TET TIMPP

14 July 1961

MENOFARDUM FOR: Chief, NH/A

SURJECT

: Comments on NRT Plan for Auti-Castro Operations

1. The Poviniento Revolucionario del Pueblo (MCP) has substitude a plan for the conduct of anti-Castro operations. The original was received from the MGP Chief, Panuel Antonio Ray y Rivero, in Missel on 17 June 1961 and a copy of it handcarried to Readquarters on 19 June 1961. It is our understanding that certain (to us unspecified) elements in the United States Covernment view the Ray plan with favor, realing that the "take" in the way of information and the maintenance of a resistance organization in Cuba would be worth the price.

2. We have been asked to comment on the NCC plan. Attached are the comments of Mr. forwardy of this Section, and several members of the Milly Pit staff. Paragraph 6 was substitted by Mr. Dave Phillips, Chief, Milly Propagants. I might add that no case is in agreement with the entire paper. We all agree, however, with the conclusion that the plan, as smaltted, is not one meriting support from this or any other U. S. Sovernment agency. We see little chance for a reasonable return for cost unless real control by the provider of the funds is built into the plan.

Robert 3. Noore Chief, WH/b/PK

Distribution: Original & 1 - Addresses

## SECCET

## COLUMN ON THE MAP OFFICIAL PROGRAM

- 1. The MFP plan presented by Manalo Ray proposes, in brief, that the MFP be provided with funds in the amount of \$2,343,000 for a six-month period, with which to mount anti-Constro operations. It is assumed that additional financing would be provided, at a rate exceeding \$2,000,000 per year, until the objectives were achieved.
- 2. No where in the plan is there any statement as to what return, if any, the providers of the funds would receive for their support, other than the knowledge that the money had been provided for furtherence of the desirable goal of bringing about the desirable goal of tringing goal of tringing about the desirable goal of tringing about the desirable goal of tringing goal of
- 3. It is noteworthy that the plan insists that the PRP retain complete and sole control of all the operations contemplated. Thus, it states, on page 6, that:

"Contributions will be received without compromising the responsibility for decisions and organization of the plans. This does not rule out our search for examelling and coordination with other factors present, but without ever surrandering the responsibility and absolute control of the operations." (Univerlining added)

It also appears to be the MEP view that its position should be enalogous to that of a government-in-exile which has been recognised as the rightful regime of its country. Thus the plan states that:

- ".....vith the governments willing to support un we should establish high-level contact which vill more it possible to discuss questions of basic policy of events and plans opportunely, on a mutual footing." (Underlining added)
- 4. The operations contemplated by the FPP are not too dissimilar from those proposed by the Wi/4/PN Section for FY 1962. They include the operation of maritime assets capable of reaching all tubon consts, the operation of maritime assets capable of reaching all tubon consts, the operation of maritime and infiltration of W/T operators and intelligence agents, support of internal guerrillas, and the training of eight or ten 150-man groups for introduction into Cuba at the right time. (There is also considerable stress given to the mounting of extensive psychological varfare). The IMP plan would pose many of the problems that any FY program does the when, where, how, and how such questions involved in procuring and operating air and maritime bases end the policy questions relating to actions which include sabotage and active resistance.

- 5. It is our view that the ETP plan abould not be supported in its present form for these reasons:
- a. The MTP soels exclusive control of the anti-Castro resistance. The plan itself states that the best hope for the Cuban people is offered by the set of ideas and aims of the depocratic left. Aside from the truth or falsity of that statement, the MTP is in no position to claim effective leadership of the anti-Castro resistance. There have been, and are many groups in that field. The MTP has no record of action of which we have reliable evidence which would argue for giving them preferential status. In specific instances their claim to certain capabilities have been tested and found vanting. It is our view that reliance upon groups as such, with no control, is detrimental to operational achievement.
- b. The MC seeks blank-check financial support while retaining complete control of operations. So for an we are aware there is no provision in either Agency or U.S. Soverment policies and regulations which would penalt the handing over of the substantial sums envisaged by the MCP plan without retention by the dome of the same measure of control over the use of the funds. The MCP plan, e.g., almost certainly would involve operations mounted from bases within U.S. territorial jurisdiction, yet those operations would remain under MCP absolute control. We doubt that the F.B.I., Customs and Immigration Service, Coust Suard and other concerned agencies would ever agree to any such arrangement where U.S. territory is concerned, and we would certainly be in no position to wouch for the IMP operations. Anti-Castro operations mounted from anythere catallies of Cuba are going to be attributed to the United States. Since that is the case, the U.S. Government must control those operations as effectively as it can.
- of finds. While governments, including in some instances governments-in-exile, can be treated as equals by the U.S. Covernment, there is no precedent for extending such treatment to a private organization. To do so in this case would result in the arousing of the bitter empity of the anti-Ray resistance groups, of which there are many, without any corresponding benefit.
- d. The this from MIT operations would not justify the cost surjected. The MIT this, to date, whether in intelligence or in resistance activity, has been negligible. There are many news stories which refer to the MIT as the largest and best organized of the resistance groups. The MIT plan claims organization down to the township level and states that:

The HR has an extensive communication net and is competent in obtaining indispensible elements of intelligence.

This may be so, but we are not more of any extensive valid information from the 'SP of any important resistance activity conducted by them. The entire history of Sceney operations brooking groups suggest the inedvisebility of handing over substantial sums in the hope of a future

ָרְיָבָי רְיִבְיִילְיִי

"take". Aside from certain tested liaison arrangements, our "take" is taken by agents serving under our direction, regardless of the groups to which they do or to not belong.

- 6. It should be pointed out that from a political standpoint the MRP organization and plan have elements worthy of consideration. The most important is that the MTP program is one that is attractive to the Cuban masses because, of all the exile programs, that of the ICP is the mearest to the originally stated but bournest platform of the Castro revolution. For the same reason the 1907 is accoptable to many liberal Latin American governments which do not consider supporting other exile groups. The MTP offers the people of Cuba a positive program of social and economic reform. (Whether such a program would be compatible with U. S. interests is, of sourse, a matter of controversy.) However, in the political sphere the MP program appears to break away strongly from the 1930 constitution. In other words it may be "Fidelismo sin Fidel". There are other Cuban exile groups who propose social and economic reforms similar to the MAP in which they attempt to base these reforms on some legal continuity of government; that is, a return to the 1949 constitution as a basis for a democratic government in Cuba.
- 7. In short, we believe that the HTP plan is unrealistic and unworthy of further consideration in its present form. Developments within internal resistance in Cuba indicate that the HTP is becaming part of a unified resistance and the 177 personnel inside Cubs have denied Panelo Ray as an external leader. Cur review of the PP position inside Cuba does not indicate that the ITP has the rescuress for as entensive a plan as presented nor can the internal imP hope to direct such a plan in confinction with other resistance elements. Even if audi a plan were feasible, we do not see any possibility of securing a reasonable return for the tremendous amount of money required for this plan unless some real control is agreed to by all and made a part of the plan. Taking into account the consolidation of resistance elements presently taking place in Cuba, it is the Agency's conception that we can best accomplish action operations against the Castro regime by dealing directly with this unified group or its representatives in other groups. Lateries and financial support would be furnished these groups as they reveal they have the assets to accomplish specific operations and Agency-trained agents and communication equipment voted be introduced to the various groups in an effort to have some control and direction of all efforce undertaken. It is presently planned that the PDP, as one of these groups, would receive assistance and direction in the future as specified above. However, it is full that the present situation among the resistance elements within Cuba rate any support of this grandiose plan subsitted by the MP impractical at this time.