157-10005-10255

JFK Assassination System Identification | orm

Date:

2/23/201

Agency Inform. tion

AGENCY:

SSCIA

RECORD NUMBER: 157-10005-10255

**RECORD SERIES:** 

TRANSCRIPT

AGENCY FILE NUMBER:

Document Information

ORIGINATOR:

**SSCIA** 

R262

FROM:

SZULC, TAD

TO:

TITLE:

**TESTIMONY OF TAD SZULC** 

DATE:

06/10/1975

PAGES:

66

SUBJECTS:

**EXHIBITS 1-2** 

**GSA EMPLOYEE** 

**ESQUIRE ARTICLE EASY CHAIR PROJECT** 

**CUBAN OPERATION** 

**CASTRO** 

KENNEDY, FRANK

SZULC, TAD

PENTHOUSE ARTICLE

**DOCUMENT TYPE:** 

PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT/ FRANSCRIPT

-10

CLASSIFICATION:

Unclassified

**RESTRICTIONS:** 

**CURRENT STATUS:** 

Redact

DATE OF LAST REVIEW: 07/21/1994

**OPENING CRITERIA:** 

COMMENTS:

BOX 259-15

v9.1

NW 47129 DocId:32202445 Page 1

# amt

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

## TOP SICRET

than I and nothing stands or nothing sticks in my mind to suggest that Dick said anything which would be other than normal, casual conversation after what was rather a strong remark by the President. And I was a little bit stunned, needless to say.

Mr. Kirbow. Thank you very much, Mr. Szulc.

Mr. Aaron. If we can go onto another subject, Mr. Szulc, from page proofs of a forthcoming article in the magazine there is a suggestion there concerning the involvement of the Agency in -- the Central Intelligence Agency -- in the -involvement of the Agency after the 1956 Suez War in a program with Israel.

## Can you tell us what that story is about?

Mr. Szulc. The article which is to appear in the August issue of Penthouse magazine relates in a general fashion for reasons which I will explain presently, if so desired, the fact that sometime in the late 50's subsequent to the Suez War of 1956, the CIA had made available to the Israeli government technical knowledge, techn: cal assistance, in many fields, leading to the creation -- that was the case at the time -or the development at least of a nuclear weapon.

Mr. Aaron. Can you tell us how you managed to acquire the story, what substance there is to it, or in what way the story can be substantiated?

Mr. Szulc. I was told by one of my news sources that a

ámt

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

TOP SECRET

situation had occurred in the 1960's in which the CIA delivered to the Israeli government classified information, technical knowledge know-how, the services of distinguished physicists and fissionable material in the form of plutonium to assist in the development of an Israeli nuclear weapon at the Dimona Israeli Nuclear Testing Grounds.

I have raised the subject in a private conversation with Mr. James Angleton in the spring of this year. Mr. Angleton told me that essentially this information was correct.

Let me backtrack and aid one point.

My original information was indeed the counterintelligence, the CI Division of the Central Intelligence Agency, which was then headed by Mr. Angleton, was the unit in CIA responsible for this nuclear operation. Because of an acquaintantship which I have with Mr. Angleton I had the opportunity in a private conversation to ask him in so many words, can you corroborate or confirm that this which I have described took place and that you and CI were involved in this.

Angleton said that -- he was rather taken aback by this information -- said that, in effect, he could confirm it, with two corrections. One, the timeframe was wrong, that it was not in the early '60's, but indeed in the late '50's after the Suez War and number two that as far as he was concerned it was not delivered by him or the CIA or anyone of his knowled te of any fissionable material such as plutonium or other material.

TOP SECRET

S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Phone (Area 202

CUIII

S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

That all that was made available to the Israeli government by the CIA as represented, and as represented by CI, the counterintelligence was classified information, technical assistance, and the availability of one or more distinguished nuclear scientists or physicists whose services were available to the United States government.

TOP SECRET

I, based on information which I had from my original source, asked Mr. Angleton whether he could confirm that a British born scientists whose name, upon investigation, turns out to be Mann -- M-a-n-n -- first name Wilfred Basil or Basil Wilfred -- again I can correct the record at that -- where Mr. Mann, or Dr. Manr I should say, was indeed one of the scientists involved in this operation. Mr. Angleton confirmed that indeed this was the case.

He cautioned me that any publication of Dr. Mann's involvement in Mr. Angletor's words could be enormously explosive, damaging to the national security of the United States, damaging to our intelligence assets and also enormously dangerous to Dr. Mann personally. In effect, Mr. Angleton said at one point that should I surface Mr. Mann's name, Dr. Mann's name, he may go as far as commit suicide.

I asked for an explanation and Mr. Angleton told me that Dr. Mann, who was a British citizen at the time, who in the '50's was part of the joint U.S.-British nuclear group based at the British Embassy in Vashington, was found by

## TOP SECRET

1

2

5

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

8

counterintelligence, by CIA, to have been involved in some way in one or more espionage situations involving the defection of McClean and Burgess and subsequently Philby. I asked Angleton to tell me more about this. He said it was one of

those very complicated double agent situations in which a decision was made when Dr. Mann was still in this country that 6

7 he would not be prosecuted, arrested or otherwise exposed and

because the Agency felt that he could be useful or helpful as

a U.S. intelligence asset.

It is further my understanding that Dr. Mann, who now resides in Washington, D.C. -- or I should say in Chevy Chase, Maryland, across the state line -- is now employed by the U.S. Bureau of Standards, is an American citizen. According to Mr. Angleton, his equivalent, the CIA equivalent of a parole situation which means he's under surveillance and has to report at certain intervals to his case officer or his parole officer, as it were, at the CIA and he repeated that should the story of Dr. Mann's involvement in those situations, the espionage situations and the Israeli situation emerge, it could be enormously damaging to the J.S. national interests, to the negotiations in the Middle East, to men with our intelligence arrangements with the British, who I understand have the knowledge of the U.S. decision not to prosecute Dr. Mann and that in the

end it could even become personally damaging to Dr. Mann to the

point to use Angleton's worls, he might kill himself.

21 22

, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

23 24

25

Phone (Area 202)

Š

Et, S.E., Washington, D.C. 2000J

TOP SECRET

I told Angleton that I would handle the story in the most careful way, which is the way in which it appears, or will appear, in Penthouse magazine. The name is not given. There is no mention whatsoever of the Burgess-McClean-Philby type of situations.

Angleton's name is used in the story to corroborate the material but without direct attribution to Angleton. The article will say or is saying that sources close to Angleton confirmed the thrust of the story but disclaimed other allegations, or words to that effect, that a fissionable material was made available to the Israeli government.

Mr. Angleton chose not to go into a question which I raised with him which was whether this operation was undertaken with the knowledge and the authorization of the President of the United States at the time or the National Security Council, the Atomic Energy Commission and/or other departments of the government who would normally be responsible for transfer or clearance for transfer of classified information in the nuclear field to a foreign government.

Mr. Angleton chose no to discuss this and I therefore was not in a position to pursua this point which obviously was one which contains a great dea...

Mr. Schwarz. Did you discuss with Mr. Angleton anything about -- any question relating to why this was done, assuming this was done, if it was done?

1

3

4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Szulc.

Basically yes. I said something to the effect

Can we interrupt the record to note that the Senator has left.

TOP SECRET

(Senator Mondale left the room.)

Mr. Schwarz. Under the rules, you don't have to proceed further, if you wish.

Mr. Szulc. Fine with me.

Mr. Schwarz. Did you liscuss with Mr. Angleton whether or not there was anything that the United States or the Central Intelligence Agency or Mr. \ngleton, taking them in that order, received in return for the passage of this material, if in fact it was passed?

Mr. Szulc. No, I did not. I did not raise the question, I suppose because among other things because of the limitation of time and because my main 'effort at that point was to try to corroborate to my own satisfaction that such an operation occurred and to obtain from Angleton as much as I could of corroboration or denial, which was done in the form of transcribing.

Mr. Kirbow. Let me get it absolutely clear so that there is no doubt left in my mind.

Did you describe in the same detail to Mr. Angleton that you related here about the transfer of all of this stuff and the physicists, and did he confirm that that did in fact take place except for the fissionable material?

TOP SECRET

47129 DocId!32202445 Page 7 amt

1

2

5

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bet, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

## TOP SECRET

in a quandry, do I use the story or not, would you comment on the following information. In other words, do I understand correctly that the CIA and specifically counterintelligence and you personally have engaged in making available to the Israeli's information, know-how, assistance, technical assistance, manpower, scientists and nuclear materials for development of nuclear weapons in the early '60's.

that I understand, can you confirm this or not, because I'm

This was roughly the way I put it to him. His response was, being rather taken aback by the question in the first place, you know, he said, how the hell do you know about this, and I said, well, you know one hear's things in this town. and he says well, as long as you know, you might as well have it straight. Your timeframe was wrong, it wasn't the early '60's it was the late '50's and decidedly, flatly, to the best of my knowledge, there was no delivery of fissionable material to the Israeli's.

Then my second question was, as I recall, do I understant correctly that Dr. Mann was one of the scientists who were made available to participate in this operation, which he confirmed, as I mentioned, and then he said, but, did you anow that he had this peculiar tackground to which I referred earlier.

Mr. Kirbow. You referred to him as a double-agent background?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## TOP SECRET

Mr. Szulc. He didn't use the word. I think this was my word to describe him. I think more precisely he did not use the expression.

46

Mr. Schwarz. You stated the conversation took place in the spring, was it April?

Mr. Kirbow. April of 175

Mr. Szulc. If that's really relevant to you and I can look at my calendar and give you the precise date of it. It would have been late March, early April.

Mr. Schwarz. Well, I think it would be relevant if we want to check it out with anybody else.

Mr. Szulc. That's easy. I can check it out.

Mr. Schwarz. Where did the conversation take place?

Mr. Szulc. It took place in the home of a mutual friend in the first instance and then it continued at dinner at a restaurant in Washington.

Mr. Schwarz. Dinner in the evening, not lunch?

Mr. Szulc. I would say most of the conversation was at the mutual friend's house and loose ends were wrapped up at dinner.

Mr. Kirbow. What was the friend's name?

Mr. Aaron. Can we ask a different question?

Was your mutual friend a party to the conversation?

Mr. Szulc. Yes, he was present.

Mr. Kirbow. Then I think the question is even more

TOP SECRET

5.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

DocId: 32202445 Page 9 47129

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

# TOP SECRET

pertinent. What was the person's name and do you mind telling us where the home is located?

Mr. Szulc. I would have the same problem that I had with the other question on sources. I have no difficulty here, 5 I would make the request, if I may --

Mr. Kirbow. Certainly.

Mr. Szulc. Because it does involve a friendship here.

Mr. Kirbow. Apparently Mr. Angleton's a personal friend of yours too.

Mr. Szulc. No, I woulin't describe him as a personal friend. I would describe him as an acquaintance, a good acquaintance.

The mutual friend is Benjamin Wells who is a former correspondent of the New York Times, he's a former officer of the OSS who worked with Angleton in OSS. Is there some way in which I can refer this as priviliged information or words to that effect?

I do not like to be in a position of violating confidences, situations where people are trying to be helpful.

Mr. Schwarz. Well, I think in this case the individual does have evidence. The other individuals were just sources, and there's a distinction between this and the other.

Now it seems to me if Mr. Angleton confirms this story then anybody else's testimony I suppose is irrelevant.

Do you agree with me, Charlie?

TOP SECRET

DocId: 32202445 Page 10 NW 47129

1.3

Phone (Area 202) 4-600

Mr. Kirbow.

I believe that it should be fair to say to you at this time that if the Committee chose to verify this matter with Mr. Angleton and he denied the same, then the Committee might well want to look further and talk with someone else, so I make you no promises of what the Committee would do. I'm not in a position to do that as a staff member. But I think that would be a matter which they would very carefully consider along with the request that you've made.

TOP SECRET

Yes.

Mr. Szulc. The point of the request is essentially that Angleton and Ben Wells are old and close friends. My acquaintantship with Angleton is through Ben Wells and in a way it is a bit of a -- it would be a bit of ethical violation, you know, friendship to cite Ben Wells as a witness, a corroborating witness.

Mr. Kirbow. I don't believe we understand here that he corroborated the fact, only that he was present at the time that the confirmation took place?

Mr. Szulc. This is why I have a problem of giving you the name but again I want you to understand about the personal relationship that exists among friends, particularly in our profession.

Mr. Kirbow. I think more importantly in our understanding you should understand that the transcript is in your own words available to the Committee and they will be able to see

TOP SECRET

WARD &

det, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

NW 47129 DocId: 32202445 Page 11

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

hone (Area 20

## TOP SECRET

exactly what the concerns ame.

Mr. Szulc. Sure.

Mr. Schwarz. I don't have anything further on this particular matter.

Mr. Aaron. I have one last matter.

Do you intend to do any further stories on this particular subject?

Mr. Scwharz. This one'

Mr. Szulc. Israel?

Mr. Aaron. Yes.

Mr. Szulc. At this point, no. I understand that a colleague of mine, a professional colleague of mine in this town has some knowledge, I to not know how much, of the general story. This colleague, for his own reasons, has refrained from publishing it. We have discussed it as friends. We have made an arrangement that — a gentleman's agreement, if you will, that each will inform the other the last minute if one of us wants to use the story.

This is a conversation which goes back to January,

February. I believe that my colleague, at the time, at least,

did not have as much information as I believe I do at this

time.

I would go back to this only if either this colleague surfaced in more detail than I have and as you will see in Penthouse I am enormously restrained about the detail. Host

WARD

et, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

TOP SI:CRET

# Phone (Area 202) 544-6000

## **TOP SECRET**

of the detail that I mention here does not appear in the story for obvious reasons. Or if some agency of the government produces a flat denial which then involves my credibility and my reputation as a reporter which would then force me almost in defensive action to go into detail.

If left alone, I would prefer to stand on what I've written and the story is to come out in such detail as I have mentioned here. My personal predilection would be to see it come out through the Committee for reasons --

Mr. Kirbow. You're telling the Committee then that you did not obtain the information from this colleague of yours, but you think that he has separate source information?

Mr. Szulc. Well, he has sources that are obviously not -

Mr. Kirbow. Could you sell us this colleague's name?

Mr. Szulc. If it is not absolutely necessary, I would rather skip that one, because again we are in this peculiar field of very personal finarcial relationships and I don't think it really tells you all that much. You know, we tend to waltz around each other, you know, when one of us thinks the other one knows what he's working on there's a little bit of waltzing and there's a little bit of confidential -- discuss personal friendship involved.

I see Wells' name is relevant to the conversation because he can corroborate a conversation. Here I'm dealing with a man where I don't even know how much knowledge he has, and simply

TOP SECRET

VAR V

Ireel, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

### àmt

mone (Area 2027, 6000

## TOP SI:CRET

we were discussing did we know about a CIA nuclear Israel situation without mentioning any details. Angleton's name was never mentioned in my conversation over lunch with this colleague so I don't even know what he knows. He may know just the general subject.

Mr. Aaron. I have one question, the last question for my part.

Why did you want to tell this story to the Committee?

Mr. Szulc. Two reasons.

As a reporter I do not believe that I can clarify this matter further than I have because of my own limited resources. I cannot subpoena people, et cetera, et cetera. I think it is an extremely important point to be established in the context of the whole look into the intelligence community whether this is or is not the case of the Agency acting outside of established channels of government.

I think it is useful and necessary for the Congress and for the public opinion at some point somewhere to have knowledge of it since I am not able professionally to pursue this any further, unless someone lands on my doorstep with the information. I think Angleton is as far as I can go on this in what he has given me I have written with all the self-imposed restrictions to which I have referred and I think that nothing is served by my keeping this in secrecy.

The thing which I have not printed which I have mentioned

TOP SECRET

NW 47129 DocId: 32202445 Page 14

S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

file tet, S.E., Washington,

## amt

Phone (Area 2027 4-6000

10 m

TO	P	5	##	C	R	E	1
----	---	---	----	---	---	---	---

here, but I think that there may be a valid follow-up on your part of this information. Call it a citizen's duty for lack of a better word.

Mr. Aaron. Did you speak to Mr. Mann or Dr. Mann about this?

Mr. Szulc. No, I did not. Deliberately.

Mr. Aaron. Did anybody working with you make an effort to contact him?

Mr. Szulc. No, it was a very deliberate decision on my part not to go to him because he was described to me as an elderly gentleman in ill health and if, indeed, with Mr. Angleton --

(Pause)

I was simply saying that I made a deliberate decision not to call Dr. Mann because I did not think one, he could be very helpful to me and I was told by Angleton and other people that he was an elderly man in ill health and my calling him or coming to him saying is it true that you're a spy, is it true that you worked for the CIA in Israel, is it true you work for the CIA would unhinge the person and in situations like this I think most of us have simply to balance what you're likely to get out of a call of conversation against the damage that you can inflict on an individual, and I felt that it was too little to be gained.

Mr. Kirbow. I have one final question.

## TOP SECRET

## TOP SECRET

amt

. 3

eet, S.E., Washington, D.C. 20003

Although you've indicated this was prepared for an August issue of Penthouse, did you tell the Committee when you first obtained this information from your source?

Mr. Szulc. The very first inkling about this would have been early February.

Mr. Kirbow. Of this year 1975?

Mr. Szulc. Yes, 1975. And then I pursued -- I was given the name of the scientist and we ran down the background details and so on, subsequent to which I had a conversation with Anglaton which would have been, as I say, late March or early April. And Angleton was really the only -- professionally the only person who could be in a position to discuss it. Even if he stonewalled it, which he did not, which raises the question, was the counterintelligence, was the CIA or how was it directly involved.

Mr. Kirbow. Have you ever discussed this with any other government official or police person?

Mr. Szulc. I have not other than which appears in Penthouse magazine or will appear, this is the first discussion to date.

Mr. Kirbow. We want to thank you, Mr. Szulc, very much.

Mr. Schwarz. Thanks.

(Whereupon, at 4:55 o clock p.m., the hearing in the above-entitled matter was recessed.)

FRANK K. KENNEDY Born: 6/27/31, Hungary.

Original Surname: Tatabanya.

Divorced, date unknown; first wife Hungarian, named Magdano

Remarried 6/20/65

Social Security Number: 043-32-1039

GS-9, Step 4. Earns \$6.20 per hour.

Started Federal Employ 3/66. Became Career Employee 3/69.

Received Outstanding Attendance Citation, 1971-72.
Received Office of Emergency Preparedness Award Commendation,
Date Unknown (Routine Award).

Assigned to WHITE House Area GSA (Date Unknown; re-assigned to Rock Creek Area 8/9/70).

\_()\_

Contact getting above felt he couldn't push source further, to get information such as citizenship, date of entry into UD, home phone, etc...if in fact, some of this data in records.