MIMORANDUM FOR ECCORD

SHEJECT:

Yuriy Ivanovich NOSENGO,

- Thompson in his office to advise him of our plans to proceed to hostile interrogation in order to clarify the MOSIL Dease. The DDP explained that white we had reached a firm conclusion late last year that NOSISSO was a provocateur and that hostile interrogation was in order, we decided to review these conclusions with representatives of the FBI. After some unproblable delay, a series of meetings was hald with the FSI at the level of Deputy Director for Security, Mr. Milliam C. Suffixan. The findings of the FBI, which agreed generally with our own views, were formulated in a letter to the Director signed by John Edger Hoover. A copy of this memorandom was shown to Ambassador Thompson by the DDP with the comment that the FBI and ourselves now have a common understanding on the case which was something both the DCI and the Director, FBI, agreed would be necessary.
- hostile interrogations in the near future but before we did, we wanted to discuss the case with Ambessador Thompson in light of the various contingencies which might orise during the course of these interrogations. DDP felt that it was preferable to advise Ambassador Thompson well in advance of our intentions so that it consultations with the State Department became necessary on a contingency basis, Ambassader Thompson would be in possession of the background. Ambassador Thompson first asked if we were sure that NOSESEO could not escape and term himself into the Soviet Embassy. We told him that we had established him in a country house with a large security guard which we felt made escape improbable. Ambassador Thompson then asked if there was a possibility that MOSEKKO might commit suicide. We said psychiatrists did not believe he was the type to do so and that our security surveillance was such that we were alert to this possibility and would try to prevent it. Ambassador Thompson also wondered if there had been any communications concerning NOSESEO from the

Sovier side. We replied there had been none since the confrontation last year following NOSENRO's arrival in this country. We then mentioned the attempts on several occasions by NOSENRO's wife and mother to contact him through the Embassy in Noscow but added that these attempts ceased in March 1964 and nothing has been heard from them since.

- 5. Ambassador Thompson then asked if NOSENKO had provided information which would discredit any American officials. We answered in the negative, pointing out that he had reported on former French and Canadian ambassadors. We later qualified the statement on Americans, pointing out that NOSENKO did provide information on a few Americans of Jesser rank as well as private citizens.
- point of no return in our interrogations, we might decide that NOSENKO would have to be turned back. Ambassador Thompson expressed concern that the Soviets might use this action to discourage defections. We explained that when we came to the point that a turn-back appeared desirable, we would hope that a statement could be made by the U. S. Government which would establish NOSEMKO as a provocateur. The DDP then described the AEJOYFUL case in brief terms to Ambassador Thompson, emphasizing the parallel planning and the possibility that a quadripartite statement by the governments might be considered. The DDP did note, however, that AEJOYFUL was in hands and that they have the major responsibility even though we and other security and intelligence services had agreed on the handling of the case. The DDP then noted that in past cases where we had turned back people with intelligence backgrounds at their own request, the Soviets had not made publicity capital of these actions.
- 5. The earlier mention of our discussions with the FBI recalled to Ambassador Thompson some of the material on Soviet political issues which he has been receiving recently from the FBI. He commented that it was his very strong impression that the information was being fed to us by the Soviets. He said that it either consisted of information we already knew or that which the Soviets would not object to our having. He asked our views on this. The DDP com-

Dented that it was the opinion of several officers in the Clandestine Services that some of these FBI sources were under Soviet control whereas the FBI believed them to be genuine.

o. In summing up our position on the EOSENKO case for Ambassador Thompson, the DDP referred to the kinds of information the Soviets had given up through MOSENKO. He made particular reference to the Johnson case and observed that if the Soviets were prepared to provide this kind of build-up to insure the success of NOSENKO's mission, it must then be very important to them. In conclusion, the DDP said that he would send a letter for the record to Mr. Hughes on the topic of NOSENKO to which Ambassador Thompson agreed.

David E. Murphy Chief, SR Division