

File #:

62 - PG - 3626

Serial Scope:

1 thru 4

**DO NOT
DESTROY**
FOIPA# NA

NR046 WA CODE

7:31PM NITEL 3-24-75 DEB

TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SENATOR FRANK CHURCH, CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES HAS MADE AN INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM THE FBI. AMONG THE ITEMS REQUESTED IS A BREAKDOWN OF FIELD AGENT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

ACCORDINGLY, WITHIN FOUR EIGHT HOURS EACH SAC SHOULD SUTEL TO FBIHQ, ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION, SETTING FORTH SEPARATELY THE NUMBER OF SACS, ASACS, SUPERVISORS AND AGENTS ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS. PERCENTAGES OF AN AGENTS TIME, WHEN NOT ASSIGNED FULL-TIME TO THESE ACTIVITIES, SHOULD BE USED IF APPROPRIATE, PARTICULARLY IN THE SUPERVISORY CATEGORIES. THIS INFORMATION SHOULD BE BROKEN DOWN SEPARATELY BETWEEN INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE. YOUR RESPONSE SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AGENT PERSONNEL ONLY.

END

RGM FBI PG

ACK FOR 2 TELS.

CL

62-3626-1

cc [unclear] [unclear] [unclear]
today
PKR

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
MAR 24 1975	
FBI - PITTSBURGH	

Rudolph
Walker

TELETYPE

F B I

Date: 3/25/75

Transmit the following in PLAINTEXT
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via TELETYPE NITEL
(Priority)

09

TO: DIRECTOR, FBI
FROM: SAC, PITTSBURGH (62-NEW)
ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.

REBUNITEL MARCH 24, 1975.

THERE ARE 110 SPECIAL AGENTS ASSIGNED TO THE PITTSBURGH OFFICE (75 HEADQUARTERS CITY AGENTS, 35 RESIDENT AGENTS (RAS)).

ONE SUPERVISOR ASSIGNED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE (CI) FULL TIME.
ONE SUPERVISOR ASSIGNED INTERNAL SECURITY (IS) FULL TIME.

11 HEADQUARTERS AGENTS ASSIGNED CI FULL TIME AND 7 HEAD-
QUARTERS AGENTS ASSIGNED IS FULL TIME.

RESIDENT AGENT ASSIGNMENTS AS FOLLOWS:

- | | |
|--------------------|---------------------|
| 6 RAS 2 PERCENT CI | 5 RAS 2 PERCENT IS |
| 3 RAS 3 PERCENT CI | 2 RAS 3 PERCENT IS |
| 3 RAS 5 PERCENT CI | 1 RA 4 PERCENT IS |
| 2 RAS 6 PERCENT CI | 2 RAS 5 PERCENT IS |
| 3 RAS 7 PERCENT CI | 1 RA 7 PERCENT IS |
| 2 RAS 8 PERCENT CI | 2 RAS 11 PERCENT IS |
| 1 RA 25 PERCENT CI | 1 RA 12 PERCENT IS |

Searched
Serialized
Indexed
[Signature]

62-3626-2

TELETYPE

VER/jkc
(1)
CONSOLIDATED
DATE 10-7-75 BY *[Signature]*

Approved: *[Signature]*
Special Agent in Charge

Sent WA 7:25 P M Per RGM

F B I

Date:

Transmit the following in _____
(Type in plaintext or code)

Via _____
(Priority)

PAGE TWO

1 RA 16 PERCENT IS

1 RA 24 PERCENT IS

1 RA 28 PERCENT IS

THE ABOVE PERCENTAGE ESTIMATES WERE ARRIVED AT BY COMPARING
THE NUMBER OF CI AND IS CASES WITH THE TOTAL CASE LOAD OF EACH RA.

Approved: _____ Sent _____ M Per _____

Special Agent in Charge

	Total Cases	65	100	105	134	157	170	CE	ES
<u>Beckley</u>									
HOWEN 2.7	37	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
BRENNAN 2.7	40	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
total									
<u>Bluefield</u>									
WARDEN 1.9									
Total	51	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
<u>Charleston</u>									
ADAMS 6.6	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	7
CARPER 15.5	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MIRE 8	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5
O'DOHERTY 4.8	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SCHMITZ 12.1	45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
SYLVESTER 11.1	60	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
BARTIS 3.3	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total									
<u>Elkins</u>									
CUNOLLY 1.9	51	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0
Total									
<u>Erie</u>									
BOKAL 1.6	60	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
KELLY 0	41	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
BRANNON 2.2	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TRETER 25.4	55	0	8	9	5	5	0	14	13
Total									
<u>Fairmont</u>									
CRODOCK 0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
THOMPSON 4.5	46	0	4	3	0	1	0	3	5
Total									
<u>Greensburg</u>									
LAFFEY 8.4	59	0	1	5	0	0	0	5	1
BRITTON 1.6	68	0	0	5	0	0	0	5	0
ESSON 7.3	42	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0
Total									
<u>Huntington</u>									
THOMASSON 1.7	56	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
KNOTT 0	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DUKE 0	55	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total									
<u>Johnstown</u>									
HENDON 5.7	57	0	1	3	1	0	0	3	2
GALLOWAY 3.5	51	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	0
Total									
<u>Martinsburg</u>									
FLEMING 2.1	36	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
Total									

	T. Cases	65	100	105	134	157	170	5	0
<u>New Castle</u>									
HUNTER ^{8.0}	61	0	1	5	0	0	0	1	1
PICKERILL ^{1.9}	51	0	1	7	0	0	0	1	1
PRESTEN ^{2.6}	75	0	5	2	0	0	0	2	5
Total									
<u>Parkersburg</u>									
GILMAN ^{4.5}	44	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2
Total									
<u>Ronceverte</u>									
THOMAS ^{1.6}	61	0	14	1	2	1	0	1	17
Total									
<u>Uniontown</u>									
MORSE ⁰	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total									
<u>Washington</u>									
MADVAY ⁰	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total									
<u>Wheeling</u>									
JONES ⁰	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
KLEBE ⁰	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BURGOYNE ^{2.3}	43	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
Total									

SPECIAL EMPLOYEE & CLERK

RUTKOWSKI
COLIN
Total

Mr. Church's Cover-Up

By William Safire

WASHINGTON, Nov. 19—On Oct. 10, 1963, the then-Attorney General of the United States put his personal signature on a document that launched and legitimized one of the most horrendous abuses of Federal police power in this century.

In Senator Frank Church's subcommittee hearing room this week, the authorized wiretapping and subsequent unauthorized bugging and attempted blackmailing of Martin Luther King Jr. is being gingerly examined, with the "investigation" conducted in such a way as not to unduly embarrass officials of the Kennedy or Johnson Administrations.

With great care, the committee has focused on the F.B.I. Yesterday, when the committee counsel first set forth the result of shuffling through press clips, it seemed as if no Justice Department had existed in 1962; today, an F.B.I. witness pointed out that it was Robert Kennedy who authorized the wiretap of Dr. King, and that "the President of the United States and the Attorney General specifically discussed their concern of Communist influence with Dr. King."

But the Church committee showed no zest for getting further to the Kennedy root of this precedent to Watergate eavesdropping. If Senator Church were willing to let the chips fall where they may, he would call some knowledgeable witnesses into the glare of the camera lights and ask them some questions that have gone unasked for thirteen years.

For example, he could call Nicholas Katzenbach, Attorney General Kennedy's deputy and successor, and ask what he knows of the Kennedy decision to wiretap Dr. King. Who at Justice concurred in the recommendation? How does the F.B.I. know the President was consulted or informed?

After Mr. Katzenbach assumed office, and the wiretapping continued, he was told by angry newsmen that the F.B.I. was leaking scurrilous information about Dr. King. Why did he wait for four months, and for a thousand telephonic interceptions, to discontinue the officially approved tap?

Of course, this sort of testimony would erode Senator Church's political base. That is why we do not see former Assistant F.B.I. director Cartha (Deke) DeLoach, Lyndon Johnson's personal contact with the F.B.I. in the witness chair. What did President Johnson know about the character-assassination plot and when did he know it? What conversations took place between Mr. DeLoach and President Johnson on the tapping of Dr. King, or about the use of the F.B.I. in any other intrusions into the lives of political figures?

The committee is not asking embarrassing questions even when answers are readily available. A couple of weeks ago, at an open hearing, an F.B.I. man inadvertently started to blurt out an episode about newsmen who were wiretapping in 1962 with the apparent knowledge of Attorney General Kennedy. The too-willing witness was promptly shooshed into silence, and told that such information would be developed only in executive session. Nobody raised an eyebrow.

That pattern of containment by the Church committee is vividly shown by the handling of the buggings at the 1964 Republican and Democratic con-

ESSAY

ventions which were ordered by Lyndon Johnson. Such invasions of political headquarters were worse than the crime committed at Watergate, since they involved the use of the F.B.I., but the Church investigators seem to be determined not to probe too deeply.

If F.B.I. documents say that reports were made to specific Johnson aides, why are those men not given the same opportunity to publicly tell their story so avidly given the next President's men? If Lyndon Johnson committed this impeachable high crime of using the F.B.I. to spy on political opponents, who can be brought forward to tell us all about it?

But that would cause embarrassment to Democrats, and Senator Church wants to embarrass professional employees of investigatory agencies only. A new sense of Congressional decorum exists, far from the sense of outrage expressed in the Senate Watergate committee's hearing room. When it is revealed that the management of NBC News gave press credentials to L.B.J.'s spies at the 1964 convention, everybody blushes demurely—and nobody demands to know which network executive made what decision under what pressure.

I have been haranguing patient readers for years about the double standard applied to Democratic and Republican political crimes, and had hoped the day would come when the hardball precedents set by the Kennedy and Johnson men would be laid before the public in damning detail.

Obviously, Democrat Frank Church is not the man to do it. His jowl-shaking indignation is all too selective; the trail of high-level responsibility for the crimes committed against Dr. King and others is evidently going to be allowed to cool.

Pity. You'd think that after all the nation has been through in the past few years, our political leaders would have learned that the one thing that brings you down is the act of covering up.

Indole

62-3626-3

SEARCHED	INDEXED
SERIALIZED	FILED
FBI - PITTSBURGH	

TO: SAC:

- Albany
- Albuquerque
- Alexandria
- Anchorage
- Atlanta
- Baltimore
- Birmingham
- Boston
- Buffalo
- Butte
- Charlotte
- Chicago
- Cincinnati
- Cleveland
- Columbia
- Dallas
- Denver
- Detroit
- El Paso
- Honolulu
- Houston
- Indianapolis
- Jackson
- Jacksonville
- Kansas City
- Knoxville
- Las Vegas
- Little Rock
- Los Angeles
- Louisville
- Memphis
- Miami
- Milwaukee
- Minneapolis
- Mobile
- Newark
- New Haven
- New Orleans
- New York City
- Norfolk

- Oklahoma City
- Omaha
- Philadelphia
- Phoenix
- Pittsburgh
- Portland
- Richmond
- Sacramento
- St. Louis
- Salt Lake City
- San Antonio
- San Diego
- San Francisco
- San Juan
- Savannah
- Seattle
- Springfield
- Tampa
- Washington Field
- Quantico

TO LEGAT:

- Beirut
- Bern
- Bonn
- Brasilia
- Buenos Aires
- Caracas
- Hong Kong
- London
- Madrid
- Manila
- Mexico City
- Ottawa
- Paris
- Rome
- Singapore
- Tel Aviv
- Tokyo

RE: DIRECTOR'S APPEARANCE

Date 1/5/76

BEFORE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE

ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

DECEMBER 10, 1975

62-3626-4
e e

- For information Retention optional For appropriate action Surep, by _____
- The enclosed is for your information. If used in a future report, copy all sources, paraphrase contents.
- Enclosed are corrected pages from report of SA _____ dated _____

Remarks: By routing slip dated 12/30/75 and captioned as above, all SACs and Legats were furnished a copy of the transcript of Mr. Kelley's 12/10/75 appearance before the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities. Although the data contained in the transcript may be made available to news media representatives, used in answering questions received from citizens, and otherwise treated as being of a public-source nature, the transcript itself should not be reproduced for, or given to, anyone outside the FBI.

Enc.
Bufile
Urfile