																															
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE																														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. CREDIBLE																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Doubtful</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>False</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.																														
D	<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Sufficiently known</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i></td></tr> </table>	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>	<p>USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. <i>More detail</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. <i>Better organization</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <i>More detail</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>Better organization</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)	<input type="checkbox"/>	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:																															
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION																														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. <i>More detail</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. <i>Better organization</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>																														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.																														
E	<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">OUR COLLECTION NEEDS</td></tr> <tr><td colspan="2">OTHER REPORTING MEETS:</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>	OUR COLLECTION NEEDS		OTHER REPORTING MEETS:		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.	<p>(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	<input type="checkbox"/>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS																															
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:																															
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	4. NEGLIGIBLY																														
F	<table border="1"> <tr><td colspan="2">(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="checkbox"/></td><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)		<input type="checkbox"/>	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>EVALUATOR</td> <td>NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u></td> <td>COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u></td> <td>PHONE <u>182-2097</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u></td> <td colspan="2">DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u></td> </tr> </table>		EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u>	PHONE <u>182-2097</u>		DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>															
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)																															
<input type="checkbox"/>	1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.																														
<input type="checkbox"/>	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.																														
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print) <u>Lawrence S. Eagleburger</u>	COMPONENT <u>State/INR/RAR/MAB</u>	PHONE <u>182-2097</u>																												
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED <u>March 17, 1961</u>	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED <u>March 22, 1961.</u>																													

REFAT
1, 1/16/60

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State						
EVALUATING AGENCY								
DATE OF DISTRIB.	2 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3,454,933 <i>RNI</i>					
Chief Country	Mexico/Nicaragua	FIELD NUMBER	HMM-5264					
Subject		Nicaraguan Revolutionary Activities in Mexico						
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is critical /standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. SIGNIFICANTLY								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
C	ACCURACY:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Doubtful</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. <i>False</i></td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. <i>Doubtful</i>	4. <i>False</i>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE								
3. <i>Doubtful</i>								
4. <i>False</i>								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Sufficiently known</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i></td></tr> <tr><td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i></td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>	6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>	
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION								
3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS								
5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>								
6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>								
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. <i>More detail</i></td></tr> <tr><td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i></td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. <i>Better organization</i></td></tr> <tr><td>5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i></td></tr> <tr><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>		1. <i>More detail</i>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>	4. <i>Better organization</i>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>	6. (STATED IN COMMENT)	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.
1. <i>More detail</i>								
2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>								
3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>								
4. <i>Better organization</i>								
5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>								
6. (STATED IN COMMENT)								
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>		1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. <i>partially. portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. <i>partially. portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. <i>partially. portion of requirement may be closed.</i>								
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.					
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE					
	RT Morrison	INR/RAR-P	3327					
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED						
	Nov 15 1960	Nov. 16/60						

CONFIDENTIAL

See last page for instructions

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State						
EVALUATING AGENCY								
DATE OF DISTRIB.	1 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/454,903					
Chief Country	Mexico/Cuba	FIELD NUMBER	HCM-5245					
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is XXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO		
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE								
3. LITTLE								
4. NO								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY	
1. SIGNIFICANTLY								
2. SUBSTANTIALLY								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
C	ACCURACY:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Doubtful</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. <i>False</i></td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>				1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. <i>Doubtful</i>	4. <i>False</i>	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE								
3. <i>Doubtful</i>								
4. <i>False</i>								
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.								
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Sufficiently known</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i></td></tr> <tr><td>6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i></td></tr> </table>				1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION								
3. <i>Sufficiently known</i>								
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS								
5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i>								
6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>								
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. <i>More detail</i></td></tr> <tr><td>2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i></td></tr> <tr><td>3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i></td></tr> <tr><td>4. <i>Better organization</i></td></tr> <tr><td>5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i></td></tr> <tr><td>6. (STATED IN COMMENT)</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>				1. <i>More detail</i>	2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>	3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>	4. <i>Better organization</i>	5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>
1. <i>More detail</i>								
2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i>								
3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i>								
4. <i>Better organization</i>								
5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i>								
6. (STATED IN COMMENT)								
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.								
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS								
F	OTHER REPORTING MEETS:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> </table>				1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.	
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS.								
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS.								
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.								
G	(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT:							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i></td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>	3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY	
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.								
2. <i>partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i>								
3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES.								
4. NEGLIGIBLY								
H	(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>				1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.								
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.								
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE					
	RT Morrison	INTR ARRP	3327					
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED						
	Nov 18 1960	Nov 18 1960						

*1 FEAT
 Prud Solder*

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		OSI	
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	26 September 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS 3/451,014
Chief Country	Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER	EMM 5159
Subject: Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Aim for completion within this time is XXXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
1. Major 2. MODERATE A3 3. LITTLE 4. NO	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.		
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: 1. SIGNIFICANTLY 2. SUBSTANTIALLY 3. MODERATELY B4 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY: 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE C2 2. CREDIBLE 3. Doubtful 4. False 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS		
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION D3 3. Sufficiently known 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. more detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) E7 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS OTHER REPORTING NEEDS: F1 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
(ONLY IF OUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. PARTIALLY BUT NEED CONTINUES. 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT EVEN 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT AND IS REPORTING. E2			
Coordinated with LSD/SI			
EVALUATOR	NAME	COMPONENT	PHONE
<i>GN</i>		OSI/MSD	3955
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED		

LIFEAT
Propaganda

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		United States Information Agency	
DATE OF DISTRIB.		EVALUATING AGENCY	
1 November 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/454,933	<i>File in 1/11/60</i>
Chief Country	FIELD NUMBER	MEX-5245	<i>22 Nov-60</i>
Subject: Propaganda Activities of the Cuban Embassy in Mexico			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is XXXXX standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT: <input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Doubtful</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <i>False</i> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		Information on Cuban propaganda activities in Latin America is of major and continuing importance to this office, which must evaluate the nature, scope, and extent of these activities in order to combat them.	
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Sufficiently known</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <i>Conflicts with previous information</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <i>Appears to be fabrication</i>			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. <i>More detail</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <i>Less detail, more summary</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <i>Less fragmentary approach</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <i>Better organization</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <i>Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS			
OTHER REPORTING MEETS:			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIES IT)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <i>Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed.</i> <input type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY. BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
(IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.)			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
	Flora M. Roth	Office of Research and Analysis, USIA	182-5475
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
	November 8, 1960	November 14, 1960	

LIFEAT
Prod. Solson

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		Department of State												
EVALUATING AGENCY														
DATE OF REPORT	3 October 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/L51,671											
ORIG. COUNTRY	Netherlands/Poland	FIELD NUMBER	HGA-5186											
Subject: Projected Arrival in Mexico of Polish Travel Officials														
<p>(EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 30 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is <i>not</i> a standing. Indicate choices by "1." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.)</p>														
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS												
<p>A</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. MAJOR</td><td rowspan="4">IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>		1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO	<p>* Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination?</p>							
1. MAJOR	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.													
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE														
3. LITTLE														
4. NO														
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		<p>EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS</p> <p><i>Information of this nature is of value to RAR, for it provides new data and for corroboration of information from other sources on Latin American - Bloc contacts. RAR has continuing interest in receipt of reports of this nature.</i></p>												
B CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:														
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY	4. NEGLIGIBLY							
1. SIGNIFICANTLY														
2. SUBSTANTIALLY														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY														
4. NEGLIGIBLY														
C ACCURACY:														
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. DOUBTFUL</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FALSE</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. DOUBTFUL	4. FALSE	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.	<p>D</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION	6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE														
3. DOUBTFUL														
4. FALSE														
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.														
1. USEFUL FOR CORROBORATION														
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION														
3. SUFFICIENTLY KNOWN														
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS														
5. CONFLICTS WITH PREVIOUS INFORMATION														
6. APPEARS TO BE FABRICATION														
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER B.T.M.		<p>E</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MORE DETAIL</td></tr> <tr><td>2. LESS DETAIL, MORE SUMMARY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH</td></tr> <tr><td>4. BETTER ORGANIZATION</td></tr> <tr><td>5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of SOURCE, or BOTH</td></tr> <tr><td>6. STATED IN COMMENTS</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS</td></tr> </table>		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MORE DETAIL	2. LESS DETAIL, MORE SUMMARY	3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH	4. BETTER ORGANIZATION	5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of SOURCE, or BOTH	6. STATED IN COMMENTS	7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS				
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. MORE DETAIL														
2. LESS DETAIL, MORE SUMMARY														
3. LESS FRAGMENTARY APPROACH														
4. BETTER ORGANIZATION														
5. BETTER OBSERVATION or BETTER EXPLOITATION of SOURCE, or BOTH														
6. STATED IN COMMENTS														
7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS														
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS		<p>F</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS</td></tr> <tr><td>3. MOST OF OUR MIN. NEEDS</td></tr> <tr><td>4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS</td></tr> </table>		1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS	2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS	3. MOST OF OUR MIN. NEEDS	4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS							
1. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS														
2. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS														
3. MOST OF OUR MIN. NEEDS														
4. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS														
G (UNLESS YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED IT)		<p>G</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED</td></tr> <tr><td>2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of REQUIREMENT may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY, BUT NOT CONTINUED.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED	2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of REQUIREMENT may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY, BUT NOT CONTINUED.	4. NEGLIGIBLY							
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED														
2. PARTIALLY. PORTION of REQUIREMENT may be closed.														
3. PARTIALLY, BUT NOT CONTINUED.														
4. NEGLIGIBLY														
H (IF SUBJECT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REPORTING DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT)		<p>H</p> <table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT, FOR OS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT, FOR OS REPORTING.									
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON.														
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT, FOR OS REPORTING.														
EVALUATOR				<p>COMPONENT: IMP/RAR-01</p> <p>PHONE: 33-67</p>										
DATE REPORT RECEIVED		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED												

CONFIDENTIAL

LIFEAT
Production

(COLLECTOR'S EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO A ADDED BELOW)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY		ORR	26 Sept 60
EVALUATING AGENCY			
DATE OF DISTRIB.	26 September 1960	DISSEM. NUMBER	CS-3/251,011
Chief Country	Mexico/Soviet Union	FIELD NUMBER	EMX-5259
Subject		Mexican Government Purchase of Soviet Radioisotopes	
EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is critical standard. Indicate choices by "x." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. MAJOR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NO		IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:			
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY			
ACCURACY:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE B.			
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication			
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both.			
DEFECTS (STATED IN COMMENT):			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
OUR COLLECTION NEEDS:			
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. REPORTING MEETS: <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR IMPORTANT NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. MOST OF OUR MINIMUM NEEDS. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. FEW OR NONE OF OUR NEEDS.			
IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT STATES IT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> FULLY REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. <input type="checkbox"/> PARTIALLY, BUT NEED CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> NEGLIGIBLY			
REQUIREMENT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU, OTHER REASONS DOES NOT MEET MINIMUM NEEDS, AND YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:			
<input type="checkbox"/> WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT SOON. <input type="checkbox"/> WE NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR US REASONS:			
NAME (Please print)		COMPONENT	PHONE
		OSR/STP/N	x3942
DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED			

It is impossible to tell if the USSR prices to Mexico are in line with world prices or not. According to a 1957 Soviet price list, potassium is 3 rubles per millicurie, iodine is 8-to 13 rubles per millicurie and gold is 1 to 3 rubles per millicurie depending upon the form of the carrier. According to the report the average price is \$0.15 per millicurie. The quantities of each isotope however, are not given.

Radio isotope prices have changed in the US markedly over the past few years. For example, the price for gold 198 has changed from 20 cents per millicurie prior to May 1959 to 6 cents per millicurie after May 1959. Unless more detailed information is obtained, the information has only moderate value to us.

CONFIDENTIAL

(COLLECTOR/ETALC R: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DA (ADDED ON FRONT) 17 JUN 1964

Rec'd. 10 June 64
13

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/139,056</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>1 June 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HC-1983</u>	<i>Leifer</i>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Soviet Union</u>		<i>Due July 1960 19 July 60</i>	
Subject <u>Rev. Policy of Soviet Commercial Office in Mexico</u>			

EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within 60 days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS." Normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further NOTES on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS							
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO O-R NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO O-R NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO			
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO O-R NEEDS.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE									
3. LITTLE									
4. NO									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:									
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>				1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY			
1. SIGNIFICANTLY									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY									
3. NEGLIGIBLY									
C	ACCURACY:	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS							
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>		1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE									
3. Doubtful									
4. False									
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.									
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>		1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information			6. Appears to be fabrication
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION									
3. Sufficiently known									
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS									
5. Conflicts with previous information									
6. Appears to be fabrication									
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail; more summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both</td></tr> <tr><td>6. STATED IN COMMENT</td></tr> <tr><td>7. NO DEFECT APPEARS TO BE USEFUL</td></tr> </table>		1. More detail	2. Less detail; more summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both	6. STATED IN COMMENT	7. NO DEFECT APPEARS TO BE USEFUL	
1. More detail									
2. Less detail; more summary									
3. Less fragmentary approach									
4. Better organization									
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both									
6. STATED IN COMMENT									
7. NO DEFECT APPEARS TO BE USEFUL									
F	REQUIREMENTS								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partially met. If requirement may be closed.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIALLY MET. CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.	2. Partially met. If requirement may be closed.	3. PARTIALLY MET. CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLY				
1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED.									
2. Partially met. If requirement may be closed.									
3. PARTIALLY MET. CONTINUES.									
4. NEGLIGIBLY									
G	DEGREE TO WHICH THIS REPORT PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIAL</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NONE</td></tr> </table>		1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIAL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE	4. NONE				
1. FULLY									
2. SUBSTANTIAL									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE									
4. NONE									
H	IF IN D YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE A REQUIREMENT:								
<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE DO NOT ASSESS A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NO. 2 DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR 30 REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>		1. WE DO NOT ASSESS A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	2. OUR NO. 2 DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR 30 REPORTING.						
1. WE DO NOT ASSESS A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.									
2. OUR NO. 2 DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR 30 REPORTING.									

NAME (Please print)

[Redacted Name]

COMPONENT

ORR/S/TF/SP

PHONE

5036

REQUEST RECEIVED

DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED

CONFIDENTIAL

Received June 22, 1960

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR)

RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DATA

READ ON FRONT) 29 JUN

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY

CSB

EVALUATING AGENCY

SECRET

DISSEM. NUMBER CS-3/439,528	DATE OF DISTRIB. 8 June 1960	FIELD NUMBER HBM-4563
--------------------------------	---------------------------------	--------------------------

Chief Country Mexico/Soviet Union Done 15 July 1960

Subject Disagreement between Mexican Businessman and Soviet Commercial Officer over Money Owed for Treasures Purchased by the Mexican Government

EVALUATOR: Please complete this evaluation within 60 days of receipt of request. See for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "1." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one x in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.

SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. Little <input type="checkbox"/> 4. No	IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:		
CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SIGNIFICANTLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. NEGLIGIBLY		
ACCURACY:		

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE <input type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False <input type="checkbox"/> 5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Appears to be fabrication		
USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. More detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Less detail, more summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Less fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Better organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better observation or better exploitation of source, or both. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. STATED IN COMMENT <input type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.		
REQUIREMENTS		

(ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) RESPON SATISFIED BY:	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY. REQUIREMENT CAN BE CLOSED. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially. Portion of requirements may be closed. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3. PARTIALLY, BUT WID CONTINUES. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NEGLIGIBLY.	

CITREE TO WHICH OTHER (NON-CS) REPORTING PROVIDED ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. FULLY <input type="checkbox"/> 2. SUBSTANTIALLY <input type="checkbox"/> 3. LITTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 4. NONE	

IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE, ADD NO REQUIREMENT.)	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.	

EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
		ORR/S/TF/SP	803 C
		DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
		11 July 1960	

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY	
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/43L, 30L</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB. <u>18 April 1960</u>	FIELD NUMBER <u>HC-4858</u>	<u>Special</u>
Chief Country <u>Mexico/Porto Rico</u>		<u>Due 17 May 1960</u>	
Subject <u>Soviet Plan to Sell Manuscript to Mexican Government Agency</u>			
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put <u>xs</u> in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.			
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS.	
A 1. Major 2. Moderate X 3. Little 4. None } IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.			
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT: B 1. Significantly X 2. Moderately 3. Negligibly			
C 1. Substantially True 2. Credible 3. Doubtful 4. False X 5. Cannot be Judged. See D.			
D RELY ON TO OTHER INFORMATION: 1. Useful for Confirmation 2. Useful for New Information 3. Sufficiently Known X 4. At present we lack other information to judge accuracy or usefulness 5. Conflicts with previous information 6. Appears to be fabrication		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS	
E USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH: 1. More detail 2. Less detail, more summary 3. Less fragmentary approach 4. Better organization 5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both 6. (STATED IN COMMENT) 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.			
F REQUIREMENTS (ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED.) REPORT SATISFIES IT: 1. Fully. Requirement can be closed 2. Partially. Portion of requirement may be closed. 3. Partially. But need continued. 4. Negligibly			
G DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (SOURCES) REPORTING PROVIDES ESSENTIAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT: 1. Fully 2. Substantially 3. Little X 4. None			
H (IF IN G YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT.) 1. We will present a requirement within 30 days. X 2. Our need does not warrant a requirement for CS reporting.			
EVALUATOR	NAME (Please print)	COMPONENT	PHONE
		<u>ORR JMS</u>	<u>4245</u>
	DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	
		<u>26 May 60</u>	

HR

SECRET

(When Filled In)

W43A

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE

1. SUBJECT OR DISCRIPTION		2. DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION			
OPERATIONAL/SAFETY/COM		3. FILE NO.	4. SYMBOL NO.	5. DATE	
		6. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.			7. DATE
		RMA-15007			18 May 1960
8. ANALYST		9. DATE PROCESSED	10. DISSEMINATED IN	11. DATE	

DOCUMENT DISPOSITION	
12. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE	13. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.

14. PERTINENT INFORMATION

THIS REFERENCE TO SECRET DOCUMENT
FILED IN THE SECRET CONTROL
SECTION BY TS-17506

18 May 1960

15. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO	➔			
-------------------------------	---	--	--	--

CONFIDENTIAL

file LIFEAT Prod

(COLLECTOR/EVALUATOR: RECLASSIFY ACCORDING TO DFC ADDED ON FRONT)

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY <u>ORR</u> <u>Recd 4 March</u>		EVALUATING AGENCY							
DISSEM. NUMBER <u>CS-3/428,266</u>	DATE OF DISTRIB.	FIELD NUMBER <u>HMM-4761</u>	<u>State</u>						
Chief Country <u>Mexico / Soviet Union</u>	<u>48 Lines</u>								
Subject <u>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</u>	<u>Jan 25 March 1960</u>								
EVALUATOR: Please complete this valuation within ___ days of receipt of request. Need for completion within this time is priority/standard. Indicate choices by "X." If item marked is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluator's Comments." normally, put one X in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.									
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS							
A	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. Major</td><td rowspan="4">} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NO</td></tr> </table>	1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE	3. LITTLE	4. NO			
1. Major	} IMPORTANCE IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATE									
3. LITTLE									
4. NO									
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:									
B	CONTRIBUTES TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SIGNIFICANTLY</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. NEGLIGIBLY</td></tr> </table>	1. SIGNIFICANTLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY	3. NEGLIGIBLY	EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS				
1. SIGNIFICANTLY									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. MODERATELY									
3. NEGLIGIBLY									
C	ACCURACY:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Doubtful</td></tr> <tr><td>4. False</td></tr> <tr><td>5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.</td></tr> </table>	1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE	3. Doubtful	4. False	5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.			
1. SUBSTANTIALLY TRUE									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. CREDIBLE									
3. Doubtful									
4. False									
5. CANNOT BE JUDGED. SEE D.									
D	RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Sufficiently known</td></tr> <tr><td>4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Conflicts with previous information</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Appears to be fabrication</td></tr> </table>			1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION	3. Sufficiently known	4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS	5. Conflicts with previous information	6. Appears to be fabrication
1. USEFUL FOR CONFIRMATION									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. USEFUL FOR NEW INFORMATION									
3. Sufficiently known									
4. AT PRESENT WE LACK OTHER INFORMATION TO JUDGE ACCURACY OR USEFULNESS									
5. Conflicts with previous information									
6. Appears to be fabrication									
E	USEFULNESS WOULD HAVE BEEN GREATER WITH:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. More detail</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Less detail. More summary</td></tr> <tr><td>3. Less fragmentary approach</td></tr> <tr><td>4. Better organization</td></tr> <tr><td>5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.</td></tr> <tr><td>6. Included in comments</td></tr> <tr><td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.</td></tr> </table>	1. More detail	2. Less detail. More summary	3. Less fragmentary approach	4. Better organization	5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.	6. Included in comments	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.	
1. More detail									
2. Less detail. More summary									
3. Less fragmentary approach									
4. Better organization									
5. Better observation or better explanation of source, or both.									
6. Included in comments									
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. NO DEFECT AFFECTING USEFULNESS.									
REQUIREMENTS									
F	ONLY IF YOUR REQUIREMENT IS CITED, REPORT SATISFIED BY:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY. REQ. FORM TO BE CLOSED</td></tr> <tr><td>2. Partial. Portion of requirement met.</td></tr> <tr><td>3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NEGLIGIBLE</td></tr> </table>			1. FULLY. REQ. FORM TO BE CLOSED	2. Partial. Portion of requirement met.	3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.	4. NEGLIGIBLE		
1. FULLY. REQ. FORM TO BE CLOSED									
2. Partial. Portion of requirement met.									
3. PARTIAL. BUT NEED CONTINUES.									
4. NEGLIGIBLE									
G	DEGREE TO WHICH OTHER (SOURCES) REPORTING PROVIDED THE CRITICAL INFORMATION ON SUBJECT:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. FULLY</td></tr> <tr><td>2. SUBSTANTIALLY</td></tr> <tr><td>3. LITTLE</td></tr> <tr><td>4. NONE</td></tr> </table>			1. FULLY	2. SUBSTANTIALLY	3. LITTLE	4. NONE		
1. FULLY									
2. SUBSTANTIALLY									
3. LITTLE									
4. NONE									
H	IF IN B YOU CHECKED "LITTLE" OR "NONE," AND IF YOU HAVE FILED NO REQUIREMENT:								
	<table border="1"> <tr><td>1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.</td></tr> <tr><td>2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.</td></tr> </table>			1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.	2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.				
1. WE WILL PRESENT A REQUIREMENT WITHIN 30 DAYS.									
2. OUR NEED DOES NOT WARRANT A REQUIREMENT FOR CS REPORTING.									
EVALUATOR	NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	COMPONENT	PHONE						
		<u>CRR/M/AG</u>	<u>X3552</u>						
	REQUEST RECEIVED	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED							
	<u>15 Mar. 1960</u>	<u>29 Mar. 1960</u>	<u>UN</u>						

CIA REQUEST FOR EVALUATION BY Department of State		<small>EVALUATING AGENCY</small>						
<small>FIELD NUMBER</small> S-3/223,266	<small>DATE OF DISTRIBUTION</small> 19 February 1960	<small>FIELD NUMBER</small> 18M-4761	<i>Spent</i> <i>5 Mar 60</i>					
Chief Country <i>Mexico/Soviet Union</i>		<i>TOP</i>						
Subject <i>Soviet Negotiations for Mexican Cotton</i>								
<small>EVALUATOR: please complete this evaluation within <u>60</u> days of receipt of request. Used for completion within this time is priority standard. Indicate choices by "2." If item named is printed in italics, please explain under "Evaluators Comments." normally, put one 2 in each appropriate section. Otherwise, please cover each of these aspects in your comments. For further notes on use of this form, see reverse.</small>								
SUBJECT OF THIS REPORT IS OF:		COLLECTOR'S COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS						
<table border="1" style="width:100%;"> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major</td> <td rowspan="4" style="vertical-align: middle;">} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little</td> </tr> <tr> <td><input type="checkbox"/> 4. No</td> </tr> </table>		<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate	<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little	<input type="checkbox"/> 4. No	<p align="center">Is this information of sufficient use or value to warrant dissemination? Please comment.</p>	
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Major	} IMPORTANT IN RELATION TO OUR NEEDS.							
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Moderate								
<input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little								
<input type="checkbox"/> 4. No								
INFORMATION IN THIS REPORT:								
CONTAINS TO OUR KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT:		EVALUATOR'S COMMENTS AND FOLLOW-UP QUESTIONS						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Significant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2. Unimportant <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Negligible		<p><i>Information of the commercial character shown in this report is often difficult to obtain in this type of report. Although the general subject of this document was of considerable interest, the most complete information has not yet been obtained. The extent and accuracy of possible Soviet involvement are not clear.</i></p> <p><i>Information of this character type is of interest value would be valuable.</i></p> <p><i>All other work on industry, Soviet purchases of cotton ginning.</i></p>						
RELIABLE:								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Absolutely reliable <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Reliable <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Doubtful <input type="checkbox"/> 4. False								
RELATION TO OTHER INFORMATION:								
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1. Useful for our information <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Sufficiently known <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Of interest to our other information to which reference is not necessary <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Conflicts with previous information <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Appears to be fabrication <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Source has been identified with								
DETAILS:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. None detail <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Some detail, good summary <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Good fragmentary approach <input type="checkbox"/> 4. Detailed organization <input type="checkbox"/> 5. Better organization or better application of source or both <input type="checkbox"/> 6. Related in comment <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 7. No direct qualitative usefulness								
REQUIREMENTS:								
HOW WELL REQUIREMENT IS MET: REPORT SATISFIED BY:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. Fully <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Partially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Partly <input type="checkbox"/> 4. None								
ACCESS TO OTHER SOURCES (INTERNAL DISSEMINATION REQUIRED):								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. None <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Substantially <input type="checkbox"/> 3. Little <input type="checkbox"/> 4. None								
IS IT A "NEW SOURCE" (TITLE) OR "NEW" AND IF NOT HOW HAS THE REQUIREMENT BEEN MET:								
<input type="checkbox"/> 1. We will present a requirement statement in 90 days <input type="checkbox"/> 2. Our file does not warrant a requirement for its reporting.								
EVALUATOR	<small>Name (Printed name)</small> David W. P. Swisher	<small>AGENT</small> KARIE	<small>PHONE</small> 142 3321					
DATE REQUEST RECEIVED	Feb 24, 1960	DATE EVALUATION FORWARDED	March 4, 1960					

JB

Secret

79 2030

13/1/67

15 December 1966

CS 31/21,511

15
HM 4-4367
15 February 1967

LIFE AT PRODUCTION

SUBJECT: Soviet Commercial Activities in Mexico

Area of Information	Reference to	Remarks
1. General Information	1. General Information	1. General Information
2. Specific Information	2. Specific Information	2. Specific Information
3. Other Information	3. Other Information	3. Other Information

What specific aspects of this report are of use? Of value? Please indicate and comment.

Information on the type of machinery offered or sold to Mexico or to Latin America is available from overt sources.

Information on prices is interesting and useful. Price quotations would be more helpful if specifications as well as serial numbers were available. Information indicating whether Soviet prices conform to or vary from world market prices.

Information on goods that the Soviets are interested in buying is of some importance, although this is generally available from overt sources.

SECRET


See HQW 8486, 11 Feb 1960, filed C/WHD's
office,


This dispatch was written by FI/D in reply to HQWA 14362, 20 Jan 1960 and
should be reviewed before LIFEAT or LIPXIT are submitted for renewal.

IMPORTANT

LIFEAT/WHM prod
LIPXIT/ops

SECRET





SECRET

From: Mexico, Mexico City Report No: HMM-1831 Local File No:

No. of Pages: 1 No. of Enclosures: 1 (for Station files only)

Report Made By: Thomas G. URQHART Approved By: Willard C. CURTIS

Distribution: Orally to:
By copy to: 3 - Hqs(1 for KUNRAP)
2 - Files(1 w/att)

Source Cryptonym: A. LIKAYAK-2 References: HMM-1765, 12 Sep, 1956, p.9
B. LIFEAT/LIMERICK

Source, Operational Data, and Comments:

SUBJECT:

Source obtained the information contained in the attached report from

is being included in this Station's series of LCHPROVE subjects.

Classification

SECRET

1 COPY

50-6-32/4
SECRET

