	OPTIONAL FORM AND. 10 WAY 1993 LOTTICH GSA GEH. 1810. NO. 37 UNITED STATES GOVENMENT  Memorandum
тO :	Mr. C. D. DeLoach DATE: March 7, 1970
FROM :	W. C. Sullivan
JEK(I)(B)	RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON WITH
ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED. HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCUSS "HERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.	Item number 25 in the material submitted to the Director by SA Sam Papich in his memorandum of 3/5/70, discusses a trip to by Legal Attache (Legat), PLACE in 1960 to explore arrangements for liaison with appropriate authorities. It is given as an instance CIA could cite as an FBI failure to coordinate with them in line with National Security Council Directives.  NAME reportedly raised questions, indicating FBI should first reach agreement with CIA, which he said had previously handled all relations with authorities As Papich says CIA Director, Allen Dulles, later expressed disappointment that we did not contact CIA beforehand but that an agreement satisfactory to all concerned was eventually worked out. Papich also says that in late 1959 we gave consideration to establishing a Legat in Denmark but did not inform CIA.of our intentions.
	In contemplation of the stationing of a Legat in Denmark, Bulet of 12/7/59 instructed Legat, PLACE, to broaden liaison contacts in Scandinavian countries and told LEGAT, PLACE to make exploratory contacts with appropriate authorities in for the same purpose. Since we had told State by letter of 3/10/55 that we would handle requests for investigations and name checks for the sonly when received through formal State channels, we advised State of our intention to make exploratory contacts with the regarding regular liaison arrangements, and State approved. State sent a letter to the U.S. Embassy in on 12/17/59, advising of the Bureau's intention, but it apparently did not get to MAME prior to Legat's trip to 0 1/4/60 Legat, PLACE called the from PLACE and
XU)~,	who told STATE DEPARTMENT  NAME On 1/7/60 the Director received a letter of 1/5/60 from NAME in which he said he was disturbed about the manner in which he had learned of the Legat's proposed visit. While offering to assist the Bureau, NAME spoke of the long standing contractual and financial arrangements CIA had with and suggested the Director and Allen Dulles discuss the matter if permanent Bureau liaison with was planned.  NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION (5) JEKCOCB)  Unauthorized Disclosure  Subject to Criminal Sanctions
	Sudject to Criminal Sanations Anglish & Anglish &

Memorandum to Mr. C. D. DeLoach
RE: RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA
ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON
WITH

On 1/7/60, Legat met with
CIA / A/A
prior to visiting
that he was to explore the possibilities

Me emplainted prior to visiting that he was to explore the possibility of direct cordect with (s) concerning exchange of information bearing on U.S. interrel security matters. He said he would not be operational and that the contemplated liaison could not reasonably cause importance with the existing CIA arrangement. While NAME expressed misgivings that the [might be confused, no request The CIA representative was made to refrain from contacting said he had requested his headquarters for comment on larning of the proposed visit of Legat but had not received a reply. Legat later briefed NAME; on the results of who were friendly but deferred a final his visit to commitment, referring to the existing "American arrangement."

By letter of 1/13/60 the Director thanked NAME for his offer to assist, and said Bureau interests in Scandinavian countries and Were under discussion with Allen Dulles. MAME was also assured our proposed contacts with were purely liaison in nature; that while we would keep CIA advised of items of interest to it in connection with its responsibilities abroad, it was not believed necessary to go beyond the U.S. Intelligence Board Directive of 12/8/59 in coordinating with CIA matters taken up with Directive says CIA shall be responsible for coordination of all U.S. liaison which concerns clandestine intelligence activities or which involve foreign clandestine services. Paragraph 10, however, says the Directive does not apply to any liaison relationship concerned with U.S. internal security functions, or with criminal or disciplinary matters which are not directly related to foreign espionage or clandestine counterintelligence.

the reasons for our contacts in Scandinavian countries and the reasons for our contacts in Scandinavian countries and exploring possible establishment of a Legat in Denmark.

When Papich challenged them to cite any Bureau failure to comply with the Directive for coordination of U.S. lisison activities abroad, MNME immediately stated there were no such instances. In answer to specific invitation by Papich to air any complaints or problems, Dulles stated that neither he nor his representatives had any complaints; that he was personally unhappy clocked not being contacted in the beginning; but that he and CLL would give all possible assistance. (Dulles did assist by writing a personal letter to NAME which resulted in a joint FBI CIA meeting on 4/8/60, at which direct FBI liaison was agreed upon).

SECRET

Memorandum to Mr. C. D. DeLoach
RE: RELATIONSHIPS WITH CIA
ESTABLISHMENT OF BUREAU LIAISON
WITH

SIGNET

JAKUYB)

J 1960

On memorandum NAME to NAME of 1/14/60, concerning the 1/13/60 meeting of Papich, Dulles and NAME Director noted: "1. Well handled by Papich. 2. All of the turmoil developing in this situation could have been avoided if we had properly contacted Dulles and also followed through with State. H."

## RECOMMENDED ACTION:

None. We do not believe, in light of the facts set forth, that CIA will make an issue of this matter.

SECRET.