

File #:

62-116464

Serial Scope:

74 THRU 1ST NR 76

77x THRU 82.

83, 84

1ST NR 82

86 THRU 102x

104 THRU 110

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

Assoc. Dir. ☒
Dep. AD Adm. ☒
Dep. AD Inv. ☒
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. ☐
Comp. Syst. ☐
Ext. Affairs ☐
Files & Com. ☐
Gen. Inv. ☐
Ident. ☐
Inspection ☒
Intell. ☒
Laboratory ☐
Legal Coun. ☐
Plan. & Eval. ☐
Spec. Inv. ☐
Training ☐
Telephone Rm. ☐
Director Sec'y ☐

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall
FROM : W. O. Cregar
SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY 75

DATE: 10/7/75

This memorandum reports the results of two meetings Assistant Directors Wannall and Mintz and Section Chief Cregar had with Attorney General (AG) Levi on 10/6/75.

As a result of telephonic instructions received from AG Levi on the evening of 10/4/75, approximately 30 employees of the Intelligence and Files and Communications Divisions worked all day Sunday, 10/5/75, in an effort to develop a list of all electronic surveillances conducted by the FBI from 1/1/70 through 6/30/75, as well as recovering all supportive documents underlying requests for these electronic surveillances. The list and supportive documents were to be delivered to the AG's office at 9:00 a.m., on 10/6/75.

At approximately 9:00 a.m., 10/6/75, Messrs. Wannall, Mintz and Cregar met with the AG and provided him with the list he requested, as well as approximately 30 percent of the supportive documents we were able to uncover during a 10-hour period, on Sunday, 10/5/75. The AG indicated that he had a meeting at the White House for 10:00 a.m., that morning and planned to take the list provided to him by the FBI with him. He did indicate that it was not his intention at this time to give the White House or Congressman Pike, Chairman of the House Select Committee (HSC), who was to be at the White House meeting, a copy of the list.

Following the AG's return to his office, he requested Messrs. Wannall, Mintz and Cregar meet with him, along with Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, and Messrs. Jack Fuller and Douglas Marvin, both of whom are on the AG's personal staff.

WOC:1hb/hb
(4)

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall

Re: ~~House~~ study 75

62-~~116395~~

116464

The AG confirmed that he had not given either the White House or Congressman Pike copies of the list prepared by the Bureau. He did say, however, that as a result of the White House meeting he desired the Bureau to do the following:

1. Arrange for a briefing of selected members of the HSC on electronic surveillances and break-ins.

We have already briefed the Church Committee on this same subject and are prepared to provide such a briefing to the HSC as soon as a date can be set.

2. Prepare a chart reflecting the number of electronic surveillances conducted by the Bureau during the period 1/1/70 through 6/30/75 broken down into various categories previously established by the AG.

This project is already underway and we anticipate the chart will be ready for delivery to the AG by 10/9/75.

3. The Intelligence Division continue to recover all supportive documents underlying the request for electronic surveillances and excise them in order that they can be delivered to the House Select Committee.

This is an extremely time-consuming job. On Sunday, 10/5/75, we had 10 Agents searching, reviewing and Xeroxing the supportive documents that the AG had requested. We have completed approximately 30 percent of that project. We are currently in the process of locating and Xeroxing all remaining supportive documents.

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall

Re: House study 75

62-116395

116464

The Attorney General stated he arranged for the briefing mentioned in item 1 above to try to satisfy insistent demands made by Congressman Pike for information immediately and that he felt it was necessary to follow up the briefing with material as soon as possible and, if necessary, on a piece-meal basis.

In view of the instructions of the Attorney General, we are proceeding as rapidly as possible to comply with the foregoing. It should be noted, however, that in complying we will be furnishing to the HSC more information and/or material than has been furnished to the Senate Select Committee (SSC) with respect to these specific areas.

ACTION:

(1) It is recommended that in the day-to-day liaison between our Office of Legal Counsel and Mr. Shaheen of the Department efforts be continued to establish working rules for the HSC similar to those established for the SSC.

We will continue in this effort; however, the Committee has been unwilling to agree to such rules. JMM

(2) We will proceed with the briefing of select members of the HSC and with the compilation of the material which the Attorney General has instructed be turned over to the HSC. The chart which we have been asked to develop will be submitted for the Director's approval and the excised supportive documents will be forwarded to the HSC in accordance with previously approved procedures.

*Done
10/10/75
WOB*

*Handled by
Wannall's
10/10/75
JMM*

RJ

*JB
PJM*

*wkw
JMM*

2 - Mr. J. M. Mintz
(1 - J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
October 6, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. D. K. Pettus

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Reference is made to the letter of the HSC dated September 25, 1975, requesting delivery of certain FBI documents relating to this Bureau's discontinued Counterintelligence Programs (Cointelpros) directed against Black Extremists and the New Left.

Attached for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is an original of a memorandum which responds to the request of the HSC.

For your information, as has been done with material referred to the Senate Select Committee, the identity of and information furnished by sources which could reveal the identity of sources has been excised from this material. Also, information being furnished to the HSC will be excised in those instances where sensitive ongoing operations of a foreign intelligence nature are identified or where sensitive third agency relationships are involved. Also, the names of recipients (sources who have effected Cointelpro-type activity in behalf of the FBI) have been excised to protect their expressed or implied confidential relationship with the FBI.

REC-36 62-116009-109
A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for

your records.

OCT 15 1975

Enclosures - 2
62-116464

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DKP:lfj
(11)

"ENCLOSURE IN BULKY ROOM"

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

84 OCT 15 1975 DocId:32989725 Page 5

GPO 954-346

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
1 - Mr. D. Ryan

62-116464

October 6, 1975

**U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)**

**RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION
CONCERNING COINTELPROS**

Reference is made to the letter of the HSC dated September 25, 1975, requesting documents relating to this Bureau's former Counterintelligence Programs (Cointelpros) directed against Black Extremists and the New Left.

In accordance with this request, the documents numbered 1 to 25 relating to the Black Extremist Program, and 1 to 20 relating to the New Left Program have been appropriately excised and are being delivered to you with this memorandum.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

See letter to the Attorney General dated 10/6/75, captioned "U. S. House Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (HSC), prepared by DKP:lfj.

1 - 62-116009 (Cointelpros)

DKP:lfj
(10)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO 254-546



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SEP 30 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

M 9/30 FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 25

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 25 which this Office received on September 29.

Please prepare an appropriate response to this request. The HSC is especially interested in receiving this material as soon as possible.

cc: Paul Daly

AG 9/30/75
10/6/75
10/17/75

518

1-Ad Hoc
9-30-75

ENCLOSURE

62-11611-109

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MC CLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 25, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby formally request delivery of the selected
Cointelpro material reviewed and orally requested by Rich
Vermeire and Tim Oliphant of our staff at FBI Headquarters
on September 22 and 24, 1975, and referred to by the follow-
ing serial numbers:

Black Extremist:

1. 100-448006-1925
2. 100-448006-171
3. 100-448006-255
4. 100-448006-15
5. 100-448006-179
6. 100-448006-229
7. 100-448006-271
8. 100-448006-351
9. 100-448006-430
10. 100-448006-766
11. 100-448006-740
12. 100-448006-795
13. 100-448006-803
14. 100-448006-878
15. 100-448006-1244
16. 100-448006-1264
17. 100-448006-1269
18. 100-448006-1385
19. 100-448006-1880
20. 100-448006-1890
21. 100-448006-2209
22. 100-448006-2308
23. 100-448006-785
24. 100-448006-876
25. 100-448006-923

New Left: 42 7/6

ENCLOSURE



Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 25, 1975
Page 2

2. 100-449698-34-66
3. 100-449698-34-74
4. 100-449698-34-75
5. 100-449698-34-78
6. 100-449698-34-79
7. 100-449698-34-86
8. 100-449698-34-99
9. 100-449698-46-15
10. 100-449698-50-13
11. 100-449698-26-2
12. 100-449698-258
13. 100-449698-341, 367
14. 100-449698-9-15
15. 100-449698-5-16, 17
16. 100-449698-5-24
17. 100-449698-8-15
18. 100-449698-8-16
19. 100-449698-10-12
20. 100-449698-26-31

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Aaron B. Donner
Counsel to the Committee

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 10/6/75

U.S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE.

Caption of Document:

9/25/75 request

Request for information concerning COINTELPROS

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by:

Richard J. Taylor Jr. Date: 10/8/75

Received by:

C. M. Boudrick

Title:

Adm Coss

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

102-116464-109
ENCLOSURE

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

10/6/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

☒ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 9/25/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Counterintelligence

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Documents relating to FBI's former COINTELPRO directed against Black Extremists and New Left.

62-116464

FMK:fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY

TREAT AS YELLOW

51 woc 18

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

Date of Mail 10/6/75

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Section.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL U.S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE
ACTIVITIES

Removed By 79 OCT 16 1975

File Number 62-116464-108

↗

Permanent Serial Charge Out

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY - 75
SENSTUDY 75

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar

DATE: 9/5/75

1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

The Bureau has been receiving numerous requests from the House and Senate Select Committees. As an indication of the number of requests being received, on September 3, 1975, we received four requests from the House Committee alone. Many of the requests involve complex research and review of Bureau materials, etc. We have recently experienced continuing pressure by both Committees to comply with their requests as quickly as possible. Additionally, we have received individual telephonic requests from Staff personnel of the Committees to expedite one particular request ahead of another; and in many instances, the request for expeditious handling of a particular item conflicts with the request of some other Staff Member.

The current guidelines with the Senate Select Committee require responses be prepared on short deadlines and it has reached the point with regard to the Senate Select Committee that it is not possible to comply with their requests within the stated deadlines. While every effort is being made to abide by the guidelines adopted by the Department and the Committee, the sheer volume of the material requested and the work involved makes compliance with these guidelines not possible.

SA Daly of this Division brought this matter to the attention of Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department, and Shaheen stated he understood the problems being generated by the volume of requests being received by the FBI.

OCT 10 1975

CONTINUED - OVER

XEROX

OCT 15 1975

PVD:lad
(8)

84 OCT 16 1975

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: HOUSTUDY
SENSTUDY 75

Shaheen subsequently advised SA Daly that he had brought this matter to the attention of the Senate Select Committee orally in a conversation with Mark Gitenstein on 9/4/75 including the problems being encountered with individual Staff Members declaring that their particular request had a higher priority than any other particular request. Gitenstein told Shaheen that he would attempt to correct this problem.

In a subsequent conversation with Staff Member Mark Gitenstein, Gitenstein stated he had been informed by the Department of the problem the Bureau is encountering in responding within the deadlines set for various Committee requests. He indicated that he would take steps to make sure individual Staff Members do not on their own initiative attempt to place their request ahead of any other particular request without coordinating it with him. Additionally, he stated it was his intention to bring this to the attention of John Elliff, Task Force Director of the Domestic Task Force of the Senate Select Committee, and suggest a meeting be held with Bureau representatives on Monday, 9/8/75, in an effort to solve this particular problem. If a meeting is held on that date, it is expected that a representative of the Intelligence Division, Legal Counsel Division and the Department will be in attendance.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

[Handwritten signature] WRAW/TA

for

JOHN/TA
POD

JMA

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel *jm*

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY

- 1 - Mr. Mintz - Encls. (2)
- 1 - Mr. Adams - Encls. (2)
- 1 - Mr. Wannall - Encls. (2)

DATE: 9/30/75

- 1 - Mr. Cregar - Encls. (2)
- 1 - Mr. Hotis - Encls. (2)
- 1 - Mr. Daly - Encls. (2)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Attached is a White House memorandum to the Attorney General dated September 29, 1975, enclosing a memorandum furnished the White House by Aaron Donner, Counsel for the House Select Committee, captioned "Noncompliance with Written Requests."

The attached document was the subject of a discussion on the evening of 9/29/75 between the Attorney General; John Marsh, who is a Counsel for the President; Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department; and his Deputy, Steven Blackhurst. The Attorney General, according to information furnished SA Paul V. Daly of this Division the evening of 9/29/75, desired to know the accuracy of the House Select Committee document alleging non-compliance with written requests. Blackhurst and Shaheen informed the Attorney General and Marsh that the Bureau was in compliance with requests with the exception that at the instructions of the Department classified material was being withheld from the Committee. Blackhurst requested on 9/29/75 that the Bureau review the attached House Select Committee document and determine whether in fact the Bureau is in receipt of any requests that are outstanding pertaining to these six numbered items listed on that document.

In conjunction with the Intelligence Division, a review was made of the six items listed on the House Select Committee document entitled "Noncompliance with Written Requests" and it was determined that with respect to questions #1 and #3 pertaining to the Huston Plan and electronic surveillance of Martin Luther King, Jr., respectively, the Committee Staff Members have been given access to this material but not delivery.

REC-43

62 - 116464-106
OCT 10 1975

Enclosures (2)

CONTINUED - OVER

PVD:lad
(8)

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: HOUSTUDY

Granting the Committee access but not delivery is in accordance with Departmental instructions. The Department, as is set forth in my memorandum of 8/22/75, instructed that the Committee was not to be given delivery of material relating to Martin Luther King, Jr., or the 1964 Democratic National Convention nor were they to be given delivery of documents which were delivered to the Senate Select Committee after July 22, 1975. The Department indicated that the July 22nd letter of the Committee requesting delivery of all documents which have been furnished the Senate Select Committee to be interpreted so as to not make available those documents furnished the Senate Select Committee after the date of their letter. With respect to the Huston Plan documents, these documents were not delivered to the Senate Select Committee until 8/5/75 and thus were after the July 22nd date and, therefore, have not been furnished the House Select Committee.

With respect to the remaining four items listed in the House Select Committee document, we can find no record of the House Select Committee having made such a request nor can the Department in their records. By way of explanation, all requests for material from the House Select Committee are delivered to the Department and then forwarded to the Bureau.

Blackhurst was advised of the aforementioned information concerning the Bureau's review of House Select Committee requests. He stated that Marsh advised the Attorney General that the Department and Bureau could anticipate receiving a subpoena in the near future from the House Select Committee pertaining to noncompliance with requests and it was his intention to insure the Attorney General was aware of the inaccuracies in the House Select Committee document.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

WJW/TW

[Signature]

JBA

RM

JBA RM

K

[Signature]

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

September 29, 1975

CLOSE HOLD

MEMORANDUM TO: THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

FROM: JACK MARSH

We have a check list prepared by the House Select Committee which sets forth the outstanding requests of the Committee to various agencies which the Committee has not received a response to as of close of business, Friday, September 26.

Set out in the attached are the items which relate to the departments or agencies. I would be grateful if you would give this your attention, and advise me of the status of the request.

This will be an item for discussion at the coordinating group meeting tomorrow, Tuesday, September 30 at 9:00 a.m.

ENCLOSURE

62-116464-16

Federal Bureau of Investigation

July 22, 1975

1. Any and all memoranda, orders, directives, correspondence or any file or material whatsoever in connection with the Huston plan.
- 2. Any and all memoranda, orders, directives, etc., in connection with the creation, implementation, organization and operation of the "extremist squads."
- 3. Any and all memoranda, orders, directives, etc., in connection with the electronic surveillance of Martin Luther King, his family and associates.
4. Any and all materials in connection with the White House "plumbers."
5. Any and all materials in connection with demonstrators, any other groups or organizations at the 1968 Democratic National Convention in Chicago, including any FBI participation in and cooperation with, or knowledge of, state and local police action with respect to the above.
- 6. Any and all materials in connection with demonstrators, any other groups and organizations at the 1972 Republican National Convention in Miami, including FBI participation in, cooperation with or knowledge of, state and local police action with respect to the above.

62-116464-16
ENCLOSURE

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
October 1, 1975

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to memorandum dated September 26, 1975, from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, to Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Bureau requesting, upon instructions of the Deputy Attorney General, that access to names of persons on the Bureau's ADEX list be provided to Staff Members of both the House Select Committee and the Senate Select Committee.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to both Committees is the original of a separate memorandum directed to each Committee advising that the ADEX list is available for review at FBI Headquarters as requested in referenced memorandum.

A copy of each memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (4)

62-116464
62-116395

REC-43

62-116464-105

OCT 10 1975

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

TJM:mjgny
(11)

ENCLOSURE ATTACHED
ENCLOSURE

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)

62-116464

October 1, 1975

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO NAMES
OF PERSONS ON THE ADEX LIST

By memorandum dated September 26, 1975, from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, to Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Bureau, the Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, requested that access to names of persons on the ADEX list be given to Messrs Richard Vermeire and James B. F. Oliphant.

This is to advise that a list containing names of individuals currently maintained on this Bureau's ADEX list is available for review by the above HSC Staff Members at FBI Headquarters, Room 4171, J. Edgar Hoover Building, Washington, D. C.

1 - The Attorney General

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

TJM:mjg
(9)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

62-116395

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
October 1, 1975
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS
WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR ACCESS TO NAMES
OF PERSONS ON THE ADEX LIST

By memorandum dated September 26, 1975, from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, to Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Bureau, the Deputy Attorney General, Department of Justice, requested that access to names of persons on the ADEX list be given to Ms. Marcha Talley and Mr. John Elliff.

This is to advise that a list containing names of individuals currently maintained on this Bureau's ADEX list is available for review by the above SSC Staff Members at FBI Headquarters, Room 4171, J. Edgar Hoover Building, Washington, D.C.

1 - The Attorney General

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

TJM:mjg
(9)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

62-116464-105

ENCLOSURE



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SEP 26 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

M 9/26 FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: SSC and HSC Requests for ADEX List

Pursuant to the instructions of the Deputy Attorney General, please provide access to the names of persons on the ADEX list to Messrs. Oliphant and Vermeire of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, and to Ms. Talley and Mr. Elliff of the Senate Select Committee.

cc: Paul Daly

*List received by Vermeire &
Oliphant 9/29/75
AD/AM*

*1-Ad Hoc
9-26-75*



ALPHABETICAL LISTING

9/15/75

AARON, JAMES CARLTON	EM BEX	3/ 7/52	157- 19344	SANF
ABBOTT, JOAN	WF CMC	4/ 5/50	100-468545	DETR
ACKERMAN, BYRON W., II	WM CMT	5/31/49	100-455074	LGSA
ACOSTA CARTAGENA, WALTER	WM PRN	11/13/50	105-214607	SANJ
ACOSTA CEPEDA, RADAMES	WM PRN	11/11/48	100-471856	SANJ
ADAMS, BRIAN RUSS	WM KEV	10/ 9/43	100-470445	CHIC
ADAMS, FRANKIE HAE	BF BEX	5/25/45	157- 16126	NEWY
ADAMS, ROYCE ALENDRENS	WM CMS	5/ 9/47	157- 13369	PHIL
ADRIANO SIFONTE, LUIS ANGEL	WM PRN	3/25/42	105-171603	SANJ
AGOSTO AGOSTO, ANGEL MARCIAL	WM PRN	11/ 1/46	105-165275	SANJ
AGUDO HERNANDEZ, ANGEL LUIS	WM PRN	12/15/25	105-107126	SANJ
AILEY, JOHN STEVENSON	WM CMC	5/20/46	100-464215	BUFF
AJAY, ALEXANDER JOHN	WM REV	11/29/38	100-458305	WASH
AKINS, JACQUELINE ANN	BF CMS	7/16/48	100-479381	PHIL
ALBERT, PAUL MELVIN	WM CMS	3/17/23	100-361072	NEWY
ALBERT, STEWART EDWARD	WM REV	12/ 4/35	105- 89231	NEWY
ALEWITZ, MEYER	WM CMT	1/15/41	100-459753	CLEV
ALEXANDER, FRANKLIN DELANO	WM CMS	5/ 4/41	100-430856	SANF
ALEXANDER, GERTRUDE LOUISE LATZ	WF CMC	5/18/15	100-339116	SANF
ALEXANDER, KENDRA CLAIRE	BF CMS	6/28/46	100-445409	SANF
AL-KUTUB, ABDOUL HALIM	WM FRN	3/ 4/49	105-222353	OKLA
ALLAN, STEPHANIE CAROLE	WF CMS	5/ 7/43	100-434987	SANF
ALLAN, WILLIAM	WM CMS	4/24/07	100- 17879	DETR
ALLEN, ASHTON EVERETT	EM CMS	3/26/17	100-386326	PITI
ALLEN, WILSON EDWIN	WM CMS	8/26/41	105-224153	INDI
ALSTON, MICHAEL MAURICE	EM BEX	2/16/54	157- 25394	NEWY
ALTER, MARK LAWRENCE	WM REV	8/29/42	100-467977	PORT
ANDERSON, JACOB WILLIAM	WM CMS	5/30/02	100-445302	MINN
ANDERSON, LARRY ALLEN	CM MSC	7/ 3/46	157- 31164	PHOE
ANDERSON, MICHAEL DONALD	EM BEX	11/ 5/47	157- 12426	DETR
ANDERSON, RONALD	EM BEX	5/ 2/53	157- 23912	NEWY
ANGLADA LOPEZ, RAFAEL	WM PRN	6/ 4/50	105-206133	NEWY
ANSARA, MERKIAM STEARNS	WF REV	1/ 1/44	100-457227	BCST
APPELHANS, LINDA	WF CMS	4/11/47	100-445518	CHIC
APTEKAR, BONNIE JO	WF CMT	5/17/45	100-460174	LOSA
APTHEKER, HERBERT EUGENE	WM CMS	7/31/15	100-123974	NEWY
ARAJONA MARTINEZ, RAMON	WM PRN	7/21/39	105- 93103	NEWY
ARCELAY MEDINA, RUBEN	WM PRN	4/12/46	105-162435	SANJ
ARCHULETA, ROBERT	WM CMS	7/22/30	134- 5425	SALT
ARIAN, ALAN DAVID	WM REV	12/ 4/46	100-450428	LGSA
ARMSBURY, CHARLES DUANE	WM REV	11/26/41	105-201974	PORT
ARMSTRONG, DWIGHT ALAN	WM REV	8/29/51	100-461245	MILW
ARMAIZA MIKANDA, FERMIN BALTAZAR	WM PRN	3/17/40	105-133657	SANJ
ARROYO MONTES, ELISEO	WM CMS	2/ 8/35	105-138512	NEWY
ARVEDSON, RICHARD DAVID	WM REV	12/11/47	100-447948	BCST
ASCHER, JOHN BRYAN	WM REV	8/ 4/51	100-476073	BALT

9/15/75

ASHBY, LORRAINE MARQUES	WM CMS	3/ 8/45	157- 17544	CHIC
ASHLEY, KAREN LYNN	WF REV	9/ 2/49	105-179650	NEWY
ASPGY, UVE MAGNE	WM CMT	2/11/45	100-445659	WASH
ATHEARN, NORMA	WF CMS	8/ 1/42	100-436466	PHIL
AULET DE GONZALEZ, MARIA DOLORES	WF PRN	6/ 7/28	105-168292	SANJ
AVAKIAN, PAULINE MARCELLE	WF CMC	11/12/47	100-458182	CHIC
AVAKIAN, ROBERT BRUCE	WM CMC	3/ 7/43	100-448133	CHIC
AYCUX, JOAN SAMA	WF CMS	11/14/39	100-429504	PHIL
AYERS, WILLIAM CHARLES	WM REV	12/26/44	100-446593	CHIC
BAERGA VAQUER, RAFAEL ANTONIO	WM PRN	10/16/48	105-166290	SANJ
BAGWELL, ROBERT ERVIN	WM MSC	5/ 6/40	62-111522	MILN
BAISES CHAPEL, PEDRO JULIO	WM PRN	10/28/34	105- 87026	SANJ
BAINS, JAMES ELLIS, JR.	WM CMS	10/31/43	100-449076	BIRM
BAKER, GENERAL GGRUON, JR.	WM CMC	5/ 9/41	105-131855	DETROIT
BALDRIDGE, JAMES ALLEN	WM CMS	8/ 4/46	100-461933	BALTIMORE
BALDRIDGE, MARGRET LYNNE	WF CMS	12/ 9/42	100-462549	BALT
BALLAN, DOROTHY WYNNE	WF CMT	4/15/24	100-381449	NEWY
BALLAN, SAMUEL	WM CMT	8/29/11	100-350994	NEWY
BANGERT, JOSEPH VINCENT	WM REV	12/12/48	100-462292	SAND
BANKS, DENNIS JAMES	WM REV	4/12/37	157- 29309	MINN
BAPTIST, WILLIAM MOBILE, JR.	WM CMC	5/21/46	100-460342	SANF
BARBER, LAWRENCE DAVID	WM REV	2/25/50	100-456501	NEWY
BARWELL, STEVEN JACK	WM REV	12/ 2/49	100-480744	DENV
BARNES, ELIZABETH KATHRYN	WF CMT	5/12/39	100-436715	CHIC
BARNES, JACK WHITTIER	WM CMT	1/30/40	100-435743	NEWY
BARNALCA, ROBERT RICHARD	WM CMC	5/28/46	100-458708	BALT
BARKERA, MANUEL TOMAS, III	WM CMT	10/ 9/51	100-466232	SAND
BARNETO VELAZQUEZ, ISMAEL	WM PRN	6/17/52	105-221673	NEWY
BART, PHILIP	WM CMS	6/28/02	100- 3284	NEWY
BARTHEL, PIERRE JOSEPH	WM REV	9/ 8/43	100-455908	SANF
BARVSH, ALAN HERBERT	WM CMC	12/27/46	100-469693	BALT
BASCH, CAROL HOPE	WF CMS	7/ 2/45	100-472761	PORT
BASLEY, GEORGE PAGE	WM CMT	6/15/42	100-443767	NEWY
BASSETT, THEODORE ROOSEVELT	WM CMS	4/ 1/01	100- 3237	NEWY
BAUMGARTEN, MARTIN L.	WM REV	6/11/47	100-460613	KANS
BAY, ROBERT LEONARD	WM BEX	4/ 2/44	157- 10048	DETR
BAYER, MICHAEL TOBE	WM CMS	3/26/44	100-439544	INDI
BECCHETTI, ARNOLD FREEDOM	WM CMS	11/13/25	100-424880	NEWY
BECKER, STEPHEN PAUL	WM REV	11/ 6/45	100-466181	PHOENIX
BEER, RUTH	WF CMS	11/ 5/14	100-361602	NEWA
BELL, DANIEL PHILLIP	WM CMS	4/26/45	100-472530	PITT
BELL, DAVID SAUL	WM CMS	11/16/41	100-440164	PHIL
BELL, DEBBIE HELEN	WF CMS	7/11/39	100-432452	PHIL
BELL, FRANKLIN HARRISON	WM REV	7/12/46	100-480768	DENV
BELL, HERMAN	WM BEX	1/14/48	157- 22002	NEWY
BELL, LANCE S.	WM BEX	11/ 7/49	157- 15513	CHIC
BELLE COURT, CLYDE HOWARD	WM REV	5/ 8/36	157- 29696	MINN
BELLE COURT, VERNON FRANKLIN	WM MSC	10/17/31	157- 28612	MINN
BENNETT, JOSEPH TERRON	WM BEX	8/25/48	157- 17071	CHIC

9/15/75

BENTIVOGLI, DANIEL LEWIS	WM CMT 7/ 4/48	100-455300	BUFF
BERGMAN, ARLENE WILMA	WF REV 11/ 4/42	100-449144	SANF
BERGMAN, LEIBEL	WM CMC 5/ 5/15	100-147952	NEWY
BERKELHAMMER, MATTHEW DAVID	WM CMS 6/ 9/44	100-437707	NEWY
BERLIN, JAMES RICHARD	WM CMS 1/21/52	100-459143	BUFF
BERLIN, LINDA ANN	WF CMS 9/ 2/48	100-460073	BUFF
BERRIEN, LUCILLE	BF CMS 4/12/28	100-463655	MILW
BERRIGAN, ELIZABETH MC ALISTER	WF REV 11/17/39	100-461429	BALT
BERRIGAN, PHILIP FRANCIS	WM REV 10/ 5/23	100-449746	BALT
BERG, ERIC	WM CMS 11/25/04	100- 70994	NEWY
BERTRAN, RICARDO	WM PRN 4/12/51	105-210832	NEWY
BEKZON, MARSHALL PERRY	WM REV 12/25/50	100-456049	BOST
BEUTLER, JEFFERY WARREN	WM REV 6/24/44	100-467555	CLEV
BIBERMAN, DANA HOPE	WF REV 2/24/51	100-457986	NEWY
BIGELOW, JEFFREY COLE	WM CMT 11/ 1/50	100-461058	BALT
BINGHAM, STEPHEN MITCHELL	WM REV 4/23/42	105-212364	SANF
BIRDSONG, CHARLES EIGHT X	BM BEX 9/18/46	157- 15773	INDI
BISSELL, JUDITH EMILY	WF REV 3/ 6/44	100-453886	SEAT
BISSELL, SILAS TRIM	WM REV 4/27/42	100-457811	SEAT
BLACK, CAROLYN ANN	BF CMS 11/ 9/46	100-444764	NEWY
BLACKSTOCK, NELSON PERRY	WM CMT 5/ 7/44	100-446093	NEWY
BLAIR, FRED BASSETT	WM CMS 10/ 4/06	100- 3041	MILW
BLANIS, PATRICIA JULIA	WF CMS 5/12/11	100-345444	PHGE
BLEICH, WILLIAM DAVID	WM REV 2/ 9/50	100-464744	BALT
BLUICE, CARL ELLENGER	BM CMS 1/28/39	100-424169	SANF
BLUM, JEFFERY DAVID	WM REV 12/ 7/46	100-452560	BOST
BLUME, HARVEY JOEL	WF REV 5/13/46	100-442981	BOST
BOARDMAN, CHRISTINE	WF CMC 5/18/51	100-476812	CHIC
BLEHM, FRANCIS ERNEST EUGENE	WM CMT 6/ 1/50	100-456247	NEWY
BOLDUC, CHARLES EDWARD	WM CMT 12/21/46	100-444334	LOSA
BOMBARO, NATALIE LUCY	WF CMT 12/13/49	100-458887	LOSA
BUNNER, VERNON RALPH	BM CMC 4/ 1/50	100-481916	CHIC
BUCKDA, SIMON	WM CMS 12/13/12	100-328962	INDI
BURDOFSKY, BARNET	WM CMS 9/28/09	100-196885	NEWY
BURDOFSKY, FRANCES G.	WF CMS 9/11/12	100-419857	NEWY
BURKSTEIN, SUSAN PHYLLIS	WF CMS 1/ 2/46	100-438370	PHIL
BURTIN, MICHAEL ALEXANDER	WM REV 7/26/48	100-460999	SANF
BURIZ, LOUIS	WM CMS 6/30/10	100-111222	PITT
BUSTIC, JOHN	BM BEX 12/24/46	157- 33196	SANF
BUSTON, GEORGE	BM BEX 4/10/19	105-176159	BOST
BUTTON, ANTHONY LEONARD	BM BEX 10/18/51	157- 23596	SANF
BLUDIN, KATHIE	WF REV 5/19/43	100-438168	NEWY
BURMAN, JOHN HENRY, III	BM BEX 12/ 6/47	157- 12001	LCSA
BOYD, ELIJAH CUNNINGHAM, JR.	BM REV 7/18/44	157- 11729	NEWY
BRADLEY, DAVID ALLEN	BM BEX 6/ 2/35	100-445519	CINC
BRAKE, ROBERT PAUL, JR.	WM REV 11/ 4/49	100-456047	CLEV
BRADLEY, SCOTT ADDY	WM REV 3/26/47	100-454172	DETR
BRANCH, PAUL MICHAEL	WM CMC 2/19/42	100-469527	PITT
BRANDT, JOSEPH	WM CMS 12/24/09	100- 9473	NEWY

9/15/75

BRATNOBER, JANE HAMMA	WF CMC 12/29/53	100-478751	CLEV
BRATTER, JAMES JOSEPH	WM MSC 6/19/55	105-262626	MINN
BRELEN, SCOTT ALAN	WM CMT 7/15/51	100-476100	NEWY
BRENT, WILLIAM LEE	BM DEX 6/2/30	157-10406	SANF
BREWTON, JAMES WILLIAM	BM BEX 3/3/50	157-17069	CHIC
BRIDE, STEPHEN JOSEPH	WM CMT 10/16/49	100-469576	LOSA
BRIDGEFORTH, RONALD STANLEY	EM BEX 8/23/44	157-10232	SANF
BRIDGES, HARRY RENTON	WM CMS 7/29/99	39-915	SANF
BRIGHTMAN, EDWARD SCIPIO, JR.	BM BEX 10/10/46	157-16072	OMAH
BRILL, VICKI LYNN	WF REV 8/19/51	100-466943	SANF
BRITTON, JOEL WILLIAM	WM CMT 5/11/41	100-439851	CHIC
BRUNSON, ARTHUR HAYDEN	BM REV 8/15/50	100-465127	KANS
BRUOKS, MARY KATHLEEN	WF REV 6/7/50	100-467089	SANF
BRUNN, ARTIE	WM CMS 12/26/11	100-3282	SANF
BROWN, ELAINE DOROTHY	BF BEX 3/2/43	157-12301	SANF
BROWN, ESTHER	WF CMS 2/10/17	100-387412	SANF
BROWN, GEORGE	BM BEX 3/28/44	157-26564	DETR
BROWN, HENRY STUART	BM BEX 5/3/47	157-28301	KANS
BROWN, ROBERT ALFONZO	BM BEX 6/5/48	100-448502	CHIC
BRUST, JEAN MARGARET	WF CMT 8/31/21	100-211572	MINN
BUCH, PETEK ALTAN	WM CMT 11/4/34	100-398325	NEWY
BUCHANAN, THOMAS RALPH	WM REV 8/13/41	100-458170	BUFF
BUCHBINDER, JIRI	WM MSC 11/10/24	105-59673	NEWY
BUENG, ENRICO BUNDA, JR.	WM MSC 12/27/47	105-207732	SAND
BURFICT, KATHLEEN PAULETTE	BF BEX 11/25/46	157-17385	SANF
BURGESS, JOYCE TILLERSON	BF BEX 6/6/51	157-26563	DETR
BURKE, CYNTHIA ANNE	WF CMT 8/26/49	100-452751	SANF
BURNETT, IYERSON, JR.	EM BEX 12/29/37	157-13106	NEWA
BURNHAM, MARGARET ANN	BF CMS 12/28/44	100-433665	BOST
BURNS, NATHANIEL JEROME	EM BEX 6/17/44	157-11540	NEWY
BURKELL, WILLIAM FREDERICK	EM BEX 1/2/48	157-22792	DETR
BURSEY, CHARLES EDWARD	EM BEX 8/13/46	157-9367	SANF
BURSTEIN, DANIEL LEON	WM CMC 8/31/53	100-479367	LOSA
BURT, LEU FREDERICK	WM REV 4/18/48	100-461293	MILW
BUXENBAUM, ALVA JONES	BF CMS 9/14/35	100-433383	NEWY
BUXENBAUM, DAVID	WM CMS 11/28/37	100-428581	NEWY
CABALLEKO BERNARD, ADOLFO	WM PRN 2/5/14	105-53513	SANJ
CADE, SCOTT ROGER	WM REV 2/26/49	100-471921	OKLA
CAIN, LEE SAUL	BM CMS 6/2/17	100-379341	DETR
CALLENDER, RUTH	WF CMS 11/18/26	100-383946	NEWY
CAMEJO, PETER MIGUEL	WM CMT 12/31/39	100-431511	NEWY
CAMP, CARTER AUGUSTUS	OM MSC 8/18/41	157-27753	OKLA
CAMP, DWAIN ALEXANDER	OM REV 8/4/37	157-30096	SAND
CANADA, LARRY EUGENE, JR.	WM REV 10/6/41	100-463702	INDI
CANNON, TERENCE MARTIN	WM REV 1/20/40	100-448392	NEWY
CAPALBU, JO ANN	WF CMC 11/14/49	100-466568	CHIC
CAPELLA RIVERA, RAFAEL	WM PRN 6/19/33	105-155880	SANJ
CARBONE, FRANK ANGELO	WM CMC 8/20/43	100-453129	SANF
CARDEN, NOAH JEFFERSON	WM MSC 8/20/23	157-1601	MOBI

UUUU
UUUU

UUUU
UUUU

9/15/75

CAREF, WILLIAM	WM REV	5/18/50	100-458015	CHIC
CARLSON, JULIA E.	WF CMT	9/16/53	100-472546	BALT
CARMEDY, MICHAEL EDWARD	WM REV	5/20/50	100-475472	CLEV
CARMICHAEL, STOKELY	BM BEX	6/29/41	100-446080	WASH
CASADOS, LEROY ROSSARIO	WM MSC	1/17/40	157- 28760	UMAH
CASQUEIRO, LARRY CRAIG	WM CMC	5/ 3/48	100-457050	SANF
CASTILLO AYALA, JUAN ANTONIO	WM PRN	5/21/48	105-148966	SANJ
CAULFIELD, NORMAN EUGENE	WM REV	10/17/51	100-456490	CLEVUUU
CANTHURN, BERNARD	WM BEX	5/23/53	157- 26775	CHICUUU
CECI, RAMON MICHAEL	WM CMT	6/ 5/41	100-454036	BALT
CEPHAS, JAMES HILTON, JR	BM BEX	11/ 6/37	157- 20464	SANF
CHACON, JUAN REGALADO	WM CMS	11/ 8/19	100-385182	ALBU
CHAIKIN, SONIA ELIZABETH	WF CMS	12/ 9/46	100-446622	NEWY
CHAINED, STEVEN PHILIP	WM CMT	3/ 1/49	100-453448	SANF
CHALEFF, ROY SCOTT	WM CMS	10/30/47	100-449854	NEWY
CHAPMAN, LINDA	BF CMS	11/10/52	100-479485	BALT
CHAPNICK, HERSCHEL	WM MSC	4/23/55	100-478899	NEWY
CHASE, ALICE ROSS	WF CMC	9/16/53	105-219149	BALT
CHASE, STEPHEN S.	WM CMT	3/ 7/44	100-437695	CHIC
CHASE, THOMAS MITCHELL	WM CMT	8/31/49	100-472835	MILW
CHATTERJEE, ELLA SUE	WF CMC	7/24/44	105-218832	NEWA
CHAVES, ISRAEL RODOLFO	WM CMC	4/27/47	100-471455	CHIC
CHAVES, MARTHA SYLVIA	WF CMC	10/29/47	100-481458	CHIC
CHAVIS, BENJAMIN FRANKLIN	BM BEX	1/22/48	157- 12210	WASH
CHEERY, JAMES CLIFTON, JR.	BM BEX	6/16/47	157- 17694	NEWA
CHEKTOV, PEARL	WF CMT	11/ 1/20	100-354907	CHIC
CHESMAN, NAOMI	WF CMS	2/10/49	100-448148	NEWY
CHILDS, MORRIS	WM CMS	6/10/02	61- 7665	CHIC
CHRISTIAN, SAMUEL RICHARD	BM BEX	3/20/39	157- 22714	PHIL
CHURCH, DON LOUIS	WM REV	1/29/43	100-467090	SANF
CIAGAGLIA, DANIEL LOUIS	WM REV	5/27/48	100-481663	NEWY
CIKING COLON, LOIS FELIPE	WM PRN	3/15/39	100-471576	SANJUUUU
CLAPP, PETER WALES	WM REV	10/14/46	100-453923	NEWYUUU
CLARK, BRUCE ALLEN	WM REV	6/11/48	100-448217	KANS
CLARK, JOYCE O RITA	BF CMS	3/11/49	100-460775	STLO
CLARK, JUDITH ALICE	WF REV	11/23/45	100-455389	NEWY
CLARK, LINDA	WF CMC	7/13/41	100-462639	SANF
CLARK, STEPHEN PALMER	WM CMT	11/ 1/48	100-464109	NEWY
CLEAVER, KATHLEEN	BF BEX	5/13/45	157- 8943	SANF
CLEAVER, LEROY ELDRIDGE	BM BEX	8/31/35	100-447251	SANF
CLEVELAND, ASHBY DU BOSE, III	WM REV	9/13/48	100-461910	HCUS
COHEN, AARON	WM REV	2/15/27	100-480266	BALT
COHEN, AARON K	WM CMS	8/ 8/04	100- 17666	LCSA
COHEN, BARRY JAY	WM CMS	10/19/45	100-454206	NEWY
COHEN, DANIEL HOWARD	WM REV	6/ 6/49	100-457567	DENV
COHEN, RICHARD	WM REV	8/28/46	100-478887	DETR
COHEN, STEVEN SIMON	WM REV	4/11/73	100-462533	BALT
COLLINS, BARBARA INES	WF CMS	10/15/36	100-434598	NEWY
CUMAR, THOMAS ALLAN	WM CMT	5/ 3/44	100-472748	BUFF

UUUU
UUUUUUUU
UUUU

9/15/75

CONANT, ROGER TERRY	WM MSC	4/30/47	65- 73068	PITT
CONGER, DUANE ALLEN	WM CMC	8/ 8/49	100-462615	ANCH
CONNER, WALLACE NEAL	WM REV	10/ 1/48	100-468030	WASH
COOK, JAMES MILTON	WM REV	6/ 6/49	100-470829	BALT
COOLEY, SUSAN J.	WF CMC	5/ 8/51	100-480121	CLEV
COOPER, ALLEN FAIRFAX	WM REV	8/10/38	105-136524	ALBU
COOPERSTEIN, JERRY	WM CMC	12/ 9/51	100-481580	BUFF
COOVER, DELLA	WF CMT	9/ 1/20	100-236781	LGSA
COOVER, OSCAR GEORGE JR.	WM CMT	3/10/20	100-360425	LOSA
COPELAND, VINCENT BENJAMIN	WM CMT	6/19/15	100-215189	NEWY
CORCHADO JUARBE, LOIS ALFREDO	WM PRN	8/13/47	105-160230	SANJ
CORDERO, ANGELA ELAINE	WF CMC	12/15/52	100-475100	CHIC
CORDERO, ROWLAND	WM CMC	1/30/48	100-472299	CHIC
CORRETT JER MONTES, JUAN ANTONIO	WM PRN	3/ 3/48	100-335202	SANJ
CORTES BURGOS, WILSON	WM PRN	5/ 8/43	105-137772	NEWY
CORTES RIVERA, FELIX ANSELMO	WM PRN	12/11/49	105-213446	SANJ
COS PUNTON, JOSE RAFAEL	WM PRN	2/20/50	105-231297	SANJ
COS PUNTON, MANUEL ENRIQUE	WM PRN	11/25/53	105-231457	SANJ
COSTIGAN, CHARLES HARRISON	WM CMC	12/30/49	100-478387	ATLA
COSTON, LEROY ORVILLE	BM CMS	11/29/36	157- 9057	CLEV
COWARD, FELICIA ANN	BF CMS	7/12/50	100-464868	PHIL
COWARD, JOHNNY	BM BEX	5/14/51	157- 21642	NEWY
COX, COURTLAND VERNON	BM BEX	1/27/41	105-160371	WASH
CRAWFORD, WILLIAM HENRY	BM CMS	3/17/11	100- 69892	PHIL
CRENSHAW, THOMAS LAWRENCE	BM CMS	5/27/40	157- 29362	STLO
CROWNIN, JAMES ARTHUR	WM REV	11/21/42	100-478451	PORT
CROSBY, JEFFREY CHRISTIAN	WM CMC	12/12/50	100-461519	MILWA
CROW DOG, LEONARD	WM MSC	8/18/42	157- 28941	MINN
CRUZ FIGUEROA, JOSE EDGARDO	WM PRN	3/ 7/53	105-244365	SANJ
CRUZ LOPEZ, EDUARDO RAFAEL	WM PRN	5/10/48	105-213165	NEWY
CRUZ VELEZ, EDWARD	WM PRN	1/27/50	105-231293	SANJ
CURL, WILLIAM HENRY	WM CMS	5/29/13	100-254534	PORT
CURLEY, NATALIE EDGAR	WF CMS	10/23/10	100- 27705	CHIC
CURTIS, THOMAS AUSTIN	BM CMS	5/20/35	100-444618	CHIC
DALEY, MICHAEL ROBERT	EM BEX	11/23/52	157- 28332	BOST
DALTO, KENNETH JOSEPH	WM REV	10/17/49	100-456674	DETR
DAMATO, EDWARD JOSEPH	WM REV	8/ 9/46	100-476232	CHIC
DANIELSON, NORA PAGE	WF CMT	3/25/50	100-471099	DENV
DANILENKO, PAULA JEAN	WF CMS	2/19/48	100-443869	SANF
DASHKOFF, MAXINE	WF LMC	7/14/49	105-210113	BALT
DAVID, GREGOR BARRY	WM CMT	3/18/46	100-450182	PHIL
DAVIES, DOROTHY BERNICE	WF CMS	6/ 2/10	100-399933	CHIC
DAVIS, ANGELA YVONNE	BF CMS	1/26/44	100-439922	SANF
DAVIS, GLENN SCOTT	WM CMS	6/18/52	100-474959	NEWH
DAVIS, JOHN WILLARD	WM REV	7/20/49	100-450965	CINC
DAVIS, WILLIAM HUGH	WM REV	2/24/48	100-477284	CHIC
DANSON, ADMIRAL GEORGE	BM CMS	4/26/27	100-391362	LOSA
DE BERRY, CLIFTON S.	BM CMT	9/20/22	100-388830	SANF
DE JESUS CORDERO, MANUEL	WM PRN	10/22/44	105-183270	SANJ

9/15/75

DELGADO LA SALLE, CARLOS ANTONIO	BM PRN	8/ 2/44	105-179283	SANJ
DELGADO RANOS, ROBERTO	WM PRN	4/29/49	100-480846	SANJ
DEMINGS, EDDIE MANUEL, JR.	BM REV	1/28/48	100-460835	NEWY
DENNIS, THOMAS DEWITT, JR.	BM CMS	9/ 2/18	100-247898	DETR
DE PUGH, ROBERT BOLIVAR	WM MSC	4/15/23	100-449975	KANS
DETTMAN, SONIA HELEN	WF REV	7/ 9/43	100-457511	BEST
DEWEY, CHARLES MANUEL	WM CMS	4/30/22	100-394125	DETR
DILDAY, CHESTER DANIEL	WM REV	11/14/46	100-461525	CINCINN
DILLAY, KAREN DANESI	WF REV	8/24/48	100-464087	CINCINN
DISKIN, LOUIS	WM CMS	10/28/18	100-349140	NEWY
DIXON, JESSE	BM BEX	9/25/38	157- 22006	JKVL
DIXON, MACEO CARL	BM CMT	6/27/49	100-468221	NEWY
DLUGIN, LEE ALBERTA	WF CMS	11/20/40	100-431051	NEWA
DOEDEN, JERI WILLIAM	WM REV	11/21/37	100-459234	SANF
DOHRN, BERNARDINE KAE	WF MSC	1/12/42	100-450008	CHIC
DOHRN, JENNIFER ELLEN	WF REV	11/30/44	100-454261	NEWY
DUMACKI, JOSEPH FRANCIS	WM CMC	12/19/46	100-474436	LOSA
DURK, LESLIE ANN	WF CMT	6/26/50	100-468109	LOSA
DOUGLAS, ERNEST SAMUEL	WM CMC	10/ 6/51	100-461578	PHIL
DOUGLAS, SCOTT, III	BM CMS	12/ 4/46	100-467752	MEMPHIS
DOUWY, JANET	WF CMC	10/ 4/46	100-452193	DETR
DOUWY, STUART NOEL	WM CMC	12/21/42	100-451836	DETR
DOYLE, KENNETH	WM REV		100-461137	SANF
DOYLE, TERRENCE JOHN JAMES	WM REV	2/11/46	100-458306	NEWY
DRAGER, FRANK AUGUST, JR.	WM MSC	8/ 4/40	157- 6908	NEWA
DRYSDALE, DEBORAH	WF REV	1/24/46	100-457074	SANF
DU BUIS, DAVID GRAHAM	BM BEX	3/10/25	100-375458	SANF
DUNKEL, GREGORY MARTIN	WM CMT	10/ 9/40	100-449653	BALT
DURSO, JOSEPH DOMINIC	WM REV	7/23/50	100-466131	DETR
DYCHES, LAURENCE ANNE	WF CMC	7/23/50	100-455091	BIRM
DYCHES, WALDRON HAYNE	WM CMC	11/ 2/42	100-461464	BIRM
EAGLIN, RAYMOND	BM BEX	12/18/41	157- 14506	PORT
ECKHOUSE, JOHN GEORGE HAYS	WM CMC	3/20/48	100-475125	SANF
EDELMAN, ARTHUR	WM CMS	4/25/25	100-364001	PITT
EDELMAN, ELIZABETH	WF CMS	5/15/37	100-424384	PITT
EDELMAN, MILDRED ATHELTIME	BF CMS	6/23/15	100-201491	NEWY
EDWARDS, GEORGE RAYMOND	WM CMS	3/24/18	100-306447	CLEV
EDWARDS, GEORGE W.	BM BEX	7/31/37	157- 9446	SANF
EDWARDS, STEPHEN PAUL	BM BEX	12/27/49	157- 22853	HOUS
EIS, JOEL DAVID	WM REV	10/ 3/46	100-459679	SACK
EKLUND, JOHN ROBERT	WM CMS	7/ 3/51	100-452101	MILW
EMMER, HOWARD JOEL	WM REV	12/24/46	100-451298	SANF
ENGEL, JAMES MONTGOMERY	WM REV	1/ 1/44	100-458480	INDI
ENGLESTEIN, MARY CATHERINE	WF CMS	5/ 3/20	100-265389	SANF
ENPSTEIN, IVY LEE	WF REV	1/14/48	100-464454	DETR
ERVIN, JOAN REBECCA	WF CMC	6/ 8/50	100-471282	SANF
ESCRIBANO DIAZ, LUIS MANUEL	WM PRN	4/27/32	105-160158	SANJ
ESPOSITO, COURTNEY NANCE	WF REV	5/ 6/47	100-456133	CHIC
ESTREHERA, RAUL PAUL	WM BEX	8/ 2/47	157- 30166	NEWY

9/15/75

EUBANKS, MATTHEW	BM BEX	5/22/38	157- 9667	STLO
EVANS, JAMES WALTER	BM BEX	4/ 6/48	157- 21173	SANF
EVERETT, RONIE MC KINLEY	BM BEX	7/14/41	157- 7244	LOSA
FABISZAK, TIMOTHY BERNARD	WM REV	5/ 2/49	100-473178	BALT
FADEM, PAMELA SUE	WF REV	12/ 1/51	100-460028	NEWY
FAGG, HERMAN, JR.	BM CMT	6/ 6/44	100-456474	LOSA
FARLEY, FRANCES ELIZABETH	WF CMT	2/24/53	100-460776	HOUS
FAULKNER, STANLEY	WM CMS	3/ 1/09	100-406719	NEWY
FEATHERSTON, ALFRED DWIGHT AMOS	BM BEX	10/10/27	105- 74802	JKVL
FEIN, DANIEL BARNETT	WM CMT	5/30/45	100-464982	HOUS
FELICIANO FELICIANO, DAVID	BM PRN	10/ 8/44	105-158130	NEWY
FELICIANO VALERA, JOSE MANUEL	WM PRN	5/20/48	105-228167	SANJ
FENSKE, ALLAN E., JR.	WM CMC	10/ 2/45	100-461908	CHIC
FENSKE, MARY ELIZABETH	WF CMC	11/29/46	100-461617	CHIC
FERNANDEZ DIAMANTE, HILTON EDGARDO	WM PRN	8/28/44	105-190761	SANJ
FERNANDEZ SALGADO, FEDERICO	WM PRN	12/ 8/52	100-469324	SANJ
FETONTE, DANIEL C.	WM CMC	6/ 5/50	100-475321	BUFF
FICHTENBAUM, LEONARD JOSEPH	WM CMS	6/ 7/24	100-425654	STLO
FIGUEROA MERCADO, LOIDA	WF PRN	10/ 6/17	105-100504	SANJ
FINAMORE, CARL PHILLIP	WM CMT	5/11/46	100-448374	SANF
FINE, DAVID SYLVAN	WM REV	3/18/52	100-459094	MILW
FINKEL, RICHARD LAWRENCE	WM CMT	6/12/49	100-455456	NEWY
FISCH, DAVID BRUCE	WM MSC	10/26/53	105-231084	NEWY
FISHER, JAMES RUFUS	BM CMS	1/ 1/29	100-438847	PITT
FITE, JAMES FRANKLIN	WM CMC	12/27/40	100-449117	CHIC
FLATEAU, ADELE MARIE	BF CMT	4/14/51	100-477139	NEWY
FLATLEY, JUDITH ANN	WF MSC	1/19/45	100-452959	MILW
FLATO, LUCILLE	WF CMS	9/21/22	100-310575	SANF
FLIEGELMAN, MARK LEE	WM REV	6/16/50	100-459181	PHIL
FLIEGELMAN, RONALD DAVID	WM REV	6/27/44	100-457586	ALBA
FLURES, JOHN MARTIN	WM CMC	9/29/43	100-460445	NEWY
FLOKY, ISHMAEL PIERRE	BM CMS	7/ 2/04	100- 42869	CHIC
FLUMER, JAMES HAROLD	WM CMS	2/18/06	100- 14104	MINN
FOLEY, FRANCIS THOMAS	WM CMS	5/13/35	100-445910	NEWY
FONER, LAURA	WF REV	2/10/44	100-456429	BOST
FONSECA ORTAS, CARLOS MANUEL	WM PRN	11/21/46	105-196128	SANJ
FONTANEZ ORTIZ, GLORIA ESTHER	WF PRN	4/ 8/44	105-204601	NEWY
FONTENETTE, VERNON JOHN, JR.	BM BEX	8/14/39	157- 9789	NEWY
FORU, JAN RAYMOND	WM CMC	7/ 7/42	100-451882	SEAT
FORMAN, JAMES RUFUS	BM BEX	10/ 4/28	100-443566	DETR
FOSTER, THOMAS HARRISON	WM CMC	2/20/51	100-480572	CLEV
FOX, MICHAEL DAVID	WM CMC	7/ 3/53	100-456417	SANF
FRANCESCHINI CARLO, JOSE ANTONIO	WM PRN	3/20/49	105-224663	SANJ
FRANKHOUSER, ROY EVERETT, JR.	WM MSC	11/ 4/39	97- 4262	PHIL
FRANKIE, PEGGY GOLDMAN	WF CMS	10/10/43	100-437305	DETR
FRANKIE, WALTER DUANE	WM CMS	7/ 9/36	100-436941	DETR
FRANKLIN, HOWARD BRUCE	WM CMC	2/28/34	100-446765	NEWH
FRANKLIN, JANE MORGAN	WF CMC	4/13/34	100-447290	NEWH
FRANTZ, ROBERT GREGORY	WM CMT	4/25/46	100-476116	SEAT

9/15/75

FREED, DONALD MARTIN	WM REV	5/13/32	100-447268	LOSA
FREED, JAMES WILFRED	WM MSC	10/18/15	100-450848	DETR
FREED, JEFFREY PAUL	WM CMC	7/ 7/44	100-445684	CHIC
FREEMAN, CHARLES HENRY	BM BEX	10/27/51	157- 19450	HOUS
FRIEWIRTH, JERRALD LAWRENCE	WM CMT	11/27/50	100-468287	SAND
FRIEDEN, ILONA JOSEPHINE	WF REV	10/12/49	100-459588	SANF
FRIEDLAENDER, MAX BENZUIN	WM CMS	7/ 4/10	100-367494	CHIC
FRIEDMAN, MARK LEWIS	WM CMT	9/14/51	100-461825	CLEV
FRUMKIN, LEO TANEY	WM CMT	8/ 4/28	100-368089	LOSA
FURST, JOHN ALLEN	WM REV	7/15/44	100-446019	MILW
FUGATE, WILLIAM RUFUS	WM CMS	1/31/45	100-448071	PITT
FULKS, LOIS JOAN	WF CMT	12/28/45	100-453240	NEWY
FULLER, HOWARD LAMAR	BM BEX	1/14/41	157- 8477	CHAR
GABOURY, FRED MELIN	WM CMS	12/29/25	100-378679	CHIC
GABOW, FRANCES	WF CMS	2/24/26	100-359565	CHIC
GAITHER, GENE CALVIN	BM CMS	1/12/48	100-472755	CHAR
GALE, WILLIAM PUTTER	WM MSC	11/20/16	62-105253	LOSA
GALLISA BISBAL, CARLOS AUGUSTO	WM PRN	10/ 3/33	105-203810	SANJ
GALLO, JOHN MICHAEL	WM CMS	9/30/37	100-446123	NEWY
GALLC, JUDITH FAUST	WF CMS	9/21/41	100-456197	NEWY
GANLEY, GERALD JOSEPH	WM REV	9/ 1/43	100-455468	SANF
GARRETT, JAMES PAUL	BM BEX	12/31/42	100-441245	LOSA
GARTH, ULYSSES	BM BEX	12/ 9/37	157- 10058	CINC
GARVIN, VICTORIA HOLMES	WF CMC	12/18/15	100-379895	NEWY
GAUT, ELIJAH, JR.	BM BEX	11/15/44	100-456939	DETR
GAYLER, MICHAEL	WM CMC	9/30/47	100-463436	SANF
GAZTAMBI DE GEIGEL, ANTONIO	WM PRN	10/23/49	105-200458	SANJ
GEDAL, STUART MARK	WM REV	2/ 3/50	100-452006	BOST
GEHMAN, HENRY MORAGNE	WM REV	12/26/48	100-454116	NEWY
GELMAN, FELICE A.	WF REV	2/15/46	100-482880	BOST
GENTILE, CHARLES LEWIS	WM CMS	9/ 7/45	100-453425	BALT
GENTRY, MARVIN ERNEST	BM CMS	3/ 4/34	157- 12368	WASH
GERSON, SIMON WILLIAM	WM CMS	1/23/09	100- 52537	NEWY
GETZ, LAURIE	WF BEX	7/15/52	157- 22948	NEWY
GIBOYEUX, BENIGNO JOSE	WM CMS	12/30/28	100-459195	NEWY
GIBSON, RUTH ANN	WF REV	6/ 3/48	100-456103	CLEV
GIBSON, THOMAS	BM BEX	10/ 7/47	157- 27456	DETR
GIESE, FRANK STEARNS	WM REV	8/18/16	100-476445	PORT
GILBERT, ALAN	WM REV	4/24/44	100-445525	LOSA
GILBERT, DAVID JOSEPH	WM REV	10/ 6/44	100-447736	NEWY
GILMAN, JOHN	WM CMS	12/16/20	100-235645	MILW
GLASER, PHILIP	WM CMS	4/30/15	100-348711	NEWY
GOLASH, MICHAEL JOHN, JR.	WM REV	4/22/43	100-449951	WASH
GOLD, SAMUEL	WM CMS	9/22/14	100-427949	SANF
GONZALES, RUDOLPH	WM MSC	6/18/28	105-176910	DENV
GONZALEZ, ATANACIO BERRERA	WM CMC	7/10/41	100-452568	LCSA
GONZALEZ FERNANDEZ, ALBERTO	WM PRN	4/16/40	105-174555	SANJ
GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ, JUAN DAVIO	WM PRN	5/13/51	105-241126	SANJ
GONZALEZ HERNANDEZ, MANUEL DE JESUS	WM PRN	1/16/47	105-156809	SANJ

9/15/75

GOODLOE, GWENDOLYN W.	BF BEX	5/13/49	157- 13076	SANF
GOODMAN, PHILIP JOHN	WM MSC	5/21/55	105-257666	LOSA
GOODWIN, BETH FRANCES	WF CMC	6/19/50	100-471841	LCSA
GURMLIE, GEORGE FRANK	WM REV	3/10/48	100-467093	SAND
GURRE, FRANK	BM BEX	10/16/42	157- 23485	SPRI
GUTESKY, JAMES KENNETH	WM CMT	5/26/48	100-465132	WASH
GOULD, JOSHUA RALPH	WM CMC	4/14/45	100-450518	BIRM
GOULD, RANDOLPH ELIOT	WM REV	5/ 8/49	100-455411	KANS
GOULDIN, SAMUEL ISAAC	WM CMS	6/17/14	100-337345	NEWY
GRAHAM, RICHARD HARPER	WM CMC	5/26/48	100-473542	SANF
GRAHAM, WILLIAM WHITE	WM REV	8/ 9/54	100-474277	ALEX
GRANT, JAMES EARL, JR.	BM BEX	10/ 5/37	100-444360	CHAR
GRANT CHACON, PEDRO ARTURO	WM PRN	4/ 3/20	105- 85159	SANJ
GRANT PAKLO, ARTURO	WM PRN	7/11/46	100-478589	SANJ
GREEN, GILBERT	WM CMS	9/24/06	100- 35868	NEWY
GREEN, JACOB	BM CMS	6/28/02	100-332246	BALT
GREEN, LARRY CRAIG	BM BEX	2/12/52	157- 33194	SANF
GREENBERG, BARRY WILLIAM	WM CMC	2/25/40	100-451980	CHIC
GREENBERG, MARY LOUISE	WF CMC	1/ 9/40	100-452214	CHIC
GREENE, PATRICIA MARILYN	BF BEX	8/19/47	157- 22299	NEWY
GREW, MICHAEL JAMES	WM REV	10/22/54	100-480957	NEWA
GROENNGU-GARCIA, BRUNILDA ESPERANZA	WF PRN	7/23/51	105-267309	SANJ
GROSSNER, MORRIS ALAN OLDER	WM REV	7/11/48	100-450923	NEWY
GRUBBS, JUDITH ROBERTA	WF CMS	3/ 8/44	100-464185	BOST
GUCKENBURG, MARY LOUISE	WF CMT	6/12/47	100-461415	MINN
GUILBE IRIZARRY, FELIX	WM PRN	5/ 3/26	105-149886	SANJ
GULICK, ROBERT LEE, III	WM REV	6/12/51	100-466647	SANF
GUREWITZ, CATHERINE LESLIE	WF CMT	12/17/48	100-446264	NEWY
GUREWITZ, DONALD	WM CMT	5/ 7/46	100-444717	BOST
HAAJ, PETER HUGO	WM CMT	3/30/46	100-473985	HOUS
HAGEDORN, SUSAN	WF REV	6/20/45	100-456672	BOST
HAGELBERG, GERHARD HANS BERNARD	WM FRN	9/ 7/25	48- 41121	NEWH
HAILE, ROY BARTEE, JR.	WM REV	9/14/45	100-450202	HOUS
HALBERG, TOIVO JORMA	WM CMS	9/13/12	100-445139	MINN
HALBERG, VIEKKO JOHN	WM CMS	8/13/14	100-447499	MINN
HALL, GUS	WM CMS	10/ 9/10	61- 8077	NEWY
HALL, TIMOTHY	WM CMC	3/10/42	100-448761	CHIC
HALLINAN, CONN MALACHI	WM CMS	11/17/42	100-434479	SANF
HALLINAN, MATTHEW BRENNIS	WM CMS	12/ 8/40	100-438389	SANF
HALSTEAD, FREDKICK WOLF	WM CMT	4/21/27	100-371709	LCSA
HALSTEAD, VIRGINIA	WF CMT	6/22/32	100-427370	LCSA
HALVERSON, LOUISE MAE	WF CMT	9/27/49	100-460923	STLC
HALYARD, HELEN BETTY	BF MSC	11/24/50	100-474936	NEWY
HAMMERMAN, WARREN JAY	WM REV	3/17/46	100-449032	NEWY
HAMMOND, KENNETH JAMES	WM REV	9/ 5/47	100-461499	NEWH
HAMPTON, JESSE NATHAN	BM BEX	2/ 5/40	157- 22138	DETR
HANDELSMAN, LEONARD	WM REV	1/ 7/46	100-456889	CHIC
HANLY, MICHAEL STEPHEN	CM MSC	9/19/48	157- 29367	OKLA
HANSEN, ALLAN JOHN	WM CMT	4/ 2/25	100-380476	NEWY

9/15/75

HANSEN, JOSEPH LEROY	WM CMT	6/16/10	100- 13359	NEWY
HARPER, RICHARD JOHNSON	BM BEX	1/12/51	157- 27821	SANF
HARPER, SHEILA THORNE	WF CMC	1/ 8/39	100-472076	SANF
HARRIS, EMILY MONTAGUE	WF REV	2/11/47	157- 32994	SANF
HARRIS, JAMES E., JR.	BM CMT	12/10/47	100-454264	ATLA
HARRIS, JOHN WESLEY, JR.	BM REV	10/30/43	100-445298	BOST
HARRIS, LEMENT BACON	WM CMS	3/ 1/04	100- 15251	NEWY
HARRIS, MARC SHOLCH	WM CMC	1/11/52	100-457417	SANF
HARRIS, RICHARD HARRY	BM BEX	4/21/40	157- 14790	NEWY
HARRIS, WILLIAM TAYLOR	WM REV	1/22/45	157- 32993	SANF
HARTLINE, MELODY ANN	WF CMC	6/18/47	100-459250	SANF
HARTLINE, PERRY LEE	WM CMC	12/16/47	100-459251	SANF
HARVEY, MARCIA	WF REV	2/21/43	100-475429	NEWY
HAWKINS, CHARLES LARRY	BM BEX	7/31/51	157- 15018	CHIC
HAYES, JEFFREY RUSSELL	WM CMT	8/29/46	100-479340	SALT
HEARD, ROBERT JOSEPH	BM BEX	9/26/48	157- 15763	SANF
HEARST, PATRICIA CAMPBELL	WF REV	2/20/54	157- 33745	SANF
HEDGES, WILLIAM RALPH	WM REV	1/10/47	100-454141	CLEV
HEERS, ARTHUR FRANK	WM CMC	6/20/44	100-457680	DETR
HEIMAN, HARRIET ANN	WF REV	1/ 7/47	100-456164	NEWY
HELFAND, IRA DANA	WM REV	4/30/49	100-463204	NEWY
HEMBLEN, JUDITH LEE	WF REV	6/25/43	100-451802	NEWY
HEMMINGSON, HANLEY LEON	WM CMS	4/22/14	100-413001	MINN
HENDERSON, JOSEPH PEARL	BM CMS	7/26/12	100-237430	SALT
HENES, ROBERT STEPHAN	WM REV	8/ 6/38	100-439923	NEWY
HENRY, CHARLES S.	BM REV	5/ 4/45	100-459822	SALT
HENRY, RICHARD BULLOCK	BM BEX	5/20/30	100-444362	JKSN
HERMAN, IRVING	WM CMS	3/21/10	100- 43260	NEWY
HERNANDEZ, MARIANNE	WF CMT	5/27/40	100-457792	LOSA
HERNANDEZ, MAURICE	WM CMC	2/24/48	100-474167	LOSA
HERNANDEZ DE DELGADO, NITZA M.	WF PRN	4/24/49	100-478595	SANJ
HERNANDEZ URTEGA, FRANCISCO A.	WM PRN	9/16/46	105-225432	SANJ
HERRINK, SARAH SEYBOLT	WF CMC	5/ 5/50	105-208201	CHIC
HESS, RICHARD THEODORE	WM REV	1/23/48	100-454861	DENV
HILLSON, JONATHAN HAVIS	WM CMT	9/16/49	100-449616	BOST
HILL, MICHAEL DENNIS	BM BEX	9/ 7/42	157- 15022	NEWY
MILTON, FREDDIE	BM BEX	2/19/53	157- 20172	NEWY
HINCHEY, CAROL ANN	WF CMS	11/26/44	100-463278	BUFF
HINTON, WILLIAM HOWARD	WM CMC	2/ 2/19	105- 24012	PHIL
HIRSCH, PHOEBE ELIZABETH	WF REV	12/ 5/45	100-453316	CHIC
HIRSCH, ROLAND ALFRED	WM CMS	12/19/38	105-139366	BUFF
HIRSCHFELD, JOAN RIA	WF REV	3/15/47	100-457534	BOST
HOARD, CHARLES ANDERSON	BM BEX	5/19/49	157- 26637	DETR
HOBSON, BRUCE WARREN	WM CMC	10/ 1/49	100-466541	LOSA
HOBSON, JEAN STEVENS	WF CMC	1/20/29	100-469101	SANF
HOFF, JEFFREY ALAN	WM REV	11/17/44	105-186389	DETR
HUFFMAN, ABBOTT HOWARD	WM REV	11/30/36	100-449923	NEWY
HUFFMAN, JOHN C.	WM REV	7/ 5/49	100-481415	CLEV
HUFSTETTER, RAY NELSON	WM REV	5/12/42	157- 32804	SANF

9/15/75

HOLDER, STANLEY RICHARD
HORNSTEIN, ALVIN ZACHARY
HOKOWITZ, GERSHON PHINEAS
HURTON, ANTHONY WARE

HUYEN, RICHARD
HREDA, ELIZABETH J.
HUBER, ELIZABETH MARTIN
HOLLIS, HENRY BOYD, IV
HUGGINS, ERICKA COZETTE

HUNT, HAROLD SIMON
HUNT, WILLIAM EDWARD
HUNTER, CHARLES

HYLAND, BARBARA AVIS

IYAMA, PATRICIA

IRIZARKY RODRIGUEZ, FRANKLIN

IRWIN, RONALD KEVIN

ISRAEL, JARED MICHAEL

ITURBE DE VALDES, EVA

JACKSON, ANDREW

JACKSON, DONALD MAURICE

JACKSON, ESTHER VICTORIA COOPER

JACKSON, JAMES EDWARD

JACKSON, MERION PAUL

JACKSON, ZACHARY MAURICE

JACOBS, JOHN GREGORY

JAFFE, NAOMI ESTHER

JARVIS, GINNY MARLENE LEVIN

JARVIS, MICHAEL VERNON

JENKINS, CHARLES THOMAS

JENKINS, EDWARD

JENKINS, MELVIN L.

JENNESS, DOUGLAS FRANCIS

JENNESS, LINDA

JEROME, FREDERICK LOUIS

JOHNSON, ARNOLD SAMUEL

JOHNSON, BRUCE RICHARD

JOHNSON, JAMES ALEXANDER

JOHNSON, NELSON NAPOLEON

JOHNSON, RICHARD EUGENE

JOHNSON, WILLIAM SAMUEL

JOLLY, THOMAS OVERTON

JONES, BERNICE

JONES, BRENDA LEE

JONES, EVERETT LEROY

JONES, JEFFREY CARL

JONES, JOHN HERBERT

JONES, SANDRA ELAINE

JORDAN, SUSAN B.

JOAKOC GRAJALES, LUIS FELIPE

GM MSC 4/20/50

WM REV 7/31/43

WM CMT 5/23/41

BM BEX 10/16/51

WM CMS 7/ 9/49

WF CMS 7/28/49

WF CMS 1/20/49

BM CMS 7/ 3/49

BF BEX 1/ 5/48

WM CMS 2/26/07

BM BEX 4/ 7/47

BM CMS 12/20/47

WF CMC 11/10/49

OF CMT 1/18/45

WM PRN 5/16/42

EM BEX 5/13/51

WM REV 8/ 1/44

WF FRN 5/16/20

EM BEX 1/18/47

BM BEX 1/ 6/49

BF CMS 6/21/17

BM CMS 11/29/14

WM MSC 4/28/28

BM CMS 10/10/50

WM MSC 9/30/47

WF REV 6/26/43

WF CMC 1/22/46

WM CMC 2/26/48

WM REV 4/17/53

BM BEX 6/ 6/52

BM BEX 5/ 2/52

WM CMT 1/ 9/42

WF CMT 1/11/41

WM REV 2/10/39

WM CMS 5/23/04

WM REV 4/ 7/47

BM CMS 11/ 8/45

EM BEX 4/25/43

BM REV 4/11/49

BM CMS 6/27/01

BM BEX 7/24/41

BF BEX 4/ 2/50

BF CMS 8/25/48

BM BEX 10/ 7/34

WM REV 2/23/47

BM BEX 10/12/50

BF CMS 4/12/55

WF REV 6/21/41

WM PRN 2/ 5/49

157- 28283

100-457532

100-434786

157- 17758

100-465285

100-478056

100-450807

100-467614

157- 11414

100-160282

157- 11919

100-464298

100-472671

100-450931

105-124334

157- 13576

100-441821

105-122567

157- 22790

157- 20675

100-402509

100- 47736

157- 28828

100-470580

100-448028

100-448126

100-474062

100-443919

100-474933

157- 26813

157- 15440

105-101357

100-448170

105- 41624

100- 12583

100-462621

157- 12262

157- 9855

100-462263

100- 44624

157- 13973

157- 20117

100-471210

100-425307

105-175019

157- 26252

100-477731

100-459597

100-476114

OKLA

BOST

NEWY

NEWA

NEWY

PHIL

NEWA

LCSA

SANF

LGSA

ATLA

CHIC

LOSA

SAND

SANJ

DETR

BOST

NEWY

NEWY

CINC

NEWY

NEWY

MINN

WASH

CHIC

NEWY

NEWY

NEWY

WORF

DETR

SANF

NEWY

NEWY

SANF

NEWY

CHIC

NEWY

CHAR

INDI

WASH

SANF

NEWY

NEWY

NEWA

CHIC

LOUI

MILW

SANF

SANJ

9/15/75

JURENAS, EDMUND ANTHONY	WM CMT	12/ 1/47	100-453730	HOUS
JUSTESEN, THOMAS MICHAEL	WM REV	5/17/50	105-194648	SEAT
KAHANE, MEIR D.	WM MSC	8/ 1/32	105-207795	NEWY
KALB, LOUIS	WM CMS	7/25/19	100-335815	NEWY
KALINTOIS, KONSTANTINOS	WM REV	3/10/47	105-205115	NEWY
KALISS, ANTHONY MORRIS	WM CMS	4/29/42	100-438302	NEWY
KALVELAGE, ANGELA MARIA	WF CMC	9/21/46	105-205071	SANF
KANE, BEVERLY	WF REV	8/27/49	100-457888	SANF
KAPLAN, WILLIAM TODD	WM REV	7/13/47	100-449706	MILW
KATSIAFICAS, GEORGE NICHOLAS	WM REV	4/ 1/49	100-458434	SAND
KATZ, ALVIN IRA	WM REV	6/ 3/49	100-457831	NEWY
KAUFMAN, ALAN ROBERT	WM REV	3/ 8/31	100-421187	BALT
KAUFMAN, RONALD	WM REV	2/ 5/38	100-469462	CHIC
KELLY, KENNETH MICHAEL	WM REV	5/24/45	100-460887	SANF
KELLY, MICHAEL DAVID	WM CMT	7/14/44	100-455779	OETR
KELNER, RUSSELL COVER	WM MSC	2/17/41	105-208782	NEWY
KEY, PHILLIP A.	BM BEX	6/15/47	157- 27920	BOST
KILIAN, MELODY KAY	WF REV	9/15/43	100-458229	SANF
KINCES, FRANK I.	WM CMS	9/24/12	100-363922	PHIL
KING, ALONZO VICTOR	OM MSC	7/27/43	157- 27754	KANS
KINGSTON, BARBARA JOAN	WF CMC	2/12/42	105-191605	OETR
KIRSCH, HERMAN	WM CMT	11/ 1/21	100-367935	CLEV
KLAFTER, DAVID BENJAMIN	WM REV	12/ 1/46	100-457945	NEWY
KLINE, STEPHANIE CATHERINE	WF REV	5/14/46	100-473422	SANF
KLING, JACK	WM CMS	8/14/11	100- 31966	CHIC
KLINGEL, WILLIAM JOHN	WM CMC	11/20/47	100-453921	SANF
KLUNSKY, FREDERICK ADLEN	WM CMC	6/14/48	100-450816	CHIC
KLUNSKY, MICHAEL KENNETH	WM CMC	5/25/43	100-448162	LOSA
KLUNSKY, SUSAN JUDITH	WF CMC	10/ 3/47	100-447936	LOSA
KNOX, GEORGE WILLIAM RANDOLPH	WM REV	4/18/50	100-463393	DALL
KOENIG, MATTHIAS, JR.	WM MSC	1/22/35	105- 73527	ALEX
KOLIS, DOROTHY LORRAINE	WF CMT	10/30/50	100-460217	LCSA
KOLKIN, ALEX	WM CMS	1/25/00	100-366021	NEWY
KOMOROWSKI, CONRAD ALEXANDER	WM CMS	10/17/06	100-188624	NEWY
KORNFIELD, CLIFFORD	WM CMC	10/14/52	100-468456	NEHA
KUVACS, EDMOND ANTHONY	WM CMT	4/19/24	100-362987	LOSA
KKANSDOFF, HERBERT K.	WM CMS	5/17/17	100-217722	CHIC
KKRAZ, RUGER GARY	WM REV	6/ 9/50	100-463173	WASH
KRCHMAREK, ANTHONY S.	WM CMS	1/20/98	100- 9391	PORT
KUGELMASS, LOIS ELLEN	WF CMS	12/ 8/46	100-456357	BOST
KUKICH, GEORGE	WM CMT	5/ 5/41	100-449334	NEWY
KUNSTLER, WILLIAM MOSES	WM CMS	7/ 7/19	100-439612	NEWY
KURSHAN, NANCY SARAH	WF REV	2/ 4/44	100-446949	SANF
KURTZ, ALAN EARL	WM CMS	9/16/41	100-466622	SANF
KURZ, MAX	WM CMS	2/16/18	100-400854	NEWY
KURZWEIL, BETTINA APTHEKER	WF CMS	9/ 2/44	100-434782	SANF
KURZWEIL, JACK H.	WM CMS	11/ 5/38	100-438523	SANF
KUTNICK, LISETTE LEVY	WF CMS	6/22/14	100-234265	SANF
LAAMAN, JAAN KARL	WM REV	3/21/48	100-464273	BOST

9/15/75

LACONICH, ANNA PASTOR
 LAMONT, SUSAN ELIZABETH
 LA KUCHE, LYNDON HEKMYLE, JR.
 LATIMER, KAREN LEE
 LAUB, LEVI LEE
 LAUDERDALE, JAMES NORMAN
 LAWSON, LEROY DAVID
 LAZAR, NANCY LYNNE
 LEADERMAN, ARTHUR ISAAC
 LEHRON SOTO, MANUEL FERNANDO
 LEBURG, DENNIS ROBERT
 LEBURG, SHEILA LYNN
 LEE, ANN MOY
 LEE, ERNESTINE DELORES
 LEE, JAMES
 LEGG, JERRY LYNN
 LEIBENSBERGER, DANIEL KERMIT
 LEIVISKA, HELEN S.
 LENCLE, MARK GREGORY
 LERNER, JONATHAN DAVID
 LERNER, MICHAEL PHILIP
 LESPIER ANKUYU, FELIPE
 LEVENSON, GOLDIE SUCHOVICH
 LEVENSON, LEONARD BERTRAM
 LEVILAIN, GUY
 LEWIS, THEOPHOLIS
 LIEBOWITZ, MIRA
 LIGHTFOOT, CAROLINE COLLIER
 LIGHTFOOT, CLAUDE MACK
 LIMA, ALBERT JASON
 LIMA, HELEN
 LIMA, MICHAEL
 LINDER, WALTER
 LINDSAY, JAMES ROBERT
 LINDSAY, ROBERT LEWIS
 LINE, JOHN DOUGLAS
 LINTON, BEKNICE SARAH
 LIPPMAN, ROGER HENRY
 LITTLE, JAMES LLOYD
 LITTLE, LARRY DONNELL
 LIVINGSTON, SYLVIA
 LOCKE, GENE LAVERNE
 LUCKSHIN, ARNOLD
 LUGAN, JAMES HOWARD
 LONG, ALVIN PENROSE, III
 LONG, GERALD WILLIAM
 LUPEZ, JUAN CARLOS
 LOPEZ ACEVEDO, BERNARDO
 LOPEZ DE ORTIZ, CARMEN NOELIA

WF CMS 2/16/13 100-193187
 WF CMT 2/29/47 100-448377
 WM REV 9/ 8/22 100-392623
 WF REV 5/24/47 100-453446
 WM REV 12/23/38 105- 78690
 WM CMT 3/16/49 100-444374
 BM BEX 12/17/44 157- 13660
 WF CMT 11/ 4/50 100-469252
 WM REV 4/ 5/47 100-469436
 WM PRN 5/ 3/51 105-186394
 WM CMT 1/ 9/45 100-469756
 WF CMT 1/ 2/47 100-469755
 OF CMS 11/ 4/20 105- 23063
 BF CMS 3/ 6/54 100-472751
 OM CMS 4/18/15 100-399836
 WM CMS 6/24/52 100-478043
 WM CMT 4/17/49 100-455937
 WF CMS 10/11/05 100-255710
 WM REV 11/28/46 100-457105
 WM REV 3/29/48 100-449781
 WM REV 2/ 7/43 100-449274
 WM PRN 7/ 4/30 105- 98584
 WF CMS 8/ 7/13 101- 1534
 WM CMS 7/ 2/13 61- 8781
 WM CMS 6/25/36 105-206066
 BM BEX 7/11/40 100-447283
 WM REV 9/19/48 100-454022
 WF CMS 11/28/20 100-341952
 BM CMS 1/19/10 100- 108
 WM CMS 8/31/07 61- 7508
 WF CMS 3/31/17 100-427235
 WM CMS 8/30/49 100-445099
 WM REV 5/ 2/30 100-425476
 WM CMS 7/12/21 100-360845
 BM CMS 4/ 3/48 100-469801
 BM CMS 1/ 1/47 100-445443
 WF CMS 10/18/11 100-149294
 WM REV 11/ 7/47 100-448621
 WM CMT 5/24/47 100-453658
 BM BEX 2/21/50 157- 15929
 WF REV 6/ 8/47 105-205908
 BM BEX 4/26/47 157- 22336
 WM CMS 2/ 3/39 100-445017
 WM CMS 10/13/45 100-468502
 WM REV 2/24/48 100-453720
 WM REV 1/22/36 105-131727
 WM CMS 6/ 8/45 100-449497
 WM PRN 8/20/50 105-200457
 WF PRN 11/ 3/48 105-210644

NEWA
 BOSTON
 NEWY
 LOSA
 LOSA
 DENV
 CHIC
 NEWY
 WASH
 SANJ
 LCAS
 LOSA
 NEWY
 WASH
 NEWY
 CHIC
 BALT
 MILW
 SANF
 BCST
 NEWH
 SANJ
 NEWY
 NEWY
 MINN
 SANDU
 NEWY
 INDI
 INDI
 SANF
 SANF
 SANF
 NEWY
 SANF
 WASH
 DETR
 NEWY
 SEAT
 NEWY
 CHAN
 BALT
 HOUS
 LCAS
 PITT
 NCRF
 SANF
 SANF
 SANJ
 NEWY

9/15/75

LOPEZ MONTANEZ, WILFREDO	WM PRN	2/ 3/45	105-227439	SANJ
LOPEZ MONTES, ANGEL RAMON	WM PRN	7/ 2/50	105-199125	SANJ
LUTTS, LEROY, JR.	BM BEX	8/ 2/53	157- 24659	CHIC
LOVEJOY, SAMUEL HOLDEN	WM REV	9/17/46	100-457210	BOST
LUCC CASADO, ANGEL	WM PRN	3/ 1/49	105-215185	SANJ
LUMER, HYMAN	WM CMS	7/ 1/09	100- 71611	NEWY
LUMPKIN, DIANNE CHRISTINA	WF CMS	11/21/49	100-465281	CHIC
LUMPKIN, JOHN ROBERT	BM CMS	7/28/51	100-450305	CHIC
MACHTINGER, HOWARD NORTON	WM REV	4/23/46	100-452919	CHIC
MACKLER, JEFFREY	WM CMT	4/25/40	100-438213	SANF
MAHN, WILLIAM HOLBROOK	WM CMT	10/ 5/46	100-473676	LOSA
MALDONADO CINTRON, PEDRO ANTONIO	WM PRN	12/20/32	105-139261	SANJ
MALECKI, ROBERT ALAN	WM REV	12/27/42	100-456046	CLEV
MALTZMAN, SARA FRANCES	WF CMC	4/21/51	100-467127	PORT
MANGAGANG, BABA JEANNE	WF CMS	9/22/15	100- 42978	SEAT
MAKCHANT, CHARLOTTE E.	WF REV	11/26/46	100-456320	SANF
MARLUS, BRUCE DAVID	WM CMT	3/25/48	100-455176	SAND
MARCUS, PHILIP LEON	WM REV	4/23/42	100-449624	BALT
MARI BRAS, JUAN	WM PRN	12/ 2/27	105- 292	SANJ
MARUMAKI PESQUERA, JUAN RAUL	WM PRN	3/25/55	105-211882	SANJ
MARUMAKMAN, MARVIN JOEL	WM CMS	7/ 1/39	100-429408	NEWY
MARQUES, JOSEPH FRANCIS, III	WM REV	3/29/42	100-479803	WASH
MARQUEZ CASTILLO, ALBERTO LUIS A.	WM PRN	3/16/39	105-137756	SANJ
MARQUIT, ERWIN BUSTER	WM CMS	8/21/26	100-372028	KINN
MARSH, ROBERT L.	WM REV	4/ 1/52	100-481613	CLEV
MARSHALL, JOHN DAVID	WM MSC	8/27/30	100-465574	INDI
MARTIN, KEY LOUIS	WM CMT	4/10/43	100-440651	NEWY
MASON, ILA LUCILLE	BF BEX	2/15/50	157- 20702	NEWY
MASON, JOHN FRANKLIN	BM BEX	11/14/49	157- 25023	DETR
MASON, JOHN LEE	BM BEX	3/ 8/45	157- 9144	NORF
MASSARI, ROBERT ALAN	WM REV	1/21/47	100-466098	PHIL
MASSEY, WILLIAM EVERETT, JR.	WM CMT	9/ 8/34	100-442152	CHIC
MATTHEWS, CONSTANCE EVADINE	BF BEX	8/ 3/42	157- 13656	NEWY
MATTHEWS, DAVID LEE	WM CMC	4/27/43	100-455137	BALT
MATTHEWS, GEORGE LORENZO	BM BEX	5/21/44	157- 23946	JKSJ
MATTHEWS, L. E., JR.	WM MSC	9/13/22	157- 5804	JKSJ
MAYER, PAUL MICHAEL	WM MSC	2/24/31	100-461533	NEWA
MAYFIELD, JAMES JOHN	BM REV	9/10/41	157- 32722	SANF
MAYFIELD, NORMA LEE	BF REV	4/24/39	157- 32742	SANF
HAZELIS, FREDERICK	WM CMT	5/ 4/41	105- 74261	NEWY
MC CRADY, ROBERT	BM CMS	4/ 5/52	100-476153	INDI
MC COLLUGH, CELESTE	WF REV	4/23/47	100-457361	CLEV
MC DERMOTT, MICHAEL FRANCIS	WM CMC	9/ 5/45	100-471255	NEWY
MC EVUOY, MARY MICHAELLEN	WF REV	9/ 9/39	100-471366	MILW
MC HAIN, JEAN CAROL	BF BEX	10/11/46	157- 26561	DETR
MC HAIN, MELVIN, III	BM BEX	10/30/48	157- 26560	DETR
MC PHAUL, ARTHUR CARLBERT	BM CMS	12/14/09	100-335517	DETR
MEANS, RUSSELL CHARLES	OM REV	11/10/39	157- 28313	KINN
MEDINA FUENTES, JOSE NICOLAS	WM PRN	8/18/53	100-479572	SANJ

9/15/75

MEDINA MERCADO, LUIS
 MEGURA, PETER ALEXANDER
 MEISELMAN, MICHAEL FANWICK
 MEISNER, DIXIE BAYO
 MELENDEZ LUGG, HECTOR LUIS
 MELENDEZ PEREZ, GERTRUDIS
 MELENDEZ VELEZ, EDWIN J.
 MENCHINE, CHRIS
 MENDEZ, ABOLIAS
 MENSCH, ELAINE HANNAH
 MERCED ROSA, FLORENCIO
 MERRILL, EDWARD WESLEY
 MERRILL, JEANETTE ANN
 METELITS, MELVIN
 MEYERS, DOUGLAS LANN
 MEYERS, GEORGE ALOYSIUS
 MEYERS, HELEN
 MEYERSON, JOHN EDWARD
 MEYERSON, MICHAEL GENE
 MILES, MATILDA JANE
 MILLER, JOSEPH
 MILLER, LARRY FLOYD
 MILLER, LAURA LEE
 MILLER, SHEKMAN NATHANIEL
 MILLIES, STEPHEN ARTHUR
 MILLINER, KENNETH BRADUS
 MIRELOWITZ, GEOFFREY WALTER
 MITCHELL, CHARLENE ALEXANDER
 MIZE, NANCY JANE
 MONGU, LEONARD
 MONICA, DOUGLAS ROBERT
 MONROE, DIANNE
 MONTEIRO, ANTHONY BARRY
 MOORE, MANUEL LEONARD
 MOORE, MELVIN ANDREW
 MOOKHEAD, LAURA KAY
 MOOKHEAD, MURIEL MONIQUE
 MURKA, DENNIS
 MORALES RODRIGUEZ, GERVASIO, JR.
 MURAN, FRANCINE SHARON
 MURAN, PAUL NORMAN
 MURFORD, RICHARDSON ARDEN
 MORGAN, RICHARD THOMAS
 MORI, MARK WAGGONER
 MORUZE, LEWIS MORRIS
 MORRIS, HOWARD ARTHUR
 MORRISON, WILLIAM FRANKLIN, III
 MUSKOWITZ, LAWRENCE CHARLES
 MUYLEN, MARY ASSUMPTA

WM PRN 11/ 6/49 105-195554
 WM MSC 3/12/52 157- 26864
 WM CMC 8/ 5/46 100-448801
 WF PRN 4/29/40 100-439854
 WM PRN 9/28/53 105-224701
 WF MSC 4/19/16 100-373864
 WM PRN 8/28/51 105-207615
 WM CMC 11/15/50 100-449848
 WM CMT 2/19/52 100-476120
 WF CMS 1/14/24 100-386941
 WM PRN 10/ 6/47 105-165851
 WM CMT 4/ 6/24 100-390296
 WF CMT 11/30/32 100-417953
 WM CMS 8/19/33 100-440165
 WM REV 9/ 2/44 100-480626
 WM CMS 11/ 3/12 100-206800
 WF CMT 3/ 1/45 100-442377
 WM CMS 2/ 8/52 100-460733
 WM CMS 7/ 2/40 100-435008
 WF CMT 9/ 6/43 100-449333
 WM CMS 12/15/11 100-395753
 WM CPC 4/24/47 100-456509
 WF CMT 12/17/51 100-456192
 BM CMC 2/17/51 100-474332
 WM CMT 1/15/54 100-468601
 BM CMT 10/17/49 100-468947
 WM CMT 1/ 7/54 100-459397
 WF CMS 6/ 8/30 100-401066
 WF REV 5/ 2/46 100-475521
 BM BEX 7/16/52 157- 24836
 WM CMC 11/24/50 100-452711
 WF CMC 11/ 1/51 100-470436
 BM CMS 10/31/45 157- 7126
 BM BEX 5/ 2/44 157- 33324
 BM CMS 9/ 2/41 100-477428
 BF CMT 7/15/50 100-469388
 WF MSC 5/24/50 105-253717
 WM CMS 1/ 7/41 100-443836
 WM PRN 7/20/49 105-200453
 WF CMS 4/12/49 100-472489
 WM CMS 9/10/48 100-476371
 WM CMS 6/10/03 100-191710
 WM MSC 1/ 1/40 157- 25549
 WM CMC 9/19/49 100-467587
 WM CMS 12/17/15 100-360271
 WM CMT 1/11/46 100-464745
 WM REV 5/13/50 100-472819
 WM CMS 10/16/47 100-449354
 WF REV 8/15/35 62-114353

SANJ
 NEWH
 BAL
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANF
 NEWY
 NEWY
 NEWY
 BUFF
 BUFF
 PHIL
 DENV
 NEWY
 SEAT
 PHIL
 NEWY
 LOSA
 PHIL
 BAL
 CHIC
 DET
 MIL
 NEWY
 NEWY
 NEWY
 BAL
 SANF
 SANF
 BIRM
 PHIL
 SANF
 CLEV
 LOSA
 LOSA
 NEWY
 SANJ
 BUFF
 BUFF
 NEWY
 SACR
 ATLA
 NEWA
 STLO
 BAL
 BUST
 BAL

9/15/75

MULLEN, CAROLYN JOAN
 MUNAKER, SUSAN PHYLLIS
 MURDUCK, CHURCH ELWOOD, JR.
 MURIENTE PEKEZ, JULIO
 MURPHY, FRED RUSSELL
 MYERS, ELIZABETH CHARLOFF
 NABURS, JIMMY
 NADEK, ALLAN THOMAS
 NAGIN, RICHARD DAVID
 NAZARIO BAEZ, LUIS
 NAZARIO GRILO, NESTOR
 NAZARIO RODRIGUEZ, ADA VIGLETA
 NAZARIO TRABAL, NESTOR RISCOLDO
 NEAS, WILLIAM DALE
 NEIBURGER, COLIN STUART
 NELSON, DAVID WILLIAM
 NELSON, RALPH
 NESBITT, HENRY LEE, JR.
 NEUBURGER, BRUCE ALAN
 NEUFELD, RUSSELL TODD
 NEWCOMB, KENNETH EDWARD
 NEWCOMB, SYLVIA
 NEWMAN, GRACE MORA
 NEWMAN, MORTON
 NEWTON, HUEY PERCY
 NICHAMIN, JULIE ANNE
 NIX, DENNIS WARREN
 NOBLE, CHARLES WOODBRIDGE
 NOLDON, FREDERIC ANTHONY
 NORMAN, ANTHONY
 NORMAN, THOMAS EDWARD, JR.
 NORTH, JOSEPH
 NOVICK, PHILIP
 NUSSBAUM, JACK MICHAEL
 NYBERG, DENNISON PEER
 NYBERG, TERESA KAY
 OBERMAN, ALAN JAY
 OCHS, RICHARD JOHN
 OJEDA, NORA ELIZABETH
 OJEDA KEYES, FELIX
 O NEAL, FELIX LINDSEY
 O NEAL, RICHARD KENNETH
 O REILLY, SEAN MICHAEL
 ORTIZ, BARBARA JOYCE
 ORTIZ ABREAU DE BAERGA, CARMEN E.
 OTTO, ELDA LILLIAN
 PADILLA RODRIGUEZ, HUMBERTO
 PAGAN HERNANDEZ, HUMBERTO
 PARALITICCI RIVERA, CARLOS MANUEL

WF CMS 1/17/42 100-443935
 WF KEV 5/ 5/39 100-449225
 WM MSC 10/26/25 157- 24889
 WM PRN 6/19/51 105-190637
 WM CMT 6/ 7/50 100-458914
 WF CMT 12/24/43 100-439952
 BM BEX 7/ 9/40 157- 9481
 WM CMT 5/ 4/45 100-452383
 WM CMS 9/16/41 100-466253
 WM PRN 6/ 1/25 105-147435
 WM PRN 3/15/24 105-114389
 WF PRN 11/14/40 105-213218
 WM PRN 11/25/49 105-165530
 WM CMC 11/21/47 100-451549
 WM REV 12/ 4/49 100-454935
 WM CMT 4/ 8/51 100-476995
 WM CMS 11/27/08 100-299230
 BM BEX 1/14/52 157- 23356
 WM CMC 5/ 7/47 105-195946
 WM REV 9/22/47 100-450475
 WM CMS 11/ 8/41 100-447977
 WF CMS 7/27/42 100-437909
 WF CMS 1/ 6/25 100-446844
 WM CMC 5/10/42 105-149323
 BM BEX 2/17/42 105-165429
 WF REV 11/ 8/42 100-452121
 WM MSC 11/12/45 157- 25530
 WM CMC 5/19/47 100-467008
 BM BEX 11/21/46 157- 15859
 BM BEX 11/22/49 157- 17089
 BM BEX 8/21/44 157- 16501
 WM CMS 5/25/04 100- 61835
 WM CMS 6/20/11 100-274544
 WM CMC 6/27/47 100-451937
 WM REV 9/22/43 100-452358
 WF REV 11/ 7/44 100-459172
 WM REV 5/16/48 100-458430
 WM CMC 6/ 9/38 100-449376
 WF CMS 2/18/44 100-440593
 WM PRN 2/ 3/41 105- 90964
 BM BEX 7/27/40 157- 12363
 BM BEX 5/ 1/49 157- 20928
 WM REV 5/29/52 100-473961
 WF CMC 6/ 9/49 105-239005
 WF PRN 10/12/46 105-211128
 WF CMS 2/13/24 100-426240
 WM PRN 4/ 6/41 105-246398
 WM PRN 4/ 7/51 105-196075
 WM PRN 12/30/11 105- 33260

SANF
 BUFF
 MCBI
 SANJ
 STLC
 NEWY
 ATLA
 BALT
 CLEV
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANJ
 MILW
 CINC
 BGST
 PCRT
 SPRI
 SANF
 NEWY
 NEWY
 NEWY
 NEWY
 SANF
 SANF
 NEWY
 PHIL
 SANF
 SANF
 DETP
 JKSN
 NEWY
 PHIL
 CINC
 MINN
 MINN
 PITT
 BALT
 NEWY
 NEWY
 KANS
 SANF
 PORT
 LOSA
 SANJ
 SEAT
 SANJ
 SANJ
 SANJ

9/15/75

PARAS, MELINDA LINDSEY	WF REV	9/26/53	100-457989	SANF
PARASCONOOLA, JANET BARBARA	WF REV	5/ 6/48	100-453986	NEWY
PARNER, GEORGE CLEVESTER	BM REV	4/14/48	100-471296	WASH
PARRILLA CRUZ, JOSE JOAQUIN	WM PRN	4/30/40	105-194860	SANJ
PATRINGS, SONORA	WF CMS	2/13/41	100-423949	PHIL
PATTERSON, DELORES JANE	BF BEX	5/ 5/41	157- 14681	SANF
PATTERSON, WILLIAM LORENZO	BM CMS	8/27/91	100- 39	NEWY
PEARCE, MALLORY Y.	WM CMS	4/ 6/35	100-440544	LOSA
PEARL, DEWEK PERCY	WM REV	7/ 3/37	100-456640	NEWY
PEARSON, KATHRYNN ELIZABETH	WF CMS	5/31/43	100-441359	CHIC
PEARSON, THEODORE	WM CMS	7/22/42	100-440201	CHIC
PEERY, HARRIET AMELIA	WF CMC	10/24/27	100-433708	CHIC
PEERY, NELSON DONALD	BM CMC	6/22/23	100-351898	CHIC
PEPENDAS, MICHAEL VINCENT	WM CMT	3/16/45	100-463531	LOSA
PEPPER, DAVID STEVEN	WM REV	12/23/37	100-468673	NEWY
PEREZ, DAVID	WM PRN	4/10/50	105-202524	NEWY
PEREZ, ROBERT, JR.	WM MSC	4/18/42	100-458051	SANA
PEREZ, SAMUEL	WM CMS	2/ 2/49	100-451589	NEWY
PEREZ LOLON, MANUEL ANTONIO	WM PRN	9/29/52	105-206236	NEWY
PEREZ FIRMAT, JOSE GILBERTO	WM CMT	6/ 6/51	134- 20135	NEWY
PEREZ GANDIA, FRANCISCO	WM PRN	6/19/43	105-190854	SANJ
PEREZ PEREZ, ALBERTO	WM PRN	10/24/45	105-134365	SANJ
PERL, WILLIAM R.	WM MSC	9/21/06	105-214285	BALT
PERLO, ARTHUR JOSEPH	WM CMS	11/ 2/47	100-447272	PORT
PERLO, VICTOR	WM CMS	5/16/12	100-362128	NEWY
PERNA, KITA ANNE	WF CMS	11/27/41	100-430057	PHIL
PERSKY, JACOB JOSEPH	WM REV	5/18/49	100-459375	CLEV
PESNER, MARK JOEL	WM CMC	3/26/54	100-478273	BUFF
PETITE, RONALD DANIEL	WM REV	9/27/33	157- 30406	MINN
PETRIN, CHARLES WHITMAN	WM CMT	6/25/52	100-463425	BOST
PETTY, SAMUEL LEE	EM BEX	5/14/48	157- 11158	ALBA
PFEFFER, RICHARD MONROE	WM CMC	12/ 7/36	100-462047	SALT
PHILLIPS, ANNE ELLEN	WF CMS	7/ 6/45	100-467005	PHIL
PIERCE, WILLIAM LUTHER, DR.	WM MSC	9/11/33	157- 6353	ALEX
PINDERHUGHES, CHARLES ALFRED, JR.	EM BEX	1/12/47	157- 15995	BALT
PINTADO BURGOS, WILLIAM	WM PRN	4/26/45	105-139612	SANJ
PITTMAN, JOHN ORION	BM CMS	9/17/05	100- 30067	NEWY
PIZARRO CLAUDIO, DORIS SYLVIA	BF PRN	12/31/49	105-206777	SANJ
PLANK, FREDERICK DE LA ROSA	WM MSC	4/ 8/52	105-212099	LOSA
PLANO FAGUNDO, AUGUSTO CARLOS	WM PRN	9/10/38	105-147848	SANJ
POINDEXTER, DAVID RUDOLPH, JR.	BM CMS	12/14/33	100-423639	MIAM
POLLAK, RICHARD DAVID	WM REV	5/28/40	100-479293	NEWY
PULLOCK, BRUCE KENNETH	WM CMC	3/19/46	100-467891	SANF
POTASH, IRVING	WM CMS	12/15/02	62- 21381	NEWY
POWELL, JEFFREY DAVID	WM REV	9/23/50	100-455228	CLEV
POWELL, LARRY CORNELL	BM BEX	10/ 8/51	157- 15266	DETR
POWER, KATHERINE ANN	WF REV	1/25/49	100-461135	BOST
POWERS, JOHN EDWARD, JR.	WM CMT	10/31/43	100-447216	SANF
POWLESS, HERBERT GEORGE	WM MSC	2/22/37	157- 28724	MILW

9/15/75

PRATT, ELMER GERARD	BM BEX 9/13/47	157- 12311	LOSA
PRENTICE, PHYLLIS ELIZABETH	WF REV 5/13/49	100-471706	NEWY
PRICE, JACKIE TEVIS	BM REV 10/ 3/48	100-471198	HOUS
PRICE, PHILLIP JAKE	BM REV 6/19/46	105-204255	SANF
PRINCE, DAVID ALAN	WM CMT 3/ 7/43	100-438834	LOSA
PROCTOR, RUSCOE QUINCY	WM CMS 2/22/21	100-282013	NEWY
PROEMMEL, ERNEST RUDERICH, III	WM REV 7/ 9/53	100-454385	SPRI
PUGH, GARY FREDERICK	WM REV 12/28/45	100-452866	NEWY
PULLEY, ANDREW CLEVE	BM CMT 5/ 5/51	100-454619	CHIC
QUIJANO, FERNANDO	WM REV 7/28/47	100-451291	NEWY
KABELL MARTINEZ, NARCISO ANDRES	WM PRN 8/18/34	105- 83053	SANJ
KAISNER, CHRISTIAN LEE	WM REV 7/31/40	105-122483	CHIC
KAMIREZ, ROY BROWN	WM PRN 7/19/45	105-210941	SANJ
KAMIKEZ RODRIGUEZ, ADELINA	WF PRN 11/ 8/49	105-201263	SANJ
KAMUS, AVELINO	WM CMS 4/12/34	100-445222	SANF
KAMUS COLON, DELFIN	WM PRN 8/18/26	105-142945	SANJ
KAMUS RODRIGUEZ, ROBERTO	WM PRN 3/22/48	105-167009	SANJ
KAMSEY, DAVID THOMAS	WM CMC 3/24/41	100-467267	BOST
KAND, ESTHER TREBACH	WF CMS 4/18/07	100-347577	NEWY
KANDLE, BRIDGES	BM REV 2/ 7/46	100-448719	SANF
RANKIN, CHARLES WESLEY, JR.	WM REV 1/15/49	100-464537	NEWY
RANSON, JOHN SWIFT	WM CMC 2/ 6/54	100-466565	SANF
KAPUPUKT, ISAAC MORDECAI	WM CMS 11/ 1/93	100- 20208	SANF
RASKIN, ELEANOR E.	WF REV 3/16/46	100-453280	NEWY
RASKIN, JONAH SETH	WM REV 1/ 4/42	100-456481	NEWY
RASHUSSEN, STEVEN CLARENCE	WM CMS 7/ 6/52	100-482902	PHIL
KAUCH, CURTIS	BM BEX 8/28/47	157- 20520	PHIL
RAZAVI, JAHANGIN	WM REV 3/ 8/36	100-447334	SANF
KEAVIS, REBECCA ADOLPHINA BRENNER	WF REV 12/28/44	100-450671	HOUS
KEED, CAROLE	WF CMT 4/ 2/45	100-444715	SANF
KEED, GAIL ANN	WF REV 5/ 7/48	100-454442	NEWY
KEEVES, JAMES HERBERT	WM REV 3/29/47	100-456671	BOST
KEICH, THEODORE CARL	WM CMS 6/ 1/42	100-438505	NEWY
KEILLY, BARBARA	WF REV 4/25/37	105-186685	NEWY
KEINSTEN, CARL	WM CMS 4/21/17	100-356992	DETR
KEISSNER, WENDY ANNE	WF CMT 1/ 6/45	100-444215	LOSA
KENTAS RODRIGUEZ, JENARO	WM PRN 4/ 4/37	105- 98582	SANJ
REYES SUTO, JUAN ANTOLIN	WM PRN 11/23/36	100-467361	SANJ
REYNOLDS, JAMES CHARLES	WM CMC 8/24/50	100-464511	HOUS
RHODES, ROBERT NORMAN	BM CMS 6/ 6/32	100-459544	CHIC
RICE, ETHEL JEANN PATTERSON	BF CMS 7/18/49	100-478558	SPRI
RICHARDSON, CALVIN BUFFALO GEORG	BM CMS 8/ 5/49	157- 21679	NEWY
RICKS, WILLIE FLOYD	BM BEX 2/18/43	100-447847	ATLA
RICO, DAVID	WM MSC 11/19/46	105-209283	SAND
RILFE, MARTHA SUSAN	WF REV 5/16/49	100-461434	BOST
RILEY, ANITKA	BF REV 2/ 9/44	100-466939	DETR
RILEY, WALTER PHILLIP	BM REV 5/ 1/44	100-448456	DETR
KING, HARRY	WM CMT 3/23/18	100-341879	LOSA
RISTORUCCI, CARMEN MARIA	WF CMS 7/27/45	100-443931	NEWY

9/15/75

RISTORUCCI, DONNA RUTH	WF CME	9/13/47	100-447060	NEWY
RISTORUCCI, JOSE ANTONIO	WM CMS	7/24/43	100-443768	NEWY
RISTORUCCI, ROQUE, JR.	WM CMS	2/25/45	100-443785	NEWY
RIVERA, EDWIN	WM REV	5/26/52	100-481507	CHIC
RIVERA BURGOS, LUDMILIA	WF PRN	8/31/35	100-478530	SANJ
RIVERA CABRERA, IVAN	WM PRN	2/22/47	105-171077	SANJ
RIVERA FIGUEROA, ANTONIO ANIBAL	WM PRN	3/23/46	105-149778	SANJ
RIVERA LOPEZ, ISRAEL	WM PRN	10/21/51	105-217775	SANJ
RIVERA LUGO, CARLOS MANUEL	WM PRN	6/30/48	105-269026	SANJ
RIVERA MONTERO, FLAVIA	BF PRN	11/4/48	100-455118	SANJ
RIVERA RIOS, RODOLFO	WM PRN	5/2/43	105-166773	NEWY
RIVERA RIVERA, FRANKLIN VICENTE	WM PRN	11/28/49	105-247949	SANJ
RIVERA SANTANA, JOSE ESTEBAN	WM PRN	9/1/55	105-252770	SANJ
ROBBINS, TERRY FRANKLIN	WM REV	10/4/47	105-167937	CHIC
ROBERTSON, JAMES MATTHEW	WM CMT	11/28/28	100-360207	NEWY
ROBINSON, DOROTHY WARD	BF CMS	10/13/17	100-369020	NEWY
ROBINSON, IDA PATRICE	BF BEX	2/11/51	157-24421	SANF
ROBINSON, MARK ALLEN	WM REV	10/1/52	100-466382	TAMP
RODRIGUEZ, ENRIQUE LEAL	CM MSC	10/29/54	157-31777	SANF
RODRIGUEZ, JAMES HERNANDEZ	WM MSC	3/5/45	105-212093	LOSA
RODRIGUEZ, OLGA LIZA	WF CMT	2/13/48	100-460714	NEWY
RODRIGUEZ MULLERO, CRUZ DEL CARMEN	WM PRN	7/16/30	105-170865	SANJ
RODRIGUEZ QUINONES, ANGEL EMILIO	WM PRN	1/7/52	105-198859	SANJ
RODRIGUEZ QUINONES, RENE	WM PRN	1/22/46	105-203012	SANJ
RODRIGUEZ SANTIAGO, JOSE NEFTALI	WM PRN	5/13/21	105-82059	SANJ
ROGERS, HAROLD STEVEN	BM CMS	12/25/42	100-470400	CHIC
ROGERS, JOSEPH ABBOTT	WM CMS	1/29/52	100-466692	NEWY
ROLLINS, JAMES HENRY	BM BEX	12/18/40	62-112088	STLO
ROLON, REYNOLDS LOPEZ	WM MSC	10/18/49	105-212096	LOSA
ROLON, SAMUEL	WM MSC	8/21/48	105-219303	LOSA
ROMERO DE HERNANDEZ, LUISA ANTONIA	WF PRN	11/22/47	105-205752	SANJ
ROMERO PIZARRO, OSVALDO	BM PRN	8/10/47	105-213720	SANJ
ROSE, GERALD MARK	WM REV	6/12/48	100-454158	CHIC
ROSE, MARGARET PEACOCK	WF CMT	2/25/50	100-454099	ATLA
ROSEN, HELEN LEONIE	BF CMC	10/25/50	100-477127	MILW
ROSEN, MICHAEL DAVID	WM CMC	3/8/48	100-452730	MILW
ROSEN, MILTON KLAFF	WM REV	5/20/26	100-408985	NEWY
ROSEN, PAULINE	WF CMS	1/4/94	100-56026	NEWY
ROSENSTEIN, NATALEE ANN	WF REV	6/17/47	100-456311	NEWY
RUSS, LAURA	WF CMS	5/28/13	100-420467	POST
ROTH, ROBERT HENRY	WM REV	3/3/50	100-451373	CHIC
RUTHMAN, WILLIAM RUSSELL	WM REV	11/30/41	100-448940	CHIC
RUBEL, DANIEL	WM CMS	10/25/05	100-410492	NEWY
RUBIN, IRVING SEYMOUR	WM MSC	4/12/45	105-219329	LOSA
RUBIN, MORTIMER DANIEL	WM CMS	8/6/31	65-60544	NEWY
RUDOLPH, MARK WILLIAM	WM REV	6/2/47	105-178669	CHIC
RUIZ DAVILA, EDMUNDO	WM MSC	3/13/47	105-207733	SANF
RUSSELL, JUDITH BARBARA	WF REV	10/9/46	100-444298	SANF
RUZ ULIVERA, LIBRADO	WM PRN	3/20/28	105-213856	SANJ

9/15/75

SAFFOLD, LULA	BF CMS	10/11/09	100-399598	CHIC
SALAK, JARGSLAW	WM REV	2/ 9/41	100-464772	PITT
SALAK, KATHLEEN ANN	WF REV	6/28/49	100-461449	PITT
SALISBURY, WILBERT ALLEN	BM MSC	2/ 2/49	157- 17811	NEWY
SAMETH, JULIE MARIA	WF CMC	4/ 9/48	157- 32746	SANF
SAMPSON, PAUL RAYMOND	WM CMC	9/25/53	100-462408	SANF
SAN, CAROL BETH	WF CMT	4/30/55	100-480784	SAND
SANTANA RENDA, PEDRO	WM PRN	8/ 3/32	105- 81636	SANJU
SANTOS VELAZQUEZ, NELSON	BM PRN	5/16/42	105-237814	SANJ
SARGENT, WILLIAM FREDERICK	OM MSC	8/17/29	157- 28842	CHAR
SAVOLA, ARVO MATTIAS	WM CMS	6/13/08	100- 21613	MINN
SAWICKY, MAX BEN	WM REV	11/13/49	100-462462	NEWA
SAXL, SUSAN EDITH	WF REV	1/18/49	100-461112	BCST
SCHAFFNER, JAY HOWARD	WM CMS	2/26/52	100-451865	NEWY
SCHARRET, THOMAS CHARLES	WM CMT	11/23/51	100-457839	LOSA
SCHERER, CHARLES ELLIOT	WM CMT	7/ 3/14	100-335643	MINN
SCHICKEL, LEO FRANCIS	WM REV	2/ 8/48	100-457832	SANF
SCHMERLER, SAMUEL	WM CMS	10/ 7/12	100- 4820	BALT
SCHMITT, WILHELM ERNEST	WM MSC	6/19/29	157- 390	CHIC
SCHMUGER, STEVEN ROBERT	WM CMT	3/20/48	100-469326	LOSA
SCHNEIDER, MARK ROBERT	WM CMT	3/ 4/48	100-467697	SAND
SCHNELLER, DEBORAH WILMA	WF REV	4/21/53	100-466711	SANF
SCHUCK, JOSEPH EDWIN	WM REV	12/29/47	105-200727	BUTT
SCHOKR, DANA LESLIE	WM REV	6/ 7/52	100-464689	LOSA
SCHORR, SAMUEL R.	WM MSC	8/24/47	100-468432	CHIC
SCHULMAN, SAUL JOSEPH	WM CMS	12/ 9/39	100-471792	NEWY
SCHUSTACK, DANIEL FREDERICK	WM FRN	4/22/45	105-204720	NEWY
SCHWARTZ, JEFFREY CLEMENTS	WM CMS	2/14/52	100-458764	NEWY
SCHWARTZ, MEREDITH JANE	WF CMC	9/18/42	100-453745	CHIC
SCHWARTZ, LORYA	WF CMS	9/ 6/26	100-429249	NEWY
SCHNAKZ, ROBERT STAULER	WM CMT	3/ 4/45	105-152853	MILW
SCOTT, JEROME WERTH	BM CMC	11/30/45	157- 22440	DETR
SCOTT, RUBIN BORIS	BM BEX	9/ 1/51	157- 16037	SANF
SCOTT, WILLIAM RUDOLPH	BM CMS	9/22/26	100-406734	NEWY
SEAL, KATHERINE ROSE	WF CMC	11/ 2/47	100-469896	LOSA
SEALE, BOBBY GEORGE	BM BEX	10/22/36	105-137683	SANF
SEALE, JOHN HENRY	BM BEX	9/28/39	157- 12487	SANF
SEARCY, WALTER THOMAS, III	BM REV	8/22/47	105-238465	MEMP
SEEVERS, ERNEST LEE	WM REV	7/16/51	100-472595	CINC
SEIDMAN, CAROLE ANN	WF CMT	4/14/46	100-446420	SANF
SEIGLE, LARRY	WM CMT	3/20/45	105- 96602	NEWY
SELEY, SUSAN LYNN	WF REV	10/18/51	100-457980	SANF
SELLAS MENDEZ, ALEJANDRO	WM PRN	2/26/27	105-127555	SANJ
SELLERS, CLEVELAND LOUIS, JR.	BM BEX	11/ 8/44	105-169467	CHAR
SELIZER, MOSES	WM CMS	7/ 4/13	100-334527	NEWY
SHANNON, RANDOLPH WITHERS, III	WM CMS	11/22/46	100-449058	MEMP
SHEA, JAMES MARVIN, JR.	WM REV	7/ 6/38	100-455660	ALEX
SHELTON, DALE HENRY	BM BEX	10/ 4/45	157- 13170	PITT
SHEPPARD, BARRY FORD	WM CMT	10/16/37	100-430212	LOSA

9/15/75

SHERMAN, LAURENCE RICHARD	WM REV	1/ 2/43	100-478304	BOST
SHIELDS, THOMAS ARTHUR	WM CMS	10/31/88	100- 6906	NEWY
SHILLINGFORD, DENIS PAUL	BM BEX	2/28/49	157- 23851	JKSN
SHILMAN, KENNETH MARTIN	WM CMT	8/16/42	100-439355	SEAT
SHINDLER, LEONARD ROBERT	WM REV	8/11/50	100-469694	BALT
SHUCH, JAMES ELLIS	WM CMC	6/21/47	100-455174	SANF
SHUBERT, CRAIG ARTHUR	WM CMC	12/ 4/49	100-463527	SANF
SIESENNUP, JAMES LEE	WM CMS	7/11/45	100-450297	MILW
SIGNORELLI, PETER ALLEN	WM REV	12/13/41	100-444301	DETR
SILVERBERG, HOWARD BERNARD	WM CMS	4/30/17	100-335701	BALT
SILVERBERG, REGINA HILLEBRAND	WF CMS	3/ 1/09	100-101161	BALT
SILVERSTEIN, EVELYN	WF CMS	2/26/27	100-282641	MILW
SILVERSTEIN, TED DAVID	WM CMS	12/18/29	100-361550	MILW
SIMON, J. C.	BM BEX	5/ 8/48	157- 31558	SANF
SILVERSTONE, ALLEN EDGAR	WM CMS	9/30/42	100-439912	BCST
SIMMONS, BENJAMIN FRANKLIN	BM BEX	9/11/50	157- 20833	LOUI
SIMMONS, RICHARD LEE, JR.	BM CMS	8/ 8/49	100-466490	BIRM
SIMPSON, CRAIG GORDON	WM REV	8/ 2/51	100-472199	WASH
SIMPSON, DAVID SAMUEL, III	WM CMC	5/13/45	100-448595	ATLA
SIMPSON, ERIC MARKHAM	WM CMT	5/15/55	100-479172	BALT
SIMPSON, LYNN BARBARA	WF CMC	1/28/49	105-178431	DETR
SINGER, CHRISTINE LINDA	WF CMT	3/11/43	100-454934	LGSA
SINGER, STUART PERRY	WM CMT	10/23/46	100-452294	HOUS
SINGER, TOBA LEAH	WF CMT	6/27/48	100-454849	WASH
SINGH, GEORGE ERNEST	WM REV	3/14/44	100-456949	SANF
SINGLEH, MELISSA JEAN	WF CMT	6/21/42	100-437460	CLEV
SKARDIS, JOHN RYTIS	WM REV	11/10/50	105-201155	NEWY
SLUBOWSKI, HENRY JAMES	WM CMT	5/19/51	100-478999	INDI
SMITH, BETTY MAE	WF CMS	12/14/26	100-358851	NEWY
SMITH, CHRISTOPHER MC LEAN	WM MSC	10/ 6/53	100-464472	PCRT
SMITH, EDWARD CHARLES	BM BEX	8/28/51	157- 11422	GMAN
SMITH, GLORIA JEWELL	BF BEX	4/16/47	157- 19770	SANF
SMITH, JUDITH DARYL	BF CMS	6/24/51	157- 26921	LOUI
SMITH, KELLY LYNNE	WF CMS	5/19/43	100-435328	DETR
SMITH, MARGUERITE ROSE	WF REV	2/26/47	100-455583	BOST
SMITH, ROBERT EARLY	BM BEX	8/18/47	157- 19548	DETR
SMITH, ROBERT HENRY	BM BEX	1/ 9/30	100-443314	NEWY
SMITH, ROBERTA BRENT	WF REV	11/26/44	100-453463	CLEV
SMITH, RONALD JOSEPH	BM BEX	1/18/47	157- 22042	DETR
SMITH, STEPHEN SAMUEL	WM CMC	7/31/42	105-155620	DETR
SNYDER, LAWRENCE FREDERICK	WM CMC	4/24/48	100-456655	SANF
SOREK, JAMES MARC	WM REV	12/17/47	100-449345	BCST
SUKLOW, JEFFREY DAVID	WM REV	4/ 5/48	100-451426	SANF
SULER DE LEON, LUIS	WM PRN	11/26/48	105-247441	SANJ
SULER DEL VALLE, JOSE ANTONIO	WM PRN	6/ 1/45	105-215239	SANJ
SOLTERO RAMIREZ, JOSE MILTON, DR.	WM PRN	7/25/30	105-143024	SANJ
SURKO, JULIANA FRANCES	WF CMS	4/16/44	105-204392	SANF
SURSA, DONALD EDWARD	WM CMT	4/ 3/51	100-457920	SAND
SUTO, THOMAS PATRICK	WM CMT	12/ 2/43	100-468679	NEWY

9/15/75

SUTU FALCON, RUBEN
 SUTU SLIG, ARMANDO
 SWEKWHINE, MARTHA KATHRYN
 SPANNAUS, EDWARD WISE
 SPANNAUS, NANCY
 SPARKS, LOUIS
 SPARROW, MARVIN RAY
 SPARRON, RAYMOND
 SPECTOR, ALAN JAY
 SPECTOR, DANIEL
 SPIEGEL, JACK DROBNY
 SPIEGEL, MICHAEL LOUIS
 SPIELMAN, JANE
 STALLING, CHARLES ALLEN
 STANFORD, JOHN W., JR.
 STANFORD, MAXWELL CURTIS, JR.
 STANG, DONALD JAY
 STANG, LAURA JANE
 STANTON, ARNOLD JOSEPH
 STANTON, DAVID HERWIN
 STANTON, FREDERICK WILLIAM
 STANTON, LLONA MARY
 STAPP, ANDREW DEAN
 STAR, JESSICA ROSE
 STELL, JAMES GEORGE, JR.
 STEIN, BARRY PHILIP
 STEIN, MEYER JACOB
 STEINBERG, MARSHA RHODA
 STEINBERG, OLIVER WILLIAM
 STEVENS, CHARLES BARTHOLOMEW
 STEVENS, JEANNE MARIE
 STEVENS, JOSE ANTONIO
 STEVENS, VICTORIA EDITH
 STONE, MARTHA SUZANNE
 STONE, MICHELE ALLISON
 STOREY, RASHEED LENOIR
 STYRON, CHARLES SHERIDAN
 STYRON, MARY ALICE
 SULEIMAN, FIHME MUSSEIN
 SULLIVAN, DONALD FRANCIS
 SUTHERLAND, MILFORD ADOLF
 SUTTON, CHARLES MORRIS
 SWINTON, PATRICIA ELIZABETH
 TABER, MICHAEL SHIELD
 TABOR, MICHAEL A.
 TALBOT, KAREN ASTRID
 TALBUTT, JOHN HENRY
 TALIAFERRO, EDWIN FINLEY
 TARLAU, JAMES JONATHAN

WM PRN 7/ 8/48 105-194828
 WM PRN 9/24/43 105-187313
 WF REV 12/ 2/49 100-468729
 WM REV 4/ 3/43 100-453013
 WF REV 12/13/43 105-267966
 BM BEX 12/13/45 157- 24606
 WM REV 5/ 4/46 100-452639
 WM CMT 12/27/14 100- 80984
 WM REV 11/17/45 100-451466
 WM CMS 7/27/53 100-467409
 WM CMS 2/24/05 100- 18631
 WM REV 8/16/46 100-447957
 WF REV 2/21/47 100-455472
 BM BEX 10/23/52 157- 23156
 WM CMS 10/ 5/24 100-347401
 BM BEX 7/31/41 105-132791
 WM REV 8/ 2/43 100-458013
 WF REV 4/16/45 100-456796
 WM CMS 6/10/48 100-455624
 WM CMT 5/31/45 100-470198
 WM CMT 1/30/43 100-445320
 WF CMT 5/ 3/45 100-450166
 WM CMT 3/25/44 100-447382
 WF CMT 4/ 1/46 100-466489
 BM CMS 12/15/46 157- 12016
 WM REV 4/19/48 100-458184
 WM CMS 6/23/35 100-425358
 WF REV 8/22/42 100-450817
 WM MSC 4/24/50 100-466843
 WM REV 8/ 8/47 100-453717
 WF CMT 8/ 2/50 100-472424
 BM CMS 9/ 3/45 100-445186
 BF CMS 6/ 5/49 100-459040
 WF REV 9/ 2/39 100-435786
 WF CMS 6/25/42 100-430555
 BM CMS 2/21/36 100-435494
 WM CMT 5/17/41 100-441795
 WF CMT 1/12/42 100-441796
 WM MSC 4/17/36 105-219337
 WM CMS 11/30/41 100-457809
 WM CMS 12/ 6/14 100-187886
 BM BEX 11/21/47 157- 9369
 WF REV 5/13/41 100-468619
 WM CMT 1/ 1/54 100-475612
 BM BEX 12/13/44 157- 12680
 WF CMS 8/ 6/34 100-404588
 WM CMS 7/ 7/36 100-457528
 BM BEX 8/ 2/47 157- 16650
 WM CMS 5/23/48 100-449269

SANJ
 SANJ
 DETR
 NEWY
 NEWY
 PHIL
 CHAR
 SANF
 CHIC
 NEWY
 CHIC
 CHIC
 NEWY
 JKSN
 SANA
 BCST
 SANF
 BCST
 CLEV
 BUFF
 PITTA
 NEWY
 NEWY
 SAND
 NEWY
 ALBA
 NEWY
 BCST
 MILW
 NEWY
 MINN
 NEWY
 NEWY
 CHIC
 DETR
 PITT
 NEWY
 NEWY
 SANJ
 BUFF
 SEAT
 NEWA
 ALBA
 CHIC
 NEWY
 SANF
 NEWY
 DETR
 PHIL

9/15/75.

TAUBE, TERRY JON	WM REV	11/14/52	100-466219	SANF
TAYLOR, HAROLD	BM BEX	12/22/48	157- 14007	LOSA
TAYLOR, OLIVER KENT	BM BEX	4/ 3/48	157- 21035	DETR
TAYLOR, SIDNEY	WM CMS	5/ 2/16	100- 52442	NEWY
TAYLOR, WILLIAM CUTTLE	BM CMS	2/ 4/10	100- 25256	LOSA
TEAGUE, WALTER DORWIN, III	WM REV	1/12/36	100-445230	NEWY
TEIXEIRA, EDWARD SILVA	BM CMS	2/28/32	100-394239	BCST
THOMAS, ANTHONY MARQUETTE	BM CMT	3/29/47	100-447433	NEWY
THRIFT, JESSIE LEWIS	WM MSC	8/ 7/34	157- 25289	MOBI
TILLMAN, JOHN PEARL	BM BEX	10/10/44	105-169991	ATLA
TILLON, HELEN KAY	WF CMS	12/16/42	100-446762	PITT
TILLOW, WALTER MORRIS	WM CMS	1/12/40	100-439928	PITT
TIAPSON, ANNE BURLAK	WF CMS	5/24/11	100- 34	BCST
TODD PAGON, ROBERTO JOSE	WM PRN	4/16/45	105-146828	SANJ
TOMASSINI RAMIREZ, CARLOS ANTONIO	WM PRN	9/10/51	105-200451	SANJ
TUPPER, HELEN SELHORST	WF CMC	8/ 5/48	100-453485	BALT
TUPPER, HENRY CHARLES	WM CMC	7/18/45	100-450163	BALT
TORO GONZALEZ, HECTOR LUIS	BM PRN	3/13/43	105-169201	SANJ
TORRES GONZALEZ, REINALDO	WM PRN	2/10/46	105-213673	SANJ
TORRES SANTIAGO, OSVALDO	WM PRN	2/ 5/42	105-212578	SANJ
TORREZ, LORENZO	WM CMS	5/18/27	100-399140	LOSA
TUCKER, HENRY EARL, JR.	BM BEX	8/31/49	157- 22298	BCST
TULLER, BRYCE MATTHEW	WM REV	1/16/53	100-474278	ALEX
TULLER, CHARLES ANDREW	WM REV	11/ 5/23	100-474276	ALEX
TULLER, JONATHAN RUSS	WM REV	9/ 4/54	100-474279	ALEX
TUSSEY, JENNIE	WF CMT	3/ 9/18	100-211207	CLEV
TWO ELK, AARON EDISON, JR.	WM NSC	5/25/40	157- 30434	UMAH
TYNER, JARVIS, JR.	BM CMS	7/11/41	100-439993	NEWY
TYNER, LEITH PATRICIA	BF CMS	4/ 6/45	100-472795	NEWY
TYSON, MICHAEL	WM CMS	8/ 3/08	100- 1543	PHIL
UGULINI, MARK L.	WM CMT	4/21/49	100-460252	KASH
ULAN, CLIVE ANTHONY	BM CMS	2/25/46	157- 13505	PHIL
UNGER, NICHOLAS SAMUEL	WM CMC	2/ 1/45	100-450493	NEWY
VALDES NUNO, EUSEBIO	WM FRA	8/14/15	105-125190	NEWY
VAN LEWIS, RUSSELL LANCE	BM CMS	12/ 3/50	100-461001	SANF
VAN LYDEGRAF, CLAYTON	WM REV	5/ 6/15	100- 47617	SANF
VAN MAKISSING, PETRUS JOHANNES	WM PRN	7/ 8/35	100-480912	SANJ
VANNADO, EUGENE LATRELL	BM BEX	2/25/48	157- 26034	CHIC
VAUGHN, ORLANDO BRIAN	BM BEX	11/27/44	157- 15723	SANF
VEGA FIGUEROA, DOMINGO ROBUSTIANO	WM PRN	5/24/18	105-104619	SANJ
VELASQUEZ MELENDEZ, FIRMO	WM PRN	6/ 1/41	105-194100	SANJ
VLLAZQUEZ LUYANDA, JOSE EMILIANO	WM PRN	6/13/52	105-221030	NEWY
VENEZIALE, JOHN ANTHONY	WM CMC	12/25/41	105-169896	CHIC
VICKERS, ROBERT FITZGERALD	BM BEX	11/17/49	157- 25051	NEWA
VITO, JOHN D.	WM REV	4/11/20	100-474699	PHIL
VIVES VAZQUEZ, JULIO	WM PRN	10/26/22	105- 92333	SANJ
VLASITS, GEORGE MARTIN	WM REV	9/ 3/42	100-449194	BALT
VLASITS, WILMA ANTOINETTE	WF CMC	5/21/45	100-458193	CALT
WACHTER, SAUL	WM CMS	10/ 9/17	100- 53382	SANF

9/15/75

WAKEFIELD, DAVID RAY
 WALKER, BARBARA FELICE
 WALKER, HERSCHEL JAMES EARNEST
 WALKER, JONATHAN ELLSWORTH
 WALKER, JORI LYNN
 WAR, BONNETT, JAMES FREDERICK
 WARD, SUSAN JANE
 WASHINGTON, ALBERT JAMES
 WASHINGTON, DARLENE LUCILLE
 WASHINGTON, JOHN WILLIAM
 WATERHOUSE, MARIANN EXIA
 WATSON, MARLA ELAINE
 WATTENMAKER, STEVEN
 WAXMAN, ELLIOTT
 WAXMAN, LORETTA
 WICKLEY, CARL WRIGHT, JR.
 WEINRICH, JESSICA LOUISE
 WEINSTEIN, DEBORAH KATE
 WEINSTEIN, MAX
 WEINSTEIN, NATHAN
 WEINSTOCK, LOUIS
 WEINSTONE, WILLIAM WOLF
 WEISS, LAWRENCE MICHAEL
 WEISSMAN, MARY ANN
 WELCH, DELFINE ELLEN
 WELCH, MICHAEL LANE
 WENDEL, THEODORE GEORGE
 WEST, JAMES S.
 WHEELER, JOYCE MAILE
 WHEELER, TIMOTHY LUKES
 WHITE, CAROL
 WHITE, CHRISTOPHER RICHARD
 WHITE, ELLIS, JR.
 WHITE, LAWRENCE GORDON
 WHITE, VERNON LOUIS
 WHITEHEAD, FRED ALLEN
 WHITEHEAD, SHARI ANN
 WHITEHURNE, ROSE MARIE
 WILKERSON, CATHLYN PLATT
 WILKINS, RONALD LEROY
 WILKINSON, ANTHONY
 WILKINSON, MARGARET
 WILLETT, PHYLLIS HARRIET
 WILLETT, WILLIAM FRANCIS
 WILLIAMS, BOBBY RAYFORD
 WILLIAMS, BRIAN
 WILLIAMS, EARL LEE
 WILLIAMS, JULIA BEVERLY
 WILLIAMS, LOUIS RANDOLF, JR.

WM CMC 5/18/50 100-454528
 WF CMS 10/ 1/45 100-478512
 BM CMS 2/20/09 100-349955
 BM CMS 2/ 5/13 100-405484
 WF REV 12/25/51 100-469960
 CM MSC 5/ 4/45 157- 29293
 WF REV 2/22/50 100-473263
 BM BEX 2/28/41 157- 23598
 BF CMS 7/25/49 100-479432
 BM BEX 4/29/45 157- 9782
 WF CMS 9/30/46 100-447397
 WF REV 8/24/51 100-475149
 WM CMT 3/ 2/48 100-457110
 WM CMS 11/29/19 100-349817
 WF CMS 2/26/16 100-340533
 WM CMT 7/14/45 100-460795
 BF BEX 11/30/46 157- 14560
 WF CMS 9/21/48 100-461400
 WM CMS 9/17/03 100-338488
 WM CMT 10/ 3/24 100-400450
 WM CMS 5/14/03 100- 98594
 WM CMS 12/15/97 100- 20859
 WM REV 11/19/47 100-451670
 WF CMT 3/20/38 100-425754
 WF CMT 11/26/45 100-467569
 WM CMS 2/ 2/45 100-447487
 WF REV 1/16/53 100-469395
 WM CMS 1/18/14 100- 19628
 WF CMS 1/26/41 100-439926
 WM CMS 1/24/40 100-432742
 WF REV 11/29/33 100-430457
 WM REV 3/10/46 100-482382
 BM BEX 12/14/34 157- 16631
 WM REV 4/ 4/46 100-457179
 BM BEX 1/22/47 157- 24050
 WM CMS 1/20/44 105-219484
 WF REV 3/ 2/47 100-451115
 BF MSC 12/28/40 157- 18160
 WF REV 1/14/45 105-167915
 BM BEX 3/12/46 157- 10072
 WM CMS 4/19/45 100-442525
 WF CMS 7/23/43 100-437501
 WF CMS 6/ 1/45 100-443029
 WM REV 5/ 1/46 100-452449
 BM CMC 7/13/36 100-448609
 WM CMT 1/20/52 100-465501
 BM BEX 12/ 9/51 157- 24244
 BF CMC 5/ 5/46 157- 25478
 BM BEX 7/13/42 157- 12937

SANF
 PHIL
 STLO
 NEWY
 LUSA
 MINN
 PHIL
 SANF
 PIT
 LGSA
 SANA
 CHIC
 NEWY
 STLO
 STLO
 CLEV
 INDI
 PITT
 CHIC
 SANF
 LUSA
 NEWY
 DETP
 NEWY
 NEWY
 BIRM
 BALT
 CLEV
 BALT
 BALT
 NEWY
 NEWY
 SANF
 ALBA
 NEWY
 KANS
 SAND
 PHIL
 CHIC
 LUSA
 SANF
 SANF
 SANF
 CHIC
 CHIC
 DETR
 CHIC
 SANF

9/15/75

WILLIAMS, ROY BRIAN	WM CMS	10/ 2/50	100-472383	PHIL
WILLIAMS, SHARON HAZEL	PF BEX	6/ 9/46	157- 14229	LCSA
WILLIAMS, TERRY GENE	GM MSC	7/ 4/51	157-129364	OKLA
WILLIAMSON, MILDRED FREDA	BF CMS	4/23/53	100-483505	CHIC
WILSON, CHARLES FEHNINGER	BM CMS	5/27/10	100-351174	CHIC
WILSON, JAMES HENRY, JR.	BM BEX	10/17/51	157- 27437	CHIC
WILSON, MICHAEL SCOTT	WM REV	2/ 9/49	100-466910	SANF
WINSTON, FERN MARY OWENS	BF CMS	12/16/15	100-376253	NEWY
WINSTON, HENRY	BM CMS	4/ 2/11	100- 1473	NEWY
WILK, CARL	WM CMS	9/25/06	100- 4261	NEWY
WINTER, HELEN MARY	WF CMS	2/14/08	100-423449	NEWY
WHLFORTH, TIMOTHY ANDREW	WM CMT	5/15/33	100-422940	NEWY
WOL, MICHAEL THOMAS	WM REV	5/ 3/43	100-447905	CHIC
WUHLER, HAROLD LEROY	WM CMS	1/10/35	100-468472	SALT
WUHLER, CARL WILLIAM	WM CMS	12/16/47	100-448198	IND
WUDD, DAVID LEIGH	WM CMS	7/29/52	100-452058	CHIC
WUDD, ROBERTA LYNN	WF CMS	7/ 4/49	100-196434	CHIC
WUDFORD, JOHN NILES	BM CMS	9/24/41	100-479490	NEWA
WUDES, DEXTER EARL	EM BEX	10/ 8/47	157- 10028	SANF
WUDES, JEANNE MARIE	BF CMS	8/ 5/52	100-478761	PHIL
WUL, SHEVKA EISENSTEIN	WF CMS	5/19/12	100-338794	NEWY
WRIGHT, GEORGE EDWARD	BM BEX	3/29/43	157- 26562	DETR
YAKUPCH, WILLIAM FRANCIS	WM MSC	1/10/42	157- 26763	CHIC
Y KODRAS, STANISLAVS	WM CMS	5/ 8/06	100-350564	CHIC
YATES, WILLIAM JOHN	WM CMS	2/19/28	100-447132	DETR
YERGEN, JAMES	WM REV	2/24/50	100-447132	DETR
YERGEN, JAMES	WM REV	11/21/46	100-447132	DETR
YORK, JAMES DIXON	BM BEX	12/ 1/40	157- 12577	NEWA
YOSHIMURA, WENDY MASAKO	CF REV	1/17/43	100-204322	SANF
YOUNG, JEFFREY	WM CMC	2/15/47	100-203031	NEWY
YOUNG, JOHN MARSTON	WM REV	5/19/51	100-472335	BALT
ZABARELL, MICHAEL	WM CMS	12/26/44	100-439735	NEWY
ZANCHILLI, THOMAS ANTHONY	WM REV	12/23/43	100-471779	LOSA
ZAPATA, CHARLES	WM MSC	8/23/48	100-219261	DETR
ZASTROW, PETER HERMAN	WM REV	4/12/39	100-473617	CHIC
ZELMAN, MIRUSLAW BOGDAN	WM CMS	8/29/18	100-411496	DETR
ZERNIN, HAMIE ELIZABETH	WF CMT	7/16/43	100-456726	SANF
ZVAROS, CRITON MICHAEL	WM REV	5/13/43	100-461038	NEWY
ZULA, MARK	WM CMT	3/10/44	100-453214	PITT

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Moore

October 7, 1975

1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U.S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON
INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

As you are aware, this Bureau was orally requested by Richard Verneire, Staff Member of the House Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, to make available Unit Chief James C. Kraus for public testimony before that Committee on Thursday, October 9, 1975, concerning the Bureau's investigation of violations of the Interception of Communications laws.

SA Kraus is a Unit Chief in the General Investigative Division and is not in a policy-making position in this Bureau. Traditionally, when requested by the various Congressional Committees, it has been this Bureau's policy to designate high-level personnel to testify before Congressional Committees concerning Bureau matters. Such personnel, of course, would be in a position to thoroughly explain the Bureau's decision-making and policy-making procedures.

We do not feel that it would be appropriate or desirable for the House Select Committee to dictate what level of personnel would appear before that Committee to explain Bureau policy and/or procedure concerning investigative matters of this Bureau. It is requested that the Department resist the request that Unit Chief James C. Kraus appear to testify before captioned Committee and that the Bureau designate the appropriate official to testify.

REC-43

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
(Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination)

OCT 14 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Office of Legislative Affairs

PVD:lad
(12)

- SEE NOTE NEXT PAGE -

OCT 22 1975

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

NOTE: By memorandum 10/7/75, the background concerning the requested testimony of Unit Chief James C. Kraus is set forth. Assistant Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Steven Blackhurst requested the Bureau send a letter concerning its observations concerning this request to the Department so that he may discuss this matter with the Attorney General. Blackhurst had conferred with White House representatives concerning this request and the White House stated that it would be up to the Department as to what position they should take on this matter. It had been pointed out to the Department who in turn pointed out to the White House that the State Department was involved in a similar issue with the House Select Committee. The White House representative stated that this issue was referred to the State Department so that they might settle it with the Committee.

2 - Mr. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. H. Mallet
 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

62-116464

December 8, 1975

**U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)**

**RE: REQUEST FOR DELIVERY OF
 MATERIALS FOUND IN SAFE OF
 FORMER FBI DIRECTOR J. EDGAR HOOVER**

Reference is made to HSC letter dated October 3, 1975, wherein a request was made for delivery of all files, memoranda and materials found in the safe of former Director J. Edgar Hoover after his death.

On October 21, 1975, Mr. Steven Blackhurst, Assistant Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, U. S. Department of Justice, advised representatives of this Bureau that an agreement had been reached with representatives of the HSC whereby the FBI would make available for review to appropriate HSC Staff Members all such material which contains no derogatory information. As to the material which does contain derogatory information, access would be withheld; however, such material would be held in the custody of an FBI Special Agent having detailed knowledge of the contents of that material who would then respond in an interview format to procedural, as opposed to substantive, questions posed by HSC representatives concerning this material.

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.:
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

This is to confirm that the material requested in referenced letter was reviewed in accordance with the above-described procedures on October 28, 1975, by HSC Staff Members Ellen Miller, Richard Vermiere and James Oliphant in the presence of FBI Special Agent Hugh Mallet.

1 - The Attorney General

TJM:1hb 1hb
 (10)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

MAIL ROOM ☒

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

2 - Mr. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

The Attorney General

December 8, 1975

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

1 - Mr. H. Mallet

1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

REC-100

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Reference is made to HSC letter dated October 3, 1975, wherein a request was made for delivery of all files, memoranda and materials found in the safe of former Director J. Edgar Hoover after his death.

Enclosed is a memorandum for your approval and forwarding to the HSC setting forth this Bureau's response to the above request.

A copy of this memorandum is enclosed for your records.

Enclosures (2)

62-116464

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

TJM:1hb/hb
(11)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

Not delivered to HSC as of 2/11/76

FBI



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated October 3

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee requesting various materials from the FBI. Please have someone call me to discuss an appropriate response to this letter.

10/9/75 Shaheen advised he would try to get HSC agree to some guidelines re accepting this matter as the SSC.

AM

10/15/75 Blackhurst advised maintain status quo as HSC is considering whether to accept SSC guidelines

10/21/75 Blackhurst advised HSC will accept same guidelines as SSC & requests access 10/23/75

by Yarnose

cc: Paul Daly

ENCLOSURE

10/28/75 Material received by HSC staff from Yarnose, Miller & Blighman in presence of SA Houghton

FEB 17 1976

Free (12-116464)

5-AME

62-116464

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated October 3

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee requesting various materials from the FBI. Please have someone call me to discuss an appropriate response to this letter.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCOY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREMPER, A.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9731

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515


October 3, 1975

Mr. Michael Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, d.C.

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

In order to facilitate our investigation under H. Res.
591, please deliver all files, memoranda and materials
found in former Director, J. Edgar Hoover's safe, after
his death.

Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director

ASF/TO/ca



ENCLOSURE

62-116464-102X

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall *Wannall*

FROM : W. O. Cregar *WOC*

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY - 75

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(Attn: P. V. Daly)

DATE: 10/6/75

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. A. J. Duffin

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir. _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Reference is made to Legal Counsel memorandum dated 9/15/75, wherein it was stated that Steven Blackhurst, Deputy Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department, telephonically advised on 9/15/75, that the Department had been instructed by James Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President, not to furnish any classified material to the House Select Committee on Intelligence (HSC).

On 10/3/75, Mr. Blackhurst advised SAs Elmer W. Larson, Jr., and Andrew J. Duffin that The White House has subsequently advised the Department that the instructions given on 9/15/75 are no longer valid and the HSC is now to receive classified material. He noted that certain material that the Department was withholding from the HSC which had previously been furnished by the Bureau, has now been passed to the HSC.

In addition, on 10/3/75, Mr. Blackhurst conferred with SAs Larson and Duffin concerning the lack of ground rules existing with the HSC, as are in effect with the SSC.

Due to the absence of basic ground rules with the HSC, it was agreed upon that any materials prepared by the Bureau for either access or delivery to the HSC should have all sources excised therefrom including the identities of any persons where implied confidentiality might exist. Should the HSC request the identity of a specific individual subsequent to reviewing or receiving any material, the following procedure will be followed:

1) If the individual is a confidential or particular sensitive source, either current or former, whose identity would require concealment, the HSC will be advised through the Department that the identity cannot be revealed.

62-116464

AJD:mjg
(6)

CONTINUED - OVER

84 OCT 15 1975

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Houstudy
62-116464

2) Should the person be an individual who has previously requested confidentiality or where there is an implied confidential arrangement, this individual will be contacted and advised that the HSC has requested his specific identity. Subsequent to this, if the individual has indicated he desires to remain confidential, the HSC will be advised through Department that his identity cannot be revealed. On the other hand, if the individual advised that his identity may be furnished to the HSC, same will be done.

It is noted that this is the position previously taken by the Attorney General and agreed to by Director Kelley in connection with a prior confrontation in this area involving the Senate Select Committee.

Mr. Blackhurst advised that he does not anticipate the HSC requesting the identities of many excised sources.

ACTION:

None. For information.

Agd - 15m/sgd

WRW/twl

sgd
K

BSA
pm

JK

gmm



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

OCT 7 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated October 3

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated October 3. Please prepare an appropriate response.

10/8/75
SA Daly advised of the law
informal letter stated
disallowance cannot be met due
date request received. TM

cc: Paul Daly

EX-108

REC-43 62-116464 101

OCT 14 1975

ENCLOSURE

10/9/75
assigned to handle
TM.

recd 10/8/75

1-Ad Hoc
10-8-75

62-116464



OCT 7 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated October 3

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated October 3. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

October 3, 1975

Mr. Michael Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, d.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby request access to all notes, memoranda, files and reports
pertaining to the individuals listed below:

Alfred Burnett
Jeff Desmond
Horace Parker
David Sannes
Thomas Edward Mosher
Momlaung Singhata Thomas Tongyai N'ayoudlya
Robert W. Hardy
Boyd F. Douglas, Jr.
Larry Grantwohl
William Lemmer
Carl Becker
Eustacco (Frank) Martinez
Terrence B. Norman
Charles R. Grimm
Jack Weatherford


Please include a list of these individuals present whereabouts.
Ms. Ellen Miller will be prepared to review these materials Friday,
October 10, 1975.

Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director

ASF/CM/ca



ENCLOSURE

62-116464-10A

OCT 7 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 30

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 30, which this Office received on October 3. To the extent that this request has not been responded to previously, please prepare an appropriate response.

The HSC staff is apparently trying to determine whether all persons on the ADEX are properly on that list in light of the FBI's own criteria. To do this they want a copy of the ADEX with which to work and also to show the members of the Committee. If it would be harmful for the ADEX to be delivered to the HSC, please have someone call me immediately to discuss the reasons for not providing it.

cc: Paul Daly

ROBERT N. GIAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN P. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MC CLORY, II
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 30, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

Per conversation yesterday between Messrs. Vermeire and Oliphant of our staff and Messrs. Grigalus and Ryan of the FBI, we hereby request the following:

1. Delivery to the Committee of the current ADEX list.
 - a. Criteria for inclusion on the ADEX list.
 - b. Regional and numerical breakout of subversive categories (e.g., CMT, PRN) on ADEX list.
 - c. Legal authority for maintaining the ADEX list.
2. Access to:
 - a. Security Index (last compilation prior to discontinuance in 1971 -- approximately 15,500 names).
 - b. Criteria for inclusion on the Security Index.
 - c. Legal authority for maintaining the Security Index.
 - d. The criteria for the three categories of individuals included within the Security Index.
 - e. Any and all materials and memoranda pertaining to the purpose of the Security Index, especially what steps were to be taken in case of national emergency.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request.

Sincerely,

Aaron B. Donner
Counsel to the Committee



62-116464-100

1 - Mr. N. P. Callahan
 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
 October 17, 1975

REC 27 62-11644-99X

Honorable Otis G. Pike
 Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence
 House of Representatives
 Washington, D. C. 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

I appreciate the consideration you and the Committee have shown by your letter of October 8, 1975, soliciting my views and those of my FBI colleagues concerning the future role of our agency in the intelligence community.


We are, of course, quite conscious of our responsibilities in the areas of internal security, counterintelligence and terrorism; and we are naturally concerned about our ability to fulfill these responsibilities while at the same time respecting the constitutional and civil rights of the public.

I am in the process of obtaining opinions and recommendations from FBI officials working in the areas mentioned above, and after proper coordination with the Department of Justice, I will forward them to you at an early date.

Sincerely yours,

G. M. Kelley

Clarence M. Kelley
 Director



Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____ RPF: vb (9)
 Asst. Dir.: _____ NOTE: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

See memorandum Mr. W. R. Wannall to Mr. J. B. Adams, dated 10/16/75, captioned "FBI Role and Requirements in the Intelligence Community; Request of House Select Committee on Intelligence," prepared by RPF: vb.

MAIL ROOM ☒ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, ILL.
JAMES P. JOHNS, ILL.
ROBERT W. KAST, WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

8 October 1975

Mr. Clarence M. Kelley
Federal Bureau of Investigation
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Kelley:

House Resolution 591, establishing the Select Committee on Intelligence, directs the committee to report its findings to the House of Representatives, including the committee's recommendations for legislation and administrative reforms.

The hearings and investigations of the committee will provide much of the basis for these recommendations. But the committee is also anxious to consider the advice and recommendations of government officials, as well as private citizens, whose position and experience give them a special appreciation of United States intelligence agencies and activities.

The committee would welcome your views and those of your agency concerning the future of the intelligence community and the possible recommendations the committee may consider. Because of the limited time available to the committee, we would appreciate receiving your comments and suggestions as soon as possible.

Sincerely yours,

Otis G. Pike
Otis G. Pike
Chairman
(NEW YORK)

REC 27

EX 104

OGP/thh

15 OCT 9 1975

F R 74

44-38861-9-1975
OCT 9 1975
1-13-76

Letter to Congress... Pike
10/17/75; PFT:ab.

1-Ad Koc
10-10-75

1 Xerox made + held for Director
10-7-75

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

1 - Mr. E. W. Walsh
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. J. P. Dunphy

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

DATE: 10/7/75

FROM : W. O. Cregar

1 - Mr. G. S. McMichael
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR XEROX EQUIPMENT
 FOR USE IN SENSUDY PROJECT

As a result of the House Select Committee (HSC) making inordinate demands on the FBI for the reproduction of materials and because the Attorney General has concurred with the HSC in instructing that such materials be made available to the HSC as quick as possible, the Intelligence Division has a need for an additional Xerox copying machine, Model 7,000.

10 BIN SORTER

The rental of this machine has been discussed with Mr. Daniel J. Green of the Administrative Division and it was determined that such a Xerox model could be available for a two-month period at a cost of \$500 per month.

Upon approval of this request, contact should be made with Section Chief W. O. Cregar on Extension 4636 as to the precise location where the machine will be located.

ACTION:

Room 4063 ICH

Refer to the Administrative Division, Attention: McMichael, in order that appropriate arrangements can be made for the rental of the Xerox Model 7,000 copying machine.

WOC:1hb 1hb
 (6)

W. O. Cregar

62-116464
 4063 ICH

This was approved by Mr. Callahan
 per Flynn
 10/8/75

5 NOT RECORDED

46 OCT-15 1975

also need a special line for
 Current.

INITIALES ON ORIGINAL

84 OCT 15 1975

INITIALES ON ORIGINAL

ORIGINAL FILED IN 62-116395

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY 75

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
- (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)

DATE: 10/8/75

- 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
(Attn: J. E. McHale)
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

PURPOSE:

To recommend that, in response to a specific request from the House Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (HSC), a briefing be afforded to an HSC Staff Member concerning this Bureau's operation of proprietaries.

DETAILS:

By letter dated 8/19/75, HSC requested a list of all proprietaries maintained by the FBI, as well as information concerning their operation. By letter to the Attorney General dated 9/10/75, with enclosed letter to Congressman Pike, HSC Chairman, the Director pointed out the sensitive nature of such operations and offered to meet with Congressman Pike and discuss with him the operation of six proprietaries (two deal with national security and four in the organized crime field) currently being operated by this Bureau.*

At the request of the Department, a meeting was arranged for the morning of 10/8/75 by the Legal Counsel Division to further discuss the manner in which the HSC's inquiry concerning proprietaries will be satisfied. Present at the meeting were Peter Hughes, HSC Staff Member, Steven Blackhurst, Assistant Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, and Bureau Special Agents John E. McHale, Special Investigative Division, and Thomas J. McNiff, Intelligence Division. Mr. Blackhurst advised that the Director's letter of 9/10/75 was never forwarded to.

Enclosure

62-116464

*Information concerning Bureau-operated proprietaries contained in Mr. Kelley's letter of 9/10/75 attached

TJM:1hb

(8)

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Houstudy 75
62-116464

Congressman Pike because of the restriction imposed about that time concerning the furnishing of classified information to the HSC. Upon removal of this restriction, the letter was not forwarded by the Department in view of Congressman Pike's expressed opposition to being the sole recipient of information furnished by the intelligence community in response to HSC inquiries. The purpose of this meeting, therefore, was to arrive at some arrangement by which the HSC inquiry into this sensitive field could be satisfied while at the same time protecting this Bureau's interests.

At the meeting, Mr. Hughes proposed that he be briefed in general terms concerning this Bureau's operation of proprietaries. He stated at this time the HSC is not interested in specifics but is interested in ascertaining the field of this Bureau's operations maintaining proprietaries. Concerning each proprietary, he would like to learn the general area of the country in which it is located, its purpose, how it is operated with regard to personnel and organizational set up, initial cost factor, handling of profits resulting therefrom and reporting of financial aspects of such operations to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS).

In response to questions from Bureau representatives, Mr. Hughes advised that, upon receiving such information, he would, in turn, similarly brief House Committee Members. This information would also be made available to HSC Staff Members having a need to know. He also advised that the HSC reserves the right anytime after the briefing to make specific inquiries concerning any of these operations should it be decided by the HSC that such a need exists. Mr. Hughes was advised by Bureau representatives that approval would be sought to furnish him with the requested briefing but the Bureau views the operation of proprietaries in the same light as it views

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Houstudy 75
62-116464

the identity of informants and it is felt that this Bureau would resist the furnishing of any specific information which could in any way prejudice these sensitive operations. Mr. Blackhurst advised that he saw no objection to the briefing under guidelines set forth above, and should additional specific inquiries be forthcoming, that problem could be addressed at that time. Mr. Hughes concluded by stating he would appreciate ascertaining by 10/9/75 whether or not he would be afforded the briefing as requested and, if affirmative, that the briefing be arranged for early in the week of 10/13/75.

OBSERVATIONS:

From the comments made by Mr. Hughes, it is apparent that the primary HSC interest in proprietaries is the financial aspects principally as it pertains to funds received from the disposal of goods or services offered and the manner in which reports are being made, if any, to IRS concerning such operations. It can be fully expected after the briefing that additional specific inquiries will be forthcoming concerning at least some of these proprietaries so that HSC can verify the information provided. It is felt that the Bureau at this time should comply with the HSC request for a briefing in general terms (using a code or acronym to identify each proprietary) to display to the HSC a cooperative attitude as long as current Bureau operations are not adversely affected. Should additional requests be forthcoming for specific information which would tend to identify or prejudice any of these operations, it is felt that the Director should assume the same posture as he did concerning his refusal to disclose the identity of current sources and the matter then be referred to the Attorney General for adjudication.

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Houstudy 75
62-116464

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. That the Intelligence Division on 10/9/75 advise Mr. Blackhurst of the Department that Bureau representatives will afford Mr. Hughes a general briefing as requested concerning this Bureau's operation of proprietaries.

10/9/75
Brel Brannigan Dir 5+
Bob McConathy Dir 9 advised
briefing scheduled 2 PM 10/15/75
TM

4 PM, 10/9/75
Bureau advised that
briefing has been approved.
TM

2. If above approved, that appropriate representatives of the Intelligence Division and Special Investigative Division expeditiously prepare material for a general briefing concerning proprietaries along the lines of the requests of Mr. Hughes set forth above. When such material is available, these representatives should advise Mr. Paul V. Daly, Legal Counsel Division, no later than 10/14/75 so that arrangements can be effected with Mr. Hughes.

TM
Tul
WVC
R-TM/TSM
JBI
RM
JLM/GR
war

1 - Mr. W. M. Gallagher
1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
2 - Mr. J. E. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hetic)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
① - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. J. W. Johnson

September 10, 1975

Honorable Otis C. Pike
Chairman, Select Committee on Intelligence
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Reference is made to a letter of Mr. A. Searle Field, Staff Director of the House Select Committee (HSC) to the Honorable Edward H. Levi, Attorney General of the United States dated August 10, 1975, wherein the HSC requested a list of proprietaries maintained by the FBI.

In response to the above inquiry, I wish to inform you that this Bureau is currently maintaining a total of six operations in the national security and organized crime fields, which operations would fit under the general category of proprietaries. Due to the extreme sensitivity of each of these operations and any disclosure of such information could seriously impair on-going investigations and/or result in physical injury to those involved, I will discuss these operations with you at a mutually agreeable time.

Respectfully,

1 - The Attorney General

JWJ:sjm
(10)

Clarence M. Kelley
Director

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

62-116464-99

Honorable Otis G. Pike

DOWNGRADED TO

~~SECRET~~

Per

Date

Auto Declass Guide
2/12/12

NOTE:

~~TOP SECRET~~

A survey of Section Chiefs by SA James W. Johnson, Intelligence Division indicated two proprietaries (businesses) are maintained; code name cases Korsaj and Toolup are maintained in conjunction with the Orkid case. Orkid is code name for our program to technically penetrate the new Soviet Embassy complex at Mount Alto, Wisconsin Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D. C. Korsaj is code name for our purchase and subsequent management, through a cutout, of the apartment building, Highview Towers, 2700 Wisconsin Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D. C. Toolup is code name for the rented test facilities for equipment and techniques being developed under the Orkid program. The facilities are located at 2301 and 2361 South Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia.

Senstudy Representative SA J. William Hines, General Investigative Division advised no proprietaries are currently being maintained.

A survey by Senstudy Representative John E. McHale indicates the Special Investigative Division is currently conducting four proprietary operations in the field of organized crime, which, to date, have cost a total of \$44,000 in building and equipment rental, utility and freight employees, the purchase of office supplies, property inventory, etc. (some of which will be recoverable at the conclusion of the investigations).

These are active ongoing cases, the disclosure of such information could result in physical harm to individuals involved. A briefing in general terminology on these operations is being prepared. The FBI has also assisted a number of state and local agencies utilizing their own funds and/or grants from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration (LEAA).

The only such proprietary currently in operation has been called to the attention of the Department in the cover letter. This operation is primarily funded by LEAA.

Appropriate briefing material will be prepared by representatives of interested Divisions, upon notification this procedure is acceptable to HSC.

Classified by 4091, XGDS 2 and 3, Indefinite.

~~TOP SECRET~~



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated October 1

Attached is a letter dated October 1, from the House Select Committee which requests various materials from the FBI. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this request.

REC-102

62-116464-98

ENCLOSURE

cc: Paul Daly

OCT 10 1975

LEGAL COUNSEL

62-116464

5-10/10/75

rec'd 10/9/75

1-Ad/Sec
10-7-75

84 MAY 13 1976

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated October 1

Attached is a letter dated October 1, from the House Select Committee which requests various materials from the FBI. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this request.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
CARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

October 1, 1975

Mr. Michael Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

In order to facilitate our investigation, please
deliver any and all files, memoranda and materials
dealing with Kathy C. Zahrie AKA Kathy Sledge.

Sincerely,

A. Searle Field
A. Searle Field
Staff Director

ASF/TO/ca



62-116464-98

ENCLOSURE

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
- 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: J. C. Kraus)

The Attorney General

September 18, 1975

Director, FBI

- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum with enclosures which constitutes this Bureau's response to requests contained in HSC letter dated September 2, 1975.

The subject matter of this particular request concerns this Bureau's investigation into an interception of communication violation which allegedly occurred at the Spencer, West Virginia, Post Office. House Resolution 591, which created the HSC, apparently restricts its authority insofar as an inquiry is concerned to intelligence operations. In view of this, it would not appear appropriate for this Committee to inquire into criminal investigations conducted by this Bureau, such as the investigation into the matter contained in the enclosed letterhead memorandum. We request that the Department make a determination as to whether the enclosed letterhead memorandum should be disseminated to the HSC.

62-116464-97 OCT 10 1975

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (5)

ENCLOSURE

Assoc. Dir. 62-116464

Dep. AD Adm.

Dep. AD Inv.

Asst. Dir.:

Admin.

Comp. Syst.

Ext. Affairs

Files & Com.

Gen. Inv.

Ident.

Inspection

Intell.

Laboratory

Plan. & Eval.

Spec. Inv.

Training

Legal Coun.

Telephone Rm.

Director Sec'y

1 - The Deputy Attorney General

Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

Special Counsel for

Intelligence Coordination

TJM:1hb 1hb

(11)

MAIL ROOM

TELETYPE UNIT

GPO 574-346

1 - Mr. J. Adams
 2 - Mr. J.A. Mintz
 - (1-Mr. J.B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. R.J. Gallagher
 (Attention: J.C. Kraus)
 1 - Mr. W.R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W.O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T.J. McNiff

62-116464

September 17, 1975

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUESTS FOR BUREAU MATERIALS
 RELATING TO ALLEGED ILLEGAL
 INTERCEPTIONS AT SPENCER,
 WEST VIRGINIA, POST OFFICE

Reference is made to HSC letter dated September 2, 1975, requesting: (1) All Bureau materials dealing with an allegedly illegal interception of telephonic conversations at Spencer, West Virginia, Post Office occurring during the period 1973 through July, 1975; and (2) All Bureau materials regarding former Spencer, West Virginia, Postmaster Hamilton.

In response to request number one above, there are enclosed, a copy of Pittsburgh report of Special Agent James E. Hise, dated October 31, 1974, captioned "Frederick C. Hamilton, aka Rick Hamilton, Interception of Communications," and airtels from the Pittsburgh Office to Federal Bureau of Investigation Headquarters dated August 9, 1974, and September 17, 1974, bearing the same caption, which communications constitute all information in Bureau files relating to this matter.

In response to request number two, and as indicated in the enclosure, the full name of the Spencer, West Virginia, Postmaster is Frederick C. Hamilton, also known as Rick Hamilton. Information concerning this individual, as appearing in the enclosure, represents the only material contained in Federal Bureau of Investigation Headquarters files identifiable with the subject of your inquiry.

Enclosures (3)

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

1 - The Attorney General

TJM:bmf

(10)

NOTE:

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

Material appearing in this LHM which constitutes this Bureau's response to the HSC inquiry was researched and compiled by SA J.C.Kraus, General Investigative Division.

MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

REPORTING OFFICE PITTSBURGH	OFFICE OF ORIGIN PITTSBURGH	DATE 10/31/74	INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD 7/27/74 - 10/22/74
TITLE OF CASE FREDERICK C. HAMILTON, aka., Rick Hamilton		REPORT MADE BY JAMES E. NIRE	TYPED BY AOB
		CHARACTER OF CASE ICC	

REFERENCE:

Pittsburgh airtel to the Bureau dated 9/17/74.

- (C) -

ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED					<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NONE	ACQUIT-TALS	CASE HAS BEEN:
CONVIC.	FUG.	FINES	SAVINGS	RECOVERIES			
							PENDING OVER ONE YEAR <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO
							PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO

APPROVED

SPECIAL AGENT
IN CHARGE

DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW

COPIES MADE:

- ② - Bureau (139-4718)
1 - USA, Charleston, W. Va.
1 - Pittsburgh (139-111)

139-4718-2 REC 68

21 NOV 6 1974

EX 104

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

Agency	1 - Bureau
Request Recd.	
Date Fwd.	11/18/74
How Fwd.	10-62
By	EX 111

ENCLOSURE

62-116464-97

- A* -

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Copy to: 1 - USA, Charleston, W. Va.

Report of: JAMES E. MIRE
Date: 10/31/74

Office: PITTSBURGH, PA.

Field Office File #: 139-111

Bureau File #: 139-4718

Title: FREDERICK C. HAMILTON

Character: INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS

Synopsis: Complainant, HERBERT L. PROFITT, U. S. Postal Employee, Spencer, W. Va., determined the Postmaster at Spencer, FREDERICK C. "RICK" HAMILTON, placed a tape recorder or listening device on his extension telephone (HAMILTON's) during latter July, 1974. This device enabled HAMILTON to monitor conversations between employees of the Post Office and individuals who might call the employees during scheduled employment periods. PROFITT realized that some of the conversations might be confidential in nature and reasoned HAMILTON would be interfering with a person's privacy by intercepting such calls at the Spencer Post Office. PROFITT observed the listening apparatus attached to HAMILTON's extension phone and described as a compact reel to reel tape recorder. PROFITT does not recall HAMILTON's mentioning any conversations which he had intercepted nor does he recall HAMILTON listening to the taping device. HAMILTON disconnected tape recorder from his extension phone upon realizing his activity of intercepting phone calls was illegal. PROFITT described HAMILTON as an "egomaniac" and admits personality conflict with HAMILTON. Subject HAMILTON in article appearing in the "Charleston Gazette," Charleston, W. Va., acknowledged he had tape recorder

PG 139-111

Synopsis:
Cont.

installed on the single line into the Post Office, but remarked he did so as a defense mechanism. U. S. Postal Inspectors, Charleston, will proceed with administrative action of disciplinary nature against HAMILTON. USA, Charleston, W. Va., SDWVA, declined prosecution in favor of administrative action being taken against HAMILTON by postal authorities.

- C -

PG 139-111

DETAILS:

Investigation regarding this matter by the Pittsburgh Division was predicated on a complaint furnished July 27, 1974, by Mr. HERBERT PROFITT, U. S. Postal Employee, Spencer, W. Va., wherein he advised that the Postmaster at Spencer, RICK HAMILTON, had arbitrarily hooked up a recorder on the Post Office phones and had been monitoring all calls made by employees much to their displeasure. Some of these telephone calls which had been monitored were of a personal and confidential nature.

On August 2, 1974, Assistant U. S. Attorney ROBERT B. KING, Southern District of West Virginia, (SDWVA), Charleston, W. Va., was contacted regarding this matter, at which time, he requested that the complainant, PROFITT, be thoroughly interviewed and remarked he would withhold a prosecutive opinion until results of the interview with PROFITT were obtained.

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

8/16/74

Date of transcription

Mr. HERBERT L. PROFITT, P. O. Box 535, Spencer, W. Va., after being advised concerning the identity of the interviewing Agent and the nature of this interview, furnished the following information:

Sometime during the "20's" of July, 1974, Mr. PROFITT learned that the Post Master for Spencer, W. Va., FREDERICK C. "RICK" HAMILTON, had placed a tape recorder or listening device on his, HAMILTON's, extension telephone located in HAMILTON's office at the Spencer, W. Va., Post Office. PROFITT explained that he is presently an employee at the U. S. Post Office, Spencer, W. Va., and has worked under Mr. HAMILTON for a little over two years.

This information concerning the tape recorder on HAMILTON's extension phone was brought to PROFITT's attention by the Post Office janitor, DENVER GANDEE, who resides in the Cemetery Hill area of Spencer, W. Va.

This listening device or tape recorder, which was connected to HAMILTON's extension phone in the Post Office by HAMILTON, enabled HAMILTON to overhear or tape conversations between employees of the Post Office and individuals who might call these post office employees during their scheduled employment periods. PROFITT gave little thought to this matter at first but then realized that if Mr. HAMILTON were in a position to intercept telephone conversations between employees of the Post Office and other persons calling these employees, this type of activity could prove embarrassing to the Post Office employee involved and also embarrassing to the other person calling. He also realized that some of the conversations might be of a confidential nature and reasoned that Mr. HAMILTON would be interfering with a person's privacy if this interception of telephone calls at the Spencer Post Office was allowed to continue.

PROFIT first realized the seriousness of the situation when another employee of the Spencer Post Office, KENNY RAY, discussed this matter with PROFITT on Friday, July 26, 1974. RAY mentioned to PROFITT that he had received two telephone calls, which RAY considered extremely confidential;

Interviewed on 8/8/74 at Spencer, W. Va. File # Pittsburgh 139-111
by SA JAMES E. MIRE/dao 4 Date dictated 8/9/74

one being from the West Virginia State Union President, and the other from RAY's wife's doctor, at which time, the discussion was concerning the possibility that RAY's wife was on the verge of a nervous breakdown, which was indicated as a reason for her present hospital confinement.

PROFITT decided to complain to authorities regarding HAMILTON's activity of intercepting telephone calls made to the Spencer Post Office as PROFITT considers himself to be the "activist" of the "group," "group" meaning employees of the Spencer, W. Va., Post Office. PROFITT explained that the others at the Spencer, W. Va., Post Office are somewhat hesitant to challenge authority, this authority being the Post Master FREDERICK C. "RICK" HAMILTON.

PROFITT observed HAMILTON's extension telephone, located in HAMILTON's office on his desk approximately a dozen times over a period of approximately one and a half weeks, this period being during the "20's" of July, 1974. On each occasion, he observed that the phone box, located on the floor beside HAMILTON's desk, was opened and connected to this phone apparatus was a tape machine described as reel-to-reel and compact. PROFITT was not able to furnish the model name of the tape recorder. He recalls observing four screws exposed on the telephone apparatus and recalls that the tape machine was connected to these screws. He recalls that there appeared to be a magnet of some sort used on the receiver. PROFITT remarked that he had determined HAMILTON had rigged this machine to this telephone extension box by himself, and that he had done so previously.

PROFITT remarked that the other telephone extension to this one telephone line into the post office is located in the Post Office Workroom on the desk of the Assistant Post Master.

Mr. PROFITT continued his remarks by stating that HAMILTON had never informed PROFITT or the other employees of the Post Office that this tape recorder had been installed on HAMILTON's extension phone. PROFITT does not recall that HAMILTON mentioned any of the conversations that he had intercepted on his tape recorder, which might apply to employees of the Post Office. PROFITT does not recall observing HAMILTON listening to the tape, which he had affixed to his extension telephone.

PROFITT further advised that Mr. HAMILTON disconnected the tape recorder from his extension phone box on August 7, 1974, as it was on this date that HAMILTON received a telephone call from the Charleston, W. Va., "Gazette" newspaper, concerning this matter. When HAMILTON disconnected the apparatus, Assistant Post Master WILLIAM P. WELLS was in HAMILTON's office and observed HAMILTON disconnecting the tape recorder.

PROFITT remarked that he had complained concerning HAMILTON's activity of intercepting phone calls at the Spencer Post Office to the telephone company at Spencer and was advised that this type of activity on the part of HAMILTON was illegal and that the apparatus placed by HAMILTON on his extension phone should be removed.

PROFITT further advised that he, or someone else, might consider himself as egotistical, but stated that he considers HAMILTON to be a "egomaniac." PROFITT regards HAMILTON as a person not capable of "digging his own grave, incompetent, not capable of supervising anything, and a total loss." PROFITT remarked that he would not have HAMILTON working for him in any capacity. - He remarked that since HAMILTON has been Post Master at Spencer, W. Va., HAMILTON demoted PROFITT to a lesser position at the Post Office. PROFITT stated that he had been a window teller, but was removed from this position by HAMILTON when PROFITT's cash drawer checked over in the amount of approximately \$39. PROFITT accuses HAMILTON of "padding" his cash drawer so that HAMILTON could remove PROFITT from the window teller's position. PROFITT also admits that there is a personality conflict between him and HAMILTON, but stated this in no way detracts from the fact that HAMILTON is in violation of a Federal law by intercepting these telephone calls at the Spencer Post Office.

On August 9, 1974, U. S. Attorney JOHN A. FIELD, III, Charleston, advised that he anticipated he will decline prosecution in this matter; however, he indicated that an interview with Postmaster HAMILTON might be in order before he renders a final opinion.

On August 7, 1974, an article appeared in the "Charleston Gazette," Charleston, W. Va., concerning this matter, which article, in summary, disclosed the following information:

A mail clerk, HERBERT PROFITT, Spencer, W. Va., contacted the "Charleston Gazette" concerning his boss taping telephone conversations out of the U. S. Post Office at Spencer.

Postmaster FREDERICK "RICK" HAMILTON acknowledged he had tape recorder installed on the single line into the Post Office, but said he did so as a defense mechanism. HAMILTON said he did monitor some calls but has since dismantled the system. He said he established the monitoring system because of some internal problems in the postal system which involved himself and Postmaster of another Post Office in Northern West Virginia, indicating, "There was a lot of animosity involved and I expected to get a lot of flak."

HAMILTON said the only time a call was taped was when he was in his office and as soon as he discovered it wasn't the one he wanted, HAMILTON shut the recorder off. HAMILTON explained that PROFITT had been a troublesome employee who doesn't get along with the other staff members, as PROFITT had been disgruntled since he (HAMILTON) was chosen Postmaster two years ago rather than PROFITT.

PG 139-111

PROFITT admitted that he and the Postmaster, HAMILTON, had been having a long running feud and remarked he felt the Postmaster was an "egomaniac."

Attempts were made August 27, 1974 - September 17, 1974, to obtain a prosecutive opinion in this matter from the U. S. Attorney, JOHN A. FIELD, III, Charleston.

On September 17, 1974, Mr. FIELD stated that he would accept the statements furnished by subject HAMILTON to the local Charleston newspaper, wherein HAMILTON openly admitted monitoring telephone calls made to employees of the Spencer Post Office through use of a taping device. He stated that at the present time he desires no interview of HAMILTON as he fully anticipates a decision to decline prosecution in this matter will be forthcoming.

On October 7, 1974, Inspector TOM ZURICK, Postal Inspector, U. S. Postal Inspectors Office, 801 Ruffner Avenue, Charleston, W. Va., advised that postal authorities will proceed against HAMILTON with administrative action of a disciplinary nature due to HAMILTON's activity in monitoring personal calls made to employees of the Spencer Post Office.

On October 25, 1974, U. S. Attorney FIELD advised he is declining prosecution in this matter in favor of administrative action being taken against Postmaster HAMILTON by U. S. postal authorities.

8*

FBI

Date: 9/17/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

Via _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM: SAC, PITTSBURGH (139-111) (P)
 SUBJECT: FREDERICK C. HAMILTON, aka
 IOC
 (OO: PITTSBURGH)

Re Pittsburgh airtel to Bureau 8/9/74.

USA JOHN A. FIELD, III, SDWVA, Charleston, W. Va.,
 was recontacted concerning this investigation 8/27 - 9/17/74,
 for a prosecutive opinion and on each occasion he had not
 formed a final opinion.

On 9/17/74, Mr. FIELD stated that he would accept
 the statements furnished by subject HAMILTON, Postmaster,
 U.S.P.O., Spencer, W. Va., to the local newspapers wherein
 HAMILTON openly admits monitoring telephone calls made to
 employees of the Spencer P.O. through the use of a taping
 device.

Mr. FIELD further advised that at the present time
 he desires no interview of HAMILTON as he fully anticipates
 a decision to decline prosecution in this matter will be
 forthcoming.

In view of the above information Pittsburgh is
 submitting no report at this time but will obtain a final
 prosecutive opinion from the USA in this matter and will
 follow with a report.

2 - Bureau
 2 - Pittsburgh

JEM/cjw

18 SEP 19 1974

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

Approved: _____

NW 54960 DocId:32989001 Page 33 Charge

ENCLOSURE

62-116464-97

Per _____

PG 139-111

LEADS

PITTSBURGH

AT CHARLESTON, W. VA. (KANAWHA CO.)

Will obtain final prosecutive opinion and surep.

F B I

Date: 8/9/74

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

AIRTEL

Via _____
(Priority)

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
 FROM: SAC, PITTSBURGH (139-111) (P)
 SUBJECT: FREDERICK C. HAMILTON, aka } W. VA.
 Rick Hamilton
 OIOC
 (OO: PITTSBURGH)

COMPLAINANT
 The Pittsburgh Division, on 7/27/74, received a complaint from HERBERT PROFITT, postal worker, Spencer, W. Va. (Roane County), disclosing that the Postmaster at Spencer, W. Va., FREDERICK C. HAMILTON, had arbitrarily hooked up a recorder on the Spencer post office phones and had been monitoring all telephone calls made by employees or received by employees to their displeasure. Complainant said some of the calls monitored had been of a personal and confidential nature.

On 8/2/74, AUSA ROBERT B. KING, SDWVA, Charleston, W. Va., was contacted regarding this matter at which time he requested that the complainant, PROFITT, be interviewed and remarked he would withhold his opinion until results of the interview with PROFITT are obtained.

Complainant PROFITT was interviewed on 8/8/74 at which time he advised that he observed a compact reel-to-reel tape recorder attached to the extension phone box in Postmaster HAMILTON's office at Spencer, W. Va. PROFITT stated this apparatus enables HAMILTON to monitor incoming and outgoing calls made by himself and other employees of the post office and indicated that some of these calls were confidential in nature. PROFITT was unable to furnish any

2-Bureau
 2-Pittsburgh
 JEM:JEP
 (4)

REC-71 139 0
 SAMCT 139-4718-X
 ST-112 2 AUG 12 1974

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

PG 139-111

information with respect to HAMILTON's using whatever information obtained from his monitoring device against any post office employee. PROFITT stated HAMILTON had not informed post office employees at Spencer that this taping device had been installed on HAMILTON's extension phone. PROFITT also mentioned that there is a personal conflict between himself and Postmaster HAMILTON which, in the past, has caused HAMILTON to demote PROFITT to a lesser job in the post office.

On 8/9/74, USA JOHN A. FIELD, III, Charleston, W. Va., advised he anticipates he will decline prosecution in this matter; however, he requested that subject HAMILTON be interviewed before rendering final opinion.

LEAD

PITTSBURGH

AT SPENCER, W. VA. (ROANE CO.)

Will interview Postmaster FREDERICK C. HAMILTON regarding allegations of his monitoring telephone calls at the Spencer Post Office.

AT CHARLESTON, W. VA. (KANAWHA CO.)

Will, thereafter, obtain final opinion in this matter from USA.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: House Select Committee

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/17/75

U.S. House Select Committee. 9/2/75 request
Caption of Document: Request for Bureau Materials Relating to
Alleged Illegal Interceptions at Spencer, W.Va.
Post Office

Originating Office: FBI 345

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor Date: 9/24

Received by: E. D. Crivello

Title: Adm Asst

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

62-11-1-97

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

9/18/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

☒ HSC4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 9/2/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)

Surveillance, electronic

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Materials relating to alleged illegal interceptions at
Spencer, West Virginia, Post Office

62-116464

FMK:fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY

10/8/75
mjd

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

2 - Mr. J.A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. Hotis)

1 - Mr. W.R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W.O. Cregar

CODE

TELETYPE

URGENT

1 - Mr. D. Ryan
1 - Mr. T.J. McNiff
OCTOBER 8, 1975
1 - Mr. A.J. Duffin

TO SAC PITTSBURGH
REC-102
FROM DIRECTOR FBI (62-116464) - 96

HOUSTUDY.

HOUSTUDY IS THE CASE CAPTION UTILIZED FOR THE BUREAU'S COOPERATION WITH THE U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC).

IN CONNECTION WITH THE HSC'S INVESTIGATION, ACCESS TO CERTAIN COINTELPRO DOCUMENTS WAS PROVIDED. SPECIFICALLY, THE HSC REVIEWED THE FOLLOWING DOCUMENTS, ALL CAPTIONED "COUNTER-INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM, BLACK NATIONALIST - HATE GROUPS, RACIAL INTELLIGENCE," PITTSBURGH FILE 100-15780, BUFILE 100-448006: PITTSBURGH LETTER TO BUREAU DATED JUNE 17, 1968; BUREAU LETTER TO PITTSBURGH DATED JUNE 28, 1968, AND PITTSBURGH LETTER TO BUREAU DATED AUGUST 28, 1968.

PRIOR TO ACCESS TO LETTERS DATED JUNE 17 AND 28, 1968, RESPECTIVELY, THE NAME LAWRENCE A. SURDOVAL, JR., AND INFORMATION WHICH WOULD TEND TO IDENTIFY HIM WAS EXCISED THEREFROM.

SUBSEQUENTLY, THE HSC HAS REQUESTED THE IDENTITY OF THE PERSON WHOSE NAME WAS EXCISED FROM THESE DOCUMENTS.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

AJD:mjg-rms
(9)

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

OCT 8 1975
155/P low

TELETYPE

Director Sec'y _____
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

84 OCT 12 1975 DocId:32989725 Page 96

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

PAGE TWO 62-116464

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PRIOR AGREEMENT REACHED BETWEEN FBIHQ AND THE DEPARTMENT, YOU SHOULD MAKE EFFORTS TO LOCATE AND CONTACT LAWRENCE A. SURDOVAL, JR., LAST KNOWN ADDRESS 1337 VARNER DRIVE, PITTSBURGH, PENNSYLVANIA, AND ADVISE HIM THAT THE HSC HAS REQUESTED HIS IDENTITY BE PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH HIS PAST COOPERATION INVOLVING THIS COINTELPRO OPERATION. HE SHOULD BE FURTHER ADVISED THAT THE BUREAU INTERPOSES NO OBJECTIONS TO HIS IDENTITY BEING FURNISHED THE HSC AND IF HE SO DESIRES, SAME WILL BE DONE. HOWEVER, SHOULD HE DESIRE THAT HIS IDENTITY NOT BE REVEALED, INFORM HIM THAT FBIHQ WILL RESIST ANY FURTHER ATTEMPT BY HSC TO OBTAIN SAME.

SUTEL RESULTS USING ABOVE CAPTION, ATTENTION: WILLIAM O. CREGAR,, INTD.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

OCT 7 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

M.E.S. FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 25

With reference to the request of the House Select Committee for the name of the person whose name was excised from COINTELPRO documents 100-448006-171,255, the HSC has advised that it has no objection to the FBI contacting the person to determine whether the person objects to his name being provided to the HSC. If the person has no objection, the name will be provided. You will recall that this is the same proposal as was earlier offered to the SSC. The HSC has agreed to this approach on a trial basis in an attempt to avoid a confrontation with the Department on this issue.

12

REC-102

62-116464-96

OCT 10 1975

cc: Paul Daly

LEGAL COUNSEL
[Signature]

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Greer
1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan

Mr. W. R. Wannall

9/26/75

F. J. Cassidy

1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy
1 - Mr. D. E. Feltus

CONFIDENTIAL

Attached is a copy of a request dated 9/15/75 from the House Select Committee (HSC) for access to documents relating to Black Extremist and New Left Cointelpros. Subsequent to the request for access, the serials were excised by personnel of the respective Sections and identities of all sources and all informants excised, as well as information which would identify sensitive foreign operations. Each serial, prior to being made available for access, is approved for passage by the appropriate Section Chief.

The request from HSC was made available for access to HSC staff members Timothy Oliphant and Richard Vermeire in Room 4204, JED, on 9/22/75, with the exception of nine copies of Black Extremist serials and four copies of New Left serials, all classified "Confidential," which are not being made available in light of the ruling that at this time no classified material is to be made available to HSC staff members.

A duplicate set of the excised serials as made available for access to HSC staff members are being maintained in one volume in Room 4426, JLEH.

ACTION:

None. For information.

Enclosure
62-116009

1 - 62-116494 (Houstudy) - 75
1 - 100-448006 (Black Extremist)
1 - 100-449000 (New Left)

NOT RECORDED

46 OCT 14 1975

DXP:lfj
(10)

ENCLOSURE

OTIS D. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT H. GAIARD, C. N. Y.
JAMES V. STANT, N. OHIO
RONALD V. DE LUCCA, CALIF.
EDWARD F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILGROM, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, ILL.

HENRY MCCLOY, ILL.
DAVID C. THOMAS, ILL.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, CALIF.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. GEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
MARION B. DONNER, CLERK

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-5731

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 15, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We understand from a conversation between Mr. Vermeire of our staff and Mr. Ryan of the FBI at FBI Headquarters on September 12, 1975, that, with respect to the Cointelpro summaries heretofore delivered to the Committee, the serial numbers on the various pages of the summaries refer to the raw, supportive documents relating to the Cointelpro programs as summarized on each page. Accordingly, we request access to all supportive documents in connection with the Cointelpro activities referred to by the following serial numbers. The respective page numbers of the summaries are also provided to assist you in preparing the material.

Black Extremist:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 1. 100-448006-15, 79, 81, 179 | (Page 6) |
| 2. 100-448006-16, 23 | (7) |
| 3. 100-448006-165 | (31) |
| 4. 100-448006-29 | (9) |
| 5. 100-448006-63 | (12) |
| 6. 100-448006-93 | (16) |
| 7. 100-448006-117, 137 | (18) |
| 8. 100-448006-123 | (21) |
| 9. 100-448006-125 | (23) |
| 10. 100-448006-171, 255, 280 | (33) |
| 11. 100-448006-222, 271 | (44) |

ENCLOSURE



Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 2

12.	100-448006-236, 867	(47)
13.	100-448006-297, 435	(53)
14.	100-448006-351	(63)
15.	100-448006-421, 430, 431	(74)
16.	100-448006-681, 824	(124)
17.	100-448006-685, 766	(125)
18.	100-448006-692, 847	(127)
19.	100-448006-740, 766, 795, 803, 878	(134)
20.	100-448006-770, 832	(142)
21.	100-448006-785, 876, 923	(145)
22.	100-448006-863, 869, 970, 957	(162)
23.	100-448006-923	(175)
24.	100-448006-1233	(225 and 226)
25.	100-448006-1244, 1499	(229)
26.	100-448006-1251, 1303, 1359	(230)
27.	100-448006-1264, 1648, 1269, 1282	(235)
28.	100-448006-1377	(269)
29.	100-448006-1335	(270)
30.	100-448006-1443, 1490	(280)
31.	100-448006-1621	(314)
32.	100-448006-1623, 1824	(315)
33.	100-448006-32, 1150, 1722	(342)
34.	100-448006-1880, 1890, 1925	(370)
35.	100-448006-1893 (157-9079-980)	(375)
36.	100-448006-2016	(396)

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 3

37. 100-448006-2209 (2) (460)

38. 100-448006-2308 (2) (509)

New Left:

39. ** (1)

40. 449698-34-64 (2-17)

" -34-65

" -34-66

" -34-74

" -34-75

" -34-76

" -34-78

" -34-79

" -34-81

" -34-82

" -34-85

" -34-86

" -34-90

" -34-92

" -34-93

" -34-99

41. 449698-42-7 (36)

42. 449698-44-10 (51)

43. 449698-46-15 (96)

" -46-16

" -46-18

44. 449698-50-13 (104)

45. 449698-53-23 (115)

46. 449698-56-6 (131)

47. 449698-61 (133)

48. 449698-62 (140)

49. 449698-66-4 (145)


** There is no serial number on this page.

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 4

50.	449698-258	(156)
51.	449698-341	(161)
	" -9-15	
52.	449698-5-16	(232)
	" -5-17	
53.	449698-5-19	(233)
	" -5-20	
54.	449698-5-22	(234)
	" -5-24	
55.	449698-5-29	(237)
	" -5-30	
56.	449698-5-929	(243)
	" -3473	
57.	449698-7, 7, 9	(254)
58.	449698-8-15	(258)
59.	449698-8-16	(259)
60.	449698-10-12	(281)
61.	449698-11-9	(285)
	" -11-31	
62.	449698-15-2	(298)
63.	449698-26-31	(338)
64.	449698-33-18	(361)

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director

1 - Mr. J.B. Adams
 2 - Mr. J.A. [redacted] ntz
 (1-Mr. J.B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. R.J. Gallagher
 (Route Through for Review)
 (Attention: J.C. Kraus)
 1 - Mr. W.R. Wannall

The Attorney General

September 25, 1975

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W.O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T.J. McNiff

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Reference is made to HSC letter dated September 5, 1975, requesting Bureau files or memoranda pertaining to an investigation into allegations of illegal wiretapping by Baltimore City police and the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum requesting that this Bureau be furnished with additional information which would be of assistance in specifically identifying the incident(s) prompting the above HSC inquiry.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (2)

62-116464

REC-102

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
 Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
 Special Counsel for
 Intelligence Coordination

TJM:bmf
 (11)

21 OCT 9 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____

Director Sec'y _____ MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

1 - Mr. J.B. Adams
 2 - Mr. J.A. Mintz
 - (1-Mr. J.B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. R.J. Gallagher
 (Route Through for Review)
 (Attention: J.C. Kraus)
 1 - Mr. W.R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W.O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T.J. McNiff

02-116464

September 25, 1975

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE TO
 STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH
 RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING
 ALLEGED ILLEGAL WIRETAPPING BY
 BALTIMORE CITY POLICE AND THE CHESAPEAKE AND
 POTOMAC TELEPHONE COMPANY

Reference is made to HSC letter dated September 5,
 1975, requesting "a copy of any files or memoranda per-
 taining to an investigation into allegations of illegal
 wiretapping by Baltimore City police and the Chesapeake
 and Potomac Telephone Company."

A review of Bureau files as they pertain to
 investigations concerning possible violations of the
 Interception of Communications statute failed to locate
 any material relating to a Bureau investigation of this
 nature involving Baltimore City police and the Chesapeake
 and Potomac Telephone Company.

Pending receipt from the HSC of further identifying
 information concerning the incident(s) which prompted the
 above inquiry, no additional efforts will be made by this
 Bureau to respond to HSC inquiry contained in referenced
 letter.

1 - The Attorney General

TJM:bmf
 (10)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

Assoc. Dir. — **NOTE:**

Dep. AD Adm. —

Dep. AD Inv. —

Asst. Dir.:

Admin. —

Comp. Syst. —

Ext. Affairs —

Files & Com. —

Gen. Inv. —

Ident. —

Inspection —

Intell. —

Laboratory —

Plan. & Eval. —

Spec. Inv. —

Training —

Legal Coun. —

Telephone Rm. —

Director Sec'y —

Search of Bureau files and contact with Baltimore
 Office in an attempt to identify above incident(s) was
 conducted by SA J.C. Kraus, General Investigative Division.

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

ENCLOSURE

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/25/75

U.S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE. 9/5/75 request
Caption of Document: Re: Request for Information

Concerning Alleged Illegal Wiretapping By
Baltimore City Police and the Chesapeake and
Potomac Telephone Company FBI

Delivered by Richard T. Taylor Date: 10/2/75

Received by: Jaqueline Hess

Title: Resumed Director

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

62-116464-95

ENCLOSURE

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available
for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER**9/25/75**

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

☒ HSC4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer,
interviewee, testifier and subject)**Memorandum**5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, other-
wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)**HSA letter 9/5/75**6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)**U**7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are
used underline for emphasis)**Allegations**

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

A review of FBI files as they pertain to investigations
concerning possible violations of the Interception of
Communications statute failed to locate any material relating
to a FBI investigation of this nature involving Baltimore City
police and the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company.

62-116464**FMK: fmk
(4)****ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY***10/8/75
RJD***TREAT AS YELLOW**

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
1 - Mr. D. W. Moore, Jr.
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)

The Attorney General

September 22, 1975

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. J. W. Johnson

18
UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE (HSC)

Reference is made to letter from the HSC dated August 22, 1975, requesting certain documents and other information from the FBI.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is an original of a memorandum which constitutes a response to the above-cited request of the HSC.

A copy of the memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures - 3

62-116464

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Sheheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

REC-102

62-116464-94

JWJ:sjm
(11)

OCT 9 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director's Sec'y _____

ENCLOSURE

WFW/TCL

PCW 10/1/75

JHM

TAM

Sma

10/1/75

84 OCT 10 1975 ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO 954-346

62-116464

1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
1 - Mr. D. W. Moore, Jr.
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. J. W. Johnson

September 22, 1975

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR FOURTEEN SECTIONS OF
FBI'S MANUAL OF INSTRUCTIONS (MOI)

Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 22, 1975,
requesting the HSC be furnished copies of fourteen sections or
portions of those sections.

The HSC requested the following sections of the MOI:

1. Section 10-D: Uniform Crime Reporting
2. Section 11-C and D
3. Section 27: Civil Rights
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 16, 1975.
4. Section 28: Civil Rights Act of 1964
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 16, 1975.
5. Section 36: Espionage
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 4, 1975.
6. Section 76: Obstruction of Justice
7. Section 87: Investigation of Subversive Agencies
and Individuals
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 4, 1975.
8. Section 102: Coordination with other Government
Agencies - Director's Agreements
9. Section 105: Internal Security - Nationalistic
Tendency (Foreign Intelligence)

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Enclosure

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO ATTORNEY GENERAL

JWJ:sjm
(10)

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

ENCLOSURE

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

U. S. House Select Committee
on Intelligence Activities (HSC)

10. Section 107: Internal Security Informants and Confidential Sources
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 10, 1975.
11. Section 108: Criminal Informants
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 10, 1975.
12. Section 109: Prosab
13. Section 122: Extremists and Civil Unrest
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 4, 1975.
14. Section 130: Extremists, Informants and Sources
Forwarded to HSC by letter dated September 10, 1975.

In compliance with this request, attached are the requested copies of sections not previously furnished to HSC.

Section 105 is classified "Secret" and in view of the Directive of the President of the United States issued during the weekend of September 13-14, 1975, not to furnish classified information to the HSC, the FBI is precluded from furnishing Section 105 at this time.

Section 87 is Investigations of Subversive Organizations and Individuals.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

This material, which is being furnished the HSC, fulfills the HSC request of 8/22/75, with the exception of Section 105 as noted in memoranda. Senstudy Representatives of General Investigative and External Affairs Divisions cleared passage of pertinent sections.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: House Select Committee

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/22/75

Caption of Document: Re HSC request for delivery of
certain sections of the FBI Manual of
Instructions. (Request dated 8/22/75.)

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor

Date: 9/24

Received by: Edm Andriak

Title: Adm Asst

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

62-116464-94

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER**9/22/75**

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☐ SSC☒ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 8/22/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Furnished copies of 14 sections or portions of those sections of the Manual of Instruction.**62-116464****FMK:fmk****(4)****ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY****10/6/75
mgd****TREAT AS YELLOW**

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

D. "WHY COMPILE CRIME STATISTICS?" - SUGGESTED RESPONSES

1. This is a cooperative project of the police themselves. The FBI acts merely as the clearing house and it is glad to serve law enforcement in this way.
2. The IACP says that law enforcement agencies should have adequate records; that it is good business. The statistical reports are just by-products.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

3. The presentation of basic crime data to the public helps get the very necessary public support needed for successful law enforcement work.
4. A comparison of crime increases with population increases may be of value in supporting requests for increased appropriations.
5. Similarly, if the police strength is below the average, this can be shown in requesting more personnel. Such averages are available only through the cooperation of law enforcement agencies in the uniform crime reporting program.
6. The sheriff and the chief of police in facing re-election or reappointment each needs facts to show that his administration has been efficient. Contributing crime data to a national clearing house is a mark of an up-to-date progressive organization.
7. If the chief or sheriff says that he has no crime or few crimes to report, he should be proud to have such a record on file.
8. If there is no one on duty at headquarters to record complaints or no office is maintained, encourage members of the agency to make notes and to later record their notes in an office record, such as "Register of Offenses Known." In the absence of other data the Bureau needs the number of offenses (column 4 of Return A) and a very minimum procedure would be for notes to be kept on a tally sheet during the month. Under such circumstances, the agency will not average one offense daily.
9. It is customary for most offenses of the types on Return A to be prosecuted in the courts of the county and so persons arrested by police are often turned over to the sheriff. Also, in small towns or cities the sheriff or state police may assist in or handle entirely the investigation of certain crimes and the police may limit their activities almost to traffic matters. In either situation, we need a report from the police. The police will or can know about a crime in their town even though they "don't handle it." The reports of sheriffs or state police are limited to crimes in rural areas outside of urban places (incorporated places with 2,500 or more inhabitants). So, we need a report from the urban police or their community will not be represented in our coverage.

C. ACCESSORY AFTER THE FACT

Title 18, USC, § 3, effective 9-1-48 (formerly § 551, Title 18, USC)

"Whoever, knowing that an offense against the United States has been committed, receives, relieves, comforts or assists the offender in order to hinder or prevent his apprehension, trial or punishment, is an accessory after the fact.

"Except as otherwise expressly provided by any Act of Congress, an accessory after the fact shall be imprisoned not more than one-half the maximum term of imprisonment or fined not more than one-half the maximum fine prescribed for the punishment of the principal, or both; or if the principal is punishable by death, the accessory shall be imprisoned not more than ten years."

D. MISPRISION OF FELONY (TITLE 18, USC, § 4)

"Whoever, having knowledge of the actual commission of a felony cognizable by a court of the United States, conceals and does not as soon as possible make known the same to some judge or other person in civil or military authority under the United States, shall be fined not more than \$500 or imprisoned not more than three years, or both."

SECTION 5. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
OBSTRUCTION OF COURT ORDER
[OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL
INVESTIGATIONS]

76A - B

A. STATUTES

Title 18, USC, §§ 1503, 1504, 1509 (effective 5-6-60), [and § 1510 (effective 11-3-67)]

§ 1503. Influencing or injuring officer, juror, or witness generally.
Penalty - 5 years or \$5,000 fine or both.

§ 1504. Influencing juror by writing. Penalty - 6 months or \$1,000 or both.

§ 1509. Obstruction of court orders. Part of the Civil Rights Act of 1960.
Penalty - \$1,000 and/or one year. (Specific Bureau authority required in all cases.)

[§ 1510. Obstruction of criminal investigations. Penalty - 5 years or \$5,000 fine or both.]

Other related violations described in Title 18, USC, include:

- § 1501. Assault on process server
- § 1502. Resistance to extradition agent
- § 1505. Obstruction of proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees (Specific Bureau authority required in all cases.)
- § 1506. Theft or alteration of record or process; false bail
- § 1507. Picketing or parading (Specific Bureau authority required in all cases.)
- § 1508. Recording, listening to, or observing proceedings of grand or petit juries while deliberating or voting

B. ELEMENTS

1. § 1503

- a. A person corruptly or by threat, force, threatening letter or communication
- b. Endeavors to influence, intimidate, or impede or
- c. Injures the person or property of
- d. A witness, juror, or other judicial officer
- e. Because of his discharging or having discharged his duty or
- f. Otherwise influences, obstructs, impedes, or endeavors to influence, obstruct, or impede justice

2. § 1504

- a. An attempt to influence the action of
- b. A juror upon any issue pending before the juror or pertaining to his duties
- c. By writing or sending him a written communication which
- d. Is in relation to such issue or matter

3. § 1509

- a. Existence of a Federal court order, judgment, or decree
- b. Knowledge by the subject of the existence of such order
- c. Use of threats or force by the subject
- d. For the purpose of preventing, obstructing, impeding, or interfering with, or willfully attempting to interfere with
 - (1) The exercise of rights under the court order; or
 - (2) The performance of duties under the court order

[4. § 1510

- a. Willfully endeavor by means of bribery, misrepresentation, intimidation, or force or threats thereof
- b. To obstruct, delay, or prevent the communication of information
- c. Relating to a violation of any criminal statute of the U. S.
- d. By any person to a criminal investigator (defined in the statute as any individual duly authorized by a department, agency, or armed force of the U. S. to conduct or engage in investigations of or prosecutions for violations of the criminal laws of the U. S.); or
- e. Injures any person in his person or property on account of the giving by such person or by any other person of any such information to any criminal investigator]

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

SECTION 76. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
OBSTRUCTION OF COURT ORDERS
OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL
INVESTIGATIONS

C. POLICY

1. Obstruction of justice and criminal investigations.

Full details of complaints must be furnished the Bureau expeditiously together with action being taken or recommended. Although USA ordinarily advised of all complaints, if, in sound judgement of SAC, circumstances of complaint or facts developed through investigation make it inadvisable to consult USA or to follow course desired by him, advise Bureau and take no further action pending Bureau instructions.

a. SAC may authorize investigation on request of USA or Federal judge in following situations:

- (1) If obstruction arose from case investigated by FBI. Add Obstruction of Justice to character of case and do not open separate file.
- (2) If obstruction arose from criminal case within jurisdiction of any Federal agency other than Secret Service, Internal Revenue Service, Immigration and Naturalization Service, [U. S. Customs Service,] Drug Enforcement Administration, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, and U. S. Postal Service.
- (3) If obstruction involves either actual bodily harm or threat thereof to a Federal judge or Federal juror, regardless of case out of which allegation arose.
- (4) If obstruction arose from civil case in Federal court in which neither U. S. Government nor any agency thereof is involved.

b. Prior Bureau authority is required where offense arose from:

- (1) Trial in which original investigation conducted by one of above mentioned agencies.
- (2) Proceedings before departments, agencies, and committees.
- (3) Labor controversy or other matter affecting national security.

If obstruction arose from case within jurisdiction of seven agencies mentioned above, it is position of Bureau and Department of Justice that obstruction investigation should be conducted by the other agency although coverage of leads in a distant area to assist the other agency may be authorized by Bureau upon full justification.

Before instituting authorized investigation related to trial in progress or about to begin, the USA must assure that the judge has been informed and is agreeable to investigation. Authority of USA and judge is necessary for interviews with witnesses or jurors in a pending trial. If an interview with a juror in a pending trial is requested, attention of the judge and USA should be directed to Supreme Court decisions in Remmer v. U. S., 347 U. S. 227, 350 U. S. 377; and Gold v. U. S., 352 U. S. 985 (1957). Tactfully inform them that in light of the Supreme Court's opinion in above cases, USA may desire to discuss the matter with the Criminal Division of the Department for clearance of investigation.

2. Obstruction of court orders

Obstruction of court order complaints or requests for investigation should be referred to the Bureau by telephone, teletype, airtel, or AMSD letter, depending upon the urgency of the particular situation.

Department has instructed USAs not to request FBI investigation or initiate prosecution of obstruction of court orders without departmental authority. Immediately advise the Bureau of any request for investigation with your recommendation as to whether investigation should be conducted. Conduct no investigation without Bureau authority.

D. INVESTIGATIVE PROCEDURE

1. Statutes treated in this chapter are designed to protect the dignity of courts and prevent corruption and obstruction in the administration of justice. Prosecutions in such cases generally result in widespread public notice and editorial comment. Investigation and testimony of Agents must be of such caliber that credit will be reflected on the Bureau.
2. Status of an individual as a person covered by the statutes may be established through introduction by competent witnesses of letters of appointment, official records of the court, subpoenas, summonses, jury panels, and similar documents. Such records can generally be located in the offices of Government agencies, clerks of court, U. S. [Magistrates,] and U. S. Marshals. The exact time when a person received appointment or was served with a subpoena or summons should be reported.

If investigations involving witnesses or jurors indicate possible perjury, necessary elements of perjury and subornation of perjury violations should be fully developed.

Question victims closely for complete details. Threats or use of force may have been preceded by other acts by subject, such as conversations, gratuities, and advice extended to victim in a disguised effort to curry his favor. Obtain signed statements where possible from victim and witnesses, and USA should be advised of any reluctance to testify.

Bureau has no statutory authority to afford "protection" to persons affected by these statutes. When such persons request protection, they should be referred to USA. These persons frequently appear at Bureau offices to gain "moral support" and should be treated with consideration. When victim fears physical harm, appropriate local law enforcement agency should be informed unless facts of case indicate this action undesirable.

Trace threatening letters or other communications to the accused by examinations of handwriting, typewriting, checks of hotel records, and such other means as are available. In event the communication is directed to or received by a person other than the intended victim, the relationship of the recipient to the victim should be shown, since under statute a violation may exist even if victim is not direct recipient of communication. Determine exact language of communication and all attending circumstances as it may be necessary to introduce evidence that a communication, although veiled, actually implies a threat. Follow instructions relative to transmittal of extortion letters to FBI Laboratory in the transmittal of letters constituting possible violations of obstruction of justice statutes.

Determine the particular interest of subject in the outcome of a trial or other proceeding. Subject's relationship by blood or marriage to parties to a suit, his membership in an interested organization, or monetary considerations may explain his actions. Determination of identities of persons who stand to gain from the particular outcome of a proceeding may serve to identify an unknown subject.

SECTION 76. OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE
OBSTRUCTION OF COURT ORDERS
[OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL
INVESTIGATIONS]

It must be shown that the threat, show of force, or communication was for one of the purposes enumerated in the statutes. The act itself may clearly indicate the purpose but corroborative evidence may be required. In event of injury to the person or property of a witness, juror, or other person named in the statutes, it must be shown that the subject committed the act to influence the performance of duty, or because of such performance, rather than because of some extraneous matter or dispute.

E. VENUE

In the district in which an act is committed, or district from, through, or into which a threatening letter or communication moves

F. CLASSIFICATION - 72

G. CHARACTER

1. Obstruction of justice

Substantive character - OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

If no substantive violation, OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

2. Obstruction of court orders

Substantive character - OBSTRUCTION OF COURT ORDERS

If no substantive violation, OBSTRUCTION OF COURT ORDERS

[3. Obstruction of criminal investigations

Substantive character - OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS

[If no substantive violation, OBSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS]

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

DIRECTIVES

(1) FBI Designated To Investigate Espionage, Sabotage, Etc.

On September 6, 1939, the President of the United States issued a directive as follows:

"The Attorney General has been requested by me to instruct the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice to take charge of investigative work in matters relating to espionage, sabotage, and violations of the neutrality regulations.

"This task must be conducted in a comprehensive and effective manner on a national basis, and all information must be carefully sifted out and correlated in order to avoid confusion and irresponsibility.

"To this end I request all police officers, sheriffs, and all other law enforcement officers in the United States promptly to turn over to the nearest representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any information obtained by them relating to espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, subversive activities and violations of the neutrality laws."

By virtue of the above authority, you will observe that all information concerning national defense matters wherein investigations will be required should be forwarded to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. It is obvious that such information should be forwarded promptly for delay is frequently fatal in the handling of cases of this type. It is further important that such information be forwarded directly rather than through some intermediate agency in order to prevent inaccuracies. Any intermediate agency of private citizens or law enforcement officers which would have for its purpose the appraising and evaluating of information would defeat a primary purpose of the President's directive, namely, that of permitting all information to come to the Federal Bureau of Investigation so that it may be indexed and consequently coordinated on a national basis.

(2) Establishment of Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference

On June 26, 1939, the President of the United States issued a confidential directive to the heads of the various Government Departments, which stated:

"It is my desire that the investigation of all espionage, counterespionage, and sabotage matters be controlled and handled by the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice, the Military Intelligence Division of the War Department, and the Office of Naval Intelligence of the Navy Department. The directors of these three agencies are to function as a committee to coordinate their activities.

"No investigations should be conducted by any investigative agency of the Government into matters involving actually or potentially any espionage, counterespionage, or sabotage, except by the three agencies mentioned above.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

"I shall be glad if you will instruct the heads of all other investigative agencies than the three named, to refer immediately to the nearest office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any data, information, or material that may come to their notice bearing directly or indirectly on espionage, counterespionage, or sabotage."

(3) FBI Again Designated To Handle Investigations of Espionage, Sabotage, Etc.

On January 8, 1943, the President of the United States issued a directive, which is quoted as follows, reiterating his previous directive of September 1939:

"On September 6, 1939, I issued a directive providing that the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice should take charge of investigative work in matters relating to espionage, sabotage and violations of the neutrality regulations, pointing out that the investigations must be conducted in a comprehensive manner, on a national basis, and all information carefully sifted out and correlated in order to avoid confusion and irresponsibility. I then requested all police officers, sheriffs, and other law enforcement officers in the United States, promptly to turn over to the nearest representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation any such information.

"I am again calling the attention of all enforcement officers to the request that they report all such information promptly to the nearest field representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, which is charged with the responsibility of correlating this material and referring matters which are under the jurisdiction of any other Federal Agency with responsibilities in this field to the appropriate agency.

"I suggest that all patriotic organizations and individuals likewise report all such information relating to espionage and related matters to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the same manner.

"I am confident that all law enforcement officers, who are now rendering such invaluable assistance toward the success of the internal safety of our country will cooperate in this matter."

On July 24, 1950, the President of the United States again issued a Directive which is quoted as follows, reiterating his previous Directives of September 6, 1939, and January 8, 1943:

"On September 6, 1939, and January 8, 1943, a Presidential Directive was issued providing that the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the Department of Justice should take charge of investigative work in matters relating to espionage, sabotage, subversive activities and related matters. It was pointed out that the investigations must be conducted in a comprehensive manner on a national basis and all information carefully sifted out and correlated in order to avoid confusion. I should like to again call the attention of all Enforcement Officers, both Federal and State, to the request that they report all information in the above enumerated fields promptly to the nearest field representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, which is charged with the responsibility of correlating this material and referring matters which are under the jurisdiction of any other Federal Agency with responsibilities in this field to the appropriate agency.

"I suggest that all patriotic organizations and individuals likewise report all such information relating to espionage, sabotage, and subversive activities to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in this same manner."

On December 15, 1953, the President of the United States issued the following statement:

"On September 6, 1939, January 8, 1943, and July 24, 1950, Presidential Directives were issued requesting all enforcement officers, both Federal and State, to report promptly all information relating to espionage, sabotage, subversive activities and related matters to the nearest field representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

"The Federal Bureau of Investigation is charged with investigating all violations of the Atomic Energy Act, including the illegal export or import of fissionable material, the illegal possession or transportation of fissionable material and the illegal production, transfer, or possession of any equipment or device utilizing fissionable material or atomic energy as a military weapon. 'Fissionable material' means plutonium, uranium-235 or other material which the Atomic Energy Commission has determined to be capable of releasing substantial quantities of energy through nuclear chain reaction. I am requesting that all enforcement officers, both Federal and State, report all information relating to violations of the Atomic Energy Act to the nearest field representative of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.

"I suggest that all patriotic organizations and individuals likewise report all such information to the Federal Bureau of Investigation in the same manner."

- (4) Establishment of IIC and ICIS under National Security Council
- Pursuant to the National Security Act of 1947, the National Security Council was established with the President as the Chairman of that council. The National Security Council caused a survey to be made of the internal security situation of the United States, together with a study of ways and means to insure that the internal security of the United States receives adequate attention. The following National Security Council Directive was approved by the President and the National Security Council on March 23, 1949, [and certain amendments thereto were approved on September 3 and 10, 1954:]

"1. There is hereby established under the National Security Council, pursuant to the provisions of Section 101 of the National Security Act, the following arrangements for the purpose of effecting more adequate and coordinated internal security.

"2. The following two permanent committees, together with such secretariat as may be required, shall be responsible for coordinating internal security.

a. The Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference (IIC) is responsible for the coordination of the investigation of all domestic espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, subversion and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security. It consists of the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Department of Justice; Chief of the Office of Naval Intelligence, Department of the Navy; Director of the Intelligence Division, Department of the Army; and the Director of the Office of Special Investigations, Department of the Air Force.

b. The Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security (ICIS) is hereby created and shall be responsible for coordinating all phases of the internal security field other than the functions outlined in paragraph 2-a above. It shall be composed of representatives from the Departments of State, Treasury, and Justice and the National Military Establishment.

"3. Both Committees shall invite non-member agency representatives as ad hoc members thereof when matters involving their responsibilities are under consideration.

"4. In accordance with arrangements to be determined in each case, there shall be transferred to the IIC and the ICIS for incorporation as subcommittees or for the absorption of their functions such existing committees as are operating in their respective fields of responsibility. The two committees shall also establish such new subcommittees as will assist them in carrying out their responsibilities.

"5. The IIC and the ICIS will, whenever appropriate, hold joint meetings or establish joint subcommittees.

"6. The National Security Council shall designate a representative who, under the direction of the Executive Secretary of the NSC, shall:

a. Assist and advise the NSC in coordinating the activities of the IIC and the ICIS;

b. Assist and advise the IIC and the ICIS in carrying out their respective responsibilities and in collaborating on problems of common interest;

c. Submit to the IIC or the ICIS questions which, in his opinion, require their consideration;

d. As representative of the NSC, participate as an observer and advisor in all meetings of the IIC and the ICIS;

e. Submit for consideration by the NSC problems which cannot be resolved by either the IIC or the ICIS or by the two committees

A SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

acting together, outlining any divergent solutions which have been proposed and his own recommendations;

f. Have no powers of instruction, direction or supervision over either the IIC or the ICIS.

"7. The IIC and the ICIS shall prepare and submit for consideration and approval by the National Security Council proposed charters for the IIC and the ICIS respectively. The Department of Justice representative shall serve as the Chairman of the ICIS for this purpose. The Executive Secretary of the National Security Council shall assist the IIC and the ICIS in coordinating the preparation of the proposed charters. These charters shall define, in accordance with the foregoing, the respective functions and responsibilities of the committees and shall provide for their chairmanship and staff."

(5) Charters for IIC and ICIS

As a result of the National Security Council Directive dated March 23, 1949, a charter for the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference was approved by the National Security Council on July 18, 1949, [and amendments thereto were made on September 3 and 10, 1954. The] charter reads as follows:

"Pursuant to the provisions of Section 101 of the National Security Act and NSC 17/4, as approved by the President, the National Security Council hereby authorizes and directs that the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference effect the coordination of all investigation of domestic espionage, counterespionage, sabotage and subversion, and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security. The IIC shall be guided by the terms of this charter.

"A. ORGANIZATION

"(1) The Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference shall be constituted as set forth in the Presidential Directive of June 26, 1939, that is, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Director of the Intelligence Division of the Army, the Director of Naval Intelligence, and in addition, the Director, Office of Special Investigations, U. S. Air Force, which office has been created since the issuance of the original Presidential Directive.

["(2) The chairman shall be designated by the President from the membership of the committee after consultation with the Attorney General. An Executive Secretary shall also be selected by the IIC, and he shall be responsible for maintaining the necessary records. Such additional secretariat as may be necessary and desirable shall be provided as needed. (The Director of the FBI was designated as the Chairman of the IIC by the President on September 17, 1954.)

"(3) The IIC, through its chairman, shall invite nonmember agency representatives as ad hoc members of the IIC when matters involving the responsibilities of such nonmembers are under consideration.

"(4) A representative designated by the NSC and under the direction of the Executive Secretary of the NSC shall participate as an observer and adviser in all meetings of the IIC. This NSC representative shall have no power of instruction, direction, or supervision over operations of the IIC.

"B. POWERS AND RESPONSIBILITIES

"(1) The IIC, in its field, or in collaboration with the ICIS, shall take the necessary action to insure the establishment and maintenance of the highest practicable state of internal security, including the making of necessary plans and preparations for adequate internal security in the event of a war-related emergency.

"(2) The IIC shall make appropriate studies and take action to insure that complete investigative coverage is maintained by appropriate departments and agencies in matters described herein.

"(3) The IIC shall take the necessary action to insure that there is no duplication of effort by governmental departments and agencies in those matters under its jurisdiction.

"(4) The IIC shall endeavor to resolve conflicts of jurisdiction in the investigative fields named herein and determine by what agency or department necessary investigations can be handled most logically and efficiently.

"(5) The IIC shall study and make recommendations with reference to legislation and executive orders needed to achieve the objectives set forth herein.

"(6) The IIC shall promote appropriate exchange and coordination of information, as well as action, among the various agencies and departments of the government.

"(7) The IIC shall incorporate under it or absorb the functions of existing interdepartmental committees which are operating in its field of responsibility.

"(8) The IIC shall perform such other functions as may be necessary or as may be assigned to it by the National Security Council or other appropriate authority falling within its field of coordination of all investigation of domestic espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, and subversion, and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security.

"C. PROCEDURE

"(1) The IIC shall establish such ad hoc and working committees as may be desirable and necessary for the purpose of discharging its responsibilities.

"(2) The IIC shall initiate and coordinate necessary studies, projects, and surveys relating to its responsibilities. It shall request, receive, and correlate suggestions or actual studies, projects, and surveys from nonmember agencies in the field of responsibility assigned to the IIC.

"(3) The IIC shall maintain coordination with the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security through the chairman of the IIC and the representative of the National Security Council. Whenever appropriate, the IIC will hold joint meetings or establish joint subcommittees with the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security.

"(4) The IIC shall submit to the National Security Council those problems which require consideration or action by the National Security Council and those problems which cannot be resolved and worked out through cooperative consideration and action.

"D. Nothing herein shall be construed as modifying or affecting the Presidential Directives issued to the members of the IIC relating to their individual responsibilities and duties. The Delimitations Agreement among the members of the IIC relating to the investigative responsibilities and duties of the individual members and other agreements among these members relating to the same matters shall remain in full force and effect and shall continue to be amended, changed, and supplemented at the discretion of the IIC."

On the same date, a charter for the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security was approved which charter directs that the Interdepartmental Committee on Internal Security shall coordinate all phases of the internal security field except as pertains to the following functions which are assigned to the IIC: the coordination of the investigation of all domestic espionage, counterespionage, sabotage, and subversion, and other related intelligence matters affecting internal security.

By National Security Action Memorandum 161 dated 6-9-62, the Attorney General was given primary responsibility for taking the initiative in the Government in ensuring the development of plans, programs, and action proposals to protect the internal security of the U. S. At the same time, he was given the supervision of IIC and ICIS. By memorandum dated 8-17-62, the Attorney General assumed this responsibility and directed that IIC and ICIS organizations and procedures were to continue unchanged, except that their reports or recommendations were to be directed to him rather than the National Security Council.

6. Division of internal security field

The field of internal security is now divided between IIC and ICIS. Any information which concerns action in the field covered by the ICIS should be promptly furnished to the Bureau by letter in order that the information may be referred to ICIS. Samples of this type of activity would be plans concerning physical protection of Government buildings or key industrial plants, entry into and exit from the U. S., civilian defense, and other internal security matters not specifically covered by the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference. Essentially, the ICIS is an action committee as contrasted to the information gathering character of the IIC.

7. United States Intelligence Board (USIB)

The USIB, formerly known as the Intelligence Advisory Committee, was established by National Security Council Intelligence Directive (NSCID) #1, approved by the President on 9-15-58. The USIB maintains the relationships essential to coordination between the Central Intelligence Agency and the other intelligence organizations. It is a group with which the Director of CIA consults and which examines and passes on national intelligence estimates before release to the National Security Council and the President. The USIB deals almost exclusively with foreign intelligence matters. The FBI is represented in order that foreign intelligence may be coordinated with domestic intelligence.

[In accordance with NSCID #1, the membership of USIB is as follows: The Director of Central Intelligence, Chairman; The Deputy Director of Central Intelligence, Vice Chairman; the Director of Intelligence and Research, Department of State; The Director, Defense Intelligence Agency; The Director, National Security Agency; a representative of the Secretary of the Treasury; a representative of the Energy Research and Development Administration; and a representative of the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation.]

8. Domestic exploitation of nongovernmental organizations and individuals [Under the provisions of NSCID #2, approved by the President on 9-15-58, CIA is authorized to exploit nongovernmental organizations and individuals for foreign intelligence purposes. The operating procedures pursuant to NSCID #2 authorizing CIA to engage in this activity are as follows:]
- a. Approach nongovernmental U. S. citizens without conducting a security check in order to determine their foreign intelligence potential or for the purpose of briefing or debriefing them on an unclassified basis. If CIA proposes to discuss classified information with a U. S. citizen, it must first submit a name check request through proper channels. If it is determined that the FBI has operational interest in a U. S. citizen, CIA will coordinate with us prior to further contact. CIA is authorized, in an emergency, to discuss information classified "Confidential" with a U. S. citizen without obtaining a prior record check.
 - b. Contact aliens to exploit foreign intelligence potential. However, prior to this contact, CIA will submit a name check to the FBI. If it is determined that we have an operational interest in an alien, CIA will be notified that its exploitation of the alien would interfere with our operations. When this situation arises, a resolution will be effected between the Bureau and CIA.

B. AGREEMENTS

1. Delimitations Agreement

The following agreement was approved and signed on 2-23-49 by the Directors of the Federal Bureau of Investigation; Intelligence Division of the Army; Office of Special Investigations, Air Force; and the Chief of Naval Intelligence:

"Subject: Delimitation of Investigative Duties of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Office of Naval Intelligence, the Intelligence Division of the Army, and the Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General, U. S. Air Force.

The Agreement for Coordination of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, Office of Naval Intelligence, Intelligence Division of the Army, and the Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General, U. S. Air Force.

"I. The undersigned have reviewed the directive contained in the President's Memorandum of June 26, 1939, as augmented by his Directive of September 6, 1939, the Delimitations Agreement of February 9, 1942, and the Presidential Directive of October 30, 1947. In addition, cognizance has been taken of the provisions of the Atomic Energy Act of 1946, and the specific application of that Act is set forth in Section II hereof. All other provisions of this Agreement are apart from and have no relation to the stated requirements of the Atomic Energy Act. In view of the above, it is now agreed that responsibility for the investigation of all activities coming under the categories of espionage, counterespionage, subversion and sabotage (hereinafter referred to as 'these categories') will be delimited as indicated hereinafter. The responsibility assumed by one organization in a given field carries with it the obligation to exchange freely and directly with the other subscribing organizations all information of mutual interest. When the organization with primary operating responsibility is unable for any reason to produce material in that field desired by the subscribing agencies, such special arrangements as may be legal or desirable will be worked out through negotiation at the national level prior to activity by one agency in another agency's field. It is recognized by the subscribers hereto that the Headquarters Department of the Army has decentralized such functions to its major subordinate commanders. When the major subordinate commanders of the Department of the Army cannot effect satisfactory special arrangements, the matter will be referred to the Director of Intelligence of the Army for further negotiations at the national level. Close cooperation and coordination between the four subscribing organizations is a mutually recognized necessity.

"II. FBI will be responsible for:

"1. All investigations of cases in these categories involving civilians and foreign nationals of all classes in the continental United States, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, [the State of Hawaii**], and the State of Alaska* except as specifically described hereinafter in Paragraph V, Section 5.

"2. All investigations of violations of the Atomic Energy Act of 1946. There are no territorial or personnel limitations on this provision.

"3. The coordination of the investigative activities of civilian agencies in the United States, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the State of Alaska except as specifically described hereinafter in Paragraph V, Section 5, which provide information regarding subversive movements and activities in these categories.

"4. Keeping the other subscribing organizations advised of important developments in these categories within its cognizance, particularly:

"a. Activities of inactive reserves of the armed service, including the National Guard.

"b. Developments affecting plants engaged in armed forces contracts.

"c. Developments concerning the strength, composition, and intentions of civilian groups within its cognizance which are classed as subversive and whose activities are a potential danger to the security of the United States.

"d. Developments affecting those vital facilities and vital utilities which have been designated by the Secretary of Defense.

"e. Developments affecting critical points of transportation and communications systems which have been designated by the Secretary of Defense.

"(For b, d, and e above no protective coverage is contemplated.)

"III. ID, Army will be responsible for:

"1. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving active and retired military personnel of the Army.

"2. The disposal, but not investigation, of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Army in the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

"3. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Army stationed in areas other than the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands,

"*Editorial revision of this Agreement to reflect the statehood of Alaska was approved by the IIC on January 7, 1959.

[**Revision to reflect the statehood of Hawaii was approved by the IIC on September 9, 1959.]

except that part of such investigations as have ramifications in the United States, Puerto Rico, or the Virgin Islands.

"4. The investigation of all cases in these categories involving civilians and foreign nationals who are not employees of the other subscribing organizations, in areas where the Army Commander has supreme jurisdiction over the armed forces stationed therein, including possessions of the United States other than Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.

"5. Informing the other subscribing organizations of any important developments.

"6. Advising the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the identity and location of the plants engaged in Army contracts.

"IV. ONI will be responsible for:

"1. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving active and retired naval personnel of the Navy.

"2. The disposal, but not investigation, of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Navy in the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

"3. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Navy stationed in areas other than the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, except that part of such investigations as have ramifications in the United States, Puerto Rico, or the Virgin Islands.

"4. The investigation of all cases in these categories involving civilians and foreign nationals who are not employees of the other subscribing organizations, in areas where the Navy Commander has supreme jurisdiction over the armed forces stationed therein, including possessions of the United States other than Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, and [in] the State of Alaska except as described in Section 5, below.

"5. The investigation of all cases in these categories involving civilians and foreign nationals who are not employees of the other subscribing organizations and with the exception of civilian employees of the Executive Branch of the Government on Kodiak and Afognak Islands adjacent to the Alaska Peninsula and Adak Island in the Aleutian Chain.*

"6. Informing the other subscribing organizations of any important developments.

"7. Advising the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the identity and location of the plants engaged in Navy contracts.

["*This paragraph added. See IIC Working Committee Minutes of September 15, 1954, and June 8, 1955.]

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

"V. The Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General, U. S. Air Force will be responsible for:

"1. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving active and retired military personnel of the Air Force.

"2. The disposal, but not investigation, of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Air Force in the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

"3. The investigation and disposal of all cases in these categories involving civilian employees of the Air Force stationed in areas other than the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands, except that part of such investigations as have ramifications in the United States, Puerto Rico, or the Virgin Islands.

"4. The investigation of all cases in these categories involving civilians and foreign nationals who are not employees of the other subscribing organizations, in areas where the Air Force Commander has supreme jurisdiction over the armed forces stationed therein, including possessions of the United States other than Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands. The investigation of the same cases described above in that portion of Alaska described in Section 5, below, with the exception of civilian employees of the Executive Branch of the Government.

"5. The investigation of all cases in these categories involving civilians, except as described hereinabove, (1) in that part of the Alaska Peninsula which is separated by a line drawn from Iliamna Bay northwest to the town of Old Iliamna and thence following the south shore of Lake Iliamna to the Kvichak River to the Kvichak Bay; (2) in the islands adjacent to the Alaska Peninsula excluding Kodiak and Afognak Islands which are the responsibility of the Office of Naval Intelligence; (3) in the Aleutian Islands excluding Adak Island which is the responsibility of the Office of Naval Intelligence; and (4) in the Pribilof Islands.*

"6. Informing the other subscribing organizations of any important developments.

"7. Advising the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the identity and location of the plants engaged in Air Force contracts.

"VI. 1. Where Sections III, IV, and V involve general territorial coverage, responsibility for such coverage will pass from one element of the armed forces to another automatically when a change of command responsibility ensues. This provision is subject to modification by direct agreement between the interested elements of the armed forces.

"2. While investigative jurisdiction over the civilian populace in former enemy territories occupied by the armed forces has been provided for in Sections III, IV, and V above, those provisions are subject to direct adjustment with the Department of State if and when that Department

[["*Original paragraph changed. See IIC Working Committee Minutes of September 15, 1954, and June 8, 1955.]

assumes governmental direction in such areas of occupation.

"VII. From time to time it may be desirable in the light of changing conditions to modify or amend this Delimitations Agreement. Subject to the exceptions already provided for above, general amendments or modifications involving all of the four subscribing organizations shall be issued in the form of a revised Delimitations Agreement and not as separate instructions.

"VIII. During periods of martial law, or periods of predominant armed forces interest not involving martial law, when agreed upon by the subscribing agencies, the provisions of Appendix A or B, hereto attached, will additionally apply.

"IX. All agreements of a continuing nature and applicable to two or more of the subscribing agencies to the Delimitations Agreement which affect the basic jurisdiction thereof which are now or hereafter mutually entered into by any of the subscribers thereto will be reduced to writing; will thereafter become supplements to the Delimitations Agreement, and distributed only to the extent agreed upon by the co-signers.

"APPENDIX A

PERIOD OF MARTIAL LAW

"I. It is further agreed that when a state of martial law has been declared by the President, the armed forces commander will assume responsibilities for coverage in these categories. His authority to direct and control the subscribing agencies of the armed forces will be limited only by such instructions as he may receive from the Secretary of Defense. He will have authority to coordinate the intelligence activities of the subscribing agencies in his area of responsibility, within the limits of their available personnel and facilities, by the assignment of missions, the designation of objectives, and the exercise of such coordinating control as he deems necessary. He is not authorized to control the administration or discipline of the subscribing agencies in the area of his responsibility, nor to issue instructions to them beyond those necessary for the purposes stated above.

"II. Personnel of the subscribing agencies in the area of responsibility of the armed forces commander will still send reports to and be under the continued supervision of their respective headquarters. They will render such aid and assistance to the armed forces commander and his designated representatives as are possible and practicable. They will furnish all pertinent information, data, and other material that are or may be necessary or desirable to the armed forces commander by the most expeditious means and methods possible consistent with requisite security. Each headquarters of the subscribing agencies will promptly be advised by its agencies of all information and data appropriately identified as having been furnished to the armed forces commander.

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

"APPENDIX B

PERIODS OF PREDOMINANT MILITARY
INTERESTS, NOT INVOLVING MARTIAL LAW

"I. In time of war certain areas will come into prominence as potential theaters of operation. When an armed forces commander of such a potential theater is designated, he definitely has interest in, though not control of, the civilian life within the area. In order that the armed forces commander may prepare himself for the discharge of the possible responsibility which may affix to him, the following procedure is agreed upon:

"1. Agents of the FBI; of ONI; ID, Army; and the OSI, IG, U.S. Air Force will continue to function in accordance with the provisions of Sections II, III, IV, and V.

"2. In addition thereto, the armed forces commander may take steps to analyze the facilities existing and to explore the manner in which complete coverage will be obtained if martial law is declared. Adequate liaison with the other subscribing agencies will insure that the armed forces commander will have the benefit of the experience, judgment and knowledge of the representatives of the other agencies.

"3. The armed forces commander is authorized to request and receive such information from the other three agencies as he may desire and they may be able to furnish.

"II. The analysis and exploration referred to above will show the coverage furnished by each of the subscribing agencies and any additional coverage each subscribing agency can undertake. When the commander feels that more complete coverage is required, it is recognized that he is authorized to augment the coverage with such elements of the subscribing agencies as are under his jurisdiction. Prior to any invasion of the spheres normally coming under the cognizance of the other subscribing agencies, the armed forces commander should obtain the necessary authority from the Secretary of Defense.

"III. Irrespective of the fact that the preceding recommendations have placed the initiative in the hands of the armed forces commander, whenever any of the other services feel that such a survey to determine adequacy of coverage should be undertaken, it should be so recommended."

(1) Instructions

The major differences between the foregoing Delimitations Agreement and previous versions are as follows:

The Director, OSI, USAF, is a party thereto.

The Bureau has jurisdiction over all civilians insofar as espionage, counterespionage, subversion and sabotage are concerned, regardless of employment.

2. Supplemental Agreements to the Delimitations Agreement

On 6-2-49, five supplemental agreements to the Delimitations Agreement were approved by the members of the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference, and they are set out below. Instructions, where necessary, concerning each of the supplements will be found at the end of that supplement:

a. Supplemental Agreement No. [I] to the Delimitations Agreement:

"COOPERATION AND COORDINATION BETWEEN
THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION OF THE ARMY
THE OFFICE OF NAVAL INTELLIGENCE AND

THE OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS, INSPECTOR GENERAL, U. S. AIR FORCE

"The Director of Intelligence, U. S. Army, the Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, the Director of Naval Intelligence and the Director of the Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General, U. S. Air Force, with a view to promoting the closest possible cooperation and coordination between the Field Services of these agencies agree that the following recommendations will be transmitted to their field installations:

"(a) Special Agents in Charge of the Federal Bureau of Investigation Divisional Offices, Military Intelligence Officers of the pertinent Armies, District Officers of the Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General, U. S. Air Force, and Naval District Intelligence Officers will maintain close personal liaison between those offices and their representatives, including a meeting of representatives of the four agencies, preferably the officers in charge, at least twice per month, for the purpose of discussing pending and contemplated investigative activities, and any other subject necessary to insure that there is proper coordination of their investigative work.

"(b) The close personal liaison to be maintained between representatives of the four agencies at all times should insure that there is no duplication of effort in any field, and that a proper coverage of the whole investigative field is maintained. Particular attention should be paid to avoiding any duplication in connection with the use of informers.

"(c) A distinction should be recognized between the investigative interest of individual agencies and the coverage interest. It is believed that all four agencies should study, from time to time, the coverage of the investigative field in order to insure that all channels of interest and avenues of information are adequately covered by at least one of the participating agencies.

"(d) Where there is doubt as to whether or not one of the other agencies is interested in information collected, it should be transmitted to the other agency.

"(e) Consideration should be given to the fact that certain classes of information are of general interest to the Military, Naval, and

Air Force intelligence services in connection with background and knowledge of espionage and sabotage organizations, even though the military, Naval, and Air Force intelligence services may have no apparent direct investigative interest.

"(f) Should differences of opinion of a minor nature occur, the directors of the four intelligence agencies feel that with proper personal liaison, such differences can be satisfactorily adjusted locally by the officials in charge of the various divisional organizations."

(1) Instructions

This supplement recommends meetings at least twice monthly of the interested agencies. Where desirable or necessary, these meetings may be held more often than twice per month and in certain areas, because of the travel distances involved or other factors, meetings are being held once per month by special agreement. It is not necessary that the Bureau be advised of the business discussed at these meetings, unless there is a particular matter of which the Bureau should be cognizant. The Bureau should be promptly informed of any change in the scheduling of these meetings or of any agreement to commence or discontinue meetings in a field office area.

- b. Supplemental Agreement No. II to the Delimitations Agreement: [Rescinded.]
- c. Supplemental Agreement No. III to the Delimitations Agreement:

"INVESTIGATIVE JURISDICTION ON VESSELS
OF MILITARY SEA TRANSPORTATION SERVICE

"1. The investigative jurisdiction of all activities under the categories of espionage, counterespionage, subversion and sabotage on vessels of the Military Sea Transportation Service is as follows:

"a. Investigative jurisdiction on vessels purchased by the Navy will be the responsibility of the Office of Naval Intelligence.

"b. Vessels obtained by the Navy through a 'bare boat charter' will be under the investigative jurisdiction of the Office of Naval Intelligence.

"c. Vessels allocated to the Military Sea Transportation Service which are manned and supervised by their private owners are under the investigative jurisdiction of the FBI.

"2. When personnel who are subjects of an investigation under a or b above reach a U. S. port or a port where the FBI has a field agency, or prior to reaching such port, if practicable, the Department of the Navy will promptly furnish the FBI all pertinent information concerning the investigation. If personnel who are subjects of an investigation as above remove themselves from the vessel upon arrival of the vessel in port and further surveillance is considered necessary, the Navy will continue surveillance until notified by the FBI at local level that the FBI has taken over full responsibility for the case. However, both the Navy and FBI will make every effort to transfer responsibility upon arrival of the vessel at the port.

"3. When the FBI opens an investigation under c above or when an FBI investigation in progress develops or is believed about to develop ramifications under a, b, or c above, the FBI will promptly furnish all pertinent information to the Navy and will thereafter coordinate its actions with the Navy whenever and wherever deemed necessary to insure proper pursuit of the case."

d. Supplemental Agreement No. [IV] to the Delimitations Agreement:

"INVESTIGATION OF PRIVATE CONTRACTORS OF THE ARMED FORCES

"It is agreed by the subscribers of the Delimitations Agreement (hereinafter referred to as subscribers) that with reference investigations of civilian employees, applicants for employment, directors and key personnel of privately owned plants and facilities, working or bidding on contracts important to the Army, Navy, or Air Force (hereinafter referred to as the Armed Forces) procurement, the following will be followed insofar as practicable. Exceptions thereto will be adjusted by mutual agreement between the subscribers concerned.

"(a) The Armed Forces will conduct background investigations of such persons unless there is a particular reason for requesting that an investigation be conducted by the FBI or the FBI has a special interest in a particular case and has notified the Armed Forces of such interest.

"(b) The FBI will conduct complaint investigation of such personnel upon specific request in each case by one or more of the Armed Forces. If one of the Armed Forces has no further need for an investigation requested, but not completed, prompt notification will be made to the FBI.

"(c) Investigations conducted by the Armed Forces under (a) above will be terminated and all information passed to the FBI when credible derogatory information of a loyalty nature is uncovered. Upon receipt of such a case, the FBI will proceed as under (b) above if one of the Armed Forces so requests.

"(d) When one of the subscribers is aware that another is conducting an investigation on a person or firm identical or closely connected with a person or firm it contemplates investigating, no investigation will be undertaken without the consent of the subscriber which has an investigation in progress. The investigation agency will make available to the other interested agency copies of the reports of the investigation made.

"(e) Normally, identity of confidential informants will not be communicated from one subscriber to another; however, when such communication occurs, the receiving subscriber will employ the highest possible safeguards to insure that such informants are not compromised. No transmittal of information concerning confidential informants to a third subscriber or to another agency is authorized except by specific consent of the originator.

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

"(f) A subscriber who receives a report of investigation from another subscriber may freely transmit copies of such reports to a third subscriber except as provided in (e) above.

"(g) A report of completed investigation received by the Armed Forces from the FBI will not be reopened without the approval of the FBI except to obtain additional background information. In such case, the FBI will be furnished copies of all information obtained. Other information required by the Armed Forces will be requested of the FBI.

"(h) If one of the Armed Forces requests the FBI to conduct an investigation or to conduct additional investigation as provided under (g) above, and if for any reason the FBI declines or is unable to conduct such investigation or additional investigation, the Armed Force concerned may proceed with the investigation. The FBI will be furnished copies of the results thereof if the FBI so requests or if the Armed Force concerned considers the results to be of FBI interest.

"(i) Nothing above is to be construed as altering the basic Delimitations Agreement of 1949 or current agreements concerning subversive organizations."

e. Supplemental Agreement No. [V] to the Delimitations Agreement:

"INVESTIGATION OF RESERVE AND CIVILIAN COMPONENTS OF THE ARMED SERVICES

"It is agreed by the subscribers to the Delimitations Agreement that the Army, the Navy and the Air Force may conduct background investigations on members of the inactive reserve and National Guard who are anticipated being called back to active duty, or where an investigation is undertaken for the purpose of determining whether the individual should be disenrolled from his military status, or to determine whether the individual may be granted access to classified military information. The following procedures shall be pursued in each of the above circumstances:

"(1) The intelligence agencies of the Army, Navy or Air Force before undertaking such background investigation will consult the FBI to determine: (a) if the FBI has an investigation in progress; if so, no investigation will be undertaken by the intelligence agencies except as may be mutually agreeable to the Armed Force concerned and the FBI; (b) if the FBI already has made an investigation; if so, the results will be made available to the other agencies; (c) if the FBI has information on the individual even though it may not have conducted an investigation.

"(2) If, after consulting the FBI, investigation is undertaken by the other agencies, it will be pursued no further than is necessary to make the determination required by the other agencies, and all information developed pertinent to the four categories will be furnished promptly to the FBI."

This supplement has been discussed by the Interdepartmental Intelligence Conference, and it was agreed on 9-10-58 that the "consultation" requirement will be satisfied if the FBI is notified, by means of a statement included in a form request for a name check, that investigation is being initiated concerning the individual.

[f. Supplemental Agreement No. VI to the Delimitations Agreement:

"INVESTIGATION IN AREAS NOT
SPECIFICALLY ASSIGNED

"It is agreed by the military subscribers to the Delimitations Agreement that the references therein in paragraphs III. 4., IV. 4., and V. 4. to 'areas where the Army (Navy, Air Force) Commander has supreme jurisdiction over the armed forces stationed therein' shall be interpreted as referring to areas where the Department of the Army (Navy, Air Force) is responsible for the administrative and logistic support of the Headquarters of Commands established by the Secretary of Defense." (Approved by IIC November 4, 1959.)]

C. INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF MILITARY PERSONNEL

[1. Agreement between the Departments of Justice and Defense]

The following agreement was approved and entered into by the Departments of Justice and Defense relative to the investigation and prosecution of crimes committed by individuals subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice:

"MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENTS OF JUSTICE AND DEFENSE RELATING TO THE INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF CRIMES OVER WHICH THE TWO DEPARTMENTS HAVE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION

"Whereas, certain crimes committed by individuals subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice may be prosecuted by military tribunals under that Code or by civilian authorities in the Federal Courts; and

"Whereas, it is recognized that although the administration and discipline of the armed services require that certain types of crimes committed by their personnel be investigated by those services and prosecuted before military tribunals, other types of crimes committed by military personnel should be investigated by civil authorities and prosecuted before civil tribunals; and

"Whereas, it is recognized that it is not feasible to impose inflexible rules to determine the respective responsibility of the civilian and military authorities as to each crime over which they may have concurrent jurisdiction and that informal arrangements and agreements may be necessary with respect to specific crimes or investigations; and

"Whereas, agreement between the Department of Justice and the Department of Defense as to the general areas in which they will investigate and prosecute crimes to which both civil and military jurisdiction attach will, nevertheless, tend to make the investigation and prosecution of crimes more expeditious and efficient and give appropriate effect to the policies of civil government and the requirements of the armed forces:

"It is hereby agreed and understood between the Department of Justice and the Department of Defense as follows:

"1. Crimes committed on military installations. Except as hereinafter indicated, all crimes committed on a military installation by individuals subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice shall be investigated and prosecuted by the military department concerned if such department makes a determination that there is a reasonable likelihood that only individuals subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice are involved in such crime as principals or accessories, and, except in extraordinary cases, that there is no victim other than persons who are subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice or who are bona fide dependents or members of a household of military or civilian personnel residing on the installation. Unless such a determination is made, the military department concerned shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation of any crime committed on a military installation if such crime is within the investigative authority of the FBI. The FBI shall investigate any serious crime of which it has been so advised for the purpose of prosecution in the civil courts unless the Department of Justice determines that investigation and prosecution may be conducted more efficiently and expeditiously by the military department concerned. Even if the determination provided for in the first sentence of this paragraph is made by the military department concerned, it shall promptly advise the FBI of any crime committed on a military installation in which there is a victim who is not subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice or a bona fide dependent or member of the household of military or civilian personnel residing on the installation and that such department is investigating the crime because it has been determined to be extraordinary. The military department concerned

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

"shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation whenever the crime, except in minor offenses, involves fraud against the government, misappropriation, robbery, or theft of government property or funds, or is of a similar nature. All such crimes shall be investigated by the military department concerned unless it receives prompt advice that the Department of Justice has determined that the crime should be investigated by the FBI and that the FBI will undertake the investigation for the purpose of prosecution in the civil courts.

"2. Crimes committed outside of military installations. Except as hereinafter indicated, all crimes committed outside of military installations, which fall within the investigative jurisdiction of the FBI and in which there is involved as a suspect an individual subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, shall be investigated by the FBI for the purpose of prosecution in civil courts, unless the Department of Justice determines that investigation and prosecution may be conducted more efficiently and expeditiously by other authorities. All such crimes which come first to the attention of military authorities shall be referred promptly by them to the FBI, unless relieved of this requirement by the FBI as to particular types or classes of crimes. However, whenever military personnel are engaged in scheduled military activities outside of military installations such as organized maneuvers or organized movement, the provisions of paragraph 1 above shall apply, unless persons not subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice are involved as principals, accessories or victims.

"If, however, there is involved as a suspect or as an accused in any crime committed outside of a military installation and falling within the investigative authority of the FBI an individual who is subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice and if the military authorities believe that the crime involves special factors relating to the administration and discipline of the armed forces which would justify investigation by them for the purpose of prosecution before a military tribunal, they shall promptly advise the FBI of the crime and indicate their views on the matter. Investigation of such a crime may be undertaken by the military authorities if the Department of Justice agrees.

"3. Transfer of investigative authority. An investigative body which has initiated an investigation pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2 hereof shall have exclusive investigative authority and may proceed therewith to prosecution. If, however, any investigative body comes to the view that effectuation of those paragraphs requires the transfer of investigative authority over a crime, investigation of which has already been initiated by that or by any other investigative body, it shall promptly advise the other interested investigative body of its views. By agreement between the Departments of Justice and Defense, investigative authority may then be transferred.

"4. Administrative action. Exercise of exclusive investigative authority by the FBI pursuant to this agreement shall not preclude the military authorities from making inquiries for the purpose of administrative action related to the crime being investigated. The FBI will make the results of its investigations available to the military authorities for use in connection with such action.

"Whenever possible, decisions with respect to the application in particular cases of the provisions of this Memorandum of Understanding will be made at the local level, that is, between the Special Agent in Charge of the local office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the local military commander.

"5. Surrender of suspects. To the extent of the legal authority conferred upon them, the Department of Justice and the military authorities will each deliver to the other promptly suspects and accused individuals if authority to investigate the crimes in which such accused individuals and suspects are involved is lodged in the other by paragraphs 1 and 2 hereof.

"Nothing in this memorandum shall prevent a military department from prompt arrest and detention of any person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice whenever there is knowledge or reasonable basis to believe that such a person has committed an offense in violation of such code and detaining such person until he is delivered to the Federal Bureau of Investigation if such action is required pursuant to this memorandum.

"6. Exception. This agreement shall not affect investigative authority now fixed by the Delimitations Agreement between the FBI, Office of Naval Intelligence, Intelligence Division of the Army and the Office of Special Investigations, Inspector General United States Air Force.

"Approved:

/s/ Herbert Brownell, Jr.
Herbert Brownell, Jr.
Attorney General

/s/ C. E. Wilson
Charles E. Wilson
Secretary of Defense

Date July 15, 1955

July 19, 1955 "

a. Jurisdiction demarcation

The following is a summary of the investigative demarcation between the Bureau and the military:

(1) Crimes on military installations

(a) FBI jurisdiction

- I. All serious crimes in which military make determination persons other than those subject to Uniform Code of Military Justice are involved as principals or accessories
- II. All serious crimes except extraordinary cases wherein victim is a civilian and not a dependent or member of the household of military or civilian personnel residing on the military installation
- III. With the exception of minor offenses, all fraud against the Government, misappropriation, robbery or theft of Government property or funds, or offenses of a similar nature and where the Department of Justice determines the FBI should make the investigation

(b) Military jurisdiction

- I. Crimes involving persons subject to Uniform Code of Military Justice as principals, accessories, or victims exclusively
- II. Crimes involving persons subject to Uniform Code of Military Justice as principals or accessories exclusively and no victim other than person who is bona fide dependent or a member of a household of military or civilian personnel residing on military installation
- III. Crimes involving persons subject to Uniform Code of Military Justice as principals or accessories exclusively and victim is civilian in extraordinary case, although military must advise FBI of such a case
- IV. Minor offenses involving fraud against the Government, robbery, or theft of Government property or funds, and similar-type offenses

(2) Crimes committed outside of military installation

The FBI will handle all crimes within its jurisdiction regardless of who is involved unless:

- (a) Military personnel are engaged in scheduled military activities, such as organized maneuvers, and only military persons are involved as principals, accessories, or victims; or
- (b) The crime involves "special factors relating to the administration and discipline of the armed forces."

- b. Close liaison must be maintained on all military installations to immediately and thoroughly investigate those crimes within our jurisdiction pursuant to this agreement. The bureau cannot allow any situation to occur wherein there is a delay on our part in instituting such an investigation.
 - c. When presenting cases to the USA or AUSA within the provisions of the agreement, it must be specifically pointed out that the case is covered by the agreement.
 - d. If any problems arise in your contacts with the various USAs or military officials relative to this agreement, the Bureau must be immediately advised.
2. Agreement between the Departments of Justice and Transportation
- The following agreement was approved and entered into between the Departments of Justice and Transportation relative to the investigation and prosecution of crimes committed by members of the U. S. Coast Guard subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice:

"MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE DEPARTMENTS OF JUSTICE AND TRANSPORTATION (COAST GUARD) RELATING TO THE INVESTIGATION AND PROSECUTION OF CRIMES OVER WHICH THE TWO DEPARTMENTS HAVE CONCURRENT JURISDICTION

"Whereas, certain crimes committed by Coast Guard personnel subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice may be prosecuted by Coast Guard tribunals under that Code or by civilian authorities in the Federal Courts; and

"Whereas, it is recognized that although the administration and discipline of the Coast Guard requires that certain types of crimes committed by its personnel be investigated by that service and prosecuted before Coast Guard military tribunals other types of crimes committed by such military personnel should be investigated by civil authorities and prosecuted before civil tribunals; and

"Whereas, it is recognized that it is not feasible to impose inflexible rules to determine the respective responsibility of the civilian and Coast Guard military authorities as to each crime over which they may have concurrent jurisdiction and that informal arrangements and agreements may be necessary with respect to specific crimes or investigations; and

"Whereas, agreement between the Department of Justice and the Department of Transportation (Coast Guard) as to the general areas in which they will investigate and prosecute crimes to which both civil and military jurisdiction attach will, nevertheless, tend to make the investigation and prosecution of crimes more expeditious and efficient and give appropriate effect to the policies of civil government and the requirements of the United States Coast Guard;

"It is hereby agreed and understood between the Department of Justice and the Department of Transportation (Coast Guard) as follows:

"1. Crimes committed on military installations (including aircraft and vessels). Except as hereinafter indicated, all crimes committed on a military installation by Coast Guard personnel subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice shall be investigated and prosecuted by the Coast Guard if the Coast Guard makes a determination that there is a reasonable likelihood that only Coast Guard personnel subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice are involved in such crime as principals or accessories, and, except in extraordinary cases, that there is no victim other than persons who are subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice or who are bona fide dependents or members of a household of military or civilian personnel residing on the installation. Unless such a determination is made, the Coast Guard shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation of any crime committed on a military installation if such crime is within the investigative authority of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The Federal Bureau of Investigation shall investigate any serious crime of which it has been so advised for the purpose of prosecution in the civil courts unless the Department of Justice determines that investigation and prosecution may be conducted more efficiently and expeditiously by the Coast Guard. Even if the determination provided for in the first sentence of this paragraph is made by the Coast Guard, it shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation of any crime committed on a military installation in which there is a victim who is not subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice or a bona fide dependent or member of the household of military or civilian personnel residing on the installation and that the Coast Guard is investigating the crime because it has been determined to be extraordinary. The Coast Guard shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation whenever the crime, except in minor offenses, involves fraud against the government, misappropriation, robbery, or theft of government property or funds, or is of a similar nature. All such crimes shall be investigated by the Coast Guard unless it receives prompt advice that the Department of Justice has determined that the crime should be investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation and that the Federal Bureau of Investigation will undertake the investigation for the purpose of prosecution in the civil courts.

"2. Crimes committed outside of military installations. Except as hereinafter indicated, all crimes committed outside of military installations, which fall within the investigative jurisdiction of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and in which there is involved as a suspect an individual subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice, shall be investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation for the purpose of prosecution in civil courts, unless the Department of Justice determines that investigation and prosecution may be conducted more efficiently and expeditiously by other authorities. All such crimes which come first to the attention of Coast Guard authorities shall be referred promptly by them to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, unless relieved of this requirement by the Federal Bureau of Investigation as to particular types or classes of crime. However, whenever Coast Guard military personnel are engaged in scheduled military activities outside of military installations such as organized maneuvers or organized movement, the provisions of paragraph 1 above shall apply, unless persons not subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice are involved as principals, accessories or victims.

"If, however, there is involved as a suspect or as an accused in any crime committed outside of a military installation and falling within the investigative authority of the Federal Bureau of Investigation an individual who is subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice and if the Coast Guard authorities believe that the crime involves special factors relating to the administration and discipline of the Coast Guard which would justify investigation by them for the purpose of prosecution before a Coast Guard military tribunal, they shall promptly advise the Federal Bureau of Investigation of the crime and indicate their views on the matter. Investigation of such a crime may be undertaken by the Coast Guard military authorities if the Department of Justice agrees.

"3. Transfer of investigative authority. An investigative body of the Coast Guard which has initiated an investigation pursuant to paragraphs 1 and 2 hereof shall have exclusive investigative authority and may proceed therewith to prosecution. If, however, any Coast Guard investigative body comes to the view that effectuation of those paragraphs requires the transfer of investigative authority over a crime, investigation of which has already been initiated by that or by any other investigative body, it shall promptly advise the other interested investigative body of its views. By agreement between the Departments of Justice and Transportation (Coast Guard), investigative authority may then be transferred.

"4. Administrative action. Exercise of exclusive investigative authority by the Federal Bureau of Investigation pursuant to this agreement shall not preclude Coast Guard military authorities from making inquiries for the purpose of administrative action related to the crime being investigated. The Federal Bureau of Investigation will make the results of its investigations available to Coast Guard military authorities for use in connection with such action.

"Whenever possible, decisions with respect to the application in particular cases of the provisions of this Memorandum of Understanding will be made at the local level, that is, between the Special Agent in Charge of the local office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation and the local Coast Guard military commander.

"5. Surrender of suspects. To the extent of the legal authority conferred upon them, the Department of Justice and Coast Guard military authorities will each deliver to the other promptly suspects and accused individuals if authority to investigate the crimes in which such accused individuals and suspects are involved is lodged in the other by paragraphs 1 and 2 hereof.

"Nothing in this memorandum shall prevent the Coast Guard from prompt arrest and detention of any person subject to the Uniform Code of Military Justice whenever there is knowledge or reasonable basis to believe that such a person has committed an offense in violation of such code and detaining such person until he is delivered to the Federal Bureau of Investigation if such action is required pursuant to this memorandum.

"Approved:

/s/ Ramsey Clark

/s/ Alan S. Boyd

Ramsey Clark
Attorney General

Alan S. Boyd
Secretary of Transportation

Date: 9 October 1967

Date: 24 October 1967"

- a. This agreement is similar in all respects to the agreement between the Departments of Justice and Defense covering the investigation and prosecution of military personnel. [The agreement set forth above is the same as the agreement which previously existed between the Departments of Justice and Treasury. A new agreement was signed in October, 1967, because the Coast Guard was made a part of the Department of Transportation rather than the Treasury Department.] Instructions concerning the agreement between the Departments of Justice and Defense apply equally with reference to the Coast Guard Agreement.
- b. If any problems arise in your contacts with the various USAs or military officials relative to this agreement, the Bureau must be immediately advised.

D. LIAISON WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

To insure adequate and effective liaison arrangements, each SAC should specifically designate an Agent (or Agents) to be responsible for developing and maintaining liaison with other Federal agencies. This liaison should take into consideration FBI-agency community of interests, location of agency headquarters, and the responsiveness of agency representatives. In each instance, liaison contacts should be developed to include a close friendly relationship, mutual understanding of FBI and agency jurisdictions, and an indicated willingness by the agency representative to coordinate activities and to discuss problems of mutual interest. Each field office should determine those Federal agencies which are represented locally and with which liaison should be conducted.

The various local military intelligence agencies throughout the country issue and disseminate domestic intelligence summaries on a regular periodic basis which contain a review of security matters within the military area where prepared. These summaries are compiled from research and analysis of domestic intelligence information received from various sources including data which was disseminated to these agencies by our various offices located in the region covered by these military groups. In order to insure that our information is being used properly and to make certain that any data set forth in these summaries which could cause potential embarrassment to the Bureau is called to the Bureau's attention, it is essential that these summaries be promptly reviewed by the appropriate offices upon publication. In making the review, particular attention should be paid to information involving matters of nationwide newspaper controversy and that which is of such a highly important nature that it is possible its improper use could prove embarrassing to the Bureau. Any such information noted should be promptly called to the Bureau's attention under the caption of the subject matter involved, together with observations and recommendations as to what steps have been or should be taken to correct the situation.

E. FOREIGN LIAISON**1. Central Intelligence Agency (CIA)**

CIA operates under the provisions of the National Security Act of 1947 and National Security Council directives. The agency is responsible for the collection, collation, evaluation, coordination, and dissemination of intelligence information. CIA does not have police, subpoena, law enforcement powers, or internal security functions. Its duties include espionage and counterintelligence operations outside the U. S. and its possessions. One of the agency's primary functions is the handling of political and psychological warfare operations. Most of CIA's operational activities in the field are of a clandestine nature and involve the use of covert employees and organizations. Although the agency's activities are concentrated outside the country, CIA does perform certain operations in the U. S. Such activity is conducted within agreed-to limits in order to prevent the invasion of Bureau jurisdiction and interference with Bureau efforts to discharge internal security responsibilities. CIA conducts investigations of its applicants and individuals who are being considered for utilization in a foreign

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

intelligence operation. These investigations usually are conducted by CIA personnel using authorized cover of the Department of Defense and the Treasury Department. The agency interviews aliens, businessmen, and travelers for the development of positive intelligence information which might be related to the knowledge of matters, such as political conditions, scientific programs, and the economy of a particular foreign country. Contacts by CIA with aliens are not to be made without first checking with the Bureau. CIA personnel who conduct such interrogations usually are in possession of CIA credentials. The agency operates 18 field offices throughout the U. S. in connection with this interrogation program. CIA cannot induce or promote defections of Soviet and satellite officials in the U. S. This is a Bureau responsibility. CIA is prohibited from operating double agents in this country. If the agency intends to move a double agent from a foreign country to the U. S., the Bureau is to be notified so that we can determine whether or not we are interested in operating the double. Any information concerning infringements of Bureau jurisdiction by CIA representatives should be immediately referred to the Bureau. Close liaison is maintained with all sections of CIA in Washington. Any problems bearing on relations between the Bureau and CIA should be called to the attention of the Bureau without any delay.

2. Bureau representatives in foreign countries

The Bureau maintains representatives in several foreign countries as legal attaches in the American embassies. All of these Agents act in a liaison capacity only and do not conduct investigations in the countries to which they are assigned. Through their contacts, however, they are able to have leads covered in both criminal and security cases.

Liaison is maintained in the following countries and areas:

- a. Anguilla. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- b. Antigua. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- c. Argentina
- d. Australia. Through Legal Attache, Manila
- e. Austria. Through Legal Attache, Bern
- f. Bahamas. Through Miami Office. (Leads in security matters should be set out on a UACB within five days basis.)
- g. Balearic Islands. Through Legal Attache, Madrid
- h. Barbados. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- i. Belgium. Through Legal Attache, Paris
- j. [Belize (Formerly British Honduras).] Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- [k.] Bolivia. Through Legal Attache, Buenos Aires
- [l.] Brazil
- m. British Virgin Islands. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- n. Canada. The field offices bordering on the Canada-United States boundary are authorized to maintain liaison with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) officers near their territories for covering leads which are of local interest and also leads in which time is of the essence and transmission through other channels would involve sufficient delay to affect adversely the outcome of the investigation except in deserter and selective service cases. All other leads, including deserter and selective service, should be sent to the Bureau for handling through the Legal Attache, Ottawa. When RCMP is requested to conduct an interview and it appears the person to be interviewed is likely to be a witness for the U. S. Government and information furnished by him is apt to be subject to the Jencks law, the Bureau should be advised so that RCMP may be alerted. In addition, when it appears we may be requested to produce or disclose the contents of RCMP reports in connection with any judicial proceeding, immediately advise the Bureau and furnish details so that RCMP may be alerted.

- o. Canary Islands. Through Legal Attache, Madrid
- p. Chile. Through Legal Attache, Buenos Aires
- q. Colombia. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- r. Costa Rica. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- s. Cyprus. Through Legal Attache, Rome
- t. Denmark. Through Legal Attache, London
- u. Dominica. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- v. Dominican Republic. Through SAC, San Juan
- w. Ecuador. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- x. El Salvador. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- y. England
- z. Finland. Through Legal Attache, London
- aa. Formosa. Through Legal Attache, Tokyo
- ab. France
- ac. French Guiana. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- ad. French West Indies. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- ae. Germany
- af. Gibraltar. Through Legal Attache, Madrid
- ag. Granada. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- ah. Greece. Through Legal Attache, Rome
- ai. Guatemala. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- aj. Guyana. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- ak. Honduras. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- al. Hong Kong
- am. Indonesia. Through Legal Attache, [Hong Kong]
- an. Israel
- ao. Italy
- ap. Jamaica. Through SAC, San Juan
- aq. Japan
- ar. Lebanon. Through Legal Attache, Rome
- as. Liberia. Through Legal Attache, Paris
- at. Liechtenstein. Through Legal Attache, Bern
- au. Luxembourg. Through Legal Attache, Paris
- av. Malaysia. Through Legal Attache, [Hong Kong]
- aw. Mexico. The field offices along the Mexican border are authorized to conduct investigations in Mexican territory on a liaison basis within 25 miles of the Mexican-United States border with the exception of the San Diego Office which handles leads approximately 60 miles south of the border, including the city of Ensenada, Baja California, and the Phoenix Office which handles leads in Cananea, Sonora. Such leads may be sent directly to the office covering the locality in question. All other leads for investigation in Mexico, however, should be sent to the Bureau for appropriate handling through the office of the Legal Attache, Mexico City.
- ax. Monaco. Through Legal Attache, Paris
- ay. Montserrat. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- az. Morocco. Through Legal Attache, Madrid
- ba. Netherlands. Through Legal Attache, Bonn
- bb. Netherlands Antilles. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- bc. Nevis. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
- bd. New Zealand. Through Legal Attache, Manila
- be. Nicaragua. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- bf. Northern Ireland. Through Legal Attache, London
- bg. Norway. Through Legal Attache, London
- bh. Okinawa. Through Legal Attache, Tokyo
- bi. Panama. Through Legal Attache, Mexico City
- bj. Paraguay. Through Legal Attache, Buenos Aires

bk. Peru. Through Legal Attache, Buenos Aires
bl. Philippines.
bm. Portugal. Through Legal Attache, Madrid
bn. Republic of Ireland. Through Legal Attache, London
bo. Republic of Singapore. [Through Legal Attache, Hong Kong]
bp. St. Kitts. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
bq. St. Lucia. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
br. St. Vincent. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
bs. Scotland. Through Legal Attache, London
bt. Sierra Leone. Through Legal Attache, Paris
bu. South Korea. Through Legal Attache, Tokyo
bv. Spain
bw. Surinam. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
bx. Sweden. Through Legal Attache, London
by. Switzerland
bz. Thailand. Through Legal Attache, [Hong Kong]
ca. Trinidad and Tobago. Through Legal Attache, Caracas
cb. Turkey. Through Legal Attache, Rome
cc. Uruguay. Through Legal Attache, Buenos Aires
cd. Venezuela
ce. Wales. Through Legal Attache, London

Agents have no jurisdiction in foreign countries. Within limitations border office Agents may, through liaison with cooperative foreign agencies, arrange for investigations to be conducted by those agencies. Even though invited to do so by cooperating officers in foreign countries, Agents may not be present at the scene of arrests by foreign authorities, participate in or be present during searches incidental to such arrests, accompany foreign officials transporting prisoners, or interview such prisoners except at their place of incarceration in the presence of foreign authorities. Where selective service investigation is involved, refer to vol. III, sec. 90, of this manual regarding interviews of alien subjects located outside U. S.

3. Replies to foreign police and intelligence contacts
Replies to requests for investigation from foreign police agencies, forwarded to the field by the Bureau, should be prepared as letterhead memoranda, in sextuplicate on thin Bureau letterhead paper, suitable for dissemination without retyping. Any information not suitable for dissemination should be incorporated in the cover letter.
4. Dissemination of letterhead memoranda prepared by Bureau's foreign offices
Copies of letterhead memoranda prepared by Bureau's legal attaches abroad may be furnished to interested outside agencies where appropriate. Of course, accompanying cover document is not disseminated.

[F. INVESTIGATION OF FEDERAL GAMBLING VIOLATIONS

[1. Guidelines for investigative jurisdiction for FBI and Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

[In order to eliminate, where possible, a duplication of investigative effort and to insure a greater exchange of information between the FBI and IRS, the Department has drawn up a set of guidelines regarding investigative jurisdiction of Federal gambling violations; namely, the interstate transmission of wagering information, interstate transportation in aid of racketeering, and interstate transportation of wagering paraphernalia statutes. In the majority of cases that we investigate under these statutes, IRS, from the nature of the wagering tax laws, will have an interest also. The following guidelines are to be utilized by both agencies in such instances. Each USA has been furnished a copy of these guidelines by the Department.

["Guidelines Regarding Investigative Jurisdiction
[of
[Federal Gambling Violations

["In order to minimize any duplication in investigative effort between IRS and FBI field offices investigating potential violations of the wagering tax laws and the new anti-gambling legislation, the following guidelines appear appropriate.

["(1) The FBI and the IRS will continue to exchange current information regarding gambling operations which have come to the attention of each agency.

["(2) Upon the receipt of sufficient basic facts to indicate a potential violation of the anti-gambling statutes or wagering tax laws, the FBI and the IRS will notify each other prior to commencing an investigation involving such statutes within their respective jurisdictions. When the investigations involve a taxpayer who is a subject of interest to the Organized Crime and Racketeering Section of the Criminal Division, the responsible Department of Justice attorney will also be notified.

["(3) If such notification reveals an apparent duplication of investigative effort, appropriate representatives of the FBI and the IRS in the field will meet to assign responsibility for the investigation involved to the agency whose investigation has the best potential for prosecution, depending upon the Federal statutes apparently involved and all the relevant investigative circumstances. Where it is deemed mutually desirable by the agencies, preliminary investigation might be appropriately conducted prior to any assignment of responsibility for the investigation to a single agency.

["(4) In the event that the agency representatives cannot agree as to the assignment of responsibility for a particular investigation, the matter should be resolved after discussion with the responsible United States Attorney or Department of Justice attorney.

["(5) Where statutory violations within the jurisdiction of the other agency become apparent in the middle or later stages of an investigation being conducted by either the IRS or the FBI, the agency conducting the investigation will immediately notify the other agency of the relevant facts. Responsibility for further investigation of the individual violations of law will be determined after discussion between representatives of the two agencies. If the agencies are unable to agree as to the assignment of responsibility for further investigation, the matter should be resolved after discussion with the responsible United States Attorney or Department attorney. Depending on the circumstances, it may be preferable for such further investigation of all statutory violations to be conducted by a single agency. In such event it is expected that the other agency would cooperate and render such assistance as is deemed within its competence and capacity."

2. Bureau instructions

- a. The Bureau will continue to make available to IRS current information of interest to that agency which is developed in the course of our investigations.
- b. With regard to item two of the guidelines as it pertains to notifying IRS when there is indication of a potential violation of wagering tax laws, such notification should be made after sufficient facts are developed to determine the logical procedures to follow and at a time when same would be more meaningful. With reference to investigations involving a taxpayer, referred to in the same item, this pertains to individuals whose names are included on a list of active gamblers maintained by the Department's Criminal Division. Inasmuch as copies of all reports involving the three statutes named above are furnished the Department by the Bureau, such should tend to serve notice to the Department that we are investigating an individual on that list. It is anticipated that when the Department receives our reports and checks its files it will thus be on notice that one of these individuals is currently being investigated.
- c. Items three and four of the guidelines are self-explanatory in that the USA should be consulted where there appears to be a duplication of investigative effort and such cannot be resolved by field representatives of both agencies. In connection with item five, regarding the assignment of investigation to a single agency, responsibility for an investigation should be definitely fixed in one agency insofar as an individual violation is concerned. In this way each agency would retain its own jurisdiction and the one whose case had the best potential for prosecution would continue its investigation. Furthermore, with regard to one agency proceeding with an investigation and the other rendering such assistance as is deemed within its competence and capacity, the agency proceeding with its investigation should be furnished with all pertinent information of assistance by the other agency. This will preclude the necessity for any joint investigation and result in each agency handling its own violation completely.
- d. Each office should make every effort to avoid overlapping of jurisdiction which these guidelines are intended to minimize. It is recognized that at least preliminary investigation is necessary before any of these matters can be logically discussed by both agencies. It is the Bureau's feeling that the matter of jurisdiction in the majority of instances can be resolved on a field level by the two agencies and that the necessity for contacting the USA or Department attorney would be rare.
- e. The Bureau should be kept advised in advance of any matters in this regard that are discussed with the USA, and also you should advise the Bureau of any investigative action withheld through agreement with IRS or on recommendation of the USA.]

SECTION 102. COORDINATION WITH OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND THE UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE CONCERNING PROTECTIVE RESPONSIBILITIES

"AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND THE UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE CONCERNING PROTECTIVE RESPONSIBILITIES

"I. Purpose of Agreement

"The Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) originates, and receives from other sources, large numbers of reports on individuals and organizations. One purpose of this agreement is to define that portion of the information on file with, or received or originated by, the FBI, which the United States Secret Service (USSS) desires to receive in connection with its protective responsibilities.

"The USSS has statutory authority to protect, or to engage in certain activities to protect, the President and certain other persons. (Certain other persons, as used in this agreement, refers to those persons protected by the Secret Service under Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 3056.) The authority of the USSS to protect the President or certain other persons is construed to authorize it to investigate organizations or individuals and to interview individuals who might constitute a threat to the President or certain other persons. The FBI has statutory authority to investigate assault, killing or kidnaping and attempts or conspiracies to kill or kidnap the President and other designated individuals.

"The FBI will make available to the USSS information it may request or information which by its nature reveals a definite or possible threat to the safety of the President and certain other persons.

"A second purpose of this agreement is to insure the most effective protection for the President and certain other persons by establishing a clear division of responsibility between the FBI and the USSS. Such division will also avoid compromising investigations or sources and needless duplication of effort.

"II. General Responsibilities

"The USSS is charged by Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 3056, with the responsibility of protecting the person of the President of the United States, the members of his immediate family, the President-elect, the Vice President or other officer in the order of succession to the office of President, and the Vice President-elect; protecting the person of a former President and his wife during his lifetime and the person of a widow of a former President until her death or remarriage, and minor children of a former President until they reach 16 years of age, unless such protection is declined; protecting persons who are determined from time to time by the Secretary of the Treasury, after consultation with the Advisory Committee, as being major Presidential and Vice Presidential candidates who should receive such protection (unless the candidate has declined such protection); protecting the person of a visiting head of a foreign state or foreign government and, at the direction of the President, other distinguished foreign visitors to the United States and official representatives of the United States performing special missions abroad (unless such persons decline protection).

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

"The Executive Protective Service, under the control of the Director, USSS, is charged by Title 3, U. S. Code, Section 202, with protection of the Executive Mansion and grounds in the District of Columbia; any building in which Presidential offices are located; foreign diplomatic missions located in the metropolitan area of the District of Columbia; and foreign diplomatic missions located in such other areas in the United States, its territories and possessions, as the President, on a case-by-case basis, may direct.

"The FBI is charged under Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 1751, with investigative jurisdiction over the assault, killing or kidnaping, and attempts or conspiracies to assault, kill or kidnap the President of the United States and other designated individuals.

"The FBI has responsibility for Federal investigations of all violations of Title 18, U. S. Code, Sections 112, 970, 1116-1117 and 1201, relating to the 'Act for the Protection of Foreign Officials and Official Guests in the United States.'

"The FBI has investigative jurisdiction over violations of a wide range of the criminal statutes of the United States including primary jurisdiction over matters affecting the internal security of the United States.

["III. Exchange of Information and Coordination of Responsibilities

["The USSS undertakes to identify individuals or groups who because of their propensities or characteristics, may be dangerous to the President of the United States and certain other persons. To assist the USSS in identifying such individuals and groups the FBI agrees to furnish to the USSS, information (other than public source information or information originating with other U. S. agencies) from its files or which may come to its attention which by its nature reveals a danger or possible danger to the President or certain other persons, or which can be construed as falling within the categories of information desired by the USSS as set forth in Section IV of this agreement.

["The FBI will inform the USSS of the identity of individuals or organizations who come to the attention of the FBI as knowingly and willfully advocating, abetting, advising, or teaching the duty, necessity, or propriety of overthrowing or destroying the Government of the United States, or the Government of any state, territory, or possession, or political subdivision therein, by force or violence, or by the assassination of any officer of any such government. The FBI will furnish the USSS with reports on such individuals or organizations as requested. During investigation by the FBI of such individuals or organizations, the FBI will be alert and promptly notify the USSS of any information indicating a possible plot against the person of the President and certain other persons.

["The USSS agrees that it will conduct no investigation of individuals or groups identified or suspected of being threats to the internal security of the United States without notifying the FBI. However, when time for

consultation is not available, and an indication of immediate danger exists, the USSS may take such action as is necessary with respect to carrying out its protective responsibilities. Any information obtained by the USSS during such action will be furnished to the FBI as expeditiously as possible.

"The FBI will not conduct investigation of individuals or groups solely for the purpose of establishing whether they constitute a threat to the safety of the President and certain other persons unless there is an indication of a violation of Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 1751, or other statute over which the FBI has jurisdiction.

"It will be the responsibility of the FBI to advise the USSS when investigation is being initiated under Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 1751 and thereafter to furnish the USSS with copies of the FBI investigative reports as they are prepared. It will be the responsibility of the USSS to furnish the FBI any information in its possession or which may come to its attention which reasonably indicates that a violation of Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 1751, has been or is being committed.

"The USSS also agrees to furnish the FBI any information in its possession or which may come to its attention indicating a violation of any other statutes over which the FBI has investigative jurisdiction.

"The FBI, under its responsibility for investigation of violations of Title 18, U. S. Code, Sections 112, 970, 1116-1117, 1201 and 1751 will take cognizance of the protective responsibilities of the Treasury Department under Title 3, U. S. Code, Section 202 and Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 3056 and thus does not limit or interfere with the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury in the discharge of his statutory protective responsibilities. This is not to be construed as vesting concurrent investigative jurisdiction with the Treasury Department with respect to investigations of individuals or organizations engaged in activities affecting the national security including terrorism, treason, sabotage, espionage, counter-espionage, rebellion or insurrection, sedition, seditious conspiracy, neutrality matters, Foreign Agents Registration Act, or any other Statute or Executive Order relating to national security. Any investigations of such groups or individuals for any reasons other than in connection with protective responsibilities must be closely coordinated with and have the concurrence of the FBI in order to minimize interference with national security responsibilities of the FBI.

"IV. Information to be Furnished to the United States Secret Service by the Federal Bureau of Investigation

"A. When an individual or group is referred by the FBI to the USSS, the following information will be furnished to the extent available:

"Individual - Identification data including name or names, addresses, photograph (or statement as to availability of such), physical description, date and place of birth, employment, and marital status.

"Organization - Name or names, address or addresses, officers, size, purpose or goals of organization, source of financial support, background data and such other relevant information as may be available.

"Reason for Referral - Statement of the class or classes of information described in Section IV B under which the individual or organization belongs.

"Information in FBI Files - A summary, as appropriate, of pertinent portions of any FBI file on an individual or organization referred.

"FBI Identification Records - The USSS will make specific requests in each instance where a check of the FBI identification records is desired.

"B. Types of information to be referred:

- "1. Information concerning attempts, threats, or conspiracies to injure, kill, or kidnap persons protected by the USSS or other U. S. or foreign officials in the U. S. or abroad.
- "2. Information concerning attempts or threats to redress a grievance against any public official by other than legal means, or attempts personally to contact such officials for that purpose.
- "3. Information concerning threatening, irrational, or abusive written or oral statements about U. S. Government or foreign officials.
- "4. Information concerning civil disturbances, anti-U. S. demonstrations or incidents or demonstrations against foreign diplomatic establishments.
- "5. Information concerning illegal bombings or bomb-making; concealment of caches of firearms, explosives, or other implements of war; or other terrorist activity.
- "6. Information concerning persons who defect or indicate a desire to defect from the United States and who demonstrate one or more of the following characteristics:
 - a. Irrational or suicidal behavior or other emotional instability.
 - b. Strong or violent anti-U. S. sentiment.
 - c. A propensity toward violence.

- "7. Information concerning persons who may be considered potentially dangerous to individuals protected by the USSS because of their background or activities, including evidence of emotional instability or participation in groups engaging in activities inimical to the United States.

"V. Provision of Federal Bureau of Investigation Personnel to Protect the President and Other Protected Persons

"The USSS may, in accordance with Title 18, U. S. Code, Section 3056 request FBI Agents be detailed to the USSS in order to augment the capacity of the USSS to perform its protective duties. Such requests should be addressed to the Director of the FBI.

"FBI Agents detailed to the USSS are under the direction and exclusive operational control of the Director of the USSS for the period of their assignment. The FBI Agents so detailed may perform an armed or other protective function.

"VI. Implementation of Agreement

"In order to effect the best possible security of the President and certain other persons and places whose protection is the responsibility of the USSS, the FBI and the USSS will construe the terms of this agreement liberally and will take such steps as are necessary to insure the proper exchange and coordination of information.

"The agreement shall be reviewed annually by representatives of the FBI and the USSS, or at such other times as the FBI or the USSS may request, to insure that the agreement is both practical and productive. Revisions may be made on the authority of the Director of the FBI and the Director of the USSS.

"This agreement supersedes all prior agreements between the FBI and the USSS.

July 16, 1973
Date

BY /s/ Clarence M. Kelley
Director
Federal Bureau of Investigation

July 30, 1973
Date

BY /s/ James J. Rowley
Director
United States Secret Service"

[H. [Deleted]

H. AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION DEALING WITH AIRCRAFT HIJACKINGS.

1. The agreement entered into on September 25, 1970, between the Attorney General and Secretary of Transportation states in part:

"I. INTRODUCTION

The recent increase in the criminal acts of hijacking and sabotage of commercial aircraft has had a significant impact and effect upon U. S. air commerce. The Department of Transportation and the Department of Justice have responsibilities and duties imposed upon them by the Congress with respect to the prevention, control and prosecution of such criminal acts. In addition, the very nature of such criminal acts may and almost always does involve the safety of passengers and crew members. It is imperative, therefore, that the authority and responsibilities of each Department be precisely defined in order that maximum effectiveness is achieved in the prevention, control, and prosecution of such criminal acts on the one hand, and the maximum guarantee of the safety of passengers and crew is achieved on the other hand, with the clear acknowledgement of the primary interest in favor of the safety of passengers and crew. To this end the following designation of authority and delegation of responsibilities and duties are agreed upon.

II. DESIGNATION OF AUTHORITY

A. While Aircraft is in Flight

1. When an aircraft is in flight, when it is moving on the take-off runway for the purpose of becoming airborne, and when it is moving on the runway to accomplish a landing, the pilot in command of the aircraft shall be in control.

2. If a recommendation is to be made by officials of the Department of Transportation and the Department of Justice to the pilot in command for a course of action to be pursued by him, the recommendation of the official of the Department of Transportation shall prevail over that of the official of the Department of Justice in the event of a conflicting disagreement between such officials.

B. While Aircraft is not in Flight

1. An aircraft is deemed to be not in flight unless it is actually airborne, or unless it is moving on the take-off runway for the purpose of becoming airborne, or when it is moving on the runway to accomplish a landing..

2. The designated representative of the Department of Justice will make the decision, where appropriate, to interrupt, or take other positive action with respect to, a hijacking while the aircraft is not in flight.

III. INFORMATION AND COOPERATION

A. The Department of Transportation shall take all possible steps to develop a comprehensive intelligence system. This will include techniques to permit as extensive as possible the monitoring on the ground of conversation and speaking in the cockpit of the aircraft. To achieve this objective, the fullest cooperation of the commercial airlines and their pilots will be solicited.

B. The Department of Transportation and the Department of Justice agree to cooperate fully with each other in order that each may discharge its responsibilities hereunder. This shall include the full exchange of information and intelligence."

2. Bureau Instructions

[See Manual of Instructions, Volume IV, Section 128.]

Prosab is a coined word for protection of Strategic Air Command bases and intercontinental ballistic missile bases of U. S. Air Force.

A. DEVELOPMENT OF INFORMANTS

1. After selection of a potential prosab informant and before contact, check office indices, ascertain information concerning birth, background, arrest record, loyalty, and reputation. When derogatory information found, remaining file reviews should not be made and prospective informant should be removed from list of potential informants.
2. No individual who has been convicted of a felony may be used as a prosab informant.
3. When a possible informant indicates his willingness to cooperate, explain to him Bureau's jurisdiction over sabotage, espionage, and subversive activities, including communist infiltration of labor unions. Make clear to him that Bureau has no interest in relations between management and employees or in union matters. Advise him specifically that he is not a representative of Bureau; that his relationship with Bureau is strictly confidential and should not be divulged to anyone.
4. Obtain prior Bureau authority before contacting any employee, professor, or student of a university with a view to developing him as an informant. Furnish Bureau person's name and position, as well as a statement concerning his reliability and discretion.
5. Contacts with these individuals who are located on the actual campuses of colleges and universities should be held to an absolute minimum, consistent with Bureau's responsibility under Delimitations Agreement.
6. Where feasible and when considered to be to Bureau's advantage, prosab informants should be advised of Bureau's exact interest and responsibility in covering activities considered racial in nature. They should be made thoroughly aware of Bureau's desire so as to avoid any possible misunderstanding of our position and goal in racial field.

B. POLICY

1. Furnish no information to prosab informants concerning any of Bureau's work, methods, or techniques.
2. Prior to developing any prosab informant as a security informant, obtain Bureau approval.
3. When an informant has been developed, place a memorandum in file recording background information concerning informant and specific instructions which were issued to him. Informant's name should be indexed.
4. An adequate number of informants must be developed to insure that Bureau's responsibilities with respect to espionage, sabotage, and subversive activities are fulfilled.
5. No informants may be developed among civilian personnel of Air Force who reside on air base or missile base.
6. Informants may be developed among civilian employees of Air Force who do not reside on air base or missile base but who are employed on such bases.
7. With respect to missile bases, informants are to be developed only at those bases and their auxiliary launching sites which are designated to fire the ICBM.
8. Pursuant to an agreement with Air Force, informants should not be developed among military personnel.
9. Bureau will furnish information received from Air Force from time to time that Strategic Air Command and ICBM bases are to become operational at some future date and that information will be furnished to interested field offices. It is thereafter responsibility of each SAC to determine when Strategic Air Command is actually operating a particular air base or an ICBM base has become operational. Informants should then be promptly developed.
10. Any possible payment to prosab informants will be governed by existing regulations concerning payment of confidential informants.
11. Number of prosab informants developed in a particular base may be disclosed to representatives of OSI; however, identities of these informants should not be revealed.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

FILES

1. A file must be opened on each Strategic Air Command base and/or ICBM base and maintained in a pending status. If there are any changes, a new file should be opened as each new air base comes under control of Strategic Air Command and when each new ICBM base becomes operational.
2. If an air base is removed from control of Strategic Air Command or missile base is no longer considered operational or is not designated to fire the ICBM, file may be closed after Bureau has been notified.
3. Memoranda recording contacts with air base and missile base commanders, liaison officers, sources of information, and other prosab informants, including both civilian employees and informants off the base, are to be filed in file.

D. PROSAB INFORMANT INDEX

1. A separate prosab informant index must be set up by each office having air bases and missile bases handled under this program. Liaison officers and sources of information may be included as prosab informants.
2. Record on informant cards changes in address or employment and post recontacts with prosab informant.
3. [Deleted]
4. Record liaison contacts on informant index card.

E. CONTACTS

1. Recontacts with prosab informants should be made at least once every six months and may be made by telephone. Recontacts should be followed by administrative tickler.
2. Constant liaison should be maintained with informants in key positions.

F. STATUS OF PROGRAM

1. A semiannual letter must be submitted by each office having a Strategic Air Command base and missile base in its territory. This letter is to be submitted on first of January and July of each year. This letter must show following information for each Strategic Air Command base and missile base:
 - a. Name and address of each Strategic Air Command and missile base
 - b. Number of military personnel on base
 - c. Number of civilian personnel on base
 - d. Number of informants off base
 - e. Number of other prosab informants
 - f. Some ICBM bases may be located on Strategic Air Command bases and informants at air base may also be informants for missile base. In such cases semiannual letter should advise whether informants are listed separately for air base and missile base or whether informants developed apply to both.
 - g. Data concerning a missile base to show information regarding number and location of auxiliary launching sites associated with main control station of missile base. Above information concerning number of employees and informants should then be broken down for each auxiliary launching site. If it is impractical to develop informants at missile base or any of auxiliary launching sites, sufficient information to justify not developing informants, together with identifying data concerning specific missile base or auxiliary launching site, should be submitted with semiannual letter.
2. Letter must specifically show that all informants have been recontacted within preceding six months.

G. CLASSIFICATION - 135

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1-Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

1 - Mr. W. P. Cregar, September 19, 1975
1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
1 - Mr. T. J. Mc Niff
1 - Mr. J. P. Lee

**U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)**

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding is the original of a memorandum which constitutes this Bureau's response to requests contained in the HSC letter dated August 27, 1975, concerning the mail intercept projects operated by this Bureau. The material enclosed has been extracted from material furnished to you by letter of August 13, 1975, which was used as a basis for the briefing of Mr. John T. Elliff, Director, Domestic Intelligence Task Force of the Senate Select Committee. It is noted, however, that the material for Mr. Elliff included information concerning the participation of the FBI in a CIA mail intercept project as well as the information on mail covers which items are not included here as the HSC inquiry specifically related to all FBI projects concerning mail covers.

It is to be noted that the enclosed memorandum is classified "Top Secret." In view of the Directive of the President of the United States issued during the weekend of September 13-14, 1975, not to furnish classified information to the HSC, it is left to your discretion to determine when or if this material will be furnished to the HSC.

REC-102

62-116464-93

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Coordination

OCT 9 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

Enclosures (2)

62-116464

JPL:cml
(12)

ENCLOSURE

WPA/Tor
JPL
File in envelope
WPA
WPA
WPA

~~TOP SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

DECLASSIFIED TO

Per Auto Declass Guide
Date 2/12/13

(CI-1) 62-116464

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1-Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan
1 - Mr. T. J. Mc Niff
1 - Mr. J. P. Lee

September 19, 1975

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

(5) RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING FBI PROJECTS
WHICH INCLUDED MAIL INTERCEPTS FROM 1958 TO DATE

(5) Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 27, 1975, requesting information concerning all FBI projects which included mail intercepts including the so called "Z-Covers" project from 1958 to date.

(5) The FBI has conducted eight mail survey operations solely in the discharge of its national security responsibilities. None has been conducted since 1960.

(5) These surveys were instituted because of their potential value in discharging the FBI's responsibilities in the national security field.

(5) These surveys were conducted to detect and identify foreign agents who threaten this nation's security and to detect other persons who indicated willingness, and were in a position, to sell out this country to hostile foreign powers. Each survey program was carefully circumscribed as to its scope and was conducted for specific objectives with strict administrative controls.

(5) These surveys involved the processing, or opening, of mail; but they did not involve wholesale, indiscriminate opening of mail. In these surveys we were looking for indicators as to whether review of the correspondence might lead to the detection of an illegal foreign agent or a

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

JPL:cml

(11)

~~TOP SECRET~~

SEE NOTE PAGE FOUR

Classified by 3676
Exempt from GDS, Categories 2 and 3
Date of Declassification Indefinite
NATIONAL SECURITY INFORMATION

Unauthorized Disclosure

Subject to automatic declassification

Route in Envelope

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

GPO 954-546

~~TOP SECRET~~

(S) Re: Request for information concerning FBI projects which included mail intercepts from 1958 to date

person cooperating with a hostile foreign power. Although considerable volumes of envelopes were surveyed, the vast majority of this mail was not further processed because it did not contain indicators which would make it relevant to the survey.

(S) Of these surveys, one was established in New York as a result of the experience gained in the investigation of a Soviet illegal. This illegal cooperated with the FBI; and we learned that in directing mail to his Moscow center, he addressed it in a specific fashion and also directed it to mail drops in Europe.

(S) From this experience, we were able to develop a set of indicators which allowed us to select mail which we felt was illegal agent mail. This, coupled with our knowledge of known Soviet mail drops on this side of the Iron Curtain, permitted effective screening of the mail and selection of letters on a knowledgeable basis.

(S) This method was proved successful when we uncovered three such illegals and successfully neutralized their activities. This survey was conducted between 1959 and 1966.

(S) A second survey was based on the use of these same indicators in examining incoming mail directed to certain areas of New York City and other cities in an effort to detect mail being directed to illegal agents in the United States. This survey was conducted between 1961 and 1962.

(S) A third survey was based on our knowledge that illegal agents in the United States directed communications to Soviet-bloc intelligence officers employed at the United Nations in New York City. Again, this survey was based on solid information that this means of communication was utilized by the hostile intelligence service. This survey was conducted between 1963 and 1966.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

(S) Re: Request for information concerning FBI projects which included mail intercepts from 1953 to date

(S) A fourth survey was conducted in the Miami, Florida, area. It also utilized the known indicators and the known mail drop addresses on mail being sent from the United States to Cuba. As an example, during the period of time this program existed in Miami, from January 2, 1963, until July 21, 1966, 60 letters were determined to contain secret ink messages either on the letter or on the envelope.

(S) Fifth and sixth surveys were directed against mail being sent to the United States from the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong, as well as mail directed from the United States to China. The coverage of mail from the People's Republic of China and Hong Kong was initiated August 1, 1956, and was discontinued on January 24, 1966. The coverage of mail directed to China operated between 1964 and 1966.

(S) A seventh survey involved mail being sent from Chinese individuals residing in Canada to Chinese individuals residing in the San Francisco, California, area. This survey operated for two months in early 1961.

(S) The purpose of these fifth, sixth and seventh surveys was to acquire information concerning Americans living in China of interest to the intelligence agencies of the United States; to detect efforts by the People's Republic of China to persuade scientists of Chinese descent in the United States to return to the People's Republic of China; to detect efforts to arrange travel for these scientists and to learn any information they would provide the People's Republic of China; to ascertain identities of subscribers to and recipients of Chinese propaganda publications; and to develop information concerning persons of security interest in the United States who were corresponding with persons in the People's Republic of China.

(S) The eighth survey, conducted from 1940 to 1966, was of mail addressed to Soviet-bloc and other embassies and diplomatic missions of national security interest. This was known as Z Coverage.

~~TOP SECRET~~

~~TOP SECRET~~

Re: Request for information concerning FBI projects
which included mail intercepts from 1958 to date

(S) This program was initiated shortly before the United States entered World War II and its vital necessity during those perilous times seems obvious.

(S) Following World War II, the eighth operation was concentrated against the Soviet Union and Soviet-bloc countries, and much greater selectivity was used in screening letters to be opened.

(S) We were able, for example, in 1964, to detect the fact a civilian employee of the United States Navy offered to sell classified information to the Soviet Embassy for \$50,000.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

(S) The information which is being furnished to HSC was prepared for a briefing which Mr. James B. Adams will give to the House Subcommittee on Postal Facilities, Mail and Labor Management scheduled to occur on October 1, 1975. We had previously furnished to the Senate Select Committee this material plus material on mail covers and Bureau's participation in Project Hunter, CIA mail intercept program.

~~TOP SECRET~~

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/19/75

U.S. House Select Committee. 8/27/75 request
Caption of Document:

Request for Information Concerning
FBI Projects which included Mail Intercepts
from 1958 to date.

Originating Office: FBI ²⁴⁵

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor, Jr. Date: 10/2/75

Received by: Jacqueline Hess

Title: Research Director

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

1-2 116464-93
ENCLOSURE

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DOCUMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	BRIEFING	<input type="checkbox"/>	INTERVIEW	<input type="checkbox"/>	TESTIMONY	<input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER
-------------------------------------	----------	--------------------------	----------	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------	-----------	--------------------------	-------

9/19/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSA letter 8/27/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)~~TS~~

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Intelligence collection

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Information concerning FBI Projects which included mail
intercepts from 1958 to date

62-116464

FMK:fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HENSTUDY

10/8/75
pg 1

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
- (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)

- 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

September 17, 1975

- 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
- 1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum which constitutes this Bureau's response to requests contained in HSC letter dated August 20, 1975, concerning this Bureau's operation of informants.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (2)

62-116464

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

REC-102

TJM:1hb/lhb
(13)

OCT 9 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
 1 - Mr. W. V. Cleveland
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan

62-116464

September 17, 1975

1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

**U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)**

**RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION
 CONCERNING THIS BUREAU'S
 OPERATION OF INFORMANTS**

Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 20, 1975, requesting specific information concerning this Bureau's operation of informants during the period January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975.

Item Number 1 requests that this Bureau supply the HSC with the FBI definition of informants. Material responsive to this request is contained in Sections 107, 108 and 130 of this Bureau's Manual of Instructions, copies of which Sections were furnished the HSC by Bureau memorandum dated September 9, 1975.

Item Number 2 requests the total amounts paid to informants by this Bureau for each of the years specified above. Material responsive to this request has been previously furnished the HSC by Bureau memorandum dated August 7, 1975, in response to request for this information contained in HSC letter dated July 28, 1975.

Item Number 3 requests method of payments used by the FBI for informants, i.e., cash, check, loan, etc. Established Bureau procedure requires that payments made to informants, upon recommendation of handling Agent and approval of appropriate supervisory personnel, originate from the office Field Support Account and are drawn from this

TJM:1hb 1hb
 (12)

SEE NOTE PAGE 4
 ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.:
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____
 NW 54960

MAIL ROOM ☐
 DocId:32989725

TELETYPE UNIT ☐
 Page 171

ENCLOSURE

GPO 954-546

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS BUREAU'S OPERATION
OF INFORMANTS

account by check made out to the handling Agent for the amount authorized. The handling Agent cashes the check at a local bank and then pays the informant the authorized amount in cash, for which a receipt is received from the informant and maintained in FBI files.

Item Number 4 requests that for the years specified above, this Bureau should state the portion of the payments to informants made in cash, check or otherwise. As set forth in this Bureau's response to Item 3 above, established procedure is that all Bureau payments made to informants be made in cash.

Item Number 5 inquires whether any statements or reports of payments to informants were filed with the Internal Revenue Service and/or local taxing authorities. Material responsive to this inquiry is contained in Bureau memorandum to the HSC dated September 8, 1975, which was prepared in response to inquiry contained in HSC letter dated August 19, 1975.

Item Number 6 requests of this Bureau specific information concerning prosecution of Bureau informants by Federal or local authorities for violation of law and whether or not the Bureau interceded in any manner in such proceedings.

This Bureau does not maintain a listing or centralized indices permitting reasonable access to the type information requested above. To be responsive to Item Number 6, this Bureau would have to conduct a manual serial by serial search of every informant file maintained at FBI Headquarters for the period specified. To undertake research of this magnitude would involve an extensive commitment of this Bureau's manpower and resources adversely affecting ongoing and current operations.

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS BUREAU'S OPERATION
OF INFORMANTS

As indicated in this Bureau's response to Item Number 1 above, Bureau informants can generally be classified into three categories: security, criminal and extremist. In an attempt to be responsive to Item Number 6, appropriate supervisory personnel at FBI Headquarters, knowledgeable concerning informant matters, were contacted in this regard.

Personnel handling security- and extremist-type informants advise that they can recall instances in which FBI informants operating in the security and extremist fields were arrested and/or convicted on the basis of criminal activity in no way related to their informant status with this Bureau. These personnel, however, have no recollection of this Bureau ever interceding for a security or extremist informant in judicial proceedings. These personnel also advise that it is established Bureau procedure that when a Bureau security or extremist informant becomes involved in prosecutive matters as a result of criminal activity, his services as an informant are discontinued.

FBI Headquarters personnel handling criminal informants advise that this Bureau is currently operating more than 18,000 informants in the criminal field. The overwhelming preponderance of these informants had been arrested and/or convicted in local and/or Federal court at one time or another on a wide variety of charges. This Bureau has made known to a judge that a defendant convicted in his court has been cooperative with the Government in the past--which may or may not be taken into account when the judge passes sentence. This Bureau, however, makes a point of notifying all informants at the time they are activated that this Bureau will not overlook criminal violations on their part or protect them in the event they become subjects of criminal proceedings.

1 - The Attorney General

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING THIS BUREAU'S OPERATION
OF INFORMANTS

NOTE:

Information responsive to Item Number 6 in referenced
HSC letter was obtained from Mr. Edward P. Grigalus, IS-2
Section; Mr. Homer A. Newman, IS-1 Section; and John E. McHale, Jr.,
Division 9.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: House Select Committee

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9-17-75
"REQUESTS FOR INFO RE BU'S OPERATION OF
Caption of Document: INFORMANTS." Re HSC ltr 8-20-75 re-
qsting info re Bu's oprtn of informants during Jan., 1970
to June, 1975. Items 1 through 6.

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor

Date: 9/19/75

Received by: Carolyn D. Andrews

Title: Asst. Dir.

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

ENCLOSURE

62-116464-92

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

9/17/75

☒ DOCUMENT

☐ BRIEFING

☐ INTERVIEW

☐ TESTIMONY

☐ OTHER

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☐ SSC

☒ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 8/20/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Operating procedures

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Information furnished concerning this Bureau operation of informants.

62-116464

FMK: fmk

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY**

*10/8/75
gdi*

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 24.

Attached is a letter dated September 24, from the House Select Committee requesting access to FBI materials on certain individuals. The letter was received by this Office on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this request.

ENCLOSURE

REC-102

62-116464-91

OCT 9 1975

cc: Paul Daly

rec'd 10/7/75
AM

11/1/75
10-7-75

62-116464



84 MAY 13 1976

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 24

Attached is a letter dated September 24, from the House Select Committee requesting access to FBI materials on certain individuals. The letter was received by this Office on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this request.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 24, 1975

Mr. Michael Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530


Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby request access to all notes, memoranda,
files and reports pertaining to the individuals
listed below:

Mr. Howard Berry Godfrey
Mr. Richard Joe Burton
Mr. Henry Lee "Gi" Schafer III
Mrs. Jill Schafer
Mr. Carl Brown
Mr. Doug Durham


Ms. Ellen Miller of our staff will be prepared to
review this material Wednesday, October 1, 1975.

Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director

ASF/EM/ca



ENCLOSURE

236380-4-1
DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
3 SEP 30 1975
R.A.B.
OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 26

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 26, which this Office received on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this letter.

House Select 75

REC-102

ENCLOSURE

cc: Paul Daly

62-116464-90

21 OCT 9 1975

10/7/75 assigned Mendenhall

*rec'd 10/7/75
PM*

1-HO Hoc
10-7-75
84 MAY 13 1976

62-116464

5 F Mendenhall

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 26

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 26, which this Office received on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to this letter.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMCO, CHAIRMAN
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MC CLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

Make Copy
A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 26, 1975

Mr. Michael Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby request access to all note, memoranda, files,
and reports for the dates noted, pertaining to the
following organizations:

A Quaker Action Group, Philadelphia, Pa.
from 1966- 1972



The American Friends Service Committee,
Philadelphia, Pa. from 1960 to present; and

The Movement for a New Society, Philadelphia
Pa., from 1971 to present.

Ms. Ellen Miller of our staff will be prepared to examine
this material on Friday, October 3, 1975.

Sincerely,

A. Searle Field
A. Searle Field
Staff Director



ENCLOSURE

62-116464-90



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

OCT 6 1975

Spiegel info

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

Housterday

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 26

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 26, which this Office received on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to the request in the above-referenced letter.

✓
ENCLOSURE

REC-102

62-116464-89

OCT 9 1975

cc: Paul Daly

[Handwritten signature]
ST-100

62-116464

1-Ad Hoc
10-7-75
84 MAY 13 1976
NW 54980 DocId:32989725 Page 184

OCT 6 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 26

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 26, which this Office received on October 2. Please arrange for an appropriate response to the request in the above-referenced letter.

cc: Paul Daly

GEIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLORS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TIGEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

Make Copies
A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives

SEP 30 10 44 AM Washington, D.C. 20515

DEPT. OF JUSTICE
MAIL ROOM
CRPH

September 26, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:


Kindly provide for the Committee a copy of the
FBI Informants Manual.

Your immediate attention will be appreciated.


Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS



ENCLOSURE

62-110464-89

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. W. R. Wannall

FROM : W. O. Cregar

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY 75

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. J. J. McDermott
DATE: 9/16/75

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

Assoc. Dir. ☒
Dep. AD Adm. ☒
Dep. AD Inv. ☒
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. ☒
Comp. Syst. ☒
Ext. Affairs ☒
Files & Com. ☒
Gen. Inv. ☒
Ident. ☒
Inspection ☒
Intell. ☒
Laboratory ☒
Plan. & Eval. ☒
Spec. Inv. ☒
Training ☒
Legal Coun. ☒
Telephone Rm. ☒
Director Sec'y ☒

By letter 9/9/75 (copy attached), the House Select Committee (HSC) requested that John J. McDermott, Assistant Director, Files and Communications Division, afford members of the HSC a briefing concerning "the files and classification systems of the FBI."

Above communication was forwarded to this Bureau by Department cover letter (copy attached), dated 9/15/75, with the request that appropriate arrangements for this briefing be effected.

By phone call to HSC offices 9/16/75, SA Paul V. Daly was able to ascertain that HSC Staff Member, Ellen Miller, made the request and would be among those receiving the briefing. Miller stated she expected the briefing to deal generally with the procedural aspects of mail processing, indexing and filing.

OBSERVATIONS:

REC-102

62-116464-88

Since this request refers to a briefing regarding the files and classification systems of this Bureau, it does not appear that a release from Mr. McDermott's employment agreement is necessary in this instance. Briefing such as that described above would not involve classified information.

RECOMMENDATION:

If requested briefing is approved, it is recommended that Mr. McDermott contact Mr. William O. Cregar of the Senstudy-Houstudy Coordinating Unit, Extension 4636, advising

Enclosures

62-116464

TJM:1hb/hb

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall
Re: Houstudy 75
62-116464

of convenient times during which he could afford ~~██████████~~ HSC representatives the requested briefing. It is anticipated that this briefing will occur at FBI Headquarters. Upon receipt of such information, arrangements will then be effected with representatives of the HSC and Mr. McDermott will be advised of the time and location agreed upon for the briefing.

TAM *WRW/HAL*
[Signature] *[Signature]* *[Signature]*
[Signature] *YBA*
[Signature] *per*
15

ROBERT N. PAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LEE ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
- AARON S. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9731

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515


September 9, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

In order to facilitate our investigation of the Federal Bureau of Investigation pursuant to House Resolution 591, ^{NK} 94th Congress, we request a briefing with Mr. John J. McDermot, Assistant Director, Files & Communications Division of the Bureau, to discuss the files and classification systems of the FBI.

Sincerely,




A. Searle Field
Staff Director

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS



62-116464-88

ENCLOSURE



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS
DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
- 1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett (Route Through For Review)(Attn: Mr. Griffith)
September 16, 1975
- 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher (Route Through For Review)(Attn: Mr. Warnken)
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

Reference is made to HSC letter August 19, 1975, requesting information and materials relating to FBI investigations of wiretaps or electronic surveillances conducted by state or local authorities, as well as a listing of national and internal security wiretaps or electronic surveillances conducted by this Bureau, accompanied by supportive documents.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is an original of a memorandum with enclosures constituting this Bureau's response to Items 1 and 2 of referenced HSC letter.

With regard to Number 2 above, it should be noted the investigation referred to in this response captioned "Jerry L. Slaughter, also known as, et al., Interception of Communications," is a pending matter currently under litigation. It is being left to the decision of the Department as to whether or not material responsive to this inquiry should be furnished HSC at this time.

REC-102

87

Pursuant to instructions received September 4, 1975, by Section Chief William O. Cregar of this Bureau from Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence

Coordination, Department of Justice, this Bureau is holding in abeyance its response to Items 3 and 4 in referenced HSC letter pending receipt of additional instructions from the Department as to the manner and extensiveness of responses to be made by this Bureau in these sensitive areas.

OCT 9 1975

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

62-116464
TJM:1hb
(12)

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

The Attorney General

A copy of this above-mentioned memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (4)

- 1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

NOTE:

Items 3 and 4 of referenced HSC letter request a listing of all national and internal security wiretaps or electronic surveillances conducted by this Bureau from 1/1/70 to 6/30/75, accompanied by all documents provided the Attorney General to support such operations. At a White House briefing 9/3/75 dealing with sensitive matters such as electronic interceptions, it was decided by those in attendance that members of the intelligence community should be most circumspect in providing HSC with information in this sensitive area. During the above-mentioned contact on 9/4/75 with Mr. Shaheen, the latter advised that he would contact appropriate individuals at the White House to determine how inquiries relating to this sensitive area will be handled by members of the intelligence community.

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Rotis)
- 1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett (Route Through For Review) (Attn: Mr. Griffith)
- 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher (Route Through For Review) (Attn: Mr. Warnken)
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

12-116464

September 16, 1975

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS
RELATING TO FBI INVESTIGATIONS OF
ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 19, 1975, requesting in part that HSC be furnished a list of (1) all wiretaps or electronic surveillance conducted by state or local authorities that have been investigated by the FBI January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975, as well as (2) any documents or other material relating to any investigation conducted by the Inspection Division of this Bureau with respect to failure of the FBI to investigate state or local wiretap or electronic surveillance.

With regard to Number 1 above, this Bureau does not maintain a listing pertaining solely to wiretaps or electronic surveillance by state or local authorities which have been investigated by the FBI. In response to the HSC inquiry, there is set forth below a list obtained from a review of all Interception of Communications matters, in which investigation was initiated by the FBI during the period January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975, which it was determined related to state or local authorities.

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

Sergeant Forrest H. Farman, and others
Little Rock Police Department
February, 1976

TJM:pal/cap cap
(11)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

SEE NOTE PAGE 9

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

**RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES**

Telephone Tap of City Manager
Walter H. Hahn, Jr.,
San Diego, California, allegedly by
San Diego Chief of Police O. J. Reed
March, 1970

Pletcher Stark, Sheriff
Crosbyton, Texas, and others
August, 1970

Malvin R. James, Chief of Police
San Angelo, Texas
September, 1970

Jay Rutland, and others,
Officers, Jackson, Mississippi,
Police Department
June, 1971

Sergeant Ronald Speaker Phillips, Officer
South Houston, Texas, Police Department
November, 1971

Captain Jesse Curtis Grace, and others
Pueblo, Colorado, Police Department
April, 1972

Unknown Subjects (3), Officers,
Oklahoma City Police Department
Oklahoma City, Oklahoma;
Roger Bastien, and others, Detectives,
Stillwater, Oklahoma, Police Department;

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

**RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES**

**Leslie E. Easley, Officer
Campus Police, Oklahoma State University,
Stillwater, Oklahoma;
Rual Gay, Director,
Office of Safety and Security,
Oklahoma State University,
Stillwater, Oklahoma
July, 1972**

**Gerald Charles Dietrick, Officer
Parma, Ohio, Police Department
July, 1972**

**Don Burton;
Captain George E. Guthrie, Detective;
Jasper, Alabama, Police Department
August, 1972**

**Albert Dale Orndorff, Chief of Police
Guthrie, Oklahoma, Police Department
October, 1972**

**Kansas County Sheriff's Office
Kansas County Jail
Charleston, West Virginia
November, 1972**

**Jade Briggs; Carol Higgins;
Louie Coffey, Deputy Sheriff;
Penobscot County Sheriff's Department
Bangor, Maine
February, 1973**

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Electronic Listening Device
Port Clinton Municipal Court Building
Port Clinton, Ohio, installed by
Officers of Port Clinton, Ohio,
Police Department
April, 1973

John Raymond Allmott, and others
Douglas County Sheriff's Office
Minden, Nevada
May, 1973

Joseph A. Berke, Detective;
Richard Washko, Detective;
Stratford, Connecticut, Police Department
June, 1973

Garland S. Geeslin, Lieutenant, and others
Fort Worth, Texas, Police Department
June, 1973

Mayor Michael J. Valenti;
Chief of Police William H. Beecroft, and others;
Point Pleasant Boro, New Jersey, Police Department
July, 1973

James Hill Lisenby, Detective
Montgomery, Alabama, Police Department
August, 1973

Johnnie Darr, Sheriff, and others
Sedgwick County Sheriff's Office
Wichita, Kansas
October, 1973

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Unknown Subjects
Grand Rapids, Michigan, Police Department
October, 1973

Jerry L. Slaughter;
Lieutenant Edward Lawrence Kennedy, and others;
Houston, Texas, Police Department
December, 1973

Unknown Subjects
Potter - Randall Metro Intelligence Unit
Amarillo, Texas
January, 1974

Unknown Subjects
Possible Use of Illegal Wiretaps by
Illinois Bureau of Investigation
March, 1974

Chief of Police John H. Nevin, and others
Louisville, Kentucky, Police Department
April, 1974

Unknown Subject
Illegal Wiretapping by
Tigard, Oregon, Police Department
July, 1974

Charles W. Neary, Sergeant
Indiana State Police
July, 1974

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Sheriff Arnold Ralph Fround, and others
Island County Sheriff's Office
Coupeville, Washington
October, 1974

Howard James Keller, Officer
Detroit, Michigan, Police Department
October, 1974

Orville P. Clavey, Former Sheriff, and others
Lake County, Illinois, Sheriff's Office
September, 1974

Jose Frank Perez, Sergeant
Palm Beach County, Florida
Sheriff's Office, and others
October, 1974

Vernon Newbald, Sheriff
Buffalo County, Nebraska, Sheriff's Office
December, 1974

Robert W. Prater, Detective
Metropolitan Police Department
Nashville, Tennessee
December, 1974

Alleged Illegal Wiretaps
Office of Suffolk County District Attorney
Boston, Massachusetts
December, 1974

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Lieutenant J. D. Belchen, and others
Houston, Texas, Police Department
February, 1975

Walter Lee Zawrotny and others, Officers
Henderson, Nevada, Police Department
February, 1975

Jackie W. Lay and others, Agents
Oklahoma State Bureau of Investigation
March, 1975

Lieutenant Colonel Richard E. Horton, and others
Louisiana State Police
April, 1975

Andrew Lee Dodson, Chief of Police, and others
Bluefield, West Virginia, Police Department
May, 1975

Charles W. Bowers, Sr., Former Chief of Police
Palm Springs, Florida, Police Department
May, 1975

Sheriff Jim Taylor, and others
Sunny County, North Carolina, Sheriff's Office
July, 1973

Unknown Subject
Office of Attorney General
State of Virginia
October, 1973

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES.

Edward Schilleci, Chief of Police
Slidell, Louisiana, and others
October, 1974

Robert T. Millikin, Jr.
Richmond Bureau of Police
Richmond, Virginia
June, 1975

Fate Thomas, Sheriff
Davidson County, Tennessee, and others
February, 1975

Ronald W. Cooper, Chief of Police
Kerrville, Texas, Police Department
May, 1975

William Kenneth Barnes, Agent in Charge
Alcohol and Tobacco Tax Enforcement Unit
Georgia State Revenue Department
June, 1975

J. E. Farrar, and others, Officers
Houston, Texas, Police Department
June, 1975

Leonard Gioglio, Retired Officer;
Captain Joseph Malanaphy, and others;
New Brunswick, New Jersey, Police Department
August, 1974

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Warren Earle White, and others
Harris County District Attorney's Office
Houston, Texas
June, 1975

With regard to Number 2 above, there is enclosed a copy of two Bureau communications relating to the only investigation conducted by the Inspection Division of this Bureau with respect to alleged failure of the FBI to investigate state or local wiretap or electronic surveillance. It is pointed out that the next to last paragraph, page 10, of enclosed memorandum dated February 4, 1975, states, "Additional information concerning this matter is contained in administrative write-ups which were prepared as a result of the inquiry conducted both at FBI Headquarters and the Houston Office." The administrative write-ups referred to above have been reviewed and were found to contain no additional pertinent material relating to facts developed during this investigation, but were prepared for the purpose of making recommendations relative to any contemplated administrative action concerning personnel involved and consequently have not been included in the material being made available to the HEC.

Enclosures (2)

1 - The Attorney General

*All attached
Page 2
Tom*

NOTE:

The listing of IOC investigations conducted by this Bureau relating to state or local authorities as set forth above was extracted from memorandum L. E. Rhyne to Mr. Gallagher

NOTE CONTINUED PAGE 10

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATION OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

Warren Earle White, and others
Harris County District Attorney's Office
Houston, Texas
June, 1975

- 9 -

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND MATERIALS RELATING TO FBI
INVESTIGATIONS OF ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES CONDUCTED BY
LOCAL AUTHORITIES

NOTE CONTINUED:

date 9/3/75, captioned "House Select Committee Request."
Information relating to the Inspection Division's investigations of alleged FBI failure to investigate such matters was compiled by Special Agent Fred B. Griffith, Inspection Division.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: House Select Committee

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9-16-75

Caption of Document: REQST FOR INFO & MATRLS RLNG TO
FBI INVESTS ELECTRNC SRVLNCS CNDCTD BY LCL AUTHS
REF MAD TO HSC LET DATED AUGUST 19, 1975.

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor Date: 9/19/75 ³¹⁹

Received by: Carolyn C. Cusack

Title: Adm Cost

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:

FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

9/16/75

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

☒ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandums and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 8/19/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF
INFORMATION (enter
U, C, S, TS or
Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Surveillances, electronic

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

A review of Interception of Communications matters in which investigation was initiated by FBI during the period 1/1/70 to 6/30/75 a list was furnished of wiretaps or electronic surveillance conducted by state or local authorities.

62-116484

FMK: fmk

(4)

ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
in CONNECTION WITH HOUSTUDY10/6/75
Agil

TREAT AS YELLOW

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

Mr. Callahan

2/4/75

H. N. Bassett

1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Jenkins
1 - Mr. Bassett
1 - Mr. Gebhardt

ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS
HOUSTON, TEXAS

By memorandum dated 1/7/75, Laurence H. Silberman, Deputy Attorney General, advised that during the past year FBI Agents in Houston, Texas, have engaged in an investigation of alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the Houston Police Department (HOPD). As an outgrowth of that investigation, the Department has recently received information alleging (1) that Bureau Agents have eschewed a vigorous investigation of this matter; (2) that some Bureau Agents have in the past, been aware of specific instances of illegal electronic surveillances by the HOPD and have been receptive to information derived therefrom; and (3) that the Houston Field Office may itself have engaged in illegal surveillances.

Attached to Mr. Silberman's letter were copies of transcripts of tape recorded telephone conversations between Chief of Police Carol M. Lynn, HOPD, and current and former members of HOPD; a letter from Chief Lynn to U. S. Attorney (USA) J. P. Farris dated 12/13/74, and a memorandum to Chief Lynn from B. G. Bond, Captain, Narcotics Division, dated 12/13/74. Realizing that we might not have all of the material in the Department's possession, Mr. Adams contacted Acting Assistant Attorney General John Keeney on 1/16/75, at which time Keeney made available copies of additional transcripts; a copy of a letter dated 12/17/74, from USA Farris to Attorney General Saxbe; copy of excerpts from the transcript in U. S. v. Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr.; copy of a letter dated 11/20/73, from Carol S. Vance, District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, to USA Farris; and copies of five letters from AUSA Ronald J. Waska to SAC, Houston, covering the period 4/29-10/31/74. All of the above documents are attached.

Mr. Silberman requested a memorandum detailing what information the Bureau has on this matter. Attached for approval is a proposed memorandum to Silberman setting forth the results of our inquiry into this matter.

Enclosures

WCL:cjl (5)

CONTINUED - OVER

62-116464-87

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

At the instructions of Mr. Callahan, Inspector Willie C. Law and Inspector's Aide Ernest J. Landreville proceeded to the Houston Office on 1/12/75. Immediately upon arrival at the Houston Office, SAC Robert Russ Franck was telephonically contacted and thereafter appeared at the office at which time he was advised as to the nature of our inquiry.

Houston files pertaining to one of the cases in question captioned "Jerry L. Slaughter; et al.; IOC" Houston file 139-189, Bufile 139-4467, were thoroughly reviewed. Following review of these files, personnel who might possess knowledge of the allegations contained in Silberman's memorandum and the attachments thereto, were interviewed. Each person interviewed was furnished a memorandum prepared by the Inspector which set forth the allegations and where pertinent, the employee interviewed furnished a sworn, signed statement.

Following is a summary of the interviews conducted and the results of file reviews concerning each of the allegations which could be construed as pertaining to the FBI as contained in Mr. Silberman's memorandum and the attachments thereto.

That Bureau Agents Have Eschewed A Vigorous Investigation Of This Matter

All personnel interviewed stated that Bureau Agents have not eschewed a vigorous investigation in this matter. The case Agent, Harlan Q. Coffman and Supervisor William J. Schmidt feel that a vigorous and exhaustive investigation has been conducted. The files pertaining to this case indicate that it was opened on 12/7/73, based on a complaint that the voice of an individual had been recorded on tape allegedly made from an illegal wiretap by the Narcotics Division, HOPD. Investigation was conducted and on 2/28/74, the case was closed because AUSA Ronald J. Waska stated no evidence was available to support the allegation.

The case was reopened on 3/8/74, based on a news release by UPI which was furnished to the Houston Office by the Bureau indicating that nine Houston police officers had been indicted by a Federal grand jury (FGJ) for various crimes, including filing false tax returns and conspiracy to place illegal wiretaps. AUSA Waska was contacted 3/11/74, and ^{he} advised

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

no charges involving Interception of Communications had been made due to lack of proof, and evidence maintained in the USA's Office was found solely in an affidavit of [REDACTED], whom Waska described as an undercover informant. In April and October, 1974, Waska indicated there was no need to interview [REDACTED]. On 3/11/74, Waska said he planned to make the [REDACTED] affidavit available to the case Agent within two weeks. When this affidavit was not forthcoming, the case was closed on 4/1/74. The affidavit was finally made available on 5/1/74 (approximately seven weeks later) and indicates [REDACTED] was awaiting sentencing for making false declarations to the FGJ. The case was reopened on 5/1/74. On the same date, four reels of magnetic tape were received from Waska and in his letter dated 4/29/74 he indicated that he had received two of these reels of tape on 11/21/73 and two reels of tape on 11/26/73 from the brother of [REDACTED]. He had previously indicated that the tapes were approximately ten years old and of no use in building a prosecutable case.

→ INFORMANT

The [REDACTED] affidavit, which is dated 1/29/74 sets forth six instances of alleged illegal wiretapping by the EOPD. Of these, the Statute of Limitations had run on all but two instances. [REDACTED] also included in his affidavit that all logs and transcripts were destroyed and the tapes were erased; thus there was no physical evidence available.

There was no investigative activity in the case from 5/1 - 5/14/74, except one contact with AUSA Waska. Of the ten work days during this period, the case Agent was on sick leave three days and three hours. Investigation was conducted on various dates between 5/17 and 6/7/74, and on the latter date, AUSA Waska was apprised of the investigation conducted up to that time. SA Coffman contacted Waska on 6/27/74, at which time Waska requested a list of employees of the Communications Division of EOPD. The list was obtained on 7/3/74 and is included in a report submitted by SA Coffman on 7/30/74. Prior to the submission of this report, SA Coffman contacted Waska on 7/26/74 advising him of all investigation conducted to date at which time Waska requested a report prior to rendering a prosecutive opinion.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

There is no indication in the files of any outside investigative activity between 7/26 and 9/26/74; however, in his report dated 10/22/74, SA Coffman indicates a contact with Waska on 9/23/74, at which time Waska stated he had not had sufficient time to review Coffman's report of 7/30/74, due to his burdened work load, and had not desired any investigation between 7/30 and 9/23/74. Waska, in a letter to the Houston Office dated 10/31/74, stated he had never requested that this investigation be delayed, but had requested an exhaustive and diligent investigation since the inception of the case, the only exception being that the FBI should not interview Houston police officers under indictment. However, during Coffman's contact with Waska on 9/23/74, the only investigation requested by Waska was that Assistant District Attorney Robert C. Bennett be interviewed. Bennett was interviewed on 10/8/74. During this interview, Bennett advised that he and two other attorneys from his office had met with former SAC Thomas Jordan in Jordan's Office on 7/3/73, regarding allegations of wiretapping by the HOPD but that he could furnish no specifics at that time.

On 10/23/74, SA Coffman contacted AUSA Waska regarding any further investigation desired and Waska informed him that he desired to review Coffman's 10/22/74 report first.

A substantial amount of investigation was conducted during October and November, 1974, and the latest report was submitted on 11/12/74. Since that time, the Houston Office has been serving subpoenas on various individuals who are to appear before the FGJ, at the request of the USA's Office.

Captain B. G. Bond's letter of 12/13/74, to Chief Lynn contains allegations that SA Coffman advised Officer T. A. Dell that [REDACTED] INFORMANT [REDACTED] was a thief, that SA Coffman told him he had quite a bit of information in his (Dell's) case; that SA Coffman knew the apartments Dell "had set up in" and that Dell had furnished the "bug" and hung it. Further, that SA Coffman told Dell the case involved Pompoza Garza and occurred in June, 1968.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

In the sworn, signed statement, SA Coffman categorically denied that he had advised Officer Bell that [REDACTED] was a thief. He said he did inform Bell during the interview that he was aware of detailed information concerning the Garza case; that he understood Bell placed a tap on Garza and he was aware of the location where Bell placed the tap. SA Coffman denied furnishing Bell the date of June, 1968, relative to the Garza case.

Chief of Police C. M. Lynn's Letter to USA Farris Containing
Allegations of Inadequate Investigation By FBI to Determine If
Chief Lynn's Telephones and Those of His Staff Were Tapped

Inquiry into this matter determined that a thorough and comprehensive check of all telephone lines at all substations was made. This check included tracing the conduit which contained the lines and each appearance at various switching centers. These checks were made by SAs Richard W. Suter and Donald C. Steinmeyer with the assistance of telephone security personnel and a telephone employee at each substation as they were checked. A check of the lines commenced the same day the telephone numbers were furnished and was concluded approximately ten days later, with Chief Lynn's lines being the last to be checked. There was no indication of tampering or any illegal attachments on any of the lines checked.

Sworn, signed statements concerning the above were obtained from SAs Suter and Steinmeyer. SA Suter stated he was particularly painstaking in these checks since he suspected that equipment might be "planted" on the lines by J. L. Patterson, who had advised Chief Lynn that his telephone line was "bugged."

During conference with USA Edward B. McDonough, Jr., and members of his staff on 1/17/75, he advised he was not interested in discussing in detail allegations of misconduct by Houston FBI Agents as contained in the transcripts and documents furnished to the Department by former USA Farris. He considered this an internal matter within the FBI which he felt would be handled properly. His chief concern lay with the problem of publicity because he had information that copies of the tapes

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATION OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

from which the transcripts were made were now in the hands of the local news media; that he has been instructed by the Department to proceed via the FGJ route in this case; that some of the witnesses may be granted immunity and that if indictments are returned against veteran police officers, their defense attorneys will use any means to obscure the real issues, including publicizing the contents of the tapes. He foresees the possibility of FBI agents being subpoenaed to testify before the grand jury. He indicated that any allegation can be refuted, but the damage is already done.

He said the way the IOC case pertaining to alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the HOPD was handled was not representative of the caliber of work he expected of the FBI. He felt that the case should have received an imaginative rather than a routine approach; for example, the Chief of Police was alerted and some time later interviews were conducted with his personnel, thus allowing the police to get together and agree on what should be said when interviewed. He also said he was not interested in obtaining a volume of FD-302s reflecting negative interviews. He was reminded that when knowledgeable individuals are interviewed regarding matters which could be self-incriminating quite often the results will be negative. Also, he did not feel it was proper, as alleged, for an Agent to advise possible subjects that the Statute of Limitations had run on many of the allegations that were the basis for the investigation. SA Coffman advised that when sitting in Captain Bond's Office, an officer asked what case the allegations involved, and Coffman mentioned the Panda and Wimpy Week's case. This officer asked what the Federal Statute of Limitations was and he was told five years. This officer and other officers said the case identified was over five years old and the officers appeared to be happy about this.

McDonough stated at the present time all investigation has been completed, but he expects the case to become very active after testimony is taken before the FGJ (Convened 1/20/75). If this occurs, he will require FBI assistance so long as we are prepared to refute any allegations should they arise either in court or in the press. He was of the opinion that the case should be assigned to personnel who have no close contacts or personal association with members of HOPD. Inspector instructed SAC, Houston, to personally supervise this case and to reassign it to a mature and thoroughly experienced Agent in keeping with the above;

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

that any investigation requested be handled in a prompt and vigorous manner and in such a way that no criticism can be leveled against the Bureau or personnel of the Houston Office. Inspector advised USA McDonough that the case would be reassigned and would be under the personal supervision of the SAC.

That Some Bureau Agents Have, In the Past, Been Aware of Specific Instances of Illegal Electronic Surveillance By the HOPD and Have Been Receptive to Information Derived Therefrom

Among the transcripts of Chief Lynn's conversations are statements by Joe Singleton, former head of the HOPD Criminal Intelligence Division, to the effect that an FBI Agent, "Swede Johnson" and another Agent participated in and were receptive to information obtained from an illegal HOPD wiretap.

SA William O. Johnson, Bank Robbery Coordinator of the Houston Office, was interviewed at length during our inquiry. He stated that to the best of his recollection, he has handled only one case wherein Joe Singleton, a former officer of HOPD, was involved and that this case occurred several years ago. The case in question is captioned "William Neil Lee - Fugitive; et al.; Colonial Savings Association, 10421 South Post Oak Road, Houston, Texas, Bank Robbery, ITSMV" Houston file 91-1832.

A review of the files in this case revealed that Lee was identified on 2/14/66, as one of the individuals who robbed the above establishment on 2/9/66. The FBI, Chicago, advised FBI, Houston, on 2/14/66, that a Houston bank bag and a telegram addressed to Lee at a Houston residence had been recovered from a stolen car in Chicago. Lee's photograph was identified by employees of two recently robbed Houston banks as one of the bank robbers.

SA Johnson was assigned the cases involving Lee and was assisted by SA Joe P. Patty (now retired). The HOPD was also seeking Lee, who was wanted for a number of local robberies, and their investigation was directed by Captain L. C. Colley.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

On 2/17/66 SA Johnson advised our Chicago Office and FBIHQ via teletype that an HOPD surveillance had been established on the residence of Lee's girl friend and that Lee had been in telephonic contact with her twice and was believed to be planning to meet her on 2/18/66. The Houston Office copy of this teletype had the word "technical" typed in preceding the word surveillance. The teletype was sent at 10:18 p.m., on 2/17/66. SA Johnson stated that he personally typed the office copy of the teletype and made certain corrections in ink. He also initialed the office copy for former SAC Frank V. Hitt with his own initials underneath. It was noted that the word "technical" had been lined through with a pencil and Johnson has no idea who did this. He said it was SAC Hitt's policy to read or have read to him all teletypes prior to their being sent; therefore, he assumes that either he or the night clerk read the teletype to Hitt due to the time the teletype was sent and the word "technical" was lined through on Hitt's orders. He said the lining through of the word "technical" would have been logical since he had no first-hand knowledge that the information came from a technical surveillance, but could have come from a police informant. He stated as best he can recall, he was furnished information about the telephone calls orally by Captain Colley. During interview, SA Johnson stated he typed the word "technical" on the teletype as it was apparent to him that the information furnished pertained to telephone conversations. On 2/18/66, after police showed Lee's photograph to an apartment manager who advised Lee was residing in the building, Houston police officers, one of whom was Lieutenant Joe Singleton, apprehended Lee at 11:55 A.M. No FBI Agents participated in the arrest. Johnson believes he was telephonically advised of the arrest and thereafter he and Patty proceeded to the Police Department where Lee, who was in police custody, was interviewed.

Both Johnson and Patty denied ever being present at any location at any time where an electronic surveillance was being conducted by personnel of the HCPD. SA Johnson stated he sent the teletype on 2/17/66, not knowing whether the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a police informant or a police technical surveillance. He included information in his report dated 3/10/66, which stated that Captain Colley had advised him on 2/18/66, that Lee was located and arrested through surveillance and other investigation conducted by the EOPD.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

SA Johnson was asked if he was aware that a technical surveillance by the HOPD could be a violation of the Federal Unlawful Publication or Use of Communications Statute in force at that time. He replied that he was not aware it was a violation inasmuch as he had no personal knowledge that the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a technical surveillance. There was no information developed during our inquiry that any FBI personnel had any association with or personal knowledge of illegal electronic surveillances.

On 1/7/58 former Director Hoover issued instructions that there was to be no investigation of any alleged violation of wiretapping laws until the FBI was directed to do so by the Criminal Division of the Department. All SACs were advised on 1/8/58 to institute no investigation of any violation of this type without prior Bureau approval. Similar instructions, in conjunction with those of the FBI, were issued to all USAs by the Department. These instructions were in force at the time of the alleged HOPD wiretap.

Inasmuch as SA Johnson received no complaint of illegal wiretapping, and had no personal knowledge of such, his handling of the information in his possession was appropriate.

That the Houston Field Office May Itself Have Engaged in Illegal Electronic Surveillance

All personnel who would be in a position to have knowledge of such activity denied that the Houston Field Office had engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. The files of the Houston Office indicate that from 1964 to date, two national security technical surveillances, one Title III surveillance, and one Pen Register surveillance have been conducted. The national security surveillances were authorized by the Bureau. The Pen Register and Title III surveillances were approved by Court Order. Another national security surveillance was authorized by the Bureau and equipment was installed but never activated because the subject was identified as an 88 fugitive.

In conference with USA McDonough, he was asked if the basis for the allegation in USA Farris' letter that the FBI was involved in illegal electronic surveillance had emanated from a statement made in open Federal Court on 11/13/73, in the case entitled "Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr. ; et al. ; ICC" by defense attorney Dick DeGuerin that "Larry Shaefer, who likewise is a witness

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

in this case, has sold electronic eavesdropping equipment to Don Steinmeyer, an FBI Agent, who is also a witness in this case." McDonough said he assumed this was what Farris was alluding to. McDonough had said earlier "how can we proceed with prosecution in the event indictments are returned when the FBI may momentarily be accused or publicized as being or having been engaged in illegal wiretaps."

McDonough was advised that DeGuerin's statement was completely false; that former AUSA Joe Doucette, who was representing the Government, knew it was false, but failed to refute it; and that AUSA Doucette was aware that Larry Schaefer as a cooperating witness, had furnished items of evidence to SA Steinmeyer relating to the manufacture and sale of electronic surveillance equipment to Dudley Bell. This material was to be used as evidence at the trial. It had been submitted to the FBI Laboratory for comparison with other evidence in the case and reports setting forth the results of the FBI Laboratory examination had been furnished to the USA's Office. In addition, DeGuerin and Dudley Bell had viewed this evidence in the Houston FBI Office prior to the trial. All witnesses were excluded from the courtroom except during the time of their own testimony; therefore, DeGuerin's statement stayed in the record unchallenged. SA Steinmeyer testified at the trial but the matter never came up during his testimony. It was several months after the trial when SA Steinmeyer had his first opportunity to read the unrefuted statement made by DeGuerin.

The above information was also available to former USA Farris because copies of the reports and the transcript were available to him. When asked on 1/17/75, why former AUSA Doucette did not refute the statement of DeGuerin, USA McDonough's only response was that some Federal judges do not give Federal prosecutors the opportunity.

Additional information concerning this matter is contained in administrative write-ups which were prepared as a result of the inquiry conducted both at FBIHQ and the Houston Office. Recommendations relative to any administrative action concerning personnel involved will be handled separately.

OBSERVATIONS: During this inquiry there were indications of a "vendetta" between the USA's Office and the HOPD. This may have had its roots when, during the early days of the USA's inquiry into the alleged illegal wiretapping by the HOPD, former AUSA James F. Farmer was forced to resign and stand

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

trial on charges of possession of narcotics. Parker is currently carried as the victim of a pending Civil Rights investigation, Houston file 44-4504. The basis of this investigation is that a convicted narcotics violator planted marijuana and LSD in Parker's apartment in November, 1971, at the direction of the Narcotics Squad, HOPD, in order to "make a case" on Parker.

Two other AUSAs, one of whom was AUSA Waska, were accused by narcotics officers of the HOPD of smoking marijuana at "pot" parties. Administrative Inquiries into these accusations were conducted at the request of the Department. AUSA Waska vehemently denied ever using any narcotics. Former AUSA Theo W. Pinson, III, declined to discuss his personal life. At about the same time these events were transpiring, former USA Farris expressed concern that his and three of his assistants' telephones had been tapped by the Narcotics Bureau, HOPD.

RECOMMENDATION: That the proposed memorandum setting forth the results of our inquiry be approved and forwarded to Deputy Attorney General Silberman.

The Deputy Attorney General

February 5, 1975

Director, FBI

**ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE MISCONDUCT
BY FBI AGENTS, HOUSTON, TEXAS**

This is in response to your memorandum dated January 7, 1975, captioned as above, with attachments, requesting information in the possession of the FBI relative to the allegations. As a result, inquiries have been conducted both at FBI Headquarters and our Houston Office to resolve each allegation. It should be noted, that due to numerous gaps in the transcripts furnished by former United States Attorney Farris, Southern District of Texas, there is a loss of continuity in some of the pertinent conversations. This problem was discussed with United States Attorney Edward B. McDonough, Jr., Southern District of Texas, who advised that although he had in his possession a complete transcript, the Department had furnished the FBI only those portions he deemed to be significant.

The first allegation is that Bureau Agents have eschewed a vigorous investigation of the alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the Houston Police Department. In this connection, a thorough review has been conducted of the case captioned, "Jerry L. Slaughter, also known as, et al, Interception of Communications." Explanations and sworn statements have been obtained from appropriate FBI personnel.

This inquiry has failed to reveal anything which could be considered substantial delay or lack of willingness on the part of FBI personnel to pursue all logical investigative avenues available. United States Attorney McDonough advised at the time of interview on January 17, 1975, that no investigation remained to be conducted; however, he expected considerable investigation to result from testimony to be given by witnesses who were to start appearing before the Federal Grand Jury convening on January 20, 1975, and he was assured full cooperation in this regard.

1 - Messrs. Adams, Jenkins, Gebhardt
WCL:njw
(7)

Note: Based on memo Bassett to Callahan captioned "Allegation of Possible Misconduct by FBI Agents, Houston, Texas," dated 2/4/75, WCL:bhg.

ENCLOSURE

62-116464-87

The Deputy Attorney General

This case was opened in the Houston Office on December 7, 1973, by former Special Agent in Charge Thomas J. Jordan and assigned to Special Agent Richard W. Suter based on a complaint made December 3, 1973, to Special Agent Suter and Billy D. Hubbard, Security Supervisor, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, to the effect that Attorney Philip Greene had a tape recording of Jack Storz's voice allegedly made from an illegal wiretap by the Narcotics Division of the Houston Police Department. On December 18, 1973, the case was reassigned to Special Agent Harlan Q. Coffman by Supervisor William J. Schmidt and the case has been under Supervisor Schmidt's supervision and assigned to Special Agent Coffman since that time. The case was reassigned from Special Agent Suter who had annual leave scheduled in December, 1973, followed by a commitment to attend a training school at the FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, early in January. This reassignment was made to preclude any delay in investigation.

Investigation was conducted regarding the original allegation and on February 28, 1974, the case was closed after Assistant United States Attorney Ronald J. Waska, Southern District of Texas, rendered an opinion that no evidence was available to support the allegations.

The case was reopened by Supervisor Schmidt on March 8, 1974, and assigned to Special Agent Coffman based on a United Press International news release on February 28, 1974, furnished to the Houston Office by FBI Headquarters which indicated that nine Houston Police Department officers had been indicted by a Federal Grand Jury for various crimes including conspiracy to place illegal wiretaps, stealing money and heroin from prisoners and narcotics traffickers, selling stolen heroin and filing false tax returns.

The case was discussed with Assistant United States Attorney Waska by Special Agent Coffman on March 11, 1974. Waska advised that no charges involving Interception of Communications had been made due to lack of proof, however, wiretapping was included in charges against the defendants and the evidence of this was in the possession of the United States Attorney's office, Southern District of Texas. This evidence consisted solely of an affidavit of one [redacted] whom Waska described as an undercover informant who desired that his name not be made public. Waska said he planned to furnish [redacted] affidavit to the Houston FBI Office within two weeks.

By letter dated April 23, 1974, received in the Houston Office May 1, 1974 (approximately seven weeks after the discussion with Waska on March 11, 1974),

The Deputy Attorney General

Waska furnished a copy of [redacted] affidavit, which was dated January 29, 1974. This affidavit was taken by Jack C. Hollenshead, Jr., Special Agent, Internal Revenue Service, and witnessed by two other Internal Revenue Service Agents.

Due to Waska's delay in submitting the [redacted] affidavit, the case was placed in a closed status on April 1, 1974. It was reopened on May 1, 1974, and again assigned to Special Agent Coffman. In Waska's letter of April 29, 1974, he stated that in the event investigation was not in progress he desired that a comprehensive investigation commence immediately.

The [redacted] affidavit on which the investigation was to be based, was obtained at the [redacted] Jail where he was awaiting sentencing for making false statements before a Federal Grand Jury. He had plead guilty to one count of a three count indictment and furnished in his affidavit, a "portion" of his knowledge of illegal wiretaps conducted by officers of the Narcotics Division, Houston Police Department against alleged narcotics traffickers.

Along with the [redacted] affidavit, Waska enclosed with his letter of April 29, 1974, four reels of magnetic recording tape, two of which had been in his custody since November 21, 1973, and two since November 26, 1973. He advised Special Agent Coffman that the tapes were approximately ten years old and of no prosecutive value. He requested that they be maintained in the FBI Office.

Of the six different Houston Police Department cases mentioned by [redacted] as having been "made" with illegal wiretaps, the Federal Statute of Limitations had run on four at the time Waska furnished the affidavit to the FBI. All pertinent logs and transcripts had been destroyed and all tapes erased according to the [redacted] affidavit.

On May 14, 1974, Waska advised the original indictments had been dismissed and new ones were being sought.

With regard to this case, a situation prevailed in which: (1) the only evidence was an affidavit of [redacted] awaiting sentencing; (2) the FBI was precluded from interviewing [redacted] and any individuals under indictment; and (3) other knowledgeable parties are or were veteran police officers who could incriminate themselves by furnishing information.

The Deputy Attorney General

Captain B. G. Bond's memorandum to Chief of Police C. M. Lynn dated December 13, 1974, inferred that Special Agent Coffman told Officer T. A. Bell on May 28, 1974, that [redacted] was a thief; that he had quite a bit of information on Bell's case; that he knew the apartments Bell had "set up in" and that Special Agent Coffman knew that Bell "furnished the bug and hung it." Further, that Special Agent Coffman told Bell that the case involved Pompoza Garza and occurred in June, 1968. Special Agent Coffman has advised that when interviewing Bell he informed Bell that he was aware of detailed information concerning the Pompoza Garza case and it was his understanding Bell placed a tap on Garza and that Special Agent Coffman was aware of where Bell had placed the tap. Special Agent Coffman denies telling Bell that the Garza case occurred in June, 1968, as alleged or that [redacted] was a thief. FBI records reveal that the interview with Bell lasted approximately three minutes and the information furnished to Bell by Special Agent Coffman was intended to elicit pertinent information relative to alleged illegal activities on the part of Bell.

Carol S. Vance, District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, in a letter to United States Attorney Farris on November 20, 1973, indicates that Carlos Avila and Tony Zavala, two former Houston police officers under indictment for conspiracy to sell marijuana, alleged through their attorneys that the Narcotics Division, Houston Police Department, had engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. This letter states that Bob Bennett, Office of the District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, brought these allegations to the attention of former Special Agent in Charge Tom Jordan. It is true that Bennett and two of his assistants did meet with Jordan and our inquiry indicates that Jordan asked that specific facts be furnished and that he would have a secretary come in and record them. At that time Bennett ended the conversation and left. Upon interview with Phil Greene, one of the attorneys representing Avila and Zavala he could furnish no specifics of illegal wiretapping by the Houston Police Department. He offered to provide a witness if the Federal Government would grant the witness immunity. The results of this interview were furnished to the United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of Texas, in a report dated February 28, 1974.

Bennett was also interviewed at which time he indicated he had discussed allegations made by the attorneys for Avila and Zavala with Jordan. He said he had no specifics at that time and was "taking everything with a grain of salt" due to his past relationships with these attorneys.

Chief Lynn's letter to United States Attorney Farris on December 13, 1974, contains allegations of an inadequate investigation by the FBI to determine if Chief Lynn's telephones and those of his staff were tapped. Inquiry into this matter has

The Deputy Attorney General

determined that a thorough and comprehensive check of all telephone lines at all substations was made. This check included tracing the conduit which contained the lines and each appearance at various switching centers. These checks were made by FBI Agents with the assistance of telephone security personnel and a telephone employee at each substation as they were checked. A check of the lines commenced the same date the telephone numbers were furnished and was concluded approximately 10 days later with Chief Lynn's lines being the last to be checked. There was no indication of tampering or any illegal attachments on any of the lines checked.

Concerning the above it appears there has been no impropriety on the part of FBI personnel in Houston, Texas. All investigation appears to have been conducted in a proper manner with all logical leads promptly covered. The only delay, other than that by Waska in furnishing [redacted] affidavit, was a delay from July 26, 1974, to September 26, 1974. During this period Special Agent Coffman stated in a report dated October 22, 1974, that Assistant United States Attorney Waska had advised him on September 23, 1974, that he had not had time to completely review Special Agent Coffman's report of July 30, 1974, and that Waska had not desired any investigation during this period. In a letter dated October 31, 1974, copies of which were sent to the Department, Waska took exception to this. He advised he had not requested any delay of investigation. It should be noted that the only outstanding lead in the July 30, 1974, report was to maintain contact with Waska for a prosecutive opinion and any further investigation. This would indicate Special Agent Coffman believed there was no pending investigation to be conducted.

Discussions were held during this inquiry with United States Attorney McDonough and members of his staff. Complete FBI cooperation was offered. Efforts were made to provide point-by-point information concerning the allegations forwarded to the Department. McDonough expressed complete opposition to such a discussion stating he knew the FBI would handle these internal matters in an appropriate fashion. He stated his chief concern was the adverse effect on the Government's case if these allegations were to come out in court or appear in the press. Should this occur, he said, the Government would have a classic "Caesar's Wife" situation.

The Deputy Attorney General

It appears there has been an increasing paucity of communication and confidence between the investigative and prosecutive arms of the Department in the Houston, Texas, area. This could work to the disadvantage of our mutual responsibilities. Every effort was made during our inquiry to dispel any lack of confidence in our personnel which might exist in the minds of the United States Attorney and his staff. McDonough was advised that Special Agent in Charge Robert Russ Franck of the Houston FBI Office has been directed to personally supervise this case. He was also advised that Franck had been instructed to reassign the matter to a mature and thoroughly experienced investigator having no close associations either professionally or personally with members of the Houston Police Department. McDonough stated that he now believed the FBI could conduct the investigation in a proper manner if further investigation is desired as a result of Federal Grand Jury hearings, so long as we are prepared to refute any allegations of misconduct arising from publicity of the recordings made by Chief Lynn and other allegations in documents furnished by Lynn.

—Your memorandum also furnished other allegations of misconduct on the part of Houston, Texas, FBI personnel. One of these alleges that some FBI Agents have, in the past, been aware of specific instances of illegal electronic surveillance by the Houston Police Department and have been receptive to information derived therefrom.

Our inquiry in Houston disclosed that through the press the public has been deluged with publicity concerning "bugging" activities by the Houston Police Department. Television stations are said to be in possession of tape recordings made by Chief Lynn, as he inquired into illegal wiretaps which allegedly took place under the aegis of his predecessor. The recorded conversations were with present and former members of the Houston Police Department. Allegations of illegal wiretapping and other abuses of police powers have been leveled chiefly at the Narcotics and Criminal Intelligence Divisions of the Houston Police Department. Charges of information concerning personal misconduct by Houston public officials has fanned the flames of public curiosity concerning police wiretapping. In the midst of the furor, a Federal Grand Jury has been convened to gather information by the granting of immunity to witnesses, relative to any illegal wiretapping conducted by the Houston Police Department.

The Deputy Attorney General

Among the transcripts of Chief Lynn's conversations furnished to the FBI, are statements by Joe Singleton, former head of the Criminal Intelligence Division, Houston Police Department, to the effect that an FBI Agent, "Swede Johnson," and another Agent participated in and were receptive to information obtained from an illegal wiretap.

Special Agent William O. Johnson, Bank Robbery Coordinator of the Houston, Texas, FBI Office, was interviewed at length during our inquiry. He stated that to the best of his recollection he had handled only one case a number of years ago that also involved Joe Singleton, a former officer of the Houston Police Department. The case in question is captioned, "William Neil Lee - Fugitive, Et Al. Colonial Savings Association, 10421 South Post Oak Road, Houston, Texas, Bank Robbery; ITSMV."

A review of the files revealed that Lee was identified on February 14, 1966, as one of the individuals who robbed the above establishment on February 9, 1966. The FBI, Chicago, advised FBI, Houston, on February 14, 1966, that a Houston bank bag and a telegram addressed to Lee at a Houston residence had been recovered from a stolen car found in Chicago. Lee's photograph was identified by employees of two recently robbed Houston banks as one of the bank robbers.

Special Agent Johnson was assigned the cases and was assisted by Special Agent Joe P. Patty (now retired). The Houston Police Department was also seeking Lee who was wanted for a number of local robberies. Their investigations were directed by Captain L. C. Colley.

On February 17, 1966, Special Agent Johnson advised FBI, Chicago, and FBI Headquarters via teletype that a Houston Police Department surveillance had been established on the residence of Lee's girl friend and that Lee had been in telephonic contact with her and was believed to be planning to meet her on February 18, 1966. The Houston FBI Office copy of this teletype had the word "technical" typed in preceding the word surveillance. The teletype was sent at 10:18 p.m., on February 17, 1966. Special Agent Johnson stated that he personally typed the office copy of the teletype and made certain corrections in ink. He also initialed the office copy for former Special Agent in Charge Frank V. Hitt with his own initials underneath. It was noted that the word "technical" had been lined through with a pencil and Johnson has no idea who did this. He said it was Hitt's policy to read or have read to him all teletypes prior to their being sent; therefore, he assumes that either he or the night clerk read the teletype to Hitt due to the time the teletype was sent and the word "technical" was lined through on Hitt's orders. He said the lining through of the word "technical" would

The Deputy Attorney General

have been logical since he had no first-hand knowledge that the information came from a technical surveillance, but could have come from a police informant. He stated as best he can recall, he was furnished information about the telephone calls orally by Captain Colley. During interview Special Agent Johnson stated he typed the word "technical" on the teletype as it was apparent to him that the information furnished pertained to telephone conversations. On February 18, 1966, after police showed Lee's photograph to an apartment manager who advised Lee was residing in the building, Houston police officers, one of whom was Lieutenant Joe Singleton, apprehended Lee at 11:55 A.M. No FBI Agents participated in the arrest. Johnson believes he was telephonically advised of the arrest and thereafter he and Patty proceeded to the Police Department where Lee, who was in police custody, was interviewed.

Both Johnson and Patty denied ever listening to, participating in or being present at any location at any time where an electronic surveillance was being conducted by the Houston Police Department. Special Agent Johnson stated he sent the teletype on February 17, 1966, not knowing whether the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a police informant or a police technical surveillance. He included information in his report dated March 10, 1966, which stated that Captain Colley had advised him on February 18, 1966, that Lee was located and arrested through surveillance and other investigation conducted by the Houston Police Department.

Special Agent Johnson was asked if he was aware that a technical surveillance by the Houston Police Department could be a violation of the Federal Unlawful Publication or Use of Communications Statute in force at that time. He replied that he was not aware it was a violation inasmuch as he had no personal knowledge that the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a technical surveillance. There was no information developed during our inquiry that any FBI personnel had any association with or personal knowledge of illegal electronic surveillances.

On January 7, 1958, former FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover issued instructions that there was to be no investigation of any alleged violation of wiretapping laws until the FBI was directed to do so by the Criminal Division of the Department. All Special Agents in Charge were advised on January 8, 1958, to institute no investigation of any violation of this type without prior Bureau approval. Similar instructions in conjunction with those of the FBI were issued to all United States Attorneys by the Department. These instructions were in force at the time of the alleged Houston Police Department wiretap.

The Deputy Attorney General

Inasmuch as Special Agent Johnson received no complaints of illegal wiretapping and had no personal knowledge of such, his handling of the information in his possession was appropriate.

The final allegation mentioned in your communication has to do with the possibility that the Houston FBI Office may itself have engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. From a review of the information furnished, discussions with United States Attorney McDonough, and interviews of FBI personnel, the only basis for such an allegation would appear to be contained in statements made in open Federal court by Defense Attorney Dick DeGuerin on November 13, 1973, in the case captioned "Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr.; Lanny Goodman, Jr. - Victim; Et Al; Interception of Communications." DeGuerin stated that "Larry Shaefer, who likewise is a witness for the Government in this case, has sold electronic eavesdropping equipment to Don Steinmeyer, an FBI Agent, who is also a witness in this case."

This statement by DeGuerin is completely false. Former Assistant United States Attorney Joe Doucette, who was representing the Government knew the statement was false but failed to refute it. He was aware that Larry Shaefer, as a cooperating witness, had furnished items of evidence relating to the manufacture and sale of electronic surveillance equipment to Dudley Bell. This material was to be evidence in the trial. It had been submitted to the FBI Laboratory for comparison purposes. Laboratory reports concerning it had been furnished to the United States Attorney, to be introduced in the trial, and DeGuerin and Dudley Bell had viewed this evidence prior to the trial, in the Houston FBI Office. All witnesses were excluded from the trial except during their own testimony and DeGuerin never broached the subject during Special Agent Steinmeyer's testimony. It was several months after the trial when Steinmeyer had his first opportunity to read the transcript containing the falacious unrefuted statement by DeGuerin. All of the above information was available to former United States Attorney Farris since copies of the reports and court transcripts had been furnished to him. United States Attorney McDonough was apprised of this in our conference on January 17, 1975, and was asked why Doucette did not refute DeGuerin's allegation. McDonough's only explanation was that some Federal judges do not give Federal prosecutors the opportunity.

All other allegations of possible misconduct contained in the material furnished by the Department, which could be construed as referring to the FBI, have been thoroughly explored and no basis was found as to their validity.

The Deputy Attorney General

The FBI will continue every effort to assure that all investigation requested by United States Attorney McDonough is handled expeditiously and in a thorough manner.

On January 24, 1975, FBI Deputy Associate Director J. B. Adams was contacted by John Keeney, Acting Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division of the Department, who advised he had been in contact with United States Attorney McDonough who was under the impression that the Department was going to insist that FBI Agents from outside the Houston Division be utilized in conducting the investigation concerning allegations of illegal wiretapping on the part of the Houston Police Department. Mr. Keeney advised McDonough that such was not the agreement and that the FBI was going to remain on the investigation utilizing personnel of the FBI's choice. At that time Mr. Keeney was advised that we were reassigning the case to an Agent who has no extensive contacts with the Houston Police Department, the same practice we follow in Civil Rights investigations which would insure that we would be free from allegations of bias in favor of the police department. Mr. Keeney was assured that in the event additional personnel were needed in the Houston Field Division in order to aggressively investigate these allegations the Bureau would send personnel from other FBI Field Divisions but at that point we had not received any such request from the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office. Mr. Keeney asked Mr. Adams to contact the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office to insure that he was aware of the fact that if he needed additional personnel to investigate this matter the Bureau would give him full support.

Shortly thereafter Mr. Adams contacted the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office who stated he had advised United States Attorney McDonough that a Resident Agent in Galveston, Texas, was proceeding to Houston to assume investigative responsibility for this case. Also, if necessary, he will bring in additional Agents from Resident Agencies to assist in the investigation.

On January 31, 1975, Mr. Keeney contacted Mr. Adams stating that United States Attorney McDonough still desires that FBI Agents from outside the Houston Division be assigned to investigate this case. Mr. Keeney informed Mr. Adams that he did not concur with United States Attorney McDonough that the FBI was alert to the problem in this case and in the event additional FBI personnel were needed to investigate this matter, they would be made available. As previously indicated the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office was directed to personally supervise this case. On February 4, 1975, he advised that this case is being personally supervised by him.

The Deputy Attorney General

The foregoing is intended to outline the salient points of the inquiry conducted as a result of the allegations contained in your memorandum of January 7, 1975, and other material furnished by you. You may be assured that I shall continue to follow all aspects of the FBI's investigative operations in this matter to make certain our efforts reach the highest standards possible.

Mr. Callahan

2/4/75

H. N. Bassett

1 - Mr. Adams
1 - Mr. Jenkins
1 - Mr. Bassett
1 - Mr. Gebhardt

ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS
HOUSTON, TEXAS

By memorandum dated 1/7/75, Laurence H. Silberman, Deputy Attorney General, advised that during the past year FBI Agents in Houston, Texas, have engaged in an investigation of alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the Houston Police Department (HOPD). As an outgrowth of that investigation, the Department has recently received information alleging (1) that Bureau Agents have eschewed a vigorous investigation of this matter; (2) that some Bureau Agents have in the past, been aware of specific instances of illegal electronic surveillances by the HOPD and have been receptive to information derived therefrom; and (3) that the Houston Field Office may itself have engaged in illegal surveillances.

Attached to Mr. Silberman's letter were copies of transcripts of tape recorded telephone conversations between Chief of Police Carol M. Lynn, HOPD, and current and former members of HOPD; a letter from Chief Lynn to U. S. Attorney (USA) J. P. Farris dated 12/13/74, and a memorandum to Chief Lynn from B. G. Bond, Captain, Narcotics Division, dated 12/13/74. Realizing that we might not have all of the material in the Department's possession, Mr. Adams contacted Acting Assistant Attorney General John Keeney on 1/16/75, at which time Keeney made available copies of additional transcripts; a copy of a letter dated 12/17/74, from USA Farris to Attorney General Saxbe; copy of excerpts from the transcript in U. S. v. Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr.; copy of a letter dated 11/20/73, from Carol S. Vance, District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, to USA Farris; and copies of five letters from AUSA Ronald J. Waska to SAC, Houston, covering the period 4/29-10/31/74. All of the above documents are attached.

Mr. Silberman requested a memorandum detailing what information the Bureau has on this matter. Attached for approval is a proposed memorandum to Silberman setting forth the results of our inquiry into this matter. *This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.*

Enclosures

WCL:cjl (5)

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

At the instructions of Mr. Callahan, Inspector Willie C. Law and Inspector's Aide Ernest J. Landreville proceeded to the Houston Office on 1/12/75. Immediately upon arrival at the Houston Office, SAC Robert Russ Franck was telephonically contacted and thereafter appeared at the office at which time he was advised as to the nature of our inquiry.

Houston files pertaining to one of the cases in question captioned "Jerry L. Slaughter; et al.; IOC" Houston file 139-189, Bufile 139-4467, were thoroughly reviewed. Following review of these files, personnel who might possess knowledge of the allegations contained in Silberman's memorandum and the attachments thereto, were interviewed. Each person interviewed was furnished a memorandum prepared by the Inspector which set forth the allegations and where pertinent, the employee interviewed furnished a sworn, signed statement.

Following is a summary of the interviews conducted and the results of file reviews concerning each of the allegations which could be construed as pertaining to the FBI as contained in Mr. Silberman's memorandum and the attachments thereto.

That Bureau Agents Have Eschewed A Vigorous Investigation Of This Matter

All personnel interviewed stated that Bureau Agents have not eschewed a vigorous investigation in this matter. The case Agent, Harlan Q. Coffman and Supervisor William J. Schmidt feel that a vigorous and exhaustive investigation has been conducted. The files pertaining to this case indicate that it was opened on 12/7/73, based on a complaint that the voice of an individual had been recorded on tape allegedly made from an illegal wiretap by the Narcotics Division, HOPD. Investigation was conducted and on 2/28/74, the case was closed because AUSA Ronald J. Waska stated no evidence was available to support the allegation.

The case was reopened on 3/8/74, based on a news release by UPI which was furnished to the Houston Office by the Bureau indicating that nine Houston police officers had been indicted by a Federal grand jury (FGJ) for various crimes, including filing false tax returns and conspiracy to place illegal wiretaps. AUSA Waska was contacted 3/11/74, and he advised

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

no charges involving Interception of Communications had been made due to lack of proof, and evidence maintained in the USA's Office was found solely in an affidavit of [REDACTED], whom Waska described as an undercover informant. In April and October, 1974, Waska indicated there was no need to interview Chavez. On 3/11/74, Waska said he planned to make the Chavez affidavit available to the case Agent within two weeks. When this affidavit was not forthcoming, the case was closed on 4/1/74. The affidavit was finally made available on 5/1/74 (approximately seven weeks later) and indicates Chavez was awaiting sentencing for making false declarations to the FGJ. The case was reopened on 5/1/74. On the same date, four reels of magnetic tape were received from Waska and in his letter dated 4/29/74 he indicated that he had received two of these reels of tape on 11/21/73 and two reels of tape on 11/26/73 from the brother of Michael Chavez. He had previously indicated that the tapes were approximately ten years old and of no use in building a prosecutable case.

The Chavez affidavit, which is dated 1/29/74 sets forth six instances of alleged illegal wiretapping by the HOPD. Of these, the Statute of Limitations had run on all but two instances. Chavez also included in his affidavit that all logs and transcripts were destroyed and the tapes were erased; thus there was no physical evidence available.

There was no investigative activity in the case from 5/1 - 5/14/74, except one contact with AUSA Waska. Of the ten work days during this period, the case Agent was on sick leave three days and three hours. Investigation was conducted on various dates between 5/17 and 6/7/74, and on the latter date, AUSA Waska was apprised of the investigation conducted up to that time. SA Coffman contacted Waska on 6/27/74, at which time Waska requested a list of employees of the Communications Division of HOPD. The list was obtained on 7/3/74 and is included in a report submitted by SA Coffman on 7/30/74. Prior to the submission of this report, SA Coffman contacted Waska on 7/26/74 advising him of all investigation conducted to date at which time Waska requested a report prior to rendering a prosecutive opinion.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

There is no indication in the files of any outside investigative activity between 7/26 and 9/26/74; however, in his report dated 10/22/74, SA Coffman indicates a contact with Waska on 9/23/74, at which time Waska stated he had not had sufficient time to review Coffman's report of 7/30/74, due to his burdened work load, and had not desired any investigation between 7/30 and 9/23/74. Waska, in a letter to the Houston Office dated 10/31/74, stated he had never requested that this investigation be delayed, but had requested an exhaustive and diligent investigation since the inception of the case, the only exception being that the FBI should not interview Houston police officers under indictment. However, during Coffman's contact with Waska on 9/23/74, the only investigation requested by Waska was that Assistant District Attorney Robert C. Bennett be interviewed. Bennett was interviewed on 10/8/74. During this interview, Bennett advised that he and two other attorneys from his office had met with former SAC Thomas Jordan in Jordan's Office on 7/3/73, regarding allegations of wiretapping by the HOPD but that he could furnish no specifics at that time.

On 10/23/74, SA Coffman contacted AUSA Waska regarding any further investigation desired and Waska informed him that he desired to review Coffman's 10/22/74 report first.

A substantial amount of investigation was conducted during October and November, 1974, and the latest report was submitted on 11/12/74. Since that time, the Houston Office has been serving subpoenas on various individuals who are to appear before the FGJ, at the request of the USA's Office.

Captain B. G. Bond's letter of 12/13/74, to Chief Lynn contains allegations that SA Coffman advised Officer T. A. Bell that Mike Chavez was a thief, that SA Coffman told him he had quite a bit of information in his (Bell's) case; that SA Coffman knew the apartments Bell "had set up in" and that Bell had furnished the "bug" and hung it. Further, that SA Coffman told Bell the case involved Pompoza Garza and occurred in June, 1968.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

In the sworn, signed statement, SA Coffman categorically denied that he had advised Officer Bell that Chavez was a thief. He said he did inform Bell during the interview that he was aware of detailed information concerning the Garza case; that he understood Bell placed a tap on Garza and he was aware of the location where Bell placed the tap. SA Coffman denied furnishing Bell the date of June, 1968, relative to the Garza case.

Chief of Police C. M. Lynn's Letter to USA Farris Containing
Allegations of Inadequate Investigation By FBI to Determine If
Chief Lynn's Telephones and Those of His Staff Were Tapped

Inquiry into this matter determined that a thorough and comprehensive check of all telephone lines at all substations was made. This check included tracing the conduit which contained the lines and each appearance at various switching centers. These checks were made by SAs Richard W. Suter and Donald C. Steinmeyer with the assistance of telephone security personnel and a telephone employee at each substation as they were checked. A check of the lines commenced the same day the telephone numbers were furnished and was concluded approximately ten days later, with Chief Lynn's lines being the last to be checked. There was no indication of tampering or any illegal attachments on any of the lines checked.

Sworn, signed statements concerning the above were obtained from SAs Suter and Steinmeyer. SA Suter stated he was particularly painstaking in these checks since he suspected that equipment might be "planted" on the lines by J. L. Patterson, who had advised Chief Lynn that his telephone line was "bugged."

During conference with USA Edward B. McDonough, Jr., and members of his staff on 1/17/75, he advised he was not interested in discussing in detail allegations of misconduct by Houston FBI Agents as contained in the transcripts and documents furnished to the Department by former USA Farris. He considered this an internal matter within the FBI which he felt would be handled properly. His chief concern lay with the problem of publicity because he had information that copies of the tapes

CONTINUED - OVER

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATION OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

from which the transcripts were made were now in the hands of the local news media; that he has been instructed by the Department to proceed via the FGJ route in this case; that some of the witnesses may be granted immunity and that if indictments are returned against veteran police officers, their defense attorneys will use any means to obscure the real issues, including publicizing the contents of the tapes. He foresees the possibility of FBI agents being subpoenaed to testify before the grand jury. He indicated that any allegation can be refuted, but the damage is already done.

He said the way the IOC case pertaining to alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the HOPD was handled was not representative of the caliber of work he expected of the FBI. He felt that the case should have received an imaginative rather than a routine approach; for example, the Chief of Police was alerted and some time later interviews were conducted with his personnel, thus allowing the police to get together and agree on what should be said when interviewed. He also said he was not interested in obtaining a volume of FD-302s reflecting negative interviews. He was reminded that when knowledgeable individuals are interviewed regarding matters which could be self-incriminating quite often the results will be negative. Also, he did not feel it was proper, as alleged, for an Agent to advise possible subjects that the Statute of Limitations had run on many of the allegations that were the basis for the investigation. SA Coffman advised that when sitting in Captain Bond's Office, an officer asked what case the allegations involved, and Coffman mentioned the Panda and Wimpy Week's case. This officer asked what the Federal Statute of Limitations was and he was told five years. This officer and other officers said the case identified was over five years old and the officers appeared to be happy about this.

McDonough stated at the present time all investigation has been completed, but he expects the case to become very active after testimony is taken before the FGJ (Convened 1/20/75). If this occurs, he will require FBI assistance so long as we are prepared to refute any allegations should they arise either in court or in the press. He was of the opinion that the case should be assigned to personnel who have no close contacts or personal association with members of LOID. Inspector instructed SAC, Houston, to personally supervise this case and to reassign it to a mature and thoroughly experienced Agent in keeping with the above;

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

that any investigation requested be handled in a prompt and vigorous manner and in such a way that no criticism can be leveled against the Bureau or personnel of the Houston Office. Inspector advised USA McDonough that the case would be reassigned and would be under the personal supervision of the SAC.

That Some Bureau Agents Have, In the Past, Been Aware of Specific Instances of Illegal Electronic Surveillance By the HOPD and Have Been Receptive to Information Derived Therefrom

Among the transcripts of Chief Lynn's conversations are statements by Joe Singleton, former head of the HOPD Criminal Intelligence Division, to the effect that an FBI Agent, "Swede Johnson" and another Agent participated in and were receptive to information obtained from an illegal HOPD wiretap.

SA William O. Johnson, Bank Robbery Coordinator of the Houston Office, was interviewed at length during our inquiry. He stated that to the best of his recollection, he has handled only one case wherein Joe Singleton, a former officer of HOPD, was involved and that this case occurred several years ago. The case in question is captioned "William Neil Lee - Fugitive; et al.; Colonial Savings Association, 10421 South Post Oak Road, Houston, Texas, Bank Robbery, ITSMV" Houston file 91-1832.

A review of the files in this case revealed that Lee was identified on 2/14/66, as one of the individuals who robbed the above establishment on 2/9/66. The FBI, Chicago, advised FBI, Houston, on 2/14/66, that a Houston bank bag and a telegram addressed to Lee at a Houston residence had been recovered from a stolen car in Chicago. Lee's photograph was identified by employees of two recently robbed Houston banks as one of the bank robbers.

SA Johnson was assigned the cases involving Lee and was assisted by SA Joe P. Patty (now retired). The HOPD was also seeking Lee, who was wanted for a number of local robberies, and their investigation was directed by Captain L. C. Colley.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

On 2/17/66 SA Johnson advised our Chicago Office and FBIHQ via teletype that an HOPD surveillance had been established on the residence of Lee's girl friend and that Lee had been in telephonic contact with her twice and was believed to be planning to meet her on 2/18/66. The Houston Office copy of this teletype had the word "technical" typed in preceding the word surveillance. The teletype was sent at 10:18 p.m., on 2/17/66. SA Johnson stated that he personally typed the office copy of the teletype and made certain corrections in ink. He also initialed the office copy for former SAC Frank V. Hitt with his own initials underneath. It was noted that the word "technical" had been lined through with a pencil and Johnson has no idea who did this. He said it was SAC Hitt's policy to read or have read to him all teletypes prior to their being sent; therefore, he assumes that either he or the night clerk read the teletype to Hitt due to the time the teletype was sent and the word "technical" was lined through on Hitt's orders. He said the lining through of the word "technical" would have been logical since he had no first-hand knowledge that the information came from a technical surveillance, but could have come from a police informant. He stated as best he can recall, he was furnished information about the telephone calls orally by Captain Colley. During interview, SA Johnson stated he typed the word "technical" on the teletype as it was apparent to him that the information furnished pertained to telephone conversations. On 2/18/66, after police showed Lee's photograph to an apartment manager who advised Lee was residing in the building, Houston police officers, one of whom was Lieutenant Joe Singleton, apprehended Lee at 11:55 A.M. No FBI Agents participated in the arrest. Johnson believes he was telephonically advised of the arrest and thereafter he and Patty proceeded to the Police Department where Lee, who was in police custody, was interviewed.

Both Johnson and Patty denied ever being present at any location at any time where an electronic surveillance was being conducted by personnel of the HOPD. SA Johnson stated he sent the teletype on 2/17/66, not knowing whether the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a police informant or a police technical surveillance. He included information in his report dated 3/10/66, which stated that Captain Colley had advised him on 2/18/66, that Lee was located and arrested through surveillance and other investigation conducted by the HOPD.

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

SA Johnson was asked if he was aware that a technical surveillance by the HOPD could be a violation of the Federal Unlawful Publication or Use of Communications Statute in force at that time. He replied that he was not aware it was a violation inasmuch as he had no personal knowledge that the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a technical surveillance. There was no information developed during our inquiry that any FBI personnel had any association with or personal knowledge of illegal electronic surveillances.

On 1/7/58 former Director Hoover issued instructions that there was to be no investigation of any alleged violation of wiretapping laws until the FBI was directed to do so by the Criminal Division of the Department. All SACs were advised on 1/8/58 to institute no investigation of any violation of this type without prior Bureau approval. Similar instructions, in conjunction with those of the FBI, were issued to all USAs by the Department. These instructions were in force at the time of the alleged HOPD wiretap.

Inasmuch as SA Johnson received no complaint of illegal wiretapping, and had no personal knowledge of such, his handling of the information in his possession was appropriate.

That the Houston Field Office May Itself Have Engaged in Illegal Electronic Surveillance

All personnel who would be in a position to have knowledge of such activity denied that the Houston Field Office had engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. The files of the Houston Office indicate that from 1964 to date, two national security technical surveillances, one Title III surveillance, and one Pen Register surveillance have been conducted. The national security surveillances were authorized by the Bureau. The Pen Register and Title III surveillances were approved by Court Order. Another national security surveillance was authorized by the Bureau and equipment was installed but never activated because the subject was identified as an 88 fugitive.

In conference with USA McDonough, he was asked if the basis for the allegation in USA Farris' letter that the FBI was involved in illegal electronic surveillance had emanated from a statement made in open Federal Court on 11/13/73, in the case entitled "Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr. ; et al. ; IOC" by defense attorney Dick DeGuerin that "Larry Shaefer, who likewise is a witness

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

in this case, has sold electronic eavesdropping equipment to Don Steinmeyer, an FBI Agent, who is also a witness in this case." McDonough said he assumed this was what Farris was alluding to. McDonough had said earlier "how can we proceed with prosecution in the event indictments are returned when the FBI may momentarily be accused or publicized as being or having been engaged in illegal wiretaps."

McDonough was advised that DeGuerin's statement was completely false; that former AUSA Joe Doucette, who was representing the Government, knew it was false, but failed to refute it; and that AUSA Doucette was aware that Larry Schaefer as a cooperating witness, had furnished items of evidence to SA Steinmeyer relating to the manufacture and sale of electronic surveillance equipment to Dudley Bell. This material was to be used as evidence at the trial. It had been submitted to the FBI Laboratory for comparison with other evidence in the case and reports setting forth the results of the FBI Laboratory examination had been furnished to the USA's Office. In addition, DeGuerin and Dudley Bell had viewed this evidence in the Houston FBI Office prior to the trial. All witnesses were excluded from the courtroom except during the time of their own testimony; therefore, DeGuerin's statement stayed in the record unchallenged. SA Steinmeyer testified at the trial but the matter never came up during his testimony. It was several months after the trial when SA Steinmeyer had his first opportunity to read the unrefuted statement made by DeGuerin.

The above information was also available to former USA Farris because copies of the reports and the transcript were available to him. When asked on 1/17/75, why former AUSA Doucette did not refute the statement of DeGuerin, USA McDonough's only response was that some Federal judges do not give Federal prosecutors the opportunity.

Additional information concerning this matter is contained in administrative write-ups which were prepared as a result of the inquiry conducted both at FBIHQ and the Houston Office. Recommendations relative to any administrative action concerning personnel involved will be handled separately.

OBSERVATIONS: During this inquiry there were indications of a "vendetta" between the USA's Office and the HOPD. This may have had its roots when, during the early days of the USA's inquiry into the alleged illegal wiretapping by the HOPD, former AUSA James F. Farmer was forced to resign and stand

Memorandum to Mr. Callahan
Re: ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE
MISCONDUCT BY FBI AGENTS

trial on charges of possession of narcotics. Parker is currently carried as the victim of a pending Civil Rights investigation, Houston file 44-4504. The basis of this investigation is that a convicted narcotics violator planted marijuana and LSD in Parker's apartment in November, 1971, at the direction of the Narcotics Squad, HOPD, in order to "make a case" on Parker.

Two other AUSAs, one of whom was AUSA Waska, were accused by narcotics officers of the HOPD of smoking marijuana at "pot" parties. Administrative Inquiries into these accusations were conducted at the request of the Department. AUSA Waska vehemently denied ever using any narcotics. Former AUSA Theo W. Pinson, III, declined to discuss his personal life. At about the same time these events were transpiring, former USA Farris expressed concern that his and three of his assistants' telephones had been tapped by the Narcotics Bureau, HOPD.

RECOMMENDATION: That the proposed memorandum setting forth the results of our inquiry be approved and forwarded to Deputy Attorney General Silberman.

The Deputy Attorney General

February 5, 1975

Director, FBI

**ALLEGATIONS OF POSSIBLE MISCONDUCT
BY FBI AGENTS, HOUSTON, TEXAS**

This is in response to your memorandum dated January 7, 1975, captioned as above, with attachments, requesting information in the possession of the FBI relative to the allegations. As a result, inquiries have been conducted both at FBI Headquarters and our Houston Office to resolve each allegation. It should be noted, that due to numerous gaps in the transcripts furnished by former United States Attorney Farris, Southern District of Texas, there is a loss of continuity in some of the pertinent conversations. This problem was discussed with United States Attorney Edward B. McDonough, Jr., Southern District of Texas, who advised that although he had in his possession a complete transcript, the Department had furnished the FBI only those portions he deemed to be significant.

The first allegation is that Bureau Agents have eschewed a vigorous investigation of the alleged illegal electronic surveillance activity by the Houston Police Department. In this connection, a thorough review has been conducted of the case captioned, "Jerry L. Slaughter, also known as, et al, Interception of Communications." Explanations and sworn statements have been obtained from appropriate FBI personnel.

This inquiry has failed to reveal anything which could be considered substantial delay or lack of willingness on the part of FBI personnel to pursue all logical investigative avenues available. United States Attorney McDonough advised at the time of interview on January 17, 1975, that no investigation remained to be conducted; however, he expected considerable investigation to result from testimony to be given by witnesses who were to start appearing before the Federal Grand Jury convening on January 20, 1975, and he was assured full cooperation in this regard.

1 - Messrs. Adams, Jenkins, Gebhardt

WCL:njw

(7)

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

Note: Based on memo Bassett to Callahan captioned "Allegation of Possible Misconduct by FBI Agents, Houston, Texas," dated 2/4/75, WCL:bhg.

The Deputy Attorney General

This case was opened in the Houston Office on December 7, 1973, by former Special Agent in Charge Thomas J. Jordan and assigned to Special Agent Richard W. Suter based on a complaint made December 3, 1973, to Special Agent Suter and Billy D. Hubbard, Security Supervisor, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, to the effect that Attorney Philip Greene had a tape recording of Jack Storz's voice allegedly made from an illegal wiretap by the Narcotics Division of the Houston Police Department. On December 18, 1973, the case was reassigned to Special Agent Harlan Q. Coffman by Supervisor William J. Schmidt and the case has been under Supervisor Schmidt's supervision and assigned to Special Agent Coffman since that time. The case was reassigned from Special Agent Suter who had annual leave scheduled in December, 1973, followed by a commitment to attend a training school at the FBI Academy, Quantico, Virginia, early in January. This reassignment was made to preclude any delay in investigation.

Investigation was conducted regarding the original allegation and on February 28, 1974, the case was closed after Assistant United States Attorney Ronald J. Waska, Southern District of Texas, rendered an opinion that no evidence was available to support the allegations.

The case was reopened by Supervisor Schmidt on March 8, 1974, and assigned to Special Agent Coffman based on a United Press International news release on February 28, 1974, furnished to the Houston Office by FBI Headquarters which indicated that nine Houston Police Department officers had been indicted by a Federal Grand Jury for various crimes including conspiracy to place illegal wiretaps, stealing money and heroin from prisoners and narcotics traffickers, selling stolen heroin and filing false tax returns.

The case was discussed with Assistant United States Attorney Waska by Special Agent Coffman on March 11, 1974. Waska advised that no charges involving Interception of Communications had been made due to lack of proof, however, wiretapping was included in charges against the defendants and the evidence of this was in the possession of the United States Attorney's office, Southern District of Texas. This evidence consisted solely of an affidavit of one Michael Chavez, whom Waska described as an undercover informant who desired that his name not be made public. Waska said he planned to furnish Chavez's affidavit to the Houston FBI Office within two weeks.

By letter dated April 23, 1974, received in the Houston Office May 1, 1974 (approximately seven weeks after the discussion with Waska on March 11, 1974),

The Deputy Attorney General

Waska furnished a copy of **Chavez's** affidavit, which was dated January 29, 1974. This affidavit was taken by Jack C. Hollenshead, Jr., Special Agent, Internal Revenue Service, and witnessed by two other Internal Revenue Service Agents.

Due to Waska's delay in submitting the **Chavez** affidavit, the case was placed in a closed status on April 1, 1974. It was reopened on May 1, 1974, and again assigned to Special Agent Coffman. In Waska's letter of April 29, 1974, he stated that in the event investigation was not in progress he desired that a comprehensive investigation commence immediately.

The **Chavez** affidavit on which the investigation was to be based, was obtained at the **Harris County** Jail where he was awaiting sentencing for making false statements before a Federal Grand Jury. He had plead guilty to one count of a three count indictment and furnished in his affidavit, a "portion" of his knowledge of illegal wiretaps conducted by officers of the Narcotics Division, Houston Police Department against alleged narcotics traffickers.

Along with the **Chavez** affidavit, Waska enclosed with his letter of April 29, 1974, four reels of magnetic recording tape, two of which had been in his custody since November 21, 1973, and two since November 26, 1973. He advised Special Agent Coffman that the tapes were approximately ten years old and of no prosecutive value. He requested that they be maintained in the FBI Office.

Of the six different Houston Police Department cases mentioned by **Chavez** as having been "made" with illegal wiretaps, the Federal Statute of Limitations had run on four at the time Waska furnished the affidavit to the FBI. All pertinent logs and transcripts had been destroyed and all tapes erased according to the **Chavez** affidavit.

On May 14, 1974, Waska advised the original indictments had been dismissed and new ones were being sought.

With regard to this case, a situation prevailed in which: (1) the only evidence was an affidavit of **a convicted perjurer** awaiting sentencing; (2) the FBI was precluded from interviewing **Chavez** and any individuals under indictment; and (3) other knowledgeable parties are or were veteran police officers who could incriminate themselves by furnishing information.

The Deputy Attorney General

Captain B. G. Bond's memorandum to Chief of Police C. M. Lynn dated December 13, 1974, inferred that Special Agent Coffman told Officer T. A. Bell on May 28, 1974, that Mike Chavez was a thief; that he had quite a bit of information in Bell's case; that he knew the apartments Bell had "set up in" and that Special Agent Coffman knew that Bell "furnished the bug and hung it." Further, that Special Agent Coffman told Bell that the case involved Pompoza Garza and occurred in June, 1968. Special Agent Coffman has advised that when interviewing Bell he informed Bell that he was aware of detailed information concerning the Pompoza Garza case and it was his understanding Bell placed a tap on Garza and that Special Agent Coffman was aware of where Bell had placed the tap. Special Agent Coffman denies telling Bell that the Garza case occurred in June, 1968, as alleged or that Mike Chavez was a thief. FBI records reveal that the interview with Bell lasted approximately three minutes and the information furnished to Bell by Special Agent Coffman was intended to elicit pertinent information relative to alleged illegal activities on the part of Bell.

Carol S. Vance, District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, in a letter to United States Attorney Farris on November 20, 1973, indicates that Carlos Avila and Tony Zavala, two former Houston police officers under indictment for conspiracy to sell marijuana, alleged through their attorneys that the Narcotics Division, Houston Police Department, had engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. This letter states that Bob Bennett, Office of the District Attorney, Harris County, Texas, brought these allegations to the attention of former Special Agent in Charge Tom Jordan. It is true that Bennett and two of his assistants did meet with Jordan and our inquiry indicates that Jordan asked that specific facts be furnished and that he would have a secretary come in and record them. At that time Bennett ended the conversation and left. Upon interview with Phil Greene, one of the attorneys representing Avila and Zavala he could furnish no specifics of illegal wiretapping by the Houston Police Department. He offered to provide a witness if the Federal Government would grant the witness immunity. The results of this interview were furnished to the United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of Texas, in a report dated February 28, 1974.

Bennett was also interviewed at which time he indicated he had discussed allegations made by the attorneys for Avila and Zavala with Jordan. He said he had no specifics at that time and was "taking everything with a grain of salt" due to his past relationships with these attorneys.

Chief Lynn's letter to United States Attorney Farris on December 13, 1974, contains allegations of an inadequate investigation by the FBI to determine if Chief Lynn's telephones and those of his staff were tapped. Inquiry into this matter has

The Deputy Attorney General

determined that a thorough and comprehensive check of all telephone lines at all substations was made. This check included tracing the conduit which contained the lines and each appearance at various switching centers. These checks were made by FBI Agents with the assistance of telephone security personnel and a telephone employee at each substation as they were checked. A check of the lines commenced the same date the telephone numbers were furnished and was concluded approximately 10 days later with Chief Lynn's lines being the last to be checked. There was no indication of tampering or any illegal attachments on any of the lines checked.

Concerning the above it appears there has been no impropriety on the part of FBI personnel in Houston, Texas. All investigation appears to have been conducted in a proper manner with all logical leads promptly covered. The only delay, other than that by Waska in furnishing Chavez's affidavit, was a delay from July 26, 1974, to September 26, 1974. During this period Special Agent Coffman stated in a report dated October 22, 1974, that Assistant United States Attorney Waska had advised him on September 23, 1974, that he had not had time to completely review Special Agent Coffman's report of July 30, 1974, and that Waska had not desired any investigation during this period. In a letter dated October 31, 1974, copies of which were sent to the Department, Waska took exception to this. He advised he had not requested any delay of investigation. It should be noted that the only outstanding lead in the July 30, 1974, report was to maintain contact with Waska for a prosecutive opinion and any further investigation. This would indicate Special Agent Coffman believed there was no pending investigation to be conducted.

Discussions were held during this inquiry with United States Attorney McDonough and members of his staff. Complete FBI cooperation was offered. Efforts were made to provide point-by-point information concerning the allegations forwarded to the Department. McDonough expressed complete opposition to such a discussion stating he knew the FBI would handle these internal matters in an appropriate fashion. He stated his chief concern was the adverse effect on the Government's case if these allegations were to come out in court or appear in the press. Should this occur, he said, the Government would have a classic "Caesar's Wife" situation.

The Deputy Attorney General

It appears there has been an increasing paucity of communication and confidence between the investigative and prosecutive arms of the Department in the Houston, Texas, area. This could work to the disadvantage of our mutual responsibilities. Every effort was made during our inquiry to dispel any lack of confidence in our personnel which might exist in the minds of the United States Attorney and his staff. McDonough was advised that Special Agent in Charge Robert Russ Franck of the Houston FBI Office has been directed to personally supervise this case. He was also advised that Franck had been instructed to reassign the matter to a mature and thoroughly experienced investigator having no close associations either professionally or personally with members of the Houston Police Department. McDonough stated that he now believed the FBI could conduct the investigation in a proper manner if further investigation is desired as a result of Federal Grand Jury hearings, so long as we are prepared to refute any allegations of misconduct arising from publicity of the recordings made by Chief Lynn and other allegations in documents furnished by Lynn.

—Your memorandum also furnished other allegations of misconduct on the part of Houston, Texas, FBI personnel. One of these alleges that some FBI Agents have, in the past, been aware of specific instances of illegal electronic surveillance by the Houston Police Department and have been receptive to information derived therefrom.

Our inquiry in Houston disclosed that through the press the public has been deluged with publicity concerning "bugging" activities by the Houston Police Department. Television stations are said to be in possession of tape recordings made by Chief Lynn, as he inquired into illegal wiretaps which allegedly took place under the aegis of his predecessor. The recorded conversations were with present and former members of the Houston Police Department. Allegations of illegal wiretapping and other abuses of police powers have been leveled chiefly at the Narcotics and Criminal Intelligence Divisions of the Houston Police Department. Charges of information concerning personal misconduct by Houston public officials has fanned the flames of public curiosity concerning police wiretapping. In the midst of the furor, a Federal Grand Jury has been convened to gather information by the granting of immunity to witnesses, relative to any illegal wiretapping conducted by the Houston Police Department.

The Deputy Attorney General

Among the transcripts of Chief Lynn's conversations furnished to the FBI, are statements by Joe Singleton, former head of the Criminal Intelligence Division, Houston Police Department, to the effect that an FBI Agent, "Swede Johnson," and another Agent participated in and were receptive to information obtained from an illegal wiretap.

Special Agent William O. Johnson, Bank Robbery Coordinator of the Houston, Texas, FBI Office, was interviewed at length during our inquiry. He stated that to the best of his recollection he had handled only one case a number of years ago that also involved Joe Singleton, a former officer of the Houston Police Department. The case in question is captioned, "William Neil Lee - Fugitive, Et Al. Colonial Savings Association, 10421 South Post Oak Road, Houston, Texas, Bank Robbery; ITSMV."

A review of the files revealed that Lee was identified on February 14, 1966, as one of the individuals who robbed the above establishment on February 9, 1966. The FBI, Chicago, advised FBI, Houston, on February 14, 1966, that a Houston bank bag and a telegram addressed to Lee at a Houston residence had been recovered from a stolen car found in Chicago. Lee's photograph was identified by employees of two recently robbed Houston banks as one of the bank robbers.

Special Agent Johnson was assigned the case and was assisted by Special Agent Joe P. Patty (now retired). The Houston Police Department was also seeking Lee who was wanted for a number of local robberies. Their investigations were directed by Captain L. C. Colley.

On February 17, 1966, Special Agent Johnson advised FBI, Chicago, and FBI Headquarters via teletype that a Houston Police Department surveillance had been established on the residence of Lee's girl friend and that Lee had been in telephonic contact with her and was believed to be planning to meet her on February 18, 1966. The Houston FBI Office copy of this teletype had the word "technical" typed in preceding the word surveillance. The teletype was sent at 10:18 p.m., on February 17, 1966. Special Agent Johnson stated that he personally typed the office copy of the teletype and made certain corrections in ink. He also initialed the office copy for former Special Agent in Charge Frank V. Hitt with his own initials underneath. It was noted that the word "technical" had been lined through with a pencil and Johnson has no idea who did this. He said it was Hitt's policy to read or have read to him all teletypes prior to their being sent; therefore, he assumes that either he or the night clerk read the teletype to Hitt due to the time the teletype was sent and the word "technical" was lined through on Hitt's orders. He said the lining through of the word "technical" would

The Deputy Attorney General

have been logical since he had no first-hand knowledge that the information came from a technical surveillance, but could have come from a police informant. He stated as best he can recall, he was furnished information about the telephone calls orally by Captain Colley. During interview Special Agent Johnson stated he typed the word "technical" on the teletype as it was apparent to him that the information furnished pertained to telephone conversations. On February 18, 1966, after police showed Lee's photograph to an apartment manager who advised Lee was residing in the building, Houston police officers, one of whom was Lieutenant Joe Singleton, apprehended Lee at 11:55 A.M. No FBI Agents participated in the arrest. Johnson believes he was telephonically advised of the arrest and thereafter he and Patty proceeded to the Police Department where Lee, who was in police custody, was interviewed.

Both Johnson and Patty denied ever listening to, participating in or being present at any location at any time where an electronic surveillance was being conducted by the Houston Police Department. Special Agent Johnson stated he sent the teletype on February 17, 1966, not knowing whether the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a police informant or a police technical surveillance. He included information in his report dated March 10, 1966, which stated that Captain Colley had advised him on February 18, 1966, that Lee was located and arrested through surveillance and other investigation conducted by the Houston Police Department.

Special Agent Johnson was asked if he was aware that a technical surveillance by the Houston Police Department could be a violation of the Federal Unlawful Publication or Use of Communications Statute in force at that time. He replied that he was not aware it was a violation inasmuch as he had no personal knowledge that the information furnished to him by Captain Colley had come from a technical surveillance. There was no information developed during our inquiry that any FBI personnel had any association with or personal knowledge of illegal electronic surveillances.

On January 7, 1958, former FBI Director J. Edgar Hoover issued instructions that there was to be no investigation of any alleged violation of wiretapping laws until the FBI was directed to do so by the Criminal Division of the Department. All Special Agents in Charge were advised on January 8, 1958, to institute no investigation of any violation of this type without prior Bureau approval. Similar instructions in conjunction with those of the FBI were issued to all United States Attorneys by the Department. These instructions were in force at the time of the alleged Houston Police Department wiretap.

The Deputy Attorney General

Inasmuch as Special Agent Johnson received no complaints of illegal wiretapping and had no personal knowledge of such, his handling of the information in his possession was appropriate.

The final allegation mentioned in your communication has to do with the possibility that the Houston FBI Office may itself have engaged in illegal electronic surveillance. From a review of the information furnished, discussions with United States Attorney McDonough, and interviews of FBI personnel, the only basis for such an allegation would appear to be contained in statements made in open Federal court by Defense Attorney Dick DeGuerin on November 13, 1973, in the case captioned "Dudley Clifford Bell, Jr.; Lanny Goodman, Jr. - Victim; Et Al; Interception of Communications." DeGuerin stated that "Larry Shaefer, who likewise is a witness for the Government in this case, has sold electronic eavesdropping equipment to Don Steinmeyer, an FBI Agent, who is also a witness in this case."

This statement by DeGuerin is completely false. Former Assistant United States Attorney Joe Doucette, who was representing the Government knew the statement was false but failed to refute it. He was aware that Larry Shaefer, as a cooperating witness, had furnished items of evidence relating to the manufacture and sale of electronic surveillance equipment to Dudley Bell. This material was to be evidence in the trial. It had been submitted to the FBI Laboratory for comparison purposes. Laboratory reports concerning it had been furnished to the United States Attorney, to be introduced in the trial, and DeGuerin and Dudley Bell had viewed this evidence prior to the trial, in the Houston FBI Office. All witnesses were excluded from the trial except during their own testimony and DeGuerin never broached the subject during Special Agent Steinmeyer's testimony. It was several months after the trial when Steinmeyer had his first opportunity to read the transcript containing the falacious unrefuted statement by DeGuerin. All of the above information was available to former United States Attorney Farris since copies of the reports and court transcripts had been furnished to him. United States Attorney McDonough was apprized of this in our conference on January 17, 1975, and was asked why Doucette did not refute DeGuerin's allegation. McDonough's only explanation was that some Federal judges do not give Federal prosecutors the opportunity.

All other allegations of possible misconduct contained in the material furnished by the Department, which could be construed as referring to the FBI, have been thoroughly explored and no basis was found as to their validity.

The Deputy Attorney General

The FBI will continue every effort to assure that all investigation requested by United States Attorney McDonough is handled expeditiously and in a thorough manner.

On January 24, 1975, FBI Deputy Associate Director J. B. Adams was contacted by John Keeney, Acting Assistant Attorney General of the Criminal Division of the Department, who advised he had been in contact with United States Attorney McDonough who was under the impression that the Department was going to insist that FBI Agents from outside the Houston Division be utilized in conducting the investigation concerning allegations of illegal wiretapping on the part of the Houston Police Department. Mr. Keeney advised McDonough that such was not the agreement and that the FBI was going to remain on the investigation utilizing personnel of the FBI's choice. At that time Mr. Keeney was advised that we were reassigning the case to an Agent who has no extensive contacts with the Houston Police Department, the same practice we follow in Civil Rights investigations which would insure that we would be free from allegations of bias in favor of the police department. Mr. Keeney was assured that in the event additional personnel were needed in the Houston Field Division in order to aggressively investigate these allegations the Bureau would send personnel from other FBI Field Divisions but at that point we had not received any such request from the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office. Mr. Keeney asked Mr. Adams to contact the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office to insure that he was aware of the fact that if he needed additional personnel to investigate this matter the Bureau would give him full support.

Shortly thereafter Mr. Adams contacted the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office who stated he had advised United States Attorney McDonough that a Resident Agent in Galveston, Texas, was proceeding to Houston to assume investigative responsibility for this case. Also, if necessary, he will bring in additional Agents from Resident Agencies to assist in the investigation.

On January 31, 1975, Mr. Keeney contacted Mr. Adams stating that United States Attorney McDonough still desires that FBI Agents from outside the Houston Division be assigned to investigate this case. Mr. Keeney informed Mr. Adams that he did not concur with United States Attorney McDonough that the FBI was alert to the problem in this case and in the event additional FBI personnel were needed to investigate this matter, they would be made available. As previously indicated the Special Agent in Charge of the Houston Office was directed to personally supervise this case. On February 4, 1975, he advised that this case is being personally supervised by him.

The Deputy Attorney General

The foregoing is intended to outline the salient points of the inquiry conducted as a result of the allegations contained in your memorandum of January 7, 1975, and other material furnished by you. You may be assured that I shall continue to follow all aspects of the FBI's investigative operations in this matter to make certain our efforts reach the highest standards possible.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

OCT 7 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Letter dated September 25

With reference to the request of the House Select Committee for the name of the person whose name was excised from COINTELPRO documents 100-448006-171,255, the HSC has advised that it has no objection to the FBI contacting the person to determine whether the person objects to his name being provided to the HSC. If the person has no objection, the name will be provided. You will recall that this is the same proposal as was earlier offered to the SSC. The HSC has agreed to this approach on a trial basis in an attempt to avoid a confrontation with the Department on this issue.

REC-102

62-116464-86

21 OCT 9 1975

cc: Paul Daly

[Handwritten signature]

1-Ad Hoc
10-8-75
84 MAY 13 1976

62-116464

5-ADD

Intelligence Division

INFORMATIVE NOTE

Date 10/2/75

Attached teletype reports information from Father Wilamoski, a Catholic Priest who was a source of the Cincinnati Office, regarding telephonic contact of him by Mr. Richard Vermiere of the House Select Committee (HSC). A number of serials relating to the Black Extremist Cointelpro were made available for access to HSC Staff Members, including Mr. Vermiere, in Room 4204, JEH, on 9/22/75. Included in this group of documents were three serials relating to activities of Reverend Augustus Taylor. Reverend Taylor was an admirer of Black Panther Huey Newton and used his local television show in Ohio as a forum for black nationalist ideas. In one of the serials made available for access to HSC, the name of Father Wilamoski was mentioned, but only with regard to his position as principal of a high school and there was no indication in the serial that he desired confidentiality regarding information he furnished. To date, no ground rules have been worked out with the HSC as a result of its controversy with the White House.

DKP:lfj/lhb

CONTINUED - OVER

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz	1 - Mr. P. V. Daly
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall	1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar	1 - Mr. D. K. Pettus

DOJ/FBI

The question of how sources are to be protected, as well as ground rules for excisions, will be the subject of a conference between representatives of the Department and the Intelligence Division this afternoon. Until such ground rules are worked out, we will excise documents made available to the HSC in accordance with the procedures we follow with the SSC.

WOB
Hedges advised
not to send up in front
lack of specific guidelines
10/2/75
WOB

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

OCT 01 1975

TELETYPE

NR 009 CI CODE

3 36 PM NITEL 10/1/75 GEB

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (157-11690)

PITTSBURGH (157-1201)

FROM: CINCINNATI (157-2577)

ATTENTION: INTD - W. O. CRIMINAL

ROUSTUDY - 75

Assoc. Dir.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Adm.	_____
Dep.-A.D.-Inv.	_____
Asst. Dir.:	_____
Admin.	_____
Comp. Syst.	_____
Ext. Affairs	_____
Files & Com.	_____
Gen. Inv.	_____
Ident.	_____
Inspection	_____
Intell.	_____
Laboratory	_____
Plan. & Eval.	_____
Spec. Inv.	_____
Training	_____
Legal Coun.	_____
Telephone Rm.	_____
Director's Sec'y	_____

AT 5:30 PM, SEPTEMBER 30, 1975, FATHER MITCHELL WILAMOSKI, WHO IS THE PASTOR AT SAINT XAVIER'S CHURCH IN MALVERN, OHIO, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED SA ARTHUR J. HOLDER AT HIS HOME. FATHER WILAMOSKI WAS PREVIOUSLY THE PRINCIPAL AT CATHOLIC CENTRAL HIGH SCHOOL, STEUBENVILLE, OHIO. WILAMOSKI RELATED THE FOLLOWING INCIDENT: FATHER WILAMOSKI STATED HE HAD RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL EARLIER IN THE AFTERNOON FROM A RICHARD VERMIERE, WHO IDENTIFIED HIMSELF AS WORKING FOR THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE FOR THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS. VERMIERE, AFTER IDENTIFYING HIMSELF, PROCEEDED TO QUESTION FATHER WILAMOSKI CLOSELY CONCERNING HIS CONTACTS WITH FATHER AUGUSTUS TAYLOR AND HIS KNOWLEDGE OF TAYLOR'S ACTIVITIES. TAYLOR IS A BLACK PRIEST WHO FORMERLY WAS IN THE STEUBENVILLE DIOCESE. WILAMOSKI STATED HE WAS CAUGHT OFF GUARD AND HESITATED, BUT VERMIERE THEN TOLD HIM THAT HE, WILAMOSKI, HAD MADE A COMPLAINT TO THE FBI ABOUT

ST 114 REC-11 62-116464-84

5-1575

84 OCT 8 1975.

UNRECORDED COPY FILED IN 157-11690

PAGE TWO, CI 157-2577

TAYLOR. VERMIERE THEN STATED THAT WILAMOSKI HAD GIVEN INFORMATION TO SA ARTHUR J. HOLDER ABOUT FATHER TAYLOR. WILAMOSKI STATED THAT VERMIERE ASKED HIM QUESTIONS ABOUT FATHER TAYLOR'S RADIO PROGRAM, VISITS TO THE HIGH SCHOOL, CITY JAIL, COFFEE HOUSE, AND OTHER INCIDENTS THAT HE COULD NOT RECALL. WILAMOSKI STATED HE DID ADMIT TO VERMIERE THAT HE DID DISCUSS FATHER TAYLOR'S ACTIVITIES WITH SA HOLDER, BUT HAD DISCUSSED MANY TOPICS AS WELL AND COULD NOT RECALL ALL OF ANY SPECIFIC CONVERSATION. WILAMOSKI STATED HE TOLD VERMIERE THE INCIDENTS HE WAS REFERRING TO TOOK PLACE IN 1966 AND HE HAD LONG FORGOTTEN ANY SPECIFIC DETAILS.

WILAMOSKI ADVISED SA HOLDER THAT HE WAS DISAPPOINTED THE FBI HAD NOT MAINTAINED THE CONFIDENTIALITY OF HIS REMARKS. HE STATED HE NEVER THOUGHT HIS COMMENTS WOULD BE RELEASED BY THE FBI TO ANY OTHER AGENCY. WILAMOSKI STATED EVEN THOUGH FATHER TAYLOR IS NOW IN ANOTHER DIOCESE, SHOULD HE OBTAIN THIS INFORMATION HE WOULD BE IN AN UNCOMFORTABLE POSITION AS FATHER TAYLOR IS STILL A BROTHER PRIEST AND HAS FRIENDS REMAINING IN THIS DIOCESE.

PAGE THREE, CI 157-2577

THE CASE CONCERNING FATHER TAYLOR IS ENTITLED, "REVEREND
AUGUSTUS RUTHERFORD TAYLOR, JR., AKA. FATHER AUGUSTUS TAYLOR, JR.;
RM;" CINCINNATI FILE 157-2577; OO: PITTSBURGH; PITTSBURGH FILE
157-120L; BUFILE 157-11690.

END

LER FBHQ CLR

157-120L
157-11690

1 - Mr. A. Mintz
 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

The Attorney General

September 25, 1975

Director, FBI

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

In response to a request of September 22, 1975, from Steven Blackhurst, Assistant Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, to Special Agent Paul V. Daly of this Bureau for identification of HSC requests for materials and information concerning Bureau criminal-type investigations, which requests, on their face, appear to exceed the HSC mandate as detailed in House Resolution 591, the following information is set forth:

HSC letter dated September 2, 1975, relates to this Bureau's investigation of alleged illegal interception of telephone conversations at Spencer, West Virginia, Post Office, 1973-1975. Information responsive to this inquiry was forwarded to you by Bureau letter dated September 18, 1975, with enclosures, which letter requested that the Department make a determination as to whether the enclosed material, in view of the parameters described in House Resolution 591, should be furnished the HSC. REC-41 62-116464-83 OCT 2 1975

HSC letter of September 2, 1975, relates to this Bureau's investigation of alleged illegal wiretapping by the Baltimore City Police Department and the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company. Research is currently being conducted to identify the subject matter of this inquiry.

Assoc. Dir. _____
 Dep. AD Adm. _____
 Dep. AD Inv. _____
 Asst. Dir.: _____
 Admin. _____
 Comp. Syst. _____
 Ext. Affairs _____
 Files & Com. _____
 Gen. Inv. _____
 Ident. _____
 Inspection _____
 Intell. _____
 Laboratory _____
 Plan. & Eval. _____
 Spec. Inv. _____
 Training _____
 Legal Coun. _____
 Telephone Rm. _____
 Director Sec'y _____

62-116464
 TJM:1hb
 OCT 8 1975
 MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SEE NOTE PAGE 2

The Attorney General

HSC letter of September 9, 1975, relates to this Bureau's investigation of an illegal wiretap on the telephone of Mr. Harry E. Koplan, Chairman of the Pennsylvania State Milk Marketing Board. A reply to this request is currently being prepared by this Bureau.

- 1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaskan, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

NOTE:

All three of the above inquiries deal with the possible violation of the Interception of Communications statute, which investigations are handled by the General Investigative Division. It is believed the Department inquiry in this matter was prompted as a result of request contained in above-mentioned Bureau letter of 9/18/75.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

SEP 30 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 25

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 25 which was received by this Office on September 29. Please advise me orally of the identity of the person whose name has been excised from the referenced document so that we can jointly decide how to respond to the request in this letter.

cc: Paul Daly

ENCLOSURE

REC-1

ST 114

OCT 2 1975

LEGAL COUNSEL

5-ADD

62-116464



SEP 30 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 25

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 25 which was received by this Office on September 29. Please advise me orally of the identity of the person whose name has been excised from the referenced document so that we can jointly decide how to respond to the request in this letter.

cc: Paul Daly

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: STATUS OF RESPONSES TO CONGRESSIONAL
COMMITTEE REQUESTS

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Wannall
(Attn: Mr. Cregar)

DATE: 9/10/75

1 - Mrs. Metcalf
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

The purpose of the memorandum is to set forth in summary form the requests we have received from various Committees in Congress and the status of our replies.

Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (Church's Committee)

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/5/75	Request for FBI materials with respect to surreptitious entries.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/8/75	Request for materials relating to mail surveillance.	Response delivered.
Letter, 8/12/75	Request that FBI provide third-agency clearance of FBI materials possessed by IRS.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/20/75	Additional request for FBI materials and names of Agents in connection with mail openings.	Response delivered.
Letter, 8/25/75	Request for all memoranda written by SA Papich or SA Kurtz concerning contacts between any CIA personnel and (a) Robert Maheu; (b) Sam Giancana; (c) John Roselli; or (d) Santos Trafficante.	Response delivered.

62-116464-
NOT RECORDED
OCT 3 1975 SEP 23 1975

CONTINUED - OVER



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
 RE: STATUS OF RESPONSES TO CONGRESSIONAL
 COMMITTEE REQUESTS

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/27/75	Additional request for FBI materials in two parts, Part I for delivery to Committee offices and Part II for access to screen for delivery to Committee offices.	Partial response delivered.
Letter, 8/27/75	Addition to the Document Request delivered 8/27/75.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/28/75	All outstanding requests for materials relating to King matters, with limited exception of (1) DeLoach's 8/24-27/64 memos pertaining to 1964 Democratic National Convention, and (2) those portions of any other requested materials which summarize or quote from conversations monitored during surveillance of Dr. King.	Partial response delivered.
Letter, 8/29/75	Request for tax information on certain individuals.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 9/5/75	Information on IRS employees who supplied tax returns to FBI Agents as related to COINTELPRO.	Response being prepared.

Subcommittee on Government Information and Individual Rights
 Committee on Government Operations (Abzug's Committee)

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/26/75	Request for all inspection reports of FBI regarding its investigation of alleged improper activities by FBI Agent Kenneth Whittaker.	Response being prepared.

CONTINUED - OVER



Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: STATUS OF RESPONSES TO CONGRESSIONAL
COMMITTEE REQUESTS

Subcommittee on Civil and Constitutional Rights
Committee on the Judiciary (Edwards' Committee)

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/28/75	Request for Forms 86 and Fingerprint Cards for Messrs. Parker and Klee and Ms. Sullivan.	Response delivered.

Subcommittee on Courts, Civil Liberties and the
Administration of Justice
Committee on the Judiciary (Kastenmeier's Committee)

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/6/75	Request pertaining to the National Security Index.	Response delivered to the Department.

House Select Committee (Pike's Committee)

House study

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/19/75	Request (7/22/75) all documents provided to SSC; (7/22/75) General Counsel's opinions of authorities; (7/29/75) most current FBI organizational chart; (7/30/75) access to all FBI information provided to GAO; and (8/13/75) proposed FBI guidelines.	Partial response delivered.
Letter, 8/19/75	Request for current Bureau organizational chart.	Response delivered.
Letter, 8/20/75	Request for information concerning informants.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/22/75	Request for Sections in the FBI Manual of Instructions.	Response delivered to the Department.

CONTINUED - OVER



Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: STATUS OF RESPONSES TO CONGRESSIONAL
COMMITTEE REQUESTS

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 8/27/75	Request for any material regarding Donald Uffinger (Private Investigator in Virginia) and regarding Richard Bast (Private Investigator in Washington, D.C.).	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/27/75	Request for interviews with SAs Ed Ruddiger and Frank Schwartz, Daniel Mahan, Charles Harvey and Charles Anderson.	Response being held in abeyance pending review of documents
Letter, 8/27/75	Request for information relating to all FBI projects which included mail intercepts, including so-called "Z-Covers" project, from 1958 to date.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/27/75	Request for delivery of all ADEX lists maintained since 1/1/73 until the present.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 8/29/75	Request delivery of Sections 107, 108 and 130 of the FBI Manual of Instructions.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 9/2/75	Request all materials dealing with the "threatening note" received by FBI Dallas Office from Oswald and for interview of SA James P. Hosty.	Response being prepared.
Letter, 9/2/75	Request for all materials dealing with an allegedly illegal interception of telephonic conversations at Spencer, West Virginia, Post Office and any material regarding former Postmaster Hamilton of that office.	Response being prepared.

CONTINUED - OVER

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: STATUS OF RESPONSE TO CONGRESSIONAL
COMMITTEE REQUESTS

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Letter, 9/2/75	Request for materials relating to "break-ins" for the National Security Agency prior to 1966.	Response being prepared.

Hearings

<u>Date of Request</u>	<u>Nature of Request</u>	<u>Status</u>
Orally, 9/10/75	Request to arrange testimony before Subcommittee on Postal Facilities, Mail, and Labor Management of the Post Office and Civil Service Committee concerning mail covers and mail openings.	Date of testimony is set for 10/1/75.

Anticipated Hearings

We have been informally advised that there will be hearings before the Senate Select Committee on mail openings and other topics sometime in October and the House Select Committee hearings are scheduled for sometime during the first week of October. The topic for the House Select Committee hearings is not known.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

W. H. [unclear]

JH

JH

1167

[Signature]

Pen

[Signature]

[Signature]

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MC CLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREFF, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9731

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 25, 1975


Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:


We hereby request the name of the person whose name
is excised in Cointelpro documents 100-448006-171, 255.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this request.

Sincerely,



Aaron B. Donner
Counsel to the Committee



ENCLOSURE

62-116464-82



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

FBI

SEP 30 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

M 9/30
FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 25

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 25 which this Office received on September 29.

Please prepare an appropriate response to this request. The HSC is especially interested in receiving this material as soon as possible.

Co
ENCLOSURE

cc: Paul Daly

REC-1

ST. 114

OCT 2 1975

62-116464

5-AJD
LECT. 10/1/75
PMT



SEP 30 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 25

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 25 which this Office received on September 29.

Please prepare an appropriate response to this request. The HSC is especially interested in receiving this material as soon as possible.

cc: Paul Daly

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCFLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TRENN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 25, 1975

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530


Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby formally request delivery of the selected Cointelpro material reviewed and orally requested by Rich Vermeire and Tim Oliphant of our staff at FBI Headquarters on September 22 and 24, 1975, and referred to by the following serial numbers:

Black Extremist:

1. 100-448006-1925
2. 100-448006-171
3. 100-448006-255
4. 100-448006-15
5. 100-448006-179
6. 100-448006-229
7. 100-448006-271
8. 100-448006-351
9. 100-448006-430
10. 100-448006-766
11. 100-448006-740
12. 100-448006-795
13. 100-448006-803
14. 100-448006-878
15. 100-448006-1244
16. 100-448006-1264
17. 100-448006-1269
18. 100-448006-1385
19. 100-448006-1880
20. 100-448006-1890
21. 100-448006-2209
22. 100-448006-2308
23. 100-448006-785
24. 100-448006-876
25. 100-448006-923

New Left:



Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 25, 1975
Page 2

2. 100-449698-34-66
3. 100-449698-34-74
4. 100-449698-34-75
5. 100-449698-34-78
6. 100-449698-34-79
7. 100-449698-34-86
8. 100-449698-34-99
9. 100-449698-46-15
10. 100-449698-50-13
11. 100-449698-26-2
12. 100-449698-258
13. 100-449698-341, 367
14. 100-449698-9-15
15. 100-449698-5-16, 17
16. 100-449698-5-24
17. 100-449698-8-15
18. 100-449698-8-16
19. 100-449698-10-12
20. 100-449698-26-31

Thank you for your prompt attention to this matter.

Sincerely,



Aaron B. Donner
Counsel to the Committee

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

REC-102

DATE: 9/25/75

FROM : Legal Counsel *JAM*

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY 75

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection ☒ _____
Intell. ☒ _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

On September 25, 1975, Mr. Joseph Tait, of the U.S. Recording Company, telephone number 488-3900, telephonically advised me that he has been requested by the House Committee to appear for an interview at 10:00 a.m. on September 26, 1975, the subject of which was not specified.

Mr. Tait was advised that should the subject of the interview concern FBI purchases of equipment from his company relating to Bureau needs pursuant to Title III, we would have no objection to him discussing such matters with Committee representatives. However, should the inquiry concern other matters, it would be appropriate for him to suggest to the Committee representatives that their inquiry should be addressed to the Bureau. I furnished him my name and telephone number as a point of contact in the event he desired to raise any questions during the course of the interview.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

- 1 - Mr. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. Daly
- 1 - Mr. Mintz

JAM:mfd
(6)

three
REC-1
62
WYW/SSM
116724-80
JAM
OCT 2 1975
[Signature]



84 OCT 15 1975
84 OCT 20 1975

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
 - (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
- 1 - Mr. A. J. Decker, Jr.
 - Attn: Mr. C. D. Neudorfer

The Attorney General

September 8, 1975

Director, FBI

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 19, 1975, requesting identifying and financial information relating to Bureau informants during the period January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975, and all tax records filed by this Bureau with respect to informant payments made during the above period.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum with enclosures which constitutes this Bureau's response to the above requests.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures (6)

62-116464

REC-1

ST 114

21 OCT 2 1975

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____

TJM:eks
(11)

ENCLOSURE
"ENCLOSURE ATTACHED"

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

62-116464

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. A. J. Decker, Jr.
Attn: Mr. C. D. Neudorfer
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
September 5, 1975
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
CONCERNING IDENTITY AND
PAYMENTS TO FBI INFORMANTS
AS WELL AS TAX RECORDS FILED
REGARDING SUCH PAYMENTS

Reference is made to HSC letter dated August 19, 1975, requesting:

(1) All names, addresses (if known) and dates of payments to all FBI informants from January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975.

(2) All Federal Internal Revenue or state and local tax records or forms filed by the FBI with respect to informant payments from January 1, 1970, to June 30, 1975.

With regard to Number 1 above, Steven Blackhurst, Deputy Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, Department of Justice, on August 21, 1975, advised Special Agent Paul V. Daly of this Bureau that the FBI should not make available material tending to disclose or identify FBI sources.

With regard to Number 2 above, it should be noted that this Bureau did not withhold income tax deductions on payments made to all informants. The overwhelming majority of instances in which such tax deductions were effected involved certain security informants who experienced difficulty for Federal income tax purposes to report payments received from this Bureau for services, without jeopardizing their security as informants. At the conclusion of each tax year

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

TJM:eks/1hb 1hb ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG
(10)
MAIL ROOM ☐ TELETYPE UNIT ☐

SEE NOTE PAGE 3
Pur

TJM

GPO 954-546

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING IDENTITY AND PAYMENTS
TO FBI INFORMANTS AS WELL AS TAX RECORDS FILED REGARDING
SUCH PAYMENTS

and as pertinent information pertaining to all such deductions became available, this Bureau would submit to Internal Revenue Service (IRS) a coded list of informants with tax deductions withheld for each, along with a bank check made out to IRS for the total amount withheld. At no time did this Bureau file any individual tax returns for informants.

It should be noted that because IRS records are now computerized, making it impossible for IRS to provide proper security for continuance of the above procedure, and to effect, for this Bureau, a considerable monetary savings in clerical and Agent salaries required to maintain extensive records in this regard, this Bureau, effective August 19, 1975, discontinued the practice of withholding tax deductions made from informant payments.

In response to request Number 2 above, there are enclosed tax reports filed by this Bureau with the IRS reflecting tax deductions made from informant payments covering the calendar years 1970, 1971, 1972 and 1973. A similar report for the tax year 1974 is currently being prepared at FBI Headquarters and upon completion will be similarly submitted to the IRS.

Enclosures (4)

1 - The Attorney General

U. S. House Select Committee
On Intelligence Activities (HSC)
Re: Request For Information
Concerning Identity
And Payments To FBI
Informants As Well As
Tax Records Filed
Regarding Such Payments

NOTE:

Information relating to the Department's decision not to disclose the identity of Bureau's informants to the HSC is contained in memorandum from Legal Counsel Division to Mr. J. B. Adams dated 8/22/75, captioned "Houstudy."

FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1973

This will acknowledge receipt of a Treasurer's Check Number 0862418, drawn on the American Security and Trust Company, Washington, D. C., dated January 9, 1975, payable to the order of the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$37,996.81.

This represents payment for the total amount of the monies withheld as set out in the three pages attached, listed by numbers, beginning with Number 1 on page 1 and ending with Number 105 on page 4.

W. M. E. Ruffin

(Date)

1-20-75

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

**FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1973**

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>
1	\$ 267.00	17	\$ 155.10
2	277.20	18	171.00
3	141.83	19	176.00
4	332.69	20	382.00
5	334.00	21	642.50
6	273.65	22	73.00
7	179.08	23	580.00
8	181.25	24	168.76
9	250.50	25	237.86
10	75.50	26	312.00
11	126.03	27	20.00
12	132.00	28	280.00
13	1,025.00	29	69.01
14	52.50	30	12.00
15	375.25	31	84.88
16	732.00	32	149.12

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>
33	\$ 110.00
34	307.00
35	950.25
36	449.84
37	764.00
38	123.00
39	369.00
40	277.54
41	368.00
42	795.54
43	1,592.42
44	626.00
45	170.00
46	1,086.36
47	150.00
48	973.32
49	233.00
50	30.00
51	147.00

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>
52	\$ 372.00
53	.40
54	181.00
55	191.60
56	111.00
57	457.00
58	390.00
59	267.00
60	20.00
61	113.00
62	10.00
63	76.00
64	528.00
65	733.00
66	659.00
67	3,317.18
68	1,604.00
69	482.77
70	388.77

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to any unauthorized person without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>
71	\$ 241.00
72	99.00
73	156.00
74	377.68
75	244.00
76	235.00
77	401.00
78	601.19
79	321.00
80	19.00
81	985.88
82	348.00
83	401.00
84	554.57
85	240.00
86	113.00
87	246.00
88	287.00
89	250.47

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1973</u>
90	\$ 425.25
91	235.00
92	187.00
93	195.00
94	196.00
95	135.40
96	315.00
97	326.60
98	506.00
99	111.50
100	81.00
101	699.00
102	4.00
103	6.00
104	152.80
105	607.77
TOTAL	\$37,996.81

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1972

This will acknowledge receipt of a Treasurer's Check Number 0750903, drawn on the American Security and Trust Company, Washington, D. C., dated January 25, 1974, payable to the order of the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$40,058.22.

This represents payment for the total amount of the monies withheld as set out in the four pages attached, listed by numbers, beginning with Number 1 on page 1 and ending with Number 126 on page 4.

(Date)

Joseph H. McGowan
February 12, 1974

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is not limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

**FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1972**

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>
1	\$ 869.91	17	\$ 34.00
2	7.00	18	414.87
3	64.00	19	329.52
4	217.00	20	323.63
5	77.00	21	426.00
6	29.00	22	959.99
7	145.00	23	176.00
8	266.89	24	615.22
9	41.99	25	368.00
10	16.25	26	303.00
11	210.00	27	175.62
12	129.20	28	154.05
13	5.00	29	105.00
14	241.86	30	278.50
15	12.00	31	192.50
16	351.00	32	123.09

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>
33	\$ 98.00	52	\$ 253.00
34	992.00	53	797.86
35	33.09	54	723.00
36	324.24	55	115.19
37	708.75	56	209.00
38	342.00	57	292.50
39	737.88	58	1,300.91
40	162.00	59	82.50
41	390.00	60	543.59
42	216.60	61	1,202.74
43	366.42	62	359.60
44	322.34	63	171.00
45	234.00	64	147.00
46	16.00	65	132.00
47	106.00	66	199.00
48	107.90	67	170.00
49	378.00	68	2.00
50	486.00	69	72.60
51	949.69	70	305.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>
71	\$ 184.00	90	\$ 184.00
72	174.00	91	29.00
73	174.00	92	35.00
74	350.00	93	341.00
75	458.71	94	80.00
76	357.00	95	321.38
77	8.00	96	420.00
78	917.32	97	467.00
79	158.06	98	2903.02
80	151.74	99	698.00
81	148.00	100	201.00
82	309.00	101	1688.00
83	72.20	102	850.50
84	387.20	103	173.00
85	241.00	104	40.75
86	42.00	105	35.00
87	177.88	106	151.73
88	61.00	107	555.68
89	546.97	108	22.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>
109	\$ 248.00
110	286.00
111	150.79
112	427.00
113	255.00
114	90.00
115	241.00
116	223.60
117	199.00
118	497.00
119	402.00
120	297.00
121	93.00
122	109.00
123	63.00
124	72.20
125	26.00
126	553.00

TOTAL TAX \$40,058.22

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1972</u>
----------------------------------	---------------------------------------

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings of your Committee and may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the approval of the FBI.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1971

This will acknowledge receipt of a Treasurer's Check Number 0670811, drawn on the American Security and Trust Company, Washington, D. C., dated December 12, 1972, payable to the order of the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$40,958.55.

This represents payment for the total amount of monies withheld as set out in the four pages attached, listed by numbers, beginning with Number 1 on page 1 and ending with Number 132 on page 4.

Joseph H. McLawan
Property Assistant Commissioner
(Date) *Jan. 12, 1973*

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

**FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1971**

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>	<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>
1	\$ 176.45	20	\$ 260.59
2	268.40	21	35.00
3	464.00	22	851.02
4	857.52	23	299.25
5	313.00	24	280.00
6	1,627.31	25	184.27
7	1,260.51	26	168.87
8	113.04	27	125.75
9	342.00	28	216.25
10	229.43	29	157.75
11	1,670.49	30	105.63
12	149.00	31	114.00
13	421.75	32	1,015.23
14	995.46	33	16.55
15	476.09	34	407.50
16	241.00	35	556.73
17	76.85	36	65.51
18	26.00	37	295.61
19	136.00	38	154.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by the Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>
39	\$ 95.00
40	233.20
41	66.84
42	89.00
43	58.00
44	673.74
45	206.79
46	302.98
47	231.00
48	256.00
49	275.34
50	73.98
51	235.82
52	231.81
53	223.00
54	301.00
55	220.00
56	5.00
57	416.91

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>
58	\$ 74.00
59	315.93
60	272.29
61	204.00
62	242.00
63	465.00
64	184.48
65	196.00
66	410.00
67	427.18
68	316.93
69	106.61
70	981.41
71	358.00
72	561.34
73	320.00
74	532.04
75	95.00
76	54.27

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>
77	\$ 63.00
78	589.12
79	143.00
80	227.00
81	39.00
82	311.86
83	201.30
84	204.00
85	272.00
86	110.00
87	160.00
88	65.00
89	223.00
90	182.00
91	322.00
92	294.40
93	286.60
94	180.00
95	225.00

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1971</u>
96	\$ 380.36
97	368.00
98	165.00
99	166.07
100	144.00
101	79.00
102	339.00
103	459.00
104	236.00
105	182.00
106	162.97
107	128.00
108	90.00
109	226.00
110	223.00
111	31.50
112	157.50
113	306.00
114	368.00

IDENTIFICATION
NUMBER

AMOUNT
OF TAX
FOR 1971

115	\$ 377.05
116	151.95
117	163.57
118	242.64
119	246.36
120	86.00
121	76.07
122	254.70
123	209.37
124	828.46
125	411.00
126	660.00
127	220.00
128	1,545.00
129	621.00
130	107.00
131	749.00
132	232.00

TOTAL TAX:


\$40,958.55

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for
nation outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings
your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized
nel without the express approval of the FBI.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1970

This will acknowledge receipt of a Treasurer's Check Number 0605816, drawn on the American Security and Trust Company, Washington, D. C., dated December 13, 1971, payable to the order of the Internal Revenue Service in the amount of \$56,237.73.

This represents payment for the total amount of the monies withheld as set out in the five pages attached, listed by numbers, beginning with Number 1 on page 1 and ending with Number 169 on page 5.


(Date) Dec 15 1971

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

FEDERAL INCOME TAX WITHHELD
CALENDAR YEAR 1970

IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970	IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970
1	\$ 295.60	20	\$ 81.00
2	305.00	21	692.71
3	301.11	22	359.00
4	73.00	23	776.57
5	443.00	24	30.00
6	201.06	25	33.00
7	56.74	26	509.52
8	73.00	27	870.65
9	274.91	28	336.00
10	96.50	29	2,861.70
11	88.00	30	1,243.05
12	42.00	31	6.00
13	31.01	32	151.00
14	227.52	33	331.00
15	214.00	34	1,350.00
16	856.48	35	331.02
17	29.62	36	2,268.13
18	418.74	37	175.00
19	320.00	38	15.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
39	\$ 457.41
40	1,314.11
41	513.73
42	1,745.94
43	390.56
44	10.24
45	1,046.25
46	150.00
47	279.42
48	72.60
49	247.16
50	26.00
51	255.00
52	34.60
53	42.00
54	178.00
55	429.00
56	187.00
57	62.00

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
58	\$ 4.00
59	547.47
60	369.00
61	26.91
62	476.00
63	12.14
64	564.67
65	138.00
66	20.00
67	37.76
68	290.00
69	42.00
70	288.96
71	268.52
72	244.00
73	284.00
74	347.75
75	10.02
76	436.50

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized persons without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
77	\$ 181.50
78	134.83
79	119.00
80	420.03
81	829.00
82	56.43
83	343.50
84	630.76
85	51.90
86	523.00
87	212.11
88	31.68
89	152.00
90	5.00
91	241.00
92	221.74
93	282.92
94	141.00
95	541.00

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
96	\$ 25.00
97	11.00
98	125.00
99	904.50
100	554.00
101	337.00
102	569.00
103	187.00
104	\$1,939.00
105	683.00
106	203.00
107	232.00
108	202.00
109	317.00
110	682.00
111	326.00
112	13.00
113	1,265.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
115	\$ 49.00
116	710.00
117	381.00
118	566.00
119	52.61
120	40.60
121	209.78
122	18.25
123	30.00
124	271.00
125	186.00
126	122.00
127	243.00
128	277.00
129	87.00
130	316.00
131	110.00
132	210.00
133	260.00

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
134	\$ 196.00
135	616.00
136	136.41
137	215.00
138	657.00
139	250.00
140	459.00
141	400.00
142	168.00
143	426.87
144	338.87
145	449.00
146	113.11
147	197.00
148	256.00
149	86.00
150	110.00
151	85.00
152	279.00

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. It is limited to official proceedings by your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

<u>IDENTIFICATION NUMBER</u>	<u>AMOUNT OF TAX FOR 1970</u>
153	\$ 426.97
154	184.45
155	221.37
156	636.47
157	273.53
158	259.09
159	216.20
160	260.15
161	325.28
162	178.46
163	60.00
164	60.00
165	10.00
166	204.00
167	330.00
168	243.00
169	352.00

TOTAL TAX: \$56,237.73

This document is prepared in response to your request and is not for dissemination outside your Committee. Its use is limited to official proceedings of your Committee and the content may not be disclosed to unauthorized personnel without the express approval of the FBI.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/8/75

U .S. House Select Committee. Re: Request for
Caption of Document: Information concerning Identity and
Payments to FBI Informants as well as Tax
Records filed regarding such payments.

Originating Office: FBI (8/19/75 req)

Delivered by: Richard T. Taylor, Jr. Date: 9/19/75

Received by: Carlton M. Chandler

Title: Adam Cart

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

9/5/75

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

SSC

☒ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum and enclosures

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

HSC letter 8/19/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Treasury Department - Internal Revenue Service

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Information furnished regarding identity and payments to FBI informants as well as tax records filed regarding such payments

62-116414

FMK:1hb

(4)

**ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
IN CONNECTION WITH SENSITIVITY 75**

Hunterly

TREAT AS YELLOW

5-1006/18

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SEP 22 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 18, 1975

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee dated September 18, which requests access to various FBI materials. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

EX-106

REC-7

62-116464-78

OCT 1 1975

ENCLOSURE

SA Daly advises requested material should be prepared for delivery at earliest date possible

62-116464

LEGAL COUNSEL



OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

FREDERICK N. GIAIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KAPTEIN, JR., IIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515


September 18, 1975


Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We hereby request immediate access to all notes, memoranda, files and reports concerning Mr. Dick Wilson, Tribal Chairman of the Pine Ridge Indian Reservation. Ms. Ellen Miller of our staff will be prepared to review this material Tuesday, September 23, 1975.

Sincerely,


A. Searle Field
Staff Director



12-116464-78
ENCLOSURE

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: N. L. Christensen)
September 26, 1975
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

Reference is made to HSC letters making specific requests of this Bureau in relation to FBI investigations concerning Donald Uffinger and Richard Bast, and Department memorandum to this Bureau, dated September 12, 1975, setting forth guidelines to be followed by this Bureau in responding to such requests as the Department is currently involved in litigation with Mr. Bast in the District Court of the District of Columbia, styled BAST versus KELLEY.

In accordance with Department instructions as indicated in Item 4(d) of referenced letter of September 12, 1975, all references to this Bureau's interview of witness Steven J. Dodd were removed from material made available for review by HSC Staff Members on September 22, 1975.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the HSC is the original of a memorandum which constitutes a response to the above-cited requests of the HSC.

A copy of this memorandum is being furnished for your records.

Enclosures **ENCLOSURE**

Assoc. Dir. _____

Dep. AD Adm. _____

Dep. AD Inv. 62-116464

Asst. Dir.: _____

Admin. _____

Comp. Syst. _____

Ext. Affairs _____

Files & Com. _____

Gen. Inv. _____

Ident. _____

Inspection _____

Intell. _____

Laboratory _____

Plan. & Eval. _____

Spec. Inv. _____

Training _____

Legal Coun. _____

Telephone Rm. _____

Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

1 - The Deputy Attorney General
Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.

Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

1 - 139-3671 1 - 92-4776

1 - 9-50285 1 - 105-209024

"ENCLOSURE IN BULKY ROOM"

UNREC COPY AND COPY OF ENCL FILED IN

- 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
- 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
(1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis)
- 1 - Mr. R. J. Gallagher
(Attn: N. L. Christensen)
- 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

62-116464

September 26, 1975

- 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
- 1 - Mr. T. J. McNiff

**U. S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)**

**RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION
CONCERNING DONALD UFFINGER
AND RICHARD EAST**

Reference is made to (a) HSC letter of August 27, 1975, requesting any and all files, reports and materials concerning Donald Uffinger and Richard East, private investigators; (b) a second HSC letter dated August 27, 1975, requesting interview with five Special Agents of this Bureau, subject matter unspecified but believed to be in connection with the Uffinger and East investigations; and to (c) HSC letter to Department of Justice September 8, 1975, agreeing to guidelines proposed by the Department of Justice, including the granting of access to HSC Staff Members to materials contained in all FBI files in which Mr. East was the subject of a criminal investigation and all files related to Mr. Uffinger.

It should be noted that Richard East is a subject in six main files and Donald Uffinger is the subject of one main file maintained at FBI Headquarters.

In accordance with prior arrangements, material contained in five of the six files relating to East and the one file relating to Uffinger were made available for review to HSC Staff Members James B. F. Oliphant and Richard Vermiere at FBI Headquarters on September 22, 1975. Pursuant to a directive of the President of the United States issued during the weekend of September 13-14, 1975, all classified material contained in the above files had been extracted

1 - 47-50514
1 - 139-1580

1 - 139-4501
1 - 139-3671

1 - 9-50285
1 - 92-4776
1 - 105-209024

TJM:1hb/hb
(17)

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG

ENCLOSURE

SEE NOTE PAGE 2 569-920

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.:
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Legal Coun. _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

MAIL ROOM ☐

TELETYPE UNIT ☐

HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (HSC)

RE: REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CONCERNING DONALD UFFINGER
AND RICHARD BAST

from copies of material reviewed. In this regard, the sixth file pertaining to Mr. Bast was found to be replete with classified information to the extent that removal of such classified information would render the remaining information in the file to be, for all practical purposes, meaningless. This situation was explained to Mr. Oliphant on September 22, 1975, and he agreed to postpone a review of copies of material contained in the sixth file until such time as necessary arrangements can be effected.

With regard to requested interview of five FBI Special Agents as set forth in referenced HSC letter of August 27, 1975, it is noted that guidelines agreed upon by the Department and the HSC preclude the interview of these Special Agents until such time as the matter concerning release of classified information to the HSC has been resolved. Accordingly, no arrangements have been effected by this Bureau at this time for interview of the five Special Agents by HSC Staff Members.

1 - The Attorney General

NOTE:

Material prepared for review relating to Uffinger and Bast was prepared by Special Agent Ned L. Christensen, General Investigative Division. File 105-209024, captioned "Richard Lee Bast, Interception of Communications, Internal Security - Middle East," contains material not made available to HSC at this time. This file contains a considerable amount of classified information received from CIA.

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535

Addressee: HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE

☐ LTR ☒ LHM ☐ Memo ☐ Report dated 9/26/75

U.S. HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEE. * 8/27/75 &
Caption of Document: 9/8/75 request. Re: Requests for
Information Concerning Donald Uffinger and
Richard Bast.

Originating Office: FBI

Delivered by: Paul Daly Date: 9/30/75

Received by: _____

Title: _____

Return this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

62-116464-77X

TO: Intelligence Community Staff
ATTN: Central Index

FROM:
FBI

SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provided to Select Committees

1. HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document was made available for review but not transmitted, so note.)

2. DATE PROVIDED

9/26/75

☒ DOCUMENT ☐ BRIEFING ☐ INTERVIEW ☐ TESTIMONY ☐ OTHER

For Review

3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add specific names if appropriate)

☒ SSC
☐ HSC

4. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for documents; give name or identification number of briefer, interviewee, testifier and subject)

Memorandum

5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in response to formal request, otherwise state verbal request of (name), initiative, subpoena, etc.)

SSC requests 8/27/75 and 9/8/75

6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)

U

7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the list provided separately; if key words not listed are used underline for emphasis)

Information Handling

8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this item)

Access to material concerning Private Investigators
Donald Uffinger and Richard Bast.

62-116395

AJD:1hb ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON TO CENTRAL COMMUNITY INDEX
(4) IN CONNECTION WITH SENSTUDY 75.

TREAT AS YELLOW

5-70d

INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.
- "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.
- If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

SEP 26 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence
Coordination

SUBJECT: SSC and HSC Requests for ADEX List

Pursuant to the instructions of the Deputy Attorney General, please provide access to the names of persons on the ADEX list to Messrs. Oliphant and Vermeire of the House Select Committee on Intelligence, and to Ms. Talley and Mr. Elliff of the Senate Select Committee.

cc: Paul Daly

65-1167-1
NOT RECORDED
170 OCT 9 1975

84 MAY 13 1976

UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

Memorandum

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams

FROM : Legal Counsel *[Signature]*

SUBJECT: HOUSTUDY

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
DATE: 9/11/75
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly
1 - Mr. Robert Peterson

Assoc. Dir. _____
Dep. AD Adm. _____
Dep. AD Inv. _____
Asst. Dir.: _____
Admin. _____
Comp. Syst. _____
Ext. Affairs _____
Files & Com. _____
Gen. Inv. _____
Ident. _____
Inspection _____
Intell. _____
Laboratory _____
Legal Coun. _____
Plan. & Eval. _____
Spec. Inv. _____
Training _____
Telephone Rm. _____
Director Sec'y _____

By letter dated 8/27/75, we received a request for the interviews of four Agents by the House Select Committee. Some of the Agents who were requested to be made available for testimony are defendants in a civil proceeding arising out of a Bureau investigation. Simultaneous with this request, by letter dated 8/27/75 from the House Select Committee, we also received a request for material pertaining to the investigation out of which the aforementioned civil action arose. Upon receipt of this request, SA Paul V. Daly of this Division expressed his concern to Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination in the Department, and his Deputy, Steven Blackhurst, pointing out that the avenue of inquiry by the House Select Committee could have an adverse impact on the pending civil litigation and that careful consideration should be given as to the Department's and Bureau's response to these requests. He was also advised that the Agents themselves were being sued in both an individual and official capacity; and, of course, an adverse judicial finding could financially affect the Agents involved.

At the Department's request on 9/9/75, SA Daly attended a meeting in the Department with Sally Whittaker of the Civil Division, Jim Reynolds of the Criminal Division, Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., and Steven Blackhurst to discuss the House Select Committee requests concerning the aforementioned matter. Both Whittaker who was handling the civil litigation involving Bureau personnel in the Bureau and Reynolds who is in the process of presenting to a Federal Grand Jury a criminal violation connected to the law suit stated they felt that the Committee's requests would not have an adverse impact on either of these matters. Reynolds did request that certain material be withheld from the Committee which he had intended to use as rebuttal material in the event the criminal matter went to trial.

REC-43

62-116464-76

ST. 109

CONTINUED - OVER

5 SEP 15 1975



PVD:lad
(8) AD

8 OCT 2 1975 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams
RE: HOUSTUDY

SA Daly expressed concern at the request for interview by the House Select Committee and stated it would appear in the interests of the Department and the Government that if such interviews were allowed, the Department furnish the Agents legal representation. The Department agreed that they would provide legal representation to the Agents if they were interviewed by the House Select Committee.

Subsequently, a meeting was held on the same date with Richard Vermeire and Tim Oliphant, House Select Committee Staff Members, and they agreed orally to special procedures concerning this particular matter relating to the production and handling of documents. They indicated that they would have to secure approval from their superiors and would confirm their approval in writing. As of 9/10/75, the Committee has not furnished the Department a written agreement pertaining to their requests.

RECOMMENDATION:

For information.

WPAW/TUL

JBH/pvd
pvd

Jm

pvr

Jm



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SEP 18 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director
Legal Counsel Division
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: House Select Committee Request dated September 15, 1975

Attached is a letter dated September 15, from the House Select Committee requesting access to particular COINTELPRO documents. We have been advised orally that at this time the Committee has no objection to the Bureau excising the names of confidential sources/recipients prior to providing access to these documents. Please prepare an appropriate response to this request.

Nothing in this memorandum is intended to change our understanding that the HSC staff is not to have access to classified materials until further notice.

cc: Paul Daly

ENCLOSURE

EX-108
REC 68

62-116464-75

21 SEP 25 1975

File

5-73m
LEGAL COUNSEL

62-116464

1 vol HSC
9/18/75



84 MAY 13 1976

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIAMMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMIS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 15, 1975


Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U.S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

We understand from a conversation between Mr. Vermeire of our staff and Mr. Ryan of the FBI at FBI Headquarters on September 12, 1975, that, with respect to the Cointelpro summaries heretofore delivered to the Committee, the serial numbers on the various pages of the summaries refer to the raw, supportive documents relating to the Cointelpro programs as summarized on each page. Accordingly, we request access to all supporting documents in connection with the Cointelpro activities referred to by the following serial numbers. The respective page numbers of the summaries are also provided to assist you in preparing the material.

Black Extremist:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| 1. 100-448006-15, 79, 81, 179 | (Page 6) |
| 2. 100-448006-16, 23 | (7) |
| 3. 100-448006-165 | (31) |
| 4. 100-448006-29 | (9) |
| 5. 100-448006-63 | (12) |
| 6. 100-448006-93 | (16) |
| 7. 100-448006-117, 187 | (18) |
| 8. 100-448006-123 | (21) |
| 9. 100-448006-125 | (23) |
| 10. 100-448006-171, 255, 280 | (33) |
| 11. 100-448006-229, 271 | (44) |



62-116464-

ENCLOSURE

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 2

12.	100-448006-236, 867	(47)
13.	100-448006-297, 435	(53)
14.	100-448006-351	(63)
15.	100-448006-421, 430, 431	(74)
16.	100-448006-681, 824	(124)
17.	100-448006-685, 766	(125)
18.	100-448006-692, 847	(127)
19.	100-448006-740, 766, 795, 803, 878	(134)
20.	100-448006-770, 832	(142)
21.	100-448006-785, 876, 923	(145)
22.	100-448006-863, 869, 970, 957	(162)
23.	100-448006-928	(175)
24.	100-448006-1233	(225 and 226)
25.	100-448006-1244, 1499	(229)
26.	100-448006-1251, 1303, 1359	(230)
27.	100-448006-1264, 1648, 1269, 1282	(235)
28.	100-448006-1377	(269)
29.	100-448006-1385	(270)
30.	100-448006-1443, 1490	(280)
31.	100-448006-1621	(314)
32.	100-448006-1623, 1824	(315)
33.	100-448006-32, 1150, 1722	(342)
34.	100-448006-1880, 1890, 1925	(370)
35.	100-448006-1893 (157-9079-980)	(375)
36.	100-448006-2016	(396)

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 3

37. 100-448006-2209 (2) (460)
38. 100-448006-2308 (2) (509)

New Left:

39. ** (1)
40. 449698-34-64 (2-17)
 " -34-65
 " -34-66
 " -34-74
 " -34-75
 " -34-76
 " -34-78
 " -34-79
 " -34-81
 " -34-82
 " -34-85
 " -34-86
 " -34-90
 " -34-92
 " -34-93
 " -34-99

41. 449698-42-7 (36)
42. 449698-44-10 (51)
43. 449698-46-15 (96)
 " -46-16
 " -46-18

44. 449698-50-13 (104)
45. 449698-53-23 (115)
46. 449698-56-6 (131)
47. 449698-61 (133)
48. 449698-62 (140)
49. 449698-66-4 (145)


** There is no serial number on this page.

Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
September 15, 1975
Page 4

50.	449698-258	(156)
51.	449698-341 " -9-15	(161)
52.	449698-5-16 " -5-17	(232)
53.	449698-5-19 " -5-20	(233)
54.	449698-5-22 " -5-24	(234)
55.	449698-5-29 " -5-30	(237)
56.	449698-5-929 " -3473	(243)
57.	449698-7, 7, 9	(254)
58.	449698-8-15	(258)
59.	449698-8-16	(259)
60.	449698-10-12	(281)
61.	449698-11-9 " -11-31	(285)
62.	449698-15-2	(298)
63.	449698-26-31	(338)
64.	449698-33-18	(361)

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,



A. Searle Field
Staff Director



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

SEP 19 1975

TO: Paul V. Daly
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Steve Blackhurst
Assistant Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: HSC Letter Dated September 17, 1975

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee confirming that when access to COINTELPRO materials is provided the names of confidential sources/recipients should be excised.

Nothing was agreed upon by me with Oliphant and Vermeire concerning the amount of material which will be available on Monday, but please try to have as much as possible and in the order they want it.

ENCLOSURE

EX-108
REC 68

62-116464-74

21 SEP 25 1975

5 - [initials]
LEGAL COUNSEL



84 MAY 13 1976

TO: Paul V. Daly
Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Steve Blackhurst
Assistant Special Counsel for
Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: HSC Letter Dated September 17, 1975

Attached is a letter from the House Select Committee confirming that when access to COINTELPRO materials is provided the names of confidential sources/recipients should be excised.

Nothing was agreed upon by me with Oliphant and Vermeire concerning the amount of material which will be available on Monday, but please try to have as much as possible and in the order they want it.

OTIS G. PIKE, N. Y., CHAIRMAN

ROBERT N. GIZIMO, CONN.
JAMES V. STANTON, OHIO
RONALD V. DELLUMS, CALIF.
MORGAN F. MURPHY, ILL.
LES ASPIN, WIS.
DALE MILFORD, TEX.
PHILIP H. HAYES, IND.
WILLIAM LEHMAN, FLA.

ROBERT MCCLORY, ILL.
DAVID C. TREEN, LA.
JAMES P. JOHNSON, COLO.
ROBERT W. KASTEN, JR., WIS.

A. SEARLE FIELD, STAFF DIRECTOR
AARON B. DONNER, COUNSEL

TELEPHONE: (202) 225-9751

Select Committee on Intelligence
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

September 17, 1975


Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr.
Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
U. S. Department of Justice
Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:


This is to confirm a telephone conversation this date between Messrs. Vermeire and Oliphant of our staff and Mr. Blackhurst, Assistant Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, wherein we stated that we expect to have access to the Cointelpro material referred to in the Committee's letter of September 15, 1975 no later than Monday morning, September 22, 1975. We further stated that we agree to examine the above-mentioned material with the names of confidential sources excised.

In the event all of the material cannot be available, we are willing to commence a review on Monday of a part thereof (at least 50%) with the balance available on the succeeding days. In all events the first part must commence with material in inverse chronological order.

Sincerely,



Aaron B. Donner
Counsel to the Committee



62-116464-74
ENCLOSURE