

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Box 23  
F-17  
#5

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA—controlled documents.)

**THIS COPY IS NOT FOR RELEASE.**

The Inspector General's report corrected the statement in the Senate report that the "Central Intelligence Agency had not information indicating that a following investigation was conducted to determine that a following investigation was conducted to determine the identity of the passenger and had no further information on the passenger, and no explanation for why a following investigation was not conducted." (The Investigation of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy. Performance of the Intelligence Agencies, Book V, Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations, 4/23/76, p. 30, Senate Report No. 94-755.) The Inspector General Report stated that the Central Intelligence Agency (conducted regular surveillance) of Cubana flights, filing cable reports to Headquarters (Ibid., p. 11.) There was one (unilateral CIA surveillance team (LIFIRE)) that observed (arrivals and departures of Cubana flights) reporting any unusual recurrences (and providing copies of flight manifests) (Ibid., p. 11). (The Mexican government had its own surveillance team (LITEMPO) at the airport which provided the CIA with photographs of passports and copies of passenger lists of individuals travelling to Cuba.)

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_

20004 73

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA--controlled documents.)

- 61 -

(Ibid., p. 11) In addition, (telephone tap) operations ((LIENVOY)) against the Cuban Embassy provided transcripts of conversations between the Cubana Airlines Office (and the Mexican Airport Control Office.) (Ibid., p. 11.)

The ((LIENVOY)) transcripts recorded a series of discussions concerning the status of the November 22, 1963 delayed Cubana flight--when it arrived and when it departed. The transcripts showed that the flight arrived at the airport at 1620 hours (All times used will be Mexico City time to avoid confusion) Mexico City time. (HSCA Staff Review of November 22, 1963 ((LIENVOY)) transcripts) Prior to the arrival of the aircraft, one person stated that the aircraft was due at 1630 hours and "it will go" at 1730, suggesting a quick turnaround that would have reduced unloading and loading time, as well as serving of aircraft to a relatively short period. (Ibid.) However, the only key report on the departure of the aircraft was a statement at 2040 hours that the aircraft had departed for Cuba five minutes earlier, i.e., 2035 hours. (Ibid.)

Based on the above ((LIENVOY)) was the only record that existed in the CIA files on the arrival and departure

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

20- (11) 77

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_



Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA—controlled documents.)

- 62 -

times of the Cubana flight) (Neither LIFIRE nor the Mexican surveillance team reported the arrival or departure flights of the November 22, 1963 Cubana Airlines flight to Cuba) then I.G. concluded that there were major differences between what the Senate Select Committee reported about the alleged delayed Cubana flight and the known facts. (Ibid., p. 12) The Cuban flight was on the ground in Mexico City for a total of four hours and about ten minutes. (Ibid. p. 12) It was not delayed five hours as reported in Book V. (The Investigation of the Assassination of President John F. Kennedy, Performance of the Intelligence Agencies, Book V, Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations, 4/23/76, p. 30, Senate Intelligence Report No. 94-755) The Cubana flight departed at 2035 hours Mexico City time, 55 minutes ahead of the alleged arrival at 2130 of a private flight with a secret passenger. (Ibid., p. 30) The 2035 departure differed with the Senate Intelligence Committee Report that the Cubana flight departed at 2200 hours. (Ibid. p. 31) In addition, the I.G. staff concluded that (in view of) (the surveillance coverage of the Mexican Airport (by) (both the CIA and Mexican government,) it was doubtful that

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA--controlled documents.)

- 63 -

the alleged activity involving the private twin-engined aircraft and passenger would have gone unnoticed or unreported had it occurred. (1977 CIA I.G. Report, TAB B, p. 12.)

Presumably, the discrepancies pointed out by the Inspector General are meant to explain the fact that the CIA did not take more aggressive investigative steps to ascertain whether there might have been some connection between the delayed flight and the assassination of John F. Kennedy. In that regard, the 1977 Inspector General report explains that the Agency had carried the lead as far as it was able by reviewing the (surveillance) file of the (LIFRE and LIENVOY) operations and asking (the Mexican surveillance team (LITEMPO)) about any strange occurrences at the airport on November 22, 1963. (CIA 1977 IG Report, p. 12, Tab B.) However, the CIA's lack of access to further information about the allegedly delayed Cubana flight and unidentified passenger does not explain either the failure of the CIA to take more seriously the suspicions of the source, and most importantly, to report whatever information it had to the Warren Commission. The Inspector General's attempts

20 (13)

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_



Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

(This form is to be used for material extracted from CIA—controlled documents.)

- 64 -

to denigrate the value of the information provided on the unidentified alleged Cubana Airlines and unidentified passenger do not diminish the apparent negligence of the agency in seriously pursuing the information as it was received. The CIA is not to be faulted for the strength of its intelligence gathering, but rather for the judgement which kept that intelligence from the Warren Commission. (The Warren Commission was mandated to pass on the strength of exactly that kind of information.)

Despite what is now known about the alleged delay of the Cubana Airlines flight and the unidentified passenger, the Warren Commission may have wanted to abrogate suspicions which would remain, and satisfy itself that these circumstances did not indicate foreign involvement in the assassination. The CIA contributed to those suspicions by not airing the matter and explaining the circumstances which could shed light on otherwise sinister appearing events.

The Committee has documented instances where the CIA decided to forego passing information to the Warren

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_



Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

(This form is to be used for material extracted  
from CIA--controlled documents.)

- 65 -

Commission out of a desire to not lay bare extremely  
sensitive sources and methods of intelligence. The  
(LIENVOY and LIFIRE) operations fall within that category.

Classification: \_\_\_\_\_

Classified by derivation: \_\_\_\_\_