

RA
SAC, Philadelphia (92-1027 Sub D)

2/17/65

REC-33
Director, FBI (92-6034) — 968

JUNE

- 1 - J. D. Donohue
- 1 - Mr. Flynn
- 1 - Mr. Kelly

LA COSA NOSTRA
AR

Re your FD-143 dated 2/10/65.

To enable the Bureau to assess your request to continue PH 705-C*, additional information should be forwarded. Furnish the Bureau some specific examples of information obtained from this source during the recently authorized period which would justify your request to continue this source for an additional 60-day period.

You are reminded that recommendations regarding continuation of misurs should be made on a UACB basis following Item 17 on the FD-143.

NOTE: Above source located in residence of Philadelphia top echelon informant. It was installed with the view of covering meetings which might take place at this location. Philadelphia Office reports that this source provides information which serves to check on the movements and accuracy of informant's information. No specifics were provided. Recommend Philadelphia Office furnish some specific examples from this source.

JEK:ral
(6)

MAILED 6
FEB 16 1965
COMM-FBI

- Tolson _____
- Belmont _____
- Mohr _____
- DeLoach _____
- Casper _____
- Callahan _____
- Conrad _____
- Felt _____
- Gale _____
- Rosen _____
- Sullivan _____
- Tavel _____
- Trotter _____
- Tele. Room _____
- Holmes _____
- Gandy _____

6 MAR 5 1965
MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UNIT

ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

JUNE

TO : Director, FBI (Bufile 92-6054) DATE: 2/10/65

~~FROM~~ : SAC, Philadelphia (92-1027 Sub D)

SUBJECT: JUSTIFICATION FOR CONTINUATION OF TECHNICAL OR MICROPHONE SURVEILLANCE

RE: Title LA COSA NOSTRA

Character of Case AR
Field Office Philadelphia
Symbol Number PH 705-C*
Type of Surveillance: ~~(Technical or~~
Microphone)

CONF. INFT.

1. Name of person or organization on whom surveillance placed:

ROCCO SCAFIDI.

2. Address where installation made. Also give exact room number or area covered:

Living room, first floor apartment, 1531 South Broad Street,
Philadelphia, Pa.

3. Location of monitoring plant:

Central plant.

4. Dates of initial authorization and installation:

Activated 11:02 a.m., 8/7/64.

5. Previous and other installations on the same subject (with dates and places):

None.

6. If installation is a technical surveillance, answer following questions

a. Is a trunk line utilized?

b. Is the surveillance on a switchboard?

c. Is the surveillance on a public coin-operated telephone?

REC-38 92-6054-968

NOT APPLICABLE 1965

Registered Mail

1 - Bureau (RM)
1 - Philadelphia
JLA/lad (2)

d. Is surveillance on a private line or a party line?

e. If a party line, how many parties? NOT APPLICABLE

7. If a microphone surveillance involved, state number of microphones actually used and location of each:

One microphone, living room, first floor apartment,
1531 South Broad Street, Philadelphia, Pa.

8. Is the installation part of a tel-mike? If so, give symbol of other side of the combination:

No.

9. Specific examples of valuable information obtained since previous report with indication of specific value of each item and the date information received. State what use was made of each item involved: (Add insert pages)

See page 2-A.

10. Could above information have been obtained from other sources and by other means?

No.

11. Number of live informants (in field division) who cover same subject:

PH 614-C-TE, slightly.

12. Has security factor changed since installation?

No - security still maintained.

13. Any request for the surveillance by outside agency (give name, title and agency):

No.

14. Cost of Plant Premises:

a. Rental costs for plant premises: \$2,407.86

b. Give total number of other surveillances monitored at same plant.

7 microphone surveillances	13 lines (14 microphones)
1 technical surveillance	1 line

PH 92-1027 Sub D

9. Continued

Philadelphia continues to receive on a day-to-day basis information regarding informant's personal activities and efforts by him to maintain contacts with his associates. This source continues primarily to serve as a check on the movements and accuracy of informant's information. This informant's potential cannot be measured by specific examples of information furnished. It is felt that this informant will continue to serve as a valuable check on PH 672-C-TE.

- c. If any others, set out the proportionate cost of instant surveillance:

\$17.52 per month for regular leased lines to CP.

15. Cost of Leased Line for instant installation?

There is no bill for leased line utilized in this installation.

16. Personnel Costs:

- a. Give total number of special employees and/or Special Agents working at plant and total salary costs.

5 SEs @ \$9,475	\$47,375.00
2 clerks: 1 @ \$6,575 & 1 @ \$5,795	12,370.00
20% of 1 SA @ \$15,000	3,020.00
	<hr/>

- b. Total number of man hours per week spent at plant? \$62,765.00

2 clerks and 5 SEs	280 hours	=	288 hours
SA	8 hours		

- c. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate number of man hours per week spent on instant surveillance:

288 man hours

- d. If other installations monitored at same plant, list proportionate salary expense per annum for instant surveillance:

\$627.65

17. Remarks (By SAC):

As previously explained, the purpose of this installation is to have technical coverage on PH 672-C-TE in hopes of covering possible meetings between PH 672-C-TE and his associates. It is, therefore, recommended that this source be continued for a period of sixty days as there has not been any breach of security to date and source is being operated at no added cost to the Division.