

X442-17622 2 July Helms & Rankin. Report details of alleged contact with CIB in Los Angeles by Gov. Conrad Panel letters: Y48544. Since opinion that both may have thought he spoke in Washington but really in that [K comes here] earned him out. ENB. They would have been [LITMUS]

X442-22941 7 3 July 1964 Rankin & Helms requesting translation of Spanish doc. pertaining to Oswald's stay in Mexico. Also include FBI report of 28th March relating a alleged statement by Edwin Brown.

X442-27202 7 3 July 1964 letter from Rankin transmits State Dept memos regarding Soviet visa procedures, esp. as applicable to Soviet cases.

X442-27221 9 July 1964 MOA by Leo Meyer: Discussion with W. David Starn on question of Soviet policy towards American wives of Americans & visa procedures. (Was our info inconsistent with State's info?), Our reply had expressed to spouses leaving with husbands, State had doubt with larger question of immig. departures.

X442-22912 17 22 July 1964. Teleph. conv. relative to CIA discuss. of 11 Oct. 1963 a case of the wife in report. Starn said that the Commission would not reproduce at text but refer to substance. Earlier discussion on this (20 July) had taken place with Kohlman, who had talked with Hall.

X442-17623 17 22 July 1964 Helms & Rankin, transmittal of doc. translation. Regulated by Rankin in letter of 3 July.

X442-27209 2 10 August 1964: MOA by Murphy & Wolf on 27 July talks with AWD, Rankin & Starn on defector wife handling. On 27 July 1964 there had been a discussion on the "Use of Housewife defectors in Warsaw Comm. Report" - AWD, Rankin, Starn, Helms, Murphy, Wolf. Report covered agreement by State

✓✓ Add to:

XAAZ-22425 of 24 Aug 64: Sergievsky on whom we had no trace walked in, told a story about having a heart attack in early 1960, alleged that this account on KGB as well as he. An Am. Int. office was present. S. alleged in which one of two girls unguarded. Told a rambling, disconnected story but his excessive and behavior behavior a mental problem, stresses he claims to have suffered brain damage or coma. I really left treatment. Born 21 July 1935, lived near Coaly. Failed medical exam. for FBI Off. Institute. Claims had been possessed & brain worked by KGB. in March 1960 after which he met L.O.D. April & May. Head phrases were apparent in own notes. Alcohol & refused oxygen. Grand on by. Dist. strengthening after this episode. He acknowledges KGB prop. knew he was in the field & his purpose. He walked out of my post both unit & again.

? ? Mental case ^{in file}
Was this info passed to intelligence? Did it not wind up on 7 24 Aug?

XAAZ-22425 of 22 July 64: "Apparent harmlessness in Material 9 submitted the Commission by Co. 17 the Dept of State Nelson R. Barkin, further & letter by Barkin & Nelson 3 July 64. Report to follow between Shannon & Wagon 9 July 1964 regarding practices re Sov. estyria departure from USSR. Report to our only was based on summary of the case, including 10 American

XAAZ 27249 contd. This ^{part} ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~in~~ ⁱⁿ ~~Serg~~ ^{is} ~~copy~~ ^{copy} ~~of~~ ^{of} ~~the~~ ^{the} ~~original~~ ^{original}.

non-inclusion of N. info. Review SB concept of H from file problem. Draft of a general reference to defects info ~~attached~~ out and attached

(2) • NA: one of these pages refers to a British lab. worker who had mentioned LHO. ~~See the letter to [unclear]~~

XAAZ - 22773 of 24 Aug 1964: Request from Warren Comm. (Kaulin & Helms) for permission to publish list of docs. as author, in "Probe Foreign Conspiracy" section of its report. looks for a letter approval.

XAAZ - 22855 of 27 Aug 1964. MDR by Hull & Shorley on Pres. Fr. Com. Section 2 Report & letter gist of selected para & wording

XAAZ - 22429 of 28 Aug 1964: Comment on Konstantin Petrov ~~1964. Sergeevskiy, Kaulin & Helms, Moscow 29 July 1964.~~

XAAZ - 22800 of 31 Aug 64. Kaulin & Helms: Extract from memo of work file date 19 Feb 1964 sent to Comm.

XAAZ - 22774 of 1 Sept 1964: Kaulin & Helms. Request - handwritten analysis of articles on LHO taken with application, all from Cuban govt. State translations. Also in case.

XAAZ - 22775 of 3 Sept 1964: Kaulin & Helms. 24 copies to Kaulin

XAAZ - 22939 of 10 Sept 1964: checking out of State translations of LHO application Mex. for info and reply by Kaulin 15 Oct, plus Cuban comrade comment

XAAZ-22776 of Sept 11, 1964. Letter to Fisher, re
communication to public agency memo. 2 July 1964. re
Statement made in an office in the Forest Experiment
in Mexico City, on a sub. memo containing info.
by some info

XAAZ-22874 of 14 Sept 1964. Detained memo on dis-
crepancy in Cuban govt reporting on LHC's progress
w. First color account is, has passed through
Dwan son D092526. This later had info copy
show it as D892526. [This found to be mis-
reading. Under stress & recorded as 0]
See XAAZ-22862 of 18 Sept 1964.

XAAZ-22781 of 17 Sept 1964: Helms to Roubin
re Enrich A240E, ex Cuba coast.
Loren

[NB: Betty Darling interviewed by Bernard Fensterwald Jr,
8 April 1971 re Unknown Man in Oswald Case]

XAAZ-22755 of 21 Sept 1964. Roubin & Helms for
assistance in identifying Oswald's fellow workers in
Minsk. A. Hoshin Comm. Ex. 2625

XAAZ-22870 of 20 Oct 1964. Revised version of info
provided in memorandum of 3 Jan & 1 June 1964 re
doin. info relative to intel. methods & org. Request
date of 4 June 1967 revised

XAAZ-17857 of 4 Nov 1964. Report by Betty Darling on
results of visit to Commission & various FBI reports
referring to persons in Cuba. Names were targetable
& names of individuals, agreed, should be deleted.

CSCI-316/02545 115 Dec 1964 : re Allegation of unid.
accident of Cuban involvement in bus organization
aboard. ~~held up~~ ^{at} Cuban plane flight
afternoon of 22 Nov. Source, semi dressed, had
and out from a well known Cuban secret
agency. On 23 Nov. 63 had had forgotten to report.
Plane arrives 1700 hrs. from Dallas via
Tijuana and Mexico city. This goes to be a slight.
[FBI rejected the account because of notes and
inconsistencies; see its reply 31 Dec 1964 - DBA
9434) of that date]

XAAZ-23580 7 Oct 1965: Letter from Katz, author of
and concerning working of security controls
on CIA corrao. forwarded to Pres. Commission

XAAZ-23581 11 Sept 1965: Old's only to the re
security controls on CIA corrao. Explain
background and notes how agreement
reached on each item. State that in no instance
was an for. work held from public domain
if it had a direct bearing on the organization
(high prepared by Cherly-

CSCI-316/01398 17 Oct 1965 1 forward copy of
auth. letter to Sw. Sect. referring to LHO.
Named: Um. R. DOBKINS.

↑
This man was investigated by
FBI in US & in Mexico -

XAA2 - 27167 of 27 June 1966.

ASA Chron of meetings with Warren Commission:

14 Jan (Mr. Rankin to Mr. Miller, 67 AWD, Rankin, St.

12 March (Mr. Rankin) '64

27 March '64 - Mr. Wilkin, Mr. Stone et al (from
briefing on case handling, working party
A.

26 May 1964 - Mr. Lofth (re Dr. Mohrenscheldt)

28 August 1964 - (Mr. Stinson?)

12 Oct 1964 - (Mr. Stone & Mr. Goldberg)

25 Aug. ? (at Commission's meeting, prep.)

Mr. Helms Chrons: 14 Jan (see above) 28 June
(at Rankin's office), 12 March (Mr. Rankin's office
with Murphy & R.A. 27 March 14:30 (see above)

24 June 11:30 (J. Warren, 27 July (AWD, Rankin,
Lawson, Helms, Murphy, Soyky)

NB: ASA named, p. 13 as one of the liaison officers
between Commission and East agencies, in Jay
Epstein's book Inquest (1966)

BD - 5877 of 4 Jan 1967 (see vol. 54). : CA brief

re Commission's criticism of Warren Report (where
discom is already noted). This brings down
general conclusions out: (2) no signif. new evidence
has emerged. (3) Critics follow selective narrative
re details & arguments (4) conspiracy impossible in
this case by nature of circumstances. (5) Oswald as a
loner (6) Report not a much job. (7) The deaths
subsequent to the report are not statistically signif.
Commission queried 48 witnesses, the FBI queried
25,000.)

DBB-79914 of 28 Apr. 67: case of Eugene Guedols

Gonzaga. Mental patient NYC, arrested by FBI
19 Nov 1963 confined to one member of team I
associated with the Kennedy. FBI says he lives
now arrested now interviewing. (See further
notes of 7 Oct 1967 in El Tiampo (NY) which claims
Carter had sent 1 team to US & back to UK.

XAAZ-27214 of 2 NOV 1967. Comparison of
 Oswald case with other American defectors
to USSR and maintain relation to re-defectors
and their work (144 56)

HMMT-10150 27 Feb 1970. Mexico City file on case

DST-18668 of 28 Aug 1969. Found State transmission

of letter and airmail. done by ex State employee
Charles William Thomas in circumstances of Cuban
reps. in company. (See Warren Commission Report
No. 299-310, 62-59, 730-56). Copies sent by State
also to FBI & Sec. State. Thomas still orig. means
of comm. with Mrs. Pray (nee Garris) on 10 Dec
1965. Eliza Garris m. to Arthur Pray. She documents
to Museum.

↑
This aspect of the case persisted

(7)
Pray
Museum

14-00000
DCB - 18035 - 30 July 1965. 3 vols. Review vols of
materials prepared for national circulation re
release for U.S. Archives. + Vol. of correspondence

061 06 Mexi - 1963

(201-337252)

@ Marcos

Mannell Eugenio VEGA Perez, b. 1919 Havana.

First Sgt of 1st. Sgt. ^{1st} Sgt. ^{2nd} Sgt. ^{3rd} Sgt. ^{4th} Sgt. ^{5th} Sgt. ^{6th} Sgt. ^{7th} Sgt. ^{8th} Sgt. ^{9th} Sgt. ^{10th} Sgt. ^{11th} Sgt. ^{12th} Sgt. ^{13th} Sgt. ^{14th} Sgt. ^{15th} Sgt. ^{16th} Sgt. ^{17th} Sgt. ^{18th} Sgt. ^{19th} Sgt. ^{20th} Sgt. ^{21st} Sgt. ^{22nd} Sgt. ^{23rd} Sgt. ^{24th} Sgt. ^{25th} Sgt. ^{26th} Sgt. ^{27th} Sgt. ^{28th} Sgt. ^{29th} Sgt. ^{30th} Sgt. ^{31st} Sgt. ^{32nd} Sgt. ^{33rd} Sgt. ^{34th} Sgt. ^{35th} Sgt. ^{36th} Sgt. ^{37th} Sgt. ^{38th} Sgt. ^{39th} Sgt. ^{40th} Sgt. ^{41st} Sgt. ^{42nd} Sgt. ^{43rd} Sgt. ^{44th} Sgt. ^{45th} Sgt. ^{46th} Sgt. ^{47th} Sgt. ^{48th} Sgt. ^{49th} Sgt. ^{50th} Sgt. ^{51st} Sgt. ^{52nd} Sgt. ^{53rd} Sgt. ^{54th} Sgt. ^{55th} Sgt. ^{56th} Sgt. ^{57th} Sgt. ^{58th} Sgt. ^{59th} Sgt. ^{60th} Sgt. ^{61st} Sgt. ^{62nd} Sgt. ^{63rd} Sgt. ^{64th} Sgt. ^{65th} Sgt. ^{66th} Sgt. ^{67th} Sgt. ^{68th} Sgt. ^{69th} Sgt. ^{70th} Sgt. ^{71st} Sgt. ^{72nd} Sgt. ^{73rd} Sgt. ^{74th} Sgt. ^{75th} Sgt. ^{76th} Sgt. ^{77th} Sgt. ^{78th} Sgt. ^{79th} Sgt. ^{80th} Sgt. ^{81st} Sgt. ^{82nd} Sgt. ^{83rd} Sgt. ^{84th} Sgt. ^{85th} Sgt. ^{86th} Sgt. ^{87th} Sgt. ^{88th} Sgt. ^{89th} Sgt. ^{90th} Sgt. ^{91st} Sgt. ^{92nd} Sgt. ^{93rd} Sgt. ^{94th} Sgt. ^{95th} Sgt. ^{96th} Sgt. ^{97th} Sgt. ^{98th} Sgt. ^{99th} Sgt. ^{100th}

2nd 3rd Sgt. 4th Sgt. 5th Sgt. 6th Sgt. 7th Sgt. 8th Sgt. 9th Sgt. 10th Sgt. 11th Sgt. 12th Sgt. 13th Sgt. 14th Sgt. 15th Sgt. 16th Sgt. 17th Sgt. 18th Sgt. 19th Sgt. 20th Sgt. 21st Sgt. 22nd Sgt. 23rd Sgt. 24th Sgt. 25th Sgt. 26th Sgt. 27th Sgt. 28th Sgt. 29th Sgt. 30th Sgt. 31st Sgt. 32nd Sgt. 33rd Sgt. 34th Sgt. 35th Sgt. 36th Sgt. 37th Sgt. 38th Sgt. 39th Sgt. 40th Sgt. 41st Sgt. 42nd Sgt. 43rd Sgt. 44th Sgt. 45th Sgt. 46th Sgt. 47th Sgt. 48th Sgt. 49th Sgt. 50th Sgt. 51st Sgt. 52nd Sgt. 53rd Sgt. 54th Sgt. 55th Sgt. 56th Sgt. 57th Sgt. 58th Sgt. 59th Sgt. 60th Sgt. 61st Sgt. 62nd Sgt. 63rd Sgt. 64th Sgt. 65th Sgt. 66th Sgt. 67th Sgt. 68th Sgt. 69th Sgt. 70th Sgt. 71st Sgt. 72nd Sgt. 73rd Sgt. 74th Sgt. 75th Sgt. 76th Sgt. 77th Sgt. 78th Sgt. 79th Sgt. 80th Sgt. 81st Sgt. 82nd Sgt. 83rd Sgt. 84th Sgt. 85th Sgt. 86th Sgt. 87th Sgt. 88th Sgt. 89th Sgt. 90th Sgt. 91st Sgt. 92nd Sgt. 93rd Sgt. 94th Sgt. 95th Sgt. 96th Sgt. 97th Sgt. 98th Sgt. 99th Sgt. 100th

Reportedly worked under supervision of someone
near Cuernavaca Mountains, Comm. Amecameca

NB: who is defector (1964) AMSTRE/1 ?

AMSTRE-1 reported on 8 Nov 62 that Eusebio
AZCUBA in prison had told VEGA Perez that
one instructor of 1st. Co. 1st. Bn. 1st. Div. told
AZCUBA that VEGA was to handle such
cases as proposed problems of identification
(AZCUBA had received & had been handled
by someone else.)

Relatively recent from 1963 on handling subv.
contracts. (AMSTRE/2, from SINOSIS).

6 Jan - 13 Feb 1963 on leave in Havana

NB: as of 14 Mar 63 "fullest possible coverage" had
been req. by Hqs. on this individual. In March 63
Hqs. expressed being in Mex Tourist Embassy.

In Meris Official 2 Sept 63, Mex. outside contain

OB of Cuban installations of 14 in all.

Query: was it normal for a case like VEGA's
application to be handled by a local employee
not an officer

described 21 Nov 1963 (UFAA-12559) as in Mex
in charge of courier work. Actual agent
same & Cuban.

Rodriguez

On 14 Feb 1964 Vega (and his subordinates, Regalia) were in contact with two ^{members} agents of groups led by Oscar Aguilar ^{groups} which were plotting to kill General Anastasio Somoza (Nicaragua). One of the two agents sent to Cuba for training. This confirmed by the sources.

Amador Del Olivo: Miguel P. 14 1st Lt Natl Police 54-60. Friend of Vega.

Vega acted as June 1964 as Intell. Chief of DBI in Mexico City, releasing Alfredo MARRASAL in that capacity. Identified as chief of staff then in August 22 June 63.

Alfredo MARRASAL Diaz, consul, consul Mexico 2 Sept 63 held in contact. San Gabriel Soc. Oct 63. Vega ref. Mexi 18 April 64 to release Regalia Rodriguez for investigation. Regalia left PC 25 April.

Random on Vega:

- Am. Mex < Mar 2 Apr 62
- Dep. Mex > Mar 6 Jun 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 13 Feb.
- Dep. Am. > Apr. 13 Apr. 63
- Am. Mex < Apr. 21 Apr 63
- Dep. Mex > Apr 3 Nov 63
- Am. Mex < Apr 16 Apr 64 - as chief. 1964

NB: only 1/2 notes left turned to Co

NB: This would make her about Mex on 23 Nov 63. And her name there during LIT 63 stay 27 Sept - 2 Oct

All people against Cuba legal or otherwise must first contact Subject. He to then bring date and send it to Cuba for review dealing. This review checking procedure normally takes 15 days

Went back to Apr. from Mex. Nov 63 and was concerned to the Reg. Natl. Int. of DBI as Nicaragua. He left Mex. Oct. 1964. I left

Vega mens. de Nov 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67
1966. Vega mens. de Nov 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67
L. Vis. of SA, 14 April 1966. Vega mens. de Nov. 21
27 June 1966. Vega mens. de Nov. 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67
La Palacera. Vega mens. de Nov. 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67
de cultura en Cuba.

Vega mens. de Nov. 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67



Reptiles and amphibians of the Vega mens. de Nov. 21 + etc. + returned 4/11/67


Am. Mus. Nat. Hist. L. R. 2014 6/2/67,
Hugo Costas, Inc. 1967












2



Duplicate

Notes

1. Circumstantial Facts: from late Sept - 27 Nov the case was handled as a matter of ordinary business in both Mexi and in Hqs. Handling was by the book, however in Mexi @ Mexico Station had a large on going case load and @ LHC (presumably by 1000) and reported (8th Oct) to Hqs^(14th) and disseminated (10th) even as Hqs. and FBI case units to believe in the case. ^{clear conclusions proffered by Hqs on 14th Oct} _{in 10th Oct - what was decided first at that time was leaving the whole case open to further facts (see below)}

2. Bold criticisms can be sustained against Mexico Station and Hqs. covering handling between 27 Sept and 22 Nov:

(1) Mexico Station copy of forwarding of LG identification @ Hqs. based on Vaida intercept only on 15th Oct. ^{and the identification}

(2) Identification of individuals ^{on a station people selected from a USA Exam. Station}. On 15th Oct. Hqs. received a copy of a photo of a man in a tea house. ^{That all in name of the topic was made, and nothing had been done to identify the man} _{that could have been done}

(3) Hqs. disseminated the photo ^{disc.} to 8th Oct. 1955 with ^{disc.} 10th Oct. 1955 with identification of unidentified individual.

(4) In its reply to Mexi on 11th, however Hqs. gave Mexi a concrete description of (14th Oct) which was at all clear concrete with the description of the unidentified individual and should have been sufficient, internally to break any evidential connections between the two facts.

(5) Mexico Station though asked by Hqs. did not press or secure an identification or followup on LHC before the arrest of (14th Oct. 1955) before the last stages, what he had done, when he had arrived or when he had left. NB: maybe this

*and digging for me
concerning the date
of the photo
of the person
in the photo*

the legal advice or the Mex. representation or the Embassy (which was informed by the 14th Oct).

- (4) Though requested by Mexico Station, Mex. asked the Navy for a photo of the UAO, but did not permit and secure a photo before the 22nd.
- (5) Mexico Station did not match the 1st main intercept with its earlier intercept (of 17th and 18th Sept) until after the 22nd Nov. event, when it did so with alacrity.
- (6) Mexico Station did not match its 1st coverage of the unidentified individual with its coverage of the same individual on 15 Oct. in front of the Soviet Embassy, nor on 15 Oct. in front of the Cuban Embassy until after 22nd Nov.
- (7) Despite the discrepancies in description, Mex Station put the 1st photos into the chain of evidence on 22 Nov. 2200 hours. Ultimately the decision having been made by the Ambassador and the Division Chief (the subject, being faced with a fait accompli. (Trans. not typed))
- (8) No photo coverage was obtained at any time of UAO in Mexico city. The interest of the unidentified individual - in good faith by CBS - was well understood and compromised the operations in all, long run, though some effort was made to satisfy the requirements of the Commission and the security of the operations.

(9) Two members of Cuban Int. know to have dealt with UAO: Silvia Amador and Conrado Igore. Both left & employed as 219 Nov 1953. named.

9. The thrust of the information after the 22nd Nov event was against the USSR agents, rather than the Cubans. Coverage very early however, turned up at least one significant intercept:

(a) The Cuban Coldcom. Conversation - afternoon of the 22nd. Which seems not to have gone to the Commission. Possibly? - this must be checked

- (b) ^{two} the Brothers - Ramos Kennedy telephone calls on the _____ and _____
- and (c) ambiguous reference by Jugoslav ambassador Vlahovic -

4. Major coverage after ~~exam~~ ^{length} based on technical coverage and wire interceptions of [] The two signif. challenges are:

(a) Were the Soviets & Cubans aware of the coverage visual & audio before 22nd?

(b) Did Sovs and/or Cubans have penetration in the Bohemian which would have permitted them to blunt the thrust of the ~~top~~ succession of major leads which were investigated leading to Show or known degree of contact between UFD ^{was suspected by} them ^{the} ^{substantive} details of the intercepts?
viz:

(1) Cross of Gibraltar - Havana - Mexico - requires walk-in to Sov. investigation prohibited through Dec.

(2) Restrictions of the amount of detail given by Silvia Simon. (Can her testimony in May 1967 -> statements to confid. source be trusted? If so there is also reason to review the Clara Corra de los details -) S. Simon was not subjected to a thorough electronic examination based on what we know or could surmise from the intercepts.

(3) Ylario Rojas Villaverde case (in brochure & logbook). [This appears to have been rebutted.]

(4) Case of Elena Barros de Log. See the annals reports of that Dept. office, Thomas. This case suggests the possibility that Cuban sources in Caceron were in a position to manipulate and circumvent the St. Juan Human and other leads affecting themselves, including the Alvarez matter: note the extraordinary point that two attacks have in common - the presence of a red headed negro. (According to Alvarez in the Cuban compound, according to Elena Barros, in attendance at Cuban Human's party)

(5) Observations by Eliz. Collet to St. John (belonging to)
 who had recommenced ^{contact} Theodore Lee on his Cuban trip for FTFC org. March 21 - 24 Jan 1963
 Lee's relationship to FTFC activity is probably unclarified and unclarified on its face, unless it can be assumed that FTFC and Cubans themselves were more aware of what he was doing than they have acknowledged.

some
 on
 (with
 relation)

(6) Case of Exas Contreras, to San. Juan. Temp's recursion in May 1967. relation to Lee's alleged contact with pro-Comm. Student groups at St. John's. Exas is now assumed to have been recruited for one occasion.

Dr. St. John, V. L. ...

5. acknowledging gradual subject of ...

- (a) He denied the ...
- (b) He was ...

10
Lats: 87 hours.

Can it be concluded that if he had contact with either Sorensen or Cubans or both, that must be beyond its transit-vision aspect one into that he was told to assassinate Pres. Kennedy? or anything of that kind? (Consider in this context his subsequent letters to USSR Emb. Washington after return from Mexico.). Operationally it makes more sense to conclude that his relations were in an electric, developmental stage, and that his effort to redefeat was bona fide. (Note the symbolic identity between his suicide attempt in Moscow in 1959, which tipped the balance in his favor of remaining in the USSR, and the assassinations as his ultimate self-proving (and self-determining) "devotion".)

NB: This allows for LHO having said nothing that was self-compromising in his ^{political} dealings with Soviet and Cubans, including threats against the lives of prominent ^{US} anti-Soviet and anti-Cubanists - which he knew the Soviets and Cubans would admit after the events of 1962, they knew.

b. What is the probative value - without formal collateral relating to Mexico and Dallas event - of Castro's statements as reported by AP, on 7 Sept 1963 at Washington Embassy reception? Note that VPI would not request comment of the last statements without formal collateral. Its probative value is only as a conditioning factor, which could have operated principally on a homicidal individual's July 1963. Therefore Castro must have a significant level of insight for what is said about the nature and degree of involvement of platform against

Prison, his alias and JFK is dead, now we see evidence
in act of assassination whose it may have been a
conditioned response by a psychiatric institution for
"justifiable homicide". Or worse, to you have conducted
an investigation, over a year or to suggest that
the assassination was indeed, a ^{or could have been} justified situation? again,
in the absence of factual collateral that goes beyond
what we do know, namely that it was the will
of JFK and Walter Tippett but that he did it alone.

Ask the same question of the British statements to
his F.O. notebook: what signif. evidentially
can be attached to what he said? (Illustrated the
remarks given and level of psych. insight.)

The question of lack of supporting evidential associated
also requiring that there were two separate sources
notes come out immediately after the assassination, one
British (Hornby) and the other Cuban (Robert Lopez)
through a variety of ^{potential} connections, both
highly sensitive and Cuban intelligence ^{transmission} services
off the books

*NB: by Spitzer a very sensitive and specific to the Cuban
40000 people, ^{by the Cuban} ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that}
JFK "was the walking in present year, 1961".

7. There is every reason to be unhappy with the way of
upon the present ^{by the Cuban} ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that}
to shift blame on CIA for allegedly not revealing what the
anti-cuba side were doing, assuming they would. It is here
I know the policy was to deal with the thing in
but not to encourage it to become hostile, in fact
with the anti-cuba, ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that} ^{by the Cuban}
there is the ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that} ^{by the Cuban}
the only ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that} ^{by the Cuban}
concern to the ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that} ^{by the Cuban}
to encourage to ^{or massive} ^{part of the} ^{and that} ^{by the Cuban}

(Note that after specifically completing his work for
forming by his reporting identified by several aspects
of foreign involvement.)

In part of the working level in C.I. — about the FBI's
position was or is not known to me — it may be that
the report did not ^{concern} could have left a larger opening
for further accumulation of evidence relative to
the group conspiracy aspect. ~~The~~ Mr. Miller was
or advised by C.I. staff at the time. In any
case they are a matter of measure or shedding and
know we are talking here of degrees of sympathy,
hence the Report properly read does leave
the question of ultimate responsibility of C.I. or
a Singleton Miller open.

What did the Commission Staff fail to formulate
the questions necessary to cover all aspects of the case and
that have investigated — in this instance by the FBI

R. Status of which developed abroad after reconstruction
is possible direct bearing on assessment of the
particular conspiracy theory.

- (A) South. Ind. defector 1944 — info. provided.
- (B) Moscow — early 1944 — info. provided in substance
- (C) Germany — within for info. provided ^{in 1944}
at Berlin 1944. Identical case.

~~Additional information regarding the case was obtained from the files of the~~
investigation, case file of the various aspects.

Sensitization of —

- (D) It is possible that some info. has been provided ^{explaining}
with other defectors, including St. L. volatility
and discrepancy.

9. Helms on record re full disclosure of full
 facts held by agency in sworn testimony Wed. May
 6, 1964 (see Vol I:120-124, and by affidavit
 Exhibits 868 and 869). Also in summary ^{of} ~~the~~
 on ^{2/27/64} 1/15/65 that in no way was any doc. withheld
 from public domain if it had a direct bearing
 on the assassination.

10. In general the Oswald case file shows a
 minimum input from WOOD and no concern
 with the involvement of Palumbo, according to
 statement of
 Carter on 7/15/68. Query: what was needed,
 within all of our highest levels to that Palumbo's
 involvement be revealed in "Clay's file" if
 not necessary and why?

11. See memo dated 1/20/68 re Oswald's involvement
 not to go into detail but to get to the bottom of it
 get her by 4/1968 time

12. What is the status of the investigation?
- ① Dispute - primary question is whether or not
 there is a connection between Oswald and the
 fact that he would not have accepted
 back identification with Carter revolution.
 - ② The primary question is that there would be
 a connection between Oswald and the
 book to 1959 which shows that he is still
 - ③ See also FBI's testimony, Vol 10: 254, 255, 259,
 which is checked up by ...
 - ④ See Johnson's subcommittee testimony, 11/2/67
 - ⑤ Also Oswald's testimony, Vol. 10, pages 254-255
 - ⑥ ...

See memo dated 1/20/68
 re Oswald's involvement
 not to go into detail but to get to the bottom of it
 get her by 4/1968 time

... contacted him, requested to bring, offered to bring
... with his contact for info and help in the
... distribution money. This cooperation as to Bay when
... through found the founding asset, wearing a
... sign, wearing name of Hiss on behalf of FPPC.
On 12th there was a municipal court session
for which Hiss pleaded guilty against 11th. On 14th
... was seeing out bond in some cases. Foreign
... of a grant of 1958 houses on 16th. On 21 Aug there
was a radio debate. It also repeats what
... but is of little interest to the American.
(is also related to British testimony)

FPPC is somewhat but more especially involved
in the Hiss prosecution. What attempts

What does one make of the evidence testimony,
... with the testimony of the Rodriguez, Hiss
... money

(2) What are the conditions of H's alleged anti-
activities in H.O. on behalf of the FPPC? Can
one accept this idea as best and guidance or
contacts. After he had begun to work & labor
... cons. by Los Angeles from El Toro camp?
What does a chronic account of his known
int. movements look like starting with the
filled printed procedure notes?

See
Delgado

(3) Report does not note the AP report by Huchon on
9 Sept 1963 - was was testimony taken on this
point, though the version that appeared in
Time Magazine must have been seen by him.
It reflects main line however p. 412 ff.

Notes

O-File - 289288 - was opened on 9 Dec 1960 under
the subject: OSWALD, Lee Edward. Imported to
USSR in October 1959.

Note that in our TS to Roshar, 19 Feb 1964 "Inform
Developed by CIA on the activities of Lee Harvey
Oswald in Mexico City, September 27 to Oct 3, 1963,
para 4, sub 3, are stated:

"⁷ From all the evidence on hand we deduce
that Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate
in Mexico City on the afternoon of 27 Sept 1963
and again sometime on 28 September 1963.
There is no evidence that he visited anyone other
than once on the days mentioned, but we
cannot exclude these possibilities. The words
in para seven of same report, on page four
and five, as based on the telephone call
which he made, do not mean that Oswald was
at the consulate at least once after his
initial visit, and this, later, visit was on
the afternoon of a day on which the Cuban
Consul telephoned the Soviet Consulate about
Oswald's visa problem. This may well
have been 28 Sept., but we cannot be
certain of this conclusion."

Was Miami reported by the boss of 22 Nov, 2002, that
AMCOT 53 reported AMISOLE delegate and radio date
 sometime in Aug. 63 or 1962. (John Wild. Summary of
AMISOLE file, volume 1, page 1000 on
22 Nov.

Valerij Vlad. Kostin is identified KGB operationally believing
to be a 13th Dept operative. Being known to have worked
in matter of military sabotage. Beliefs of open hostility
or potentially non-constructive matter known to be not the
basis an accepted doctrinal point at least among GLL.
NB: we passed 2 FBI memos (25 Nov) dated re Costin and
3 families papers hearing on topic - 2 of these two were
concerned with Sov case of Kost. & names. Ex. let. (Aug 61)
& the other, Sov Inst. Ex. let. (Oct 61)

Dir 84915 of 23 Nov 63 2206Z distrib. to FBI only
the gist of intelligence info reported by Miami re "North
American" on 23rd aft. full file review.

Report from Santiago Club 23 Nov re Cuba. 2nd reaction
indicated unind. reaction that was "you know a
CIA", extreme right & segregationist to them. This
was change Pedro Martinez, 1962. Some time he stated
that mission was involved in, 712C University that this
common only suspect of extreme right & segregationist
in to them? (This Santiago 6153 IN 6714),
23 Nov 63)

NB: There was no known recorder on Sov inst. on 4 Oct.
(See Miami 7023- IN 67108). This cable relates the North Amer.
82 the 28th calls to Oswald identified in case of 1 Oct, but
indicated no voice comparison could be done "because
last time exact pitch record of second call".

It is clear from context that FBI rec sent spec. to
Keller, afternoon 22nd carried 2 sets of my
photos each reference, to Miami. but. on 27/1 & 7
Oct Miami it should be the ...

14-00000

Man provided full number of all probable calls beyond
the 27th in London & including the 3 October call by the 7035
of 23 Nov 1963 (in 67252)

Messing
Minnards
Blair

29/4

According to Lt. 23 April 1975, the camera
was down on 27 Sept for some mechanical
malfunctions. He stated he and Shaw
worked personally on various subsequent
photo trips. Also certain that uses-conceals
explicitly or indirectly. Not a matter of
human malfunctions (loading & unloading),
either. Should attempt to bring elements of
recall - consult them on this point. We
won't get in trouble.

Derry: Granted above, why did we not
get it at its usual distribution on 18th 20th ?

14-00000
- Culture general - formation of pollen. IR interest
worked out on line
- at morning 1st - 2nd - 3rd - 4th - 5th - 6th - 7th - 8th - 9th - 10th
over the period. number of pollen grains. He also saw
long rib, although it had made diploid line

from cell. at about time a week - collecting
processed & stored. Taxonomy
any for just up in a library, would be done,
at this time.
From state of ...

Handwritten notes in a box, possibly a stamp or administrative header, containing illegible text.

3 Apr 1968
at least 100 copies

Report that Phoenix City station, ^{written its} ~~was~~
~~intended to do anything~~

limitations and capabilities had done
^{that}
all it could, ⁱⁿ compliance with
headquarters instructions: there were no
further contacts by Oswald to report because
he had left Phoenix city, ^{it was ultimately} ~~on 2 October~~
and, ^{meanwhile} ~~it was~~ it would
be difficult to make a positive
identification, ^{in order to} ~~be~~ ^{to} ~~make~~ ^{positive}
registration under alias.

Tell with Michael Forman

1. Mexi 6453 (IN 36917, ? Sat, Cass 2 fri)
Actions to W08.
2. Din 74676 to Stat, 351 < Mar. 2012 2
3. Din 74830 to Mexi, reg. Mex 6453
22-09 2.
4. Mexi 6534, 15 Oct 1993 164-0377
1730 2. (reg. for plant.)

What is

111 Report 2013

Claimed J. Butler - Exe. Director of the
Discretionary Council of the Americas. New Orleans.
has been testimony not taken from this man

Get (Sons) record info by / for Ted to look at.

✓ Get copy of Assou's latest report for
the Berlin & Forward via channels or
otherwise.

✓ Call home on non-family of Cuban
airline

✓ Call Gordie & tell him about 123

✓ Get transcription copy of Houston & work

There is a document in the file about
the... The...
The...
The...

Discussion with...

Discussion with H. Phillips 23 April = it was
Cuban concern that was done. He does
not object to the use of the photo in any way
but would prefer freezing the background
containing photos of J. Butler, Council of
Americas, etc.

- Oct 4/5 = ...

- Oct publication of ... for end
1965 - about 11 months ago,

- We must now in the ... of discussion with
the Commission ... of the photo -

- And then ... of ... or ...
... with the
Commission.

28/4

This ... in ... of ...
... and ... of ...
... since ...
...