

**SECRET**

(WHEN MATERIAL INSERTED)

**BLACK TAPE 201 FILE**

TO: IP/Files, GC-52

FROM: EUR /RMO, 4B4402 6771  
(Rm. #) (Ext.)TO BE OPENED BY Personnel of EUR/ROG ONLY!  
(DON 8-50 Designation)

I certify that the enclosed 201 volume has been checked before sealing to ensure that the material is arranged in chronological order, duplicates have been removed, each Record Copy has been properly input to the DO Records System, and that all Record Copy material in this volume is classified to this file. Form 2021 to restrict this file is attached hereto.



(Signature of RMO)

VOL. V of VII enclosed.Inclusive Dates of Enclosed Material  
(Multi-Vols. Only):From 1 JULY 65 to DEC 68  
(Date) (Date)201- 306052**SECRET**

THIS VOLUME CLOSED AS OF

31 DECEMBER 1968

[REDACTED] TESTS

On 24 October 1968, I saw Dr. Daly of the Bio-chemistry Laboratory of [REDACTED] Hospital for [REDACTED] tests. [REDACTED] Dr. Hodges, sent me to Daly as a private patient, as [REDACTED] practice is not to take these tests unless the patient's condition seems to be worsening. My doctor thought I showed no signs of a recurrence of [REDACTED] and the normal practice would have been, at most, for me to have been examined by the specialist at the hospital, who might then decide to ask for the tests.

Daly said that all required tests, except the [REDACTED] would be done. He said he thought the [REDACTED] unnecessary unless the others indicated negative factors. In which case, he said he would ask me to come in again for that test.

Daly will send me the results of the tests and his bill c/o American Express, [REDACTED] within a week.

THIS INFORMATION HAS BEEN OBTAINED BY RELATIVE SOURCE.  
IT IS NOT TO BE DISSEMINATED OUTSIDE RESIDENTS' DIVISION  
IF STAFF, DISSEMINATED, RELAYED TO THE FIELD, OR FORM  
THE BASIS FOR OPERATIONAL FOLLOW-UP, WITHOUT PRIOR  
COORDINATION WITH C.S.A. SF.

TM# 072369, 29 OCT 68

201-306052

SECRET

(WHEN FILLED IN)

## STATEMENT of EARNINGS and DEDUCTIONS

NAME	EMPLOYEE NO.	PAY PERIOD DATE	ROLL	COST CENTER	STA
	802799	12/01, 12/31 1968	20	1242930	000

CD	EARNINGS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
C9	8000		REG SAL

## NOTE:

THIS FORM IS ISSUED ONLY WHEN AN  
EMPLOYEE ENTERS ON DUTY OR THERE  
IS ANY CHANGE IN THE PAY ACCOUNT

CD	DEDUCTIONS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
73	8000-		LIQ PAYMT
80	89000		CPA EX CR
96	8000		FED TAX F

## REMARKS:

Changing tax code for the quarter and reducing tax to \$80.00 per memo, dated JULY 1968.

## EMPLOYEE NUMBER:

802799 QRPHONE

FORM 1314 Previous editions obsolete

SECRET

(20-51)

ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION DATA							REFUND DUE FROM EMPLOYEE			NET PAY		
BP	OT/HRS	HT/HRS	BD/HRS	RATE	O/T-RT AMT	R/O AMOUNT	CD	NORMAL	OTHER	CD	NORMAL	OTHER

## REMARKS:

PAID AT HQS.

FORM 1315A

SECRET

(20-51)

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T / R Y B A T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO

Chief, WOLIME

XOX

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

INFO.

Chief of Station, [REDACTED]  
Chief, EUR DivisionONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

FROM

Chief, LCPIPIT/ [REDACTED] *OBM*

MICROFILM

SUBJECT

DTDORIC/Operational

## ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. After considering several alternatives and given [REDACTED]'s lack of financial forward planning, LCPIPIT believes that it would be beneficial and advantageous for WOFIRM to offer to subsidize a portion of an overt life insurance program designed to give him both life insurance and a cash value toward retirement. We have discussed this with [REDACTED]/1 and he has agreed to the desirability of such a program. He is willing to participate in such an arrangement and has undertaken to explore the possibilities available to him. We are forwarding under separate cover a copy of several proposals which he has received from the local representative of a reputable WOLADY insurance firm.

2. LCPIPIT requests authority to subsidize up to 1/2 of the cost of a life insurance program for [REDACTED]/1. (This WOFIRM portion not to exceed \$50 per month.) From an administration standpoint this would merely take the form of an increased monthly payment and we would take the necessary steps to verify that the funds were indeed being devoted to their designated purpose.

*ACL*  
Aaron C. LAGINESTRAAttachment: u/s/c  
As stated aboveDOC FORWARDED E/O S/C  
BY RIB/SD2 9 OCT 1968

## Distribution:

- ✓ 3 - C/WOLIME w/att
- 1 - COS [REDACTED] w/o att
- 1 - C/EUR w/o att

RYBAT CS COPY

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

OEPA-1397

CLASSIFICATION

S E C R E T / R Y B A T

DATE

OCT 23 1968  
22 October 1968

DOC FILE NUMBER

✓ 201-306052

J. SPENCER LANGFORD, C.L.U.

MUTUAL LIFE OF NEW YORK  
(M.O.N.Y.)

31 QUEEN ANNE'S GATE  
LONDON, S.W.1  
01-424 9711

13 September 1966

Dear \_\_\_\_\_

The following will give you an idea of the protection, cash at 65, and retirement income you will get under three different plans on the basis we discussed on the phone. Let me know if you need any more information.

Premium -            \$50/month            \$ 75/month            \$100/month

Plan Type

Whole Life

Death Benefit	23000	35000	47000
Cash at 65	21200	33100	44400
Life Income @ 65	133	207	278

Endowment at 65

Death Benefit	17000	25000	33000
Cash at 65	25600	38100	50300
Life Income @ 65	160	239	315

Retirement Endowment @ 65

Death Benefit	11000	17000	23000
Cash at 65	25300	39100	52900
Life Income @ 65	158	245	331

I look forward to hearing from you in the near future.

1 - The retirement income figures are monthly income at 65 using the 10 year certain option

CS COPY

Note:

I would be willing to put up, or have deducted, part of such a scheme, say \$25 a month.

201-306052

- 2 - Any figures concerning dividends are not guarantees nor estimates since dividends depend upon future experience and the annual action of the companies trustees
- 3 - All figures are in the US dollars and based on standard male issue at age 37.

Sincerely,



J Spencer Langford, C. L. U.

MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES:		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY	
ORO: [ ] UNIT: CA/CF EXT: 7767 DATE: 9 Oct 68		1	6
		2	7
		3	8
		4	9
		5	10
CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION BY 46 PER [ ]		<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO _____ <input type="checkbox"/> BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/> FILE RID <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO.	
COMP: c/a 3 <input type="checkbox"/> END COPY		INFO: FILE VE, data, clene4, dcl	

(classification) **SECRET**

(date and time filed) 10 21 22z Oct 68

(elite) (reference number)

(pic)

TO LCPIPT INFO [ ]  
 RYBAT DTDORIC [ ] MH7ROBE

CITE DIRECTOR

40570

REFS: A. LCPIPT 7508 (IN 08511)

B. OEPW - 405, 8 Oct 68

1. DEFER PARA 2 REF A TO [ ] (PER OIRW-27586, TELEPOUCHED

9 OCT).  
 TODAY).

2. REF B, POUCHED 8 OCT, REPORTS GENERALLY SANGUINE  
 MEDICAL PROGNOSIS [ ] HEALTH FOLLOWING [ ] PERMITS  
 SHORT TRIPS TO CONTINENT PROVIDED HE WATCHES DIET CAREFULLY AND  
 DOES NOT OVERTIRE, UNTIL CLINICAL AND CHEMICAL TESTS RECOMMENDED  
 IN REF B PRONOUNCE HIM FULLY RECOVERED.

3. FILE 200-124-156/3.

END OF MESSAGE

EUR/I

EUR/BC/UK

Q/201

C/CA

Chief, CA/CF

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
 Excluded from automatic  
 downgrading and  
 declassification

AUTHENTICATING  
 OFFICER

COPY NO.

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.



DISPATCH

SECRET SECRET


PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, LCPIPT [ ]	XX	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [ ]		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WOLIME		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	RYBAT/DTDORIC [ ] [ ] Physical Condition		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REFERENCE: OEPA-1312, 10 September 1968

1. On the basis of the documentation submitted under reference dispatch, the Medical Staff feels that [ ]/1 made a surprisingly fast recovery and seems well on the way to complete health. However, the hospital report (a quick translation of which into English is attached for your ready reference), dated as it is, still reflects some inconclusive levels in the results of the various chemical tests shown. Undoubtedly these have by now been lowered to completely safe levels, since [ ]/1 apparently felt well enough to travel to France, but the Medical Staff believes it would be advisable for [ ]/1 to undergo another [ ] to include the following:



We assume [ ]/1 has consulted his own physician on his return to the [ ] but the Medical Staff has pointed out that clinical examination alone is not always sufficient to determine complete recovery from [ ]

Attachment:  
Hospital report

(continued)

Distribution:  
2 - Chief, LCPIPT [ ] w/att  
1 - COS, [ ] w/o/att

CS COPY

OCT 1968

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OEPW - 405	GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification	DATE 7 October 1968
	CLASSIFICATION RYBAT SECRET SECRET	NOS FILE NUMBER 201-306352	
1 - EUR/BC/UK 1 - RID - files ✓ 2 - CA/CF	OFFICE CA/CF	EXT. 7767	
	COORDINATING		
	OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	DECIPT'S NAME
	OMS/OD		
	EUR/BC/UK	7 OCT 1968	
ABSTRACT X INDEX	RELEASING		
	OFFICE SYMBOL DC/CA	DATE 8/10/68	

DISPATCH

2. In terms of our operational utilization of [ ]/1 at this time, while they reserve finite comment until all the returns (per above) are in, the Medical Staff did stress the paramount importance of proper rest and of not over-taxing the strength of the agent, coupled with his strict adherence to regular, warm, balanced meals in accordance with the dietary restrictions usually imposed, and complete absence of alcohol, in order to prevent a relapso. So long as these caveats are adhered to, however, there is no reason why he could not make some more short trips during the next few months, if necessary. However, since he also has an office to set up and we would like him to concentrate on the [ ] offer, perhaps it would be a good idea to keep those trips to a minimum for the time being.

3. The Medical Staff will be glad to review any further chemical tests per para. 1 above suggestions, or any others which his doctor may have already run.

JULIA R. CONGREVE

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET/RYBAT	MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO	Chief, WOLIME		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO.	Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, EUR Division		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM	Acting Chief, LCPIPI [redacted] (PARFORTH Acting)		MACROFILM
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/Operational [redacted]/Illness		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. At their meeting on 4 September [redacted]/1 submitted a report to RODIGAST on his hospitalization for [redacted] Attached to his report is a copy of this medical record with results of [redacted] written in Serbo-Croatian. We are forwarding a copy of the report under separate cover for evaluation by the Medical Staff. We are interested in having as thorough as possible an evaluation made of [redacted]/1's illness in order that we may assure ourselves of his condition and the degree to which he ought to be active in the forthcoming months. We will appreciate it if Headquarters will pursue this matter with the Medical Staff and advise us of its findings and recommendations.</p> <p>2. Also forwarded under separate cover is a memorandum prepared from RODIGAST's notes which elaborates upon [redacted]/1's symptoms.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>icf</i> Aaron C. LAGINESTRA</p> <p>Attachment: u/s/c            1 - [redacted]/1 Report &amp; Medical Record            2 - Memorandum for the Record</p> <p>Distribution:            ✓ 3 - C/WOLIME w/atts            1 - COS [redacted] w/o atts            1 - C/EUR w/o atts</p> <p style="text-align: right;">CS 0077            201 - 30605-2</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE
	OEPA-1312		10 September 1968
	CLASSIFICATION		WOS FILE NUMBER
	SECRET/RYBAT		

SEPARATE COVER ATTACHMENT 1 TO: OEPA-1312

[REDACTED] BOUT

What I had thought was a touch of [REDACTED] and the extreme fatigue I felt when I returned to [REDACTED] in July proved in time to have been acute infectious [REDACTED]. The disease -- which takes two to three weeks to develop -- was probably contracted in [REDACTED]. At least, I imagine so because my principal contact in [REDACTED] insisted on taking me to a number of local restaurants of dubious cleanliness to sample [REDACTED] delicacies.

[REDACTED] was diagnosed by a doctor in Komiza, Vis, where my family had been holidaying since the beginning of July, and I was hospitalized in the isolation section of Split Hospital (there is no hospital on Vis) on the 7th of August.

My [REDACTED] by one finger and [REDACTED] indicated a fairly [REDACTED] treatment consisted of [REDACTED] with massive doses of vitamins.

I was put on a fat-free diet, which I have been told to follow for at least six months. Naturally, no alcohol.

On 20 August, I was released from the hospital, mainly because I was pestering the doctors to let me go. I was told to have "absolute rest" for four to six weeks and to have another blood test within several weeks.

(Attached is the Yugoslav doctor's description of my case and treatment, with results of all [REDACTED]. It is written ~~in English~~ in Serbo-Croatian.)

THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN ACQUIRED BY ELUSTRATIVE SOURCE.  
IT IS NOT TO BE DISCLOSED TO ANY OTHER DIVISION  
OR STATE DISSEMINATED, RELAYED TO THE FIELD, OR FORM  
THE BASIS FOR OPERATIONAL FOLLOWUP, WITHOUT PRIOR  
COORDINATION WITH C-1007.

CS COPY

#14622 to  
OEPA 1312

201-30605-2

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

7 September 1968

SUBJECT: ██████ Illness

1. The following information was obtained by ██████ from ██████/1 at their meeting on 4 September 1968. It supplements the report which was submitted by ██████/1 which deals with his recent hospitalization for ██████

2. Toward the end of his ██████ trip ██████/1 had been feeling rather weak and nauseous, with no appetite. He was constipated, feverish and had gas pains. These symptoms persisted and after his return from ██████ and on 6 August, while in Yugoslavia on vacation, ██████/1 suffered from acute gas pains and vomiting. He was taken from Vis, where he was vacationing, to Split where he was hospitalized. The address of this hospital is:

Opca Bolnica  
Zarazni Odjel (Firule)  
Split, Yugoslavia

His doctor was Dr. Juraj FILIPOVIC.

3. ██████/1's treatment was free inasmuch as he was able to show his British National Health Card and a reciprocity agreement exists between Britain and Yugoslavia.

4. ██████/1 states that at no time did he receive narcotics nor was he ever unconscious. During his stay in the hospital a young British youth (Derek WHITTAKER, age 19, from Shoreham-by-Sea, Surrey) was in his ward suffering from food poisoning.

Aaron C. LAGINESTRA

THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN ACQUIRED BY SENSITIVE SOURCE.  
IT IS NOT TO BE ROUTED OUTSIDE RECIPIENTS' DIVISION  
OR STAFF, DISSEMINATED, RELAYED TO THE FIELD, OR FORM  
THE BASIS FOR OPERATIONAL FOLLOW-UP, WITHOUT PRIOR  
COORDINATION WITH O/SAC/CF.

CS COPY

72 s/c att to OEPA 1312

201-306052

att to OEPA-1312  
sept 68

Admitted to the section for

7. August, 1968

Observation:

medical history: the patient had felt for  
about a week

Clinical observation under hair

follicles showed:

[redacted] tests showed damage of the  
[redacted] of an average nature,  
resembling [redacted]

was made / see findings/.

As it was necessary to continue

(3)

Treatment of the disease, the patient asked  
to be discharged under medical supervision.

Diagnosis:

Treatment: Only Serbo-Croatian words  
translated in this section. Name of drugs  
are English

Discharged - 20 Aug 1968 as  
recovered

The patient needs to observe absolute  
fast + stay at home, follow diet as instructed,  
under supervision of doctor and control  
of [ ] for about 14 days.

He will continue with the  
recommended medicines [medicines



not translated. Only pseudo-Croatian words

translated

and further depending on the condition of  
the patient

takes

Transition period in on attachment,  
where meanings could be found.

priljen na seznam edjel 7.VIII.68 pod 1ci

Anestezija: zadrž. . oko 15. min. Jaka oštećenost srčane aktivnosti, impenzancija i padu krvnog pritiska, nevoljnost i zadrž. . ne temperature, te težina pod jakim reaktivnim lukom i blagim. Klinička slika: Jaka oštećenost srčane aktivnosti, impenzancija i padu krvnog pritiska, nevoljnost i zadrž. . ne temperature, te težina pod jakim reaktivnim lukom i blagim. Klinička slika: Jaka oštećenost srčane aktivnosti, impenzancija i padu krvnog pritiska, nevoljnost i zadrž. . ne temperature, te težina pod jakim reaktivnim lukom i blagim.

Elančki doktorkus progredira u prvih par dana, dok se ne uvede u terpiju kortikosteroide, nakon čega ošito dolazi do poboljšanja cijepljenosti, to "suzavanja" kiterusa.

Kontrolni pregled rana/vidi prilaz/-  
Iako je bilo potrebno nastaviti liječenje u bolnici, bolesnik  
želi otpustiti se uz liječenje otpusta.

Tablet	on Tablespoon
--------	---------------

Brylcrex amp-C wit tbl-Bilacid-chol'n-drag, Teria Eyc'n caps-  
Dexamson amp +tbl-ulter tbl-Ant-pernicin amp+DeLoxin amp+  
Aneurin amp-Digestal tbl-  
(diet)

**Potrebno je nastaviti apsolutna meravanja u kućnoj mreži.**

dijetelnu ishranu po ugost, š3 kontrolu liječnika, te kontro-  
lu higijene 24 249 10-14 čime. - 1 - - for

Od lijekova preporuča se nastaviti s evoplex 5mg 3x2-4 tablete  
cholin drug 3x2-4 tablete caps 4x1-Dexason tbl/4tbl 3x2-4  
3x2-4 drug, 2tbl 1-2x 3 dani, a dalje prema stanju bolesnika/  
ulter tbl/cok uzima dexason/-

## Odjel: Lječništvo

/dr.đ.j.2+79v6/

THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN ACQUIRED BY SENSITIVE SOURCE.  
IT IS NOT TO BE ROUTED OUTSIDE RESIDENTS' DIVISION  
OR STAFF, DISSEMINATED, RELATED TO THE FIELD, OR FORM  
THE BASIS FOR OPERATIONAL FOLLOWUP, WITHOUT PRIOR  
COORDINATION WITH FIA-CP.

**SECRET**

SECRET

THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN ACQUIRED BY SENSITIVE SOURCE.  
IT IS NOT TO BE ROUTED OUTSIDE RECIPIENTS' DIVISION  
OR STAFF, DISSEMINATED, RELAYED TO THE FIELD, OR FORM  
THE BASIS FOR OPERATIONAL FOLLOW-UP, WITHOUT PRIOR  
COORDINATION WITH C SA CF.

SECRET

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION  
PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES

ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY

**SECRET**

(When Filled In)

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

10

REPRODUCTION OF THIS COPY PROHIBITED

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 129-15673

FILE NO. 129-15673

FILE NO. 129-15673

BRANCH CIC/F DESTROY 5-6

ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED

BY 15 AT 2

DISSEM BY 15 PER 0

ACTION

☐ RID COPY

INFO

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

FILE NO.

**SECRET** 061556Z SEP 68 CITE LCPIPT 3726 (PARFORTH ACTING)

DIRECTOR INFO

RYBAT DTIDORIC

1. [ ] /I MET 4 SEPTEMBER IN [ ] HE NOW UP  
AND ABOUT FOLLOWING HOSPITALIZATION FOR [ ] BUT MUST  
SEVERELY RESTRICT ACTIVITIES FOR AT LEAST NEXT MONTH. SOME  
ITEMS OF INTEREST OBTAINED WHICH BEING FORWARDED BY IM.

2. NEXT MEETING SET UP FOR [ ] 18 SEPTEMBER.

**SECRET**

BT

CS COPY

**SECRET**

201-306052

CF

**SECRET**  
(WHEN FILLED IN)  
**STATEMENT of EARNINGS and DEDUCTIONS**

NAME [ ] 1	EMPLOYEE NO 802799	PAY PERIOD DATE 08/01 08/31	ROLL 20	COST CENTER 1242930	STA 000
---------------	-----------------------	--------------------------------	------------	------------------------	------------

1968

CD	EARNINGS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
09	89000	2000	REG SAL

**NOTE:**

THIS FORM IS ISSUED ONLY WHEN AN  
EMPLOYEE ENTERS ON DUTY OR THERE  
IS ANY CHANGE IN THE PAY ACCOUNT

CD	DEDUCTIONS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
73	9000	2000	LIO PAYMT
80	89000	2000	CPA EX CR
96	9000	2000	FED TAX F

**REMARKS:**

Amendment for additional compensation and tax liability increased from \$870.00 to \$890.00 per month for free compensation at \$800.00 per month, effective 1 July 1968. Actual amount of tax liability increased from \$70.00 to \$90.00 per month, for the July 1968 pay period.

EMPLOYEE NUMBER: [ ] - 1

802799

FORM 1314 Previous editions obsolete

**SECRET**

CA/ro

00-55

70

ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION DATA							REFUND DUE FROM EMPLOYEE			NET PAY		
PP	OT/HRS	HT/HRS	ND/HRS	RATE	O/T-NT AMT	N/D AMOUNT	CD	NORMAL	OTHER	CD	NORMAL	OTHER

**REMARKS:**


PAID AT HQS.

FORM 1315A

**SECRET**

00-55

1



REMITTED FOR  
LONDON BANK  
OF NEW YORK



SEP 13 1964

BY BARCLAYS BANK LTD.


PAVEZ ALONDRE DE  
ON DE L'ANCIEN SUISSE



CI 114 608 5225


1-11-64











**SECRET**

Chief of Station, [ ] LCPIPT

Office of Finance

Increased Federal Income Tax Liability - [ ]-1, Employee No. 802799

*File  
Gayle - file*

In compliance with the recent Enactment of the Revenue and Expenditure Control Act of 1963, Subject's tax liability has increased from \$70.00 to \$90.00 per month. This represents the additional surtax charge and begins with the July 1968 pay period. Effective 1 December 1968 (1969 tax year) the tax liability will be reduced to \$60.00 per month. The Station should effect the change and continue to T/A tax withholdings to Headquarters.

MARINET C. VINCOLLER

## Distribution:

3 - C/S, [ ] LCPIPT

OCT-396

15 AUG 1968

8 August 1968

**SECRET**

- ✓ - CA/Staff
- 1 - EUR/Div.
- 3 - APB/CAAS
- 2 - CF/Registry

CMED/APB/CAAS, [ ] (8 August 1968)

2213

C/CA/EF

*Q-201*

C/EUR/BS

13 AUG 1968

1,7 copies 1. C. C. C. C.

# Reports

## International news & features

Opica Bolnica  
Zarugni Odjel  
(Firale)  
Split  
Yugoslavia  
13 August 1968.

Dear Mr. [redacted]

Unexpectedly, I found myself in the hospital in Split, Yugoslavia, on the 7th of August, confined to the isolation ward with a fairly rough case of [redacted] undoubtedly picked up in [redacted] (They tell me the incubation period is about 3 weeks.) In any case, I am hoping to be released from here in another 10 or 15 days at the most. The treatment is good and seems thorough. My biggest problem was originally linguistic. I did speak with the doctors, who know no English, in German or Italian, but my knowledge of Serbo-Croatian has increased steadily with the [redacted] and [redacted] of [redacted] etc.

The urgency behind this note is, though gone, to inform the firm of my condition. I hope to return to [redacted] without many, if any, days on the beach — as planned, but I am told that I should restrict my activities physically for another month. There are many things to do in [redacted] and on the Continent, but I regret not being able to undertake the conference assignment in September. At

least, I do not think my strength will be sufficiently restored for that count. Anyway, we shall see...

Fortunately, my family is on Vis, an island 5 hours boattride from Split, and have not had their vacation completely ruined because of me. Since this is an isolation hospital for

[redacted] no visitors are allowed and there is no temptation or urgency on my wife to make that lengthy crossing just to see me. For me, also, my holiday on the beach in the sun has simply turned into lying on my back in a hot room, but it could be worse and I hope to be up and about in the next week or two, at worst. I feel certain that I will be able to meet all my minor commitments in [redacted] and elsewhere at the beginning of September, but wanted to draw the firm's attention, as soon as possible, to my possible inability to handle another big assignment immediately.

Very sincerely yours,

[redacted]

Opca Bolnica, Zargun: Odel, (Kruke), Split

BY AIR MAIL  
PAR AVION

FBI doc.  
6 Aug 68 (NY)

Re. [redacted]

Formerly Known

as [redacted]

Bufile: 100-357044

Ny file: 100-93572

---

SECRET

(WHEN FILLED IN)

## STATEMENT of EARNINGS and DEDUCTIONS

NAME	EMPLOYEE NO	PAY PERIOD DATE	ROLL	COST CENTER	STA
	802799	04/01 04/30	20	124062	000

CD	EARNINGS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
09	74600		REG SAL

## NOTE:

THIS FORM IS ISSUED ONLY WHEN AN  
EMPLOYEE ENTERS ON DUTY OR THERE  
IS ANY CHANGE IN THE PAY ACCOUNT

CD	DEDUCTIONS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
80	74600		CPA EX CR
96	4600	7200	FED TAX F

## REMARKS:

T/A 270 from [ ] transferred taxes for the month of Dec., Jan., and Feb. in the amount of \$210.00. \$70.00 for each. payrolled was \$46.00 each month, adjustment made herewith. Sub-object code changes for Accounting Only.

EMPLOYEE NUMBER:

QRPHONE/1

FORM 1315A Previous editions obsolete

CA/Staff 000

(20-51)

SECRET

ADDITIONAL COMPENSATION DATA							REFUND DUE FROM EMPLOYEE			NET PAY		
PP	OT/MRS	HT/MRS	ND/MRS	RATE	O/T-NT AMT	N/D AMOUNT	CD	NORMAL	OTHER	CD	NORMAL	OTHER
							86	4600-	7200-00			

## REMARKS:

PAID AT HQS

FORM 1315A

SECRET

(20-51)



MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES: (22)		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY	
ORIG: [REDACTED]	SECRET	1	6
UNIT: CA/CF		2	7
EXT: 7767		3	8
DATE: 23 Apr 68		4	9
		5	10

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION

BY: 3 JSS

INDEX ☐ DESTROY ☐ RETURN TO: \_\_\_\_\_ BRANCH ☐ FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

NO INDEX ☐ FILE IN CS FILE NO. 200-124-156/2

CONF: CAS ☒ BID COPY

INFO: FILE VR 228, CA/PROP, C/102

(classification) (date and time filed) (office) (place)

**SECRET**

27 16 48 Z

CITE DIRECTOR

95170

TO LCPIPI INFO [REDACTED]

DTDORIC ORPREY [REDACTED]

REF: OEPA 1003, 19 MARCH 68

MOC APPROVED.

END OF MESSAGE

CA/PERS [REDACTED]

CA/B&amp;F [REDACTED]

DC/CA [REDACTED]

EUR/BC/UT [REDACTED]

C/CA [REDACTED]

Chief, CA/CF [REDACTED]

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

**SECRET**GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassificationAUTHENTICATING  
OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

COPY NO.

SECRET RYBAT

April 66

REVISED MEMORANDUM OF ORAL COMMITMENT

1. [ ]/1 collaborates with WOFIRM as a Field Agent who provides certain services of a confidential nature. He has received a Provisional Operational Approval, dated **28 MAY 66**. Financial aspects of his activity are charged to approved Project [ ]

2. [ ]/1's employment by WOFIRM, which began 1 June 1965, was originally formalized by a Letter of Agreement for a period of three months, replaced by a Memorandum of Oral Commitment dated 1 September 1965. Under this MOC, which remains in effect (as amended) since that date, [ ]/1's employment continues on a month-to-month basis. It can be terminated by either party on a 30-day notice.

3. [ ]/1 receives the following financial entitlements:

- a. Compensation so computed as to give [ ]/1 a "tax-free" income of \$800 per month (this figure effective 1 July 1967).

NOTE: The actual breakdown of [ ]/1's finances as carried on WOFIRM's books is \$800 monthly salary and \$70 per month tax supplement withheld at Headquarters to discharge [ ]/1's WOLADY tax obligation. These taxes are remitted through the Covert Tax Committee. This procedure was devised to honor the original "tax free" commitment made at the time of his recruitment and at the same time to fulfill the requirement that all WOLADY citizens pay taxes on funds received from LNFORT.

- b. Reimbursement for operational expenses and travel unless these are paid by other sources in which case WOFIRM will offset such outside reimbursement against WOFIRM financial obligations to [ ]/1. Since security considerations preclude processing of detailed accountings for miscellaneous operational expenses, and as an added inducement to [ ]/1 in connection with his obtaining certain confidential information of interest to WOFIRM, a small operational payment, as authorized by Chief, LCPIPIT will be made to [ ]/1 for each day during which he is required to be absent from his home on confidential business.

NOTE: Write-off of the funds passed to [ ]/1 is to be effected on the basis of the procedure approved by Chief, LCPIPIT and COS [ ] a copy of which is attached. This procedure is authorized in view of the security considerations applicable to [ ]/1 and the need to limit the knowledge of this operation within WOMACE.

- c. [ ]/1 is authorized to receive a non-accountable office allowance of \$100 per month.

SECRET RYBAT

SECRET REBAT

- 2 -

4. [ ]/1 will be compensated by WOFIRM in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of himself and his family to a new residence if such relocation is considered desirable by him and WOFIRM. NOTE: This provision was included in [ ]/1's original Letter of Agreement and was retained in this MOC since it may be operationally desirable for [ ]/1 to accept employment with a target organization based elsewhere.

5. WOFIRM has arranged for a portion of [ ]/1's financial entitlements to be funded to him by QRPURE, which has also agreed to repatriate [ ]/1 to WOLADY. This has been done for operational reasons to enable [ ]/1 to qualify for foreign residence. Should QRPURE be obliged to honor this commitment, WOFIRM will guarantee this QRPURE commitment and will reimburse QRPURE for any costs incurred.

6. LCPIPIT is authorized to approve purchase by [ ]/1 of certain items of operational equipment which are to be non-accountable and which shall be charged against the operational expense category of approved Project [ ]

7. [ ]/1 signed a separate Secrecy Agreement (in true name) at the time of his original recruitment. He is aware that its provisions are still in effect.

8. [ ]/1 is aware that he may be required, from time to time, to undertake an SGSWIRL.

9. [ ]/1 is aware of all provisions of the Memorandum of Oral Commitment with the exceptions of paragraph 6, which describes an internal WOFIRM procedure; certain aspects of paragraph 3a--specifically that WOFIRM is paying LNFORT taxes in his behalf; and certain aspects of paragraph 3b--specifically the procedure for write-off of funds since this is an internal WOFIRM procedure.

SIGNED:

*Aaron C. Laginestra*  
Aaron C. LAGINESTRA  
Case Officer

REVIEWED AND APPROVED:

*Maslott*

Adrian B. MASLOTT  
Chief, LCPIPIT

Date

*SBH 4K*  
*24 2 to CPJ - 26 Apr 68*  
*(1 for FD)*  
*(1 for CES)*

*April 25, 1968*

SECRET REBAT

ORIG: [ ]		MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES: (22)		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - See 61	
ATTN: CA/CF		SECRET		1	6
EXT: 7767				2	7
DATE: 23 Apr 68				3	8
				4	9
				5	10
CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION		<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO _____ BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/> FILE RID			
BY: 3 PFC		<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO. 200-124-156/2			
COMP: (CA5) [X] RID COPY		INFO: FILE , VR BRB, CA/prop, c/lcr,			
(classification)		(date and time filed)		(reference number)	

**SECRET**

27 1649 2

CITE DIRECTOR 95140

LCPIPIT INFO [REDACTED]  
DTDORIC QRPREY [REDACTED]  
REF: OEPA 1003 , 19 MARCH 68

**MOC APPROVED.**

**END OF MESSAGE**

CA/PERS

CA/B&F

**DC /CA**

EUR/BC/UK

C7CA

**Chief, CA/CF**

**RELEASING OFFICER**

**COORDINATING OFFICERS**

**S E C R E T**

**GROUP 1**  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

**AUTHENTICATING  
OFFICER**

COPY NO.

**REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.**

14-00000  
**SECRET**

23 April 1968

**MEMORANDUM FOR: C/CA/SG**

**VIA: DC/CA**

**SUBJECT: [ ]/1 Memorandum of Oral Commitment**

1. Forwarded herewith is the original revised MOC for [ ]/1, submitted by LCPIPIIT under OEPA - 1003, dated 19 March 1968. The field requests notification of approval.

2. The MOC appears to cover adequately all points previously at issue; it is therefore requested that, subject to DC/CA approval and CA/PERS and CA/B&F concurrence, the attached outgoing cable to LCPIPIIT signifying this approval be coordinated and released.

3. It is also requested that the MOC be further transmitted to the Office of Finance, via appropriate administrative procedures.

[ ]  
**Chief, CA/CF**

**Attachments: 2**  
**MOC for [ ]/1**  
**Cable to field**

**Distribution:**  
**Orig. & 1 - Addressee**  
**2 - CA/CF ✓**

**SECRET**

201

Who said he is CIA agent:

1. Anderson said [redacted] told  
Franz Faun Institute in 1964  
[redacted] an Am. agent (Eb. rept. 18/2/66)

2. [redacted] said he had recently  
been assured by [redacted]  
[redacted] was a CIA Agent ([redacted]  
had not seen [redacted] since 1952)  
(20/2/66 - [redacted] rept.) ([redacted] said  
he had been accused of the  
same thing, by whom unspecified.)

3. "British" professor [redacted]  
told Franz Faun Institute

Leave [redacted]

1069

**BRITISH VISA GRANTED**

On 10 April 68, I was finally granted a British visa, without restrictions, valid until 15 Feb. 1969. No questions were asked when I went to the Home Office to get the visa.

My Home Office file number is: G 110880.

From the Metropolitan Police, I received Aliens Registration Certificate E 662567, which lists me as correspondent for

I was told by the police that I could apply within a year to have the registration requirement waived because I am married to a British subject. Moreover, I am free to take any sort of employment or open a business without restrictions or having to request permission from the Ministry of Labour.

Tmd 272385 23/4/68

B.E.1

1070



## HOME OFFICE

Princeton House, 271 High Holborn, LONDON W.C.1

Telegrams: Aldep, London WC1

Telephone 01-405 4321

Telex 261867

Please address any reply to  
THE UNDER SECRETARY  
OF STATE

and quote:

611070

Your reference:

10.4.68

Name A	
Nationality U.S.C.	Date of Birth 17.5.31
Passport Particulars U.S. Passport No. _____ issued on 1.12.65	

This is to certify that the bearer of this letter, named above, does not require to obtain permission of the Ministry of Labour or of the Home Office before taking employment, either paid or unpaid, in Great Britain. (For work in Northern Ireland the Ministry of Labour and National Insurance for Northern Ireland should be consulted.) The bearer is free, so far as the Home Office is concerned, to set up in business, subject to any statutory laws and regulations governing the business or professional activities in which he/she may be interested.

This letter should be retained for production to the Ministry of Labour or other authority if required.

for Under Secretary of State

TM# 072385/23/4/68



1071

INLAND REVENUE MONOLOGUE (required)

Until April of this year (1968), I lived mainly on assistance from my wife's family and occasional freelance journalism outside Britain. Also, I had some savings and investments in America and Switzerland to draw upon. My brother-in-law, [redacted] purchased a house at 32 Hartwood Road, Stamford Brook, London, W.12 for us — the price of the house being considered a loan to be repaid over the years as is possible. My children's school fees were paid by covenant by my brother-in-law, as well as a grant in covenant to my youngest daughter.

I was not a legal resident of the U.K. until 8 April 1968, and on that date I began officially my duties as Overseas Representative and Correspondent for [redacted] with a salary of \$300 per month.

TM# 072385, 23/4/68

1072

My brother-in-law asked me to send him from abroad the following letter, which I mailed on 16 April 68 from Rotterdam.

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~

Jeffreys, Henry RAN Rudolph & Marks  
Lee House  
Londonx Wall, E.C.2

Dear Sirs,

In response to the Inspector's request, I confirm that I have ~~max~~ authorized my wife, Mrs. , to apply for Monique's income repayment for 1967. And I also confirm that she has no other incomex other than the ~~EMMY~~ Covenant for that year.

Yours faithfully,

TM# 072385, 23/4/68

<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T / R Y B A T</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
TO	Chief, WOLINE		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [REDACTED] Chief, EUR Division	JEX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, LCPIPI, [REDACTED]		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTORIC/MIPROBZ/Operational [REDACTED] Memorandum of Oral Commitment		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>References: A. OEPA-160, dated 18 October 1967 B. OEPA-240, dated 21 September 1967</p> <p>1. Forwarded under separate cover is a revised Memorandum of Oral Commitment covering the WOFIRM relationship with [REDACTED]/1. This revision reflects most of the suggestions made in Reference A.</p> <p>2. As said in Reference B, [REDACTED]/1 does not fall into the category of WOFIRM asset usually handled by LCPIPI. This fact plus the very stringent security considerations which must apply to this operation dictate that certain procedure be followed in [REDACTED]/1's case. Handling of this case has been facilitated by Headquarters' understanding and approval of the need for such care.</p> <p>3. We request that this revised Memorandum of Oral Commitment be approved, and that we be so notified.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Aaron C. LAGINESTRA</p> <p>Attachment: u/s/c Memorandum</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - C/WOLINE w/att 1 - COS [REDACTED] w/o att 1 - C/EUR w/o att</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
		OEPA-1003	19 March 1968
		CLASSIFICATION	WOS FILE NUMBER
		<b>S E C R E T / R Y B A T</b>	

REVISED MEMOPANDUM OF ORAL COMMITMENT

1. [ ]/1 collaborates with WOFIRM as a Field Agent who provides certain services of a confidential nature. He has received a Provisional Operational Approval, dated 23 MAY 66. Financial aspects of his activity are charged to approved Project ORPHONE.

2. [ ]/1's employment by WOFIRM, which began 1 June 1965, was originally formalized by a Letter of Agreement for a period of three months, replaced by a Memorandum of Oral Commitment dated 1 September 1965. Under this MOC, which remains in effect (as amended) since that date, [ ]/1's employment continues on a month-to-month basis. It can be terminated by either party on a 30-day notice.

3. [ ]/1 receives the following financial entitlements:

- a. Compensation so computed as to give [ ]/1 a "tax-free" income of \$800 per month (this figure effective 1 July 1967).

NOTE: The actual breakdown of [ ]/1's finances as carried on WOFIRM's books is \$800 monthly salary and \$70 per month tax supplement withheld at Headquarters to discharge [ ]/1's WOLADY tax obligation. These taxes are remitted through the Covert Tax Committee. This procedure was devised to honor the original "tax free" commitment made at the time of his recruitment and at the same time to fulfill the requirement that all WOLADY citizens pay taxes on funds received from LNFORT.

- b. Reimbursement for operational expenses and travel unless these are paid by other sources in which case WOFIRM will offset such outside reimbursement against WOFIRM financial obligations to [ ]/1. Since security considerations preclude processing of detailed accountings for miscellaneous operational expenses, and as an added inducement to [ ]/1 in connection with his obtaining certain confidential information of interest to WOFIRM, a small operational payment, as authorized by Chief, LCPIPIT will be made to [ ]/1 for each day during which he is required to be absent from his home on confidential business.

NOTE: Write-off of the funds passed to [ ]/1 is to be effected on the basis of the procedure approved by Chief, LCPIPIT and COS/[ ] a copy of which is attached. This procedure is authorized in view of the security considerations applicable to [ ]/1 and the need to limit the knowledge of this operation within WOMACE.

- c. [ ]/1 is authorized to receive a non-accountable office allowance of \$100 per month.

SECRETARY

4. [ ]/1 will be compensated by WOFIRM in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of himself and his family to a new residence if such relocation is considered desirable by him and WOFIRM. NOTE: This provision was included in [ ]/1's original Letter of Agreement and was retained in this MCC since it may be operationally desirable for [ ]/1 to accept employment with a target organization based elsewhere.

5. WOFIRM has arranged for a portion of [ ]/1's financial entitlements to be funded to him by QRPURE, which has also agreed to repatriate [ ]/1 to WOLADY. This has been done for operational reasons to enable [ ]/1 to qualify for foreign residence. Should QRPURE be obliged to honor this commitment, WOFIRM will guarantee this QRPURE commitment and will reimburse QRPURE for any costs incurred.

6. LCPIPIT is authorized to approve purchase by [ ]/1 of certain items of operational equipment which are to be non-accountable and which shall be charged against the operational expense category of approved Project [ ]

7. [ ]/1 signed a separate Secrecy Agreement (in true name) at the time of his original recruitment. He is aware that its provisions are still in effect.

8. [ ]/1 is aware that he may be required, from time to time, to undertake an SGSWIRL.

9. [ ]/1 is aware of all provisions of the Memorandum of Oral Commitment with the exceptions of paragraph 6, which describes an internal WOFIRM procedure; certain aspects of paragraph 3a--specifically that WOFIRM is paying LNPORT taxes in his behalf; and certain aspects of paragraph 3b--specifically the procedure for write-off of funds since this is an internal WOFIRM procedure.

SIGNED: Aaron C. Laginestra  
Aaron C. LAGINESTRA  
Case Officer

REVIEWED AND APPROVED:

Maslott  
Adrian B. MASLOTT  
Chief, LCPIPIT

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: [ ]/1 FINANCES

1. This memorandum outlines a new procedure which is to be followed in the handling of the operational costs of field agent [ ]/1. This new procedure is being instituted in order to enhance the security and compartmentation of the handling of the administrative aspect of this project and parallels revisions in the handling of operational traffic. The new procedure which is discussed below is in accordance with accepted field procedures.

2. Currently [ ]/1 receives advances and accounts for these with detailed statements of expenses accompanied by true receipts, etc. These accountings and the accompanying receipts have been maintained in the LCPIPIT files. This system is to be changed. Henceforth monies for operational expenses are to be given to [ ]/1 by his handler (Career Agent Rufus F. RODIGAST) and will be carried on RODIGAST's accountings as operational expenses supported by receipts from [ ]/1 acknowledging receipt of the funds. This RODIGAST accounting and the [ ]/1 receipt will be used for write-off of the funds against approved project [ ]. No detailed accountings and no receipts carrying [ ]/1's true name are to be maintained in the LCPIPIT/Admin files.

3. It will be the responsibility of Chief, LCPIPIT and the project case officer to ensure that [ ]/1 is supplied with the funds necessary for the furtherance of the operation and to ensure that these amounts are carefully controlled to avoid overpayment. In discharging this responsibility the Chief, LCPIPIT may require [ ] to itemize the various expenses covered by the payments.

APPROVED:

*J. J. MacLott*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief, LCPIPIT  
[ ]  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chief of Station, [ ]

*1/29/68*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date  
*8 Feb. '68*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

SECRET

FBI doc  
30 Jan. 68 (Wash.)

Re:

no Bufile given

---

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

PROCESSING ACTION

SECRET/RYBAT

TO	Chief, WOLIMP Chief of Station, [ ]		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.	Chief of Station, [ ] Chief of Base; [ ] Chief, EUR Division	XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, LCPIPI [ ] <i>BM</i>		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/MHPROBE/Operational		
	[ ]		

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

References: A. [ ] 2464  
B. LCPIPI-1711

1. We are forwarding under separate cover a copy of [ ]'s report on his [ ] In it [ ] concludes that the [ ] must have learned of his planned arrival through a phone tap on a call he made to [ ] from Italy on the 18th of January.

2. Regarding [ ]'s statement in paragraph two of his report concerning his having visited [ ] many times since 1963, please see PALP-4738 of 1 October 1965.

3. [ ] has been instructed not to pursue any further protests with the authorities (either [ ] or American) over this matter.

*ACL*  
Aaron C. LAGINESTRA

Attachment: u/s/c  
Report

Distribution:

- ✓ 3 - C/WOLIME w/att
- 2 - COS [ ] w/att
- 1 - COS [ ] w/o att
- 1 - C/EUR w/o att
- 1 - COB [ ] w/att

*EUR/BC/UK, who  
copy of attachment  
will route to [ ] desk*

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
200-124-156/3	OEPA-892	6 February 1968
	CLASSIFICATION	NOI FILE NUMBER
	SECRET/RYBAT	201-306052





~~ATTACHMENT TO TRANSMITTAL CENTER~~



~~#072258~~

SEPARATE COVER ATTACHMENT TO:

OEPA-892

CS COPY





S E C R E T

802799 24 000 20

1967 EARNINGS

TAX W/H

FICA W/H

ST. TAX W/H

TAX GROSS

(96)

67200

945200

S E C R E T

Paid Gross by Cover  
 No Covert Return Req.  
 Tax Committee  
 Flat Rate Tax W/H

**SECRET**

20 December 1967  
(C-2929)

MEMORANDUM FOR: CA/CF

SUBJECT: Accountings from [ ]/1



Enclosed in the attached sealed envelope are copies  
of accountings from [ ]/1.

[ ]  
Chief,  
Central Cover Staff  
Nonofficial Cover Branch

Attachments: One

201-306052  
Dec 67  
~~201-306052~~

**SECRET**



<b>DISPATCH</b>		<b>SECRET</b>		CLASSIFICATION	
Chief of Station, [ ] for LCPIPT		XX		PRIORITY AND EXTENSION	
Chief of Station, [ ]				DATE AND TIME	
Chief, POLINT				EXTENSION	
MEMORANDUM: [ ] Employment Agreement					
<p>REFERENCES: A. OET T-241, 21 Oct. 1967          B. OEPW-160 (PUBAT) (enroute)          C. LCPIPT 0700 (IN 55620)</p> <p>1. We appreciate LAGINSEPA's effort to combine and bring up to date all commitments made to [ ] in a revised MOC, forwarded under REF A. We note that the following comments, geared to the paragraphs as numbered in the MOC, will help to further streamline the product:</p> <p>a. Para. 1:          Please see Reference B, PUBAT dispatch.</p> <p>b. Para. 2:          As indicated in the REF A covering dispatch, [ ]/1's initial employment began 1 June 1965, rather than the 1 September 1965 date given in the draft MOC. Since the effective date of his relationship with WOFIRM will one day be the basis for an equitable terminal settlement, or might assume other administrative significance, we suggest that the paragraph be amended to reflect this: [ ]/1's employment with WOFIRM which began 1 June 1965, was originally formalized by a Letter of Agreement for a period of three months, replaced by a Memorandum of Oral Commitment dated 1 September 1965. Under this MOC, which remains in effect (as amended) since that date, [ ]/1's employment continues on a month-to-month basis. It can be terminated by either party on 30-day notice."</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(continued)</p> <p>Distribution:          2 - COS, LCPIPT          1 - COS, [ ]</p>					
CLASSIFICATION		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER		DATE	
<b>SECRET</b>		OEPW-160		26 OCT 1967 18 October 1967	
CLASSIFICATION		FILE NUMBER		FILE NUMBER	
<b>SECRET</b>		200-124-156/2		200-124-156/2	
1 - CA/B&F 1 - CA/Personnel ✓ 1 - C/CA/SG 1 - EUR/DC/UK 2 - CA/CF 1 - RID-Elles		OFFICE		EXT.	
		CA/CF		7767	
		OFFICE SYMBOL		DATE	
		CA/B&F			
		CA/PERS			
		C/CA/SG			
		DC/CA			
		EUR/DC/UK			
		OFFICE SYMBOL		DATE	
		C/CA			
		OFFICE SYMBOL		DATE	
		C/CA			

## c. Paragraph 3b:

From talking with LORIPIT, it would appear that the line item of transportation between [REDACTED]'s semi "weekly" and his "non-accountable exp" is so vague to him (if indeed he was ever fully aware of it in our own inoperative terms) as to be virtually non-existent. It is equally confusing and often non-recognizable in other administrative paper-trails (see FIF G). In terms of the tax calculation, the \$100 has already been adjudicated taxable and the \$70 supplement has been computed as tax due on the \$600 total. We suggest, therefore, in the interest of administrative simplicity, that we convert the total \$600 to salary, and amend the MOC to reflect this.

## d. Paragraph 5b:

A question came up concerning [REDACTED]'s per diem in connection with the three accountings which RODIGAST submitted for processing direct at Headquarters. Included were several operational lunches and dinners. [REDACTED] indicated he was leaving the computation of per diem due him up to Headquarters (we have allowed for 27 days @ \$30 per day). Since regulations state that no one may receive double compensation for any reimbursable expenditure, and [REDACTED]'s per diem by definition is supposed to cover food, etc., we will have to deduct 15% for each meal specifically claimed by him in his detailed accounting (i.e., \$3.00 per meal). This will be the subject of separate correspondence when the accountings are processed and we T/A the appropriate amount for credit to [REDACTED]'s account, but we raise it now as we are not certain as to LORIPIT's intent or previous practice in this regard. The amount that [REDACTED] would be assessed is, of course, not very substantial, but if LORIPIT feels [REDACTED] should receive greater financial assistance to further defray his personal as well as operational costs while in travel status, we suggest that this enabling paragraph be amended to permit greater flexibility in the establishment of the per diem amount. Now would be an appropriate time to amend the MOC to give Chief, LORIPIT/ [REDACTED] the authority to set [REDACTED]'s per diem at "... \$20 to \$30 per day during periods of authorized travel, amount to be set in advance by Chief, LORIPIT [REDACTED]. Fifteen per cent will, of course, still need to be deducted from [REDACTED]'s accounting for every meal he correctly claims as an operational expense. As to travel which does not extend overnight, regulations only require that 35% be deducted from his per diem, rather than the 50% which, in effect, the \$10.00 represents. We leave it up to you as to which provision you wish included in the final MOC, but in any event we suggest that it be incorporated in the body of the paragraph, rather than set apart as a quotation from another document.

2. We have no further comments concerning the remainder of the MOC.

3. If you concur with the above suggestions, please resubmit an amended MOC which would also reflect these additional changes. We are sorry not to have been able to meet LORIPIT's 15 October requested deadline, but press of business related to [REDACTED]'s WOLADY mission intervened.

JULIA R. CONNOR



SECRET  
RYBAT

26 September 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: CCS/NC

SUBJECT: ORPURE [ ]/1 Relationship

1. This will confirm our conversation of 18 September 1967, in which we discussed [ ]/1's plan to visit ORPURE some time during the week of 25 September 1967, and you agreed to give ORPURE/2 prior warning of this visit. In accordance with the same conversation [ ]/1 was briefed that his visit should be mainly a question of touching base with ORPURE, maybe a friendly luncheon with ORPURE/2 -- but that no substantive discussions affecting their ostensible relationship (i.e., amount of money, amount of [ ]/1 production for ORPURE, etc.) were to take place. You were to brief ORPURE/2 along the same lines, and also to indicate to him that, contrary to our original thinking, we would now like to have [ ]/1 given a [ ] whenever ORPURE/2 feels he can do so.

2. In addition to the above, we would appreciate it if you would take up with ORPURE/2 (and/or ORPURE/1, as appropriate) the possibility of increasing the amount of money funded to [ ]/1 via ORPURE. As indicated to you, we would like to increase the current \$100.00 monthly to \$300.00 monthly, but would like your careful examination with ORPURE principal(s) that this amount is not excessive either in terms of their willingness to handle or in terms of ORPURE's ostensible ability to cover.

3. Please also mention to ORPURE/2 our desire to have [ ]/1 [ ] and our thought of perhaps including ORPURE (along with other [ ]) as a name on the door or on his letterhead. Would ORPURE/2 (or ORPURE/1) have any objections? Would he have any objections if any of the other names are of more [ ] It would not be operationally feasible or desirable to let him know in advance which these would be, but since both

RYBAT  
SECRET

261-306052

SECRET

RYBAT

ORPURE's have been briefed carefully as to [redacted]/1's [redacted] aura, presumably they would have a good idea as to the kind of [redacted] these might be.

4. For operational reasons, we would prefer that the arrangements indicated in paras 2 and 3 above not be breached until after [redacted]/1's departure from the United States, some time in mid-October. We will advise you of his departure so that you can make the necessary arrangements thereafter at your convenience.

[redacted]  
Chief, CA/CF

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - Addressee - Attn: [redacted]

2 - CA/CF ✓

Routed thru DC/CA

SECRET

RYBAT

MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES: 13		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SIGN BY	
SECRET		1	6
		2	7
		3	8
		4	9
		5	10
CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION		<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO _____ BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/> FILE NO	
BY 7 PER _____		<input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO-#	
COMP: C/CA/02 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY		INFO: FILE . VS C/R/152 201-306052 201-1241561 201-1087116 201-248083	
C/CA/02 C/EUR 4 C/CA/02 SEC TRNG			

SECRET

CITE DIRECTOR

44750

LCPIPT INFO

RYBAT DTDORIC

1. FOR SECURITY REASONS RELATING TO ITS ACTUAL DESCRIPTIVENESS, IT HAS BEEN DECIDED TO CHANGE THE [ ] CRYPT TO IDEN A. THIS, NEW CRYPT WILL BE USED HENCEFORTH.

2. TO ASSIST IN EASIER COMMUNICATION IN AN AREA OF HIGH SENSITIVITY, THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL CRYPTS HAVE BEEN ASSIGNED: QRPEONY (IDEN B); AND QRPETTY (IDEN C).

3. IN FUTURE, PLS SEPARATE ALL [ ] /I PERSONAL CORRESPONDENCE, EXCISE [ ] /I NAME, AND FORWARD BY RYBAT CHANNEL. ONE COPY TO DTDORIC AND ONE COPY TO KEYWAY.

END OF MESSAGE

CI/OPS/DO-

(in draft)

EUR/BC/UK

EUR/CA

C/CA

Chief, CA/CF

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

CS copy

19 Oct 67  
201-306052

MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES: <u>3</u>		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SIGN BY	
UNIT: <u>CA/CF</u>	<b>SECRET</b>	1	5
EXT: <u>7767</u>		2	7
DATE: <u>18 Oct. 67</u>		3	8
		4	9
		5	10

CABLE SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION	<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO: <u>201-366052</u> <input type="checkbox"/> FILE NO: <u>200-134-156</u> <input type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO. <u>201-162746</u>
BY <u>7</u> PER <u>8</u>	
CONF: <u>C/CA/02</u> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY	DPO: FILE . VE <u>C/R/157</u> <u>9007</u> <u>C/ENR4</u> <u>201-248083</u>

(classification) **SECRET** (date and time fixed) Oct 19 15 11Z (reference number) 44751

CITE DIRECTOR

LCPIPIIT INFO

RYBAT DTDORIC

REF: 44750

IDEN A -  /1

IDEN B -  - 201-108746

IDEN C -  - 201-248083

END OF MESSAGE

DC/CA

EUR/BC/UK

EUR/CA

Chief, CA/CF

C/CA

COORDINATING OFFICERS

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

COPY NO.

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
**S E C R E T**

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, WOVIEW	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.	COS, [ ] (Support)	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, LCPIPIT/[ ]	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/Administrative [ ]/1 Employment Agreement	
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES		

1. [ ]/1 was recruited by WOFAC on 3 June 1965. This recruitment was performed by an officer from WOMUSE/ICG since [ ]/1 (then known as [ ]/1) was viewed as a [ ] [ ]/1 has performed well in a difficult and dangerous environment. He is a clandestine agent operating [ ] anti-WOLADY and of priority interest. The administration of [ ]/1 requires special care since, by the very nature of his role, he is not typical of the average LCPIPIT asset.

2. [ ]/1's initial employment was by Letter of Agreement for a period of three months. This Letter of Agreement expired 1 September 1965 and was replaced by a Memorandum of Oral Commitment dated the same day. This oral employment agreement remains in effect at this date--some two years later--, but has been amended to adjust compensation. Certain inaccuracies in the original MOC have also been corrected subsequent to its preparation. Given the sensitive nature of [ ]/1's relationship with WOFAC it is sometimes difficult to adapt the operational realities of his situation to the administrative requirements of WOFAC. However, with great understanding at home and in the field, we have reached a very manageable situation.

3. In order to codify the entitlements and procedures applicable to [ ]/1's employment the undersigned has prepared the attached revision of the MOC under which [ ]/1 is employed. It is requested that HQS approve this revised MOC and notify LCPIPIT of approval by 15 October.

Attachment: HW  
MOC

AARON C. LAGINESTRA

Distribution:

- 3 - C/WOVIEW w/att HW
- 1 - COS, [ ] (Support)

SEP 27 1967

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER <b>OEPT - 240</b>	DATE <b>21 September 1967</b>
	CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T</b>	HQS FILE NUMBER

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. ☐ YES ☐ NO  
 CLASSIFIED MESSAGE ☒ TOTAL COPIES 24  
 FILE RID ☐ RECD. TO BRANCH ☐  
 DESTROY ☐ SIG. ☐  
 SECRET  
 PERSON-UNIT NOTIFIED  
 REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED  
 ACTION ☒ RID COPY  
 ADVANCE COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED ☐ TUBED  
 INFO FILE VR, C/GA2, C/EUR 4, C/GT

SECRET 301632Z CITE LCPIPII 1286

DIRECTOR INFO: [REDACTED]

30 AUG 67 11 42 13E

RYBAT DIDERIC [REDACTED] IMPROBE

1. BELIEVE [REDACTED] SHOULD MEET WITH ORPURE  
 IN ORDER DISCUSS HIS [REDACTED] AND ITS EVOLUTION AND  
 IMPROVEMENT. IN LAST FEW WEEKS [REDACTED] HAS  
 NOTICED [REDACTED] STILL BEING USED BY ORPURE BUT  
 WITHOUT HIS [REDACTED] THIS MAY INDICATE SOME POLITICAL  
 SENSITIVITY ON PART OF ORPURE, WHICH WOULD BE UNDER-  
 STANDABLE. HOWEVER THIS MAY NOT BE THE CASE AT ALL.  
 THUS FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS SHOULD BE FOR HQS TO  
 DETERMINE STATE OF ORPURE MIND RE [REDACTED] /1. IF THIS  
 POSITIVE, MEETING, WITH WOFACIER PRESENT, WOULD BE  
 HELPFUL IN SOLIDIFYING RELATIONSHIP.

2. SHOULD SITUATION BE PROPITIOUS WE WOULD  
 APPRECIATE HQS DISCUSS TWO ITEMS WITH ORPURE ON AN  
 IN PRINCIPLE BASIS. FIRST, IT WOULD BE DESIRABLE TO  
 INCREASE THE SIZE OF THE PAYMENTS FROM ORPURE TO

[REDACTED] /1. [REDACTED] /1'S [REDACTED] HERE IS SUFFICIENTLY

SE

will be in  
 1/9/67

PAGE 2 LCIPIT 1286 S E C R E T

SECRET

WELL ESTABLISHED TO SUPPORT, AND INDEED TO REQUIRE,  
AN ANNUAL SALARY FROM GRPURE OF AT LEAST \$3-4,000,  
WHILE WE UNSURE OF GRPURE'S FEELINGS THIS SUBJECT IT  
OUR IMPRESSION HE MOST ACCOMMODATING AND WOULD UNDER-  
STAND THAT INCREASE WOULD ENHANCE [ ]/I'S [ ].  
SECONDLY, WE ARE DISCUSSING THE IDEA OF [ ]/I'S  
[ ]

[ ] THIS WOULD FACILITATE HIS  
OPERATIONS BY GETTING HIM OUT OF HIS HOUSE. IT WOULD  
ALSO BE A CONVENIENT [ ]  
AND IF COST FACTOR CAN BE KEPT MINIMAL, ENTIRELY  
[ ] WE DESIRE OBTAIN GRPURE  
REACTION TO IDEA OF [ ]/I HAVING [ ] WITH  
GRPURE [ ] EITHER ALONE OR IN TANDEM WITH [ ]/I  
[ ] ALSO COULD GRPURE ACTUALLY  
PASS THE FUNDS FOR THE [ ] IN THE FORM OF A MONTHLY  
CHECK OR SOME OTHER ARRANGEMENT? REALIZE THAT [ ]  
PLUS SALARY RAISE TOGETHER REPRESENT CONSIDERABLE  
INCREASE IN GRPURE INPUT TO [ ]/I AND WE NOT NOW  
IN POSITION TO ASSESS GRPURE WILLINGNESS DO SO.

SECRET

PAGE 3 LCPIPIIT 1286 S E C R E T

SECRET

NEVERTHELESS WE WOULD APPRECIATE HQS EXPLORING THIS  
WITH ORPURE IF POSSIBLE.

3. HQS SHOULD DISCUSS ABOVE WITH RODIGAST WHO  
IN POSITION EXPAND ON THIS SUBJECT.

S E C R E T

SECRET

BT



SECRET

14 September 1967

REVISED MEMORANDUM OF ORAL COMMITMENT

(Revised to include all changes and amendments operative as of 14 September 1967)

1. [ ]/1 is employed by WOFACT as an independent contractor for certain services of a confidential nature. He has received an Operational Approval, dated [ ]. Financial aspects of his activity are charged to Project [ ].

2. [ ]/1's employment under this agreement commenced 1 September 1965 and continues on a month-to-month basis. It can be terminated by either party on 30-day notice.

3. [ ]/1 receives the following financial entitlements:

a. Compensation so computed as to give [ ]/1 a "tax-free" figure of \$800 per month (this figure effective 1 July 1967). NOTE: The actual breakdown of [ ]/1's finances as carried on WOFACT books is \$700 monthly salary; \$100 non-accountable operational allowance; \$70 tax supplement withheld at HQS to discharge [ ]/1's WOLADY tax obligation. These taxes are remitted through the Covert Tax Committee.

b. Reimbursement for operational expenses and travel, unless these are reimbursed by other sources in which case WOFACT will offset such outside reimbursement against WOFACT financial obligations to [ ]/1. Since security considerations generally preclude the detailed accounting for miscellaneous travel expenses, per diem will be paid at the rate of \$20 per day during periods of authorized travel. This per diem authorization was qualified by a personal ruling of Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPIT dated 12 July 1965:

"If subject is absent from his home station on authorized travel for part of one day and returns to his home station the night of the same day (i.e. if no hotel expense is involved in the sense of overnight accommodations), then he shall be entitled to draw 1/2 of his authorized per diem of \$20 per day (i.e. \$10)."

NOTE: [ ]/1 has a revolving fund (advance) to assist in meeting operational and travel expenses.

SECRET

SECRET

- 2 -

4. [ ]/1 will be compensated by WOFACT in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of himself and his family to a new residence if such relocation is considered desirable by him and WOFACT. NOTE: This provision was included in [ ]/1's original Letter of Agreement and was retained in this MOC since it may be operationally desirable for [ ]/1 to accept employment with a target organization based elsewhere.

5. LCPIPIIT is authorized to approve purchase by [ ]/1 of certain items of operational equipment which are to be non-accountable and which shall be charged against the operational expenses category of the budget attached to the FY 1968 project renewal.

6. [ ]/1 signed a separate Secrecy Agreement (in true name) at the time of his original recruitment. He is aware that its provisions are still in effect.

7. [ ]/1 is aware of all provisions of this Memorandum of Oral Commitment with the exception of paragraph 5, which describes an internal WOFACT procedure, and certain aspects of paragraph 3b, specifically that WOFACT is paying LNPORT taxes in his behalf. NOTE: He was promised tax-free compensation in his original recruitment and we have devised the current procedure to honor this commitment while fulfilling the requirement that all WOLADY citizens pay taxes on funds received from LNPORT.

SIGNED:

AARON C. LAGINESTRA  
Case Officer

REVIEWED AND APPROVED:

ADRIAN B. MASLOTT  
Chief, LCPIPIIT

INDEX: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	CLASSIFIED MESSAGE	TOTAL COPIES
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.	<b>SECRET</b>	REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED
X-REF TO FILE NO.	PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	1 2 3 4
FILE RID <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RET. TO BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/>		5 6 7 8
DESTROY <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SIG.		
ACTION	ADVANCE COPY	UNIT
<i>c/c ALO 2</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY <input type="checkbox"/> ISSUED <input type="checkbox"/> SLOTTED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TUBED	TIME
INFO	FILE <i>VR, CS/DO</i>	BY <i>1610Z</i>
	<i>SECRET</i>	

SECRET 251459Z CITE LCPIPI 1268

PRIORITY DIRECTOR INFO

RYBAT DIDORIC MHPROBE

DIOVERIAX

REF DIRECTOR 25537

1. WILL CABLE ITINERARY REQUESTED REF AFTER RODIGAST MEETING WITH ☐ 25 AUGUST.

2. APPRECIATE THAT WASHINGTON PROBABLY MOST CONVENIENT LOCATION FOR HQS MEETINGS WITH ☐ RODIGAST BUT BECAUSE SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS MUST BE PARAMOUNT REQUEST THAT SESSIONS TAKE PLACE ELSEWHERE, PREFERABLY NEW YORK CITY. HAVE SO INFORMED RODIGAST.

3. SECURITY RISKS ATTENDANT ☐ MEETINGS WITH WOFAC IN U.S. ARE OF PARTICULAR CONCERN. THUS REQUEST THAT ☐ CONTACTS WITH WOFAC OFFICERS BE HELD ONLY WHEN ABSOLUTELY REQUIRED AND LIMITED TO ESSENTIAL PARTICIPANTS. ALSO BELIEVE IT WILL BE DIFFICULT AND PROBABLY INSECURE HAVE ☐ SET ASIDE BLOCK OF DAYS FOR MEETINGS ONCE HE ARRIVES IN U.S. AND THAT CONTACTS WITH ☐ WILL HAVE TO BE AD HOC INSTEAD OF AD SERIATIM. ☐ WILL DO HIS BEST ADHERE TO ITINERARY, HOWEVER

**SECRET**

PAGE 2 LCPIPIIT 1268 S E C R E T

**SECRET**

WE FEEL IT ONLY REALISTIC TO ASSUME THAT HIS MOVEMENTS AND SCHEDULE WILL BE CONDITIONED BY DEVELOPMENTS FROM MOMENT HE STEPS OFF PLANE.

4. FOR SECURITY AND HANDLING REASONS IT MOST IMPORTANT FOR RODIGAST TO MEET AND BRIEF EACH WOFACIER IN ADVANCE WOFACIERS MEETING WITH [ ]/1. NO TRUE NAMES OF WOFACIERS SHOULD BE USED AND SPECIAL CARE SHOULD BE TAKEN TO PROTECT RODIGAST, WHOSE TRUE NAME AND ADDRESS NOT KNOWN BY [ ]/1.

5. RODIGAST ETA NEW YORK 10 SEPTEMBER. HE WILL PHONE [ ] WHOM HE HAS MET MORNING 11 SEPTEMBER/AT EITHER IDEN A OR IDEN B TO ARRANGE FOR MEETINGS WITH HQS OFFICERS. [ ]/1 WILL BE TOLD ARRIVE NEW YORK 15 SEPTEMBER THUS GIVING FEW DAYS LEAD TIME FOR RODIGAST/ HQS PREPARATIONS. REQUEST [ ] WHO WILL WISH DISCUSS RODIGAST PERSONAL AND DIOVERTAX OPERATIONAL MATTERS, ARRANGE FOR INTRODUCTION TO CONGREVE.

6. NO FURTHER REGULAR MEETING WITH EITHER [ ]/1 OR RODIGAST BEING SCHEDULED ALTHOUGH PROVISIONS FOR EMERGENCY MEETING BEING LAYD ON. ANY INFO FOR EMERGENCY PASSAGE TO

**SECRET**

PAGE 3 LCPIPIIT 1268 S E C R E T **SECRET**

EITHER MUST REACH LCPIPIIT BY COB 31 AUGUST.

S E C R E T **SECRET**

BT

*We all agree. Ted*

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION

S-E-C-R-E-T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO

Chief, WOVIEW

MARKED FOR INDEXING

INFO

COS, [ ]

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

FROM

Chief, LCPIPIT/[ ] LBM

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

MICROFILM

SUBJECT

DTDORIC/Operational

[ ]/Basic Project Documents

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. LCPIPIT is revising the [ ] Project files--which have been rather haphazardly maintained over the past year or so (much of this time the project was without a case officer). In our attempts to locate basic project documents we have been unable to find any copy of the provisions of the Operational Approval applicable to [ ]/1. We do have a copy of the Provisional Approval granted 23 May 1966 forwarded with OFLW - 1591, 7 July 1966. Possibly the relevant document was destroyed during the reduction of files attendant upon the LCPIPIT move, or possibly it was inadvertently not forwarded to LCPIPIT. At any rate we will appreciate being sent a copy.

2. We would also like to have a sterile version of the FY 68 Project Renewal as well as all other basic documents which ought to be available to LCPIPIT.

AARON C. LAGINESTRA

## Distribution:

3 - C/WOVIEW

1 - COS, [ ]

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE

OEPT - 210

24 August 1967


CLASSIFICATION

HQS FILE NUMBER

S-E-C-R-E-T

AUG 30 1967

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	
TO	Chief, WOVIEW		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	COS/ [ ] C/Eur Div		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, LCPIPTA [ ] RBM		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/Operational		MICROFILM
ACTION			
<p>We are forwarding USC a copy of a letter sent [ ]/1 by [ ] lawyer in which he makes a settlement offer on behalf of his client. [ ]/1 will probably accept this, after a suitable waiting period, as it offers very telling and effective ammunition for use in his continuing battle to counter [ ] that he was a WOFAC agent. It is our reading at this point that [ ]/1 has been quite successful in this effort and that this settlement from [ ] will be the final triumph in his campaign.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">JCK AARON C. LAGINESTRA</p> <p>Attachment: USC Letter</p> <p>Distribution:</p> <p>3 - C/WOVIEW w/att USC</p> <p>2 - C/Eur Div w/o att <i>huh</i></p> <p>1 - COS/ [ ] w/o att</p> <p><i>1 att a/s</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>201-386052</i> <i>241-182-1154</i> AUG 30 1967</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	OEPA - 499	24 August 1967	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET		



CS COPY


201-306052

S/LATHI to OEPD-449

~~201-180164~~



Vous prie de croire, Chers Madame et Monsieur, à  
l'expression de mes sentiments dévoués.



CS COPY

201-306052

~~201-306052~~...

**DISPATCH****SECRET SECRET**

TO	Chief of Station, [ ] - for LCIPIIT	<b>S</b>	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [ ]		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Acting Chief WOVIEV		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTDONIC, [ ] LNERGO Report		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			

Transmitted, under separate cover, for your information only, is a copy of a LNERGO Report dated August 10, 1967. Please note paragraph 4 and bring to the attention of RODIGAST.

ISABELLE R. PRIAMI

Attachment: 1  
LNERGO Report u/s/c

Distribution:  
Orig. & 1 - COS, LCIPIIT w/att u/s/c  
1 - COS, [ ] w/att u/s/c

File in 201-306052  
25 AUG 1967

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
201-306052	OEPW - 117	18 August 1967
	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	FILE NUMBER 200-124-156/3
2 - RID file w/att 1 - E/BC/UK w/o/att 1 - CI/ICG w/o/att 2 - CA/CF w/att	ORIGINATING	
	OFFICE CA/CF	EXT. 7767
	COORDINATING	
	OFFICE SYMBOL C/CA/CF	OFFICER'S NAME
	DATE 18 Aug 67	
	CI/ICG	
RELEASING		
OFFICE SYMBOL AC/CA	DATE	OFFICER'S SIGNATURE

**DISPATCH**

FBI doc  
10 Aug 67

Re:

Supple - illegible

SECRET

18 AUG 1967

TO: Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. G. J. Pagan

FROM: Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT: O RUMAS's Trip to the United States

1. This is to advise the Bureau that this Agency plans to have RUMAS come to the United States during the month of September 1967. In addition to periodic consultation with Headquarters elements, the purpose of this trip will be to reestablish previous contacts, particularly among [redacted] [redacted] primarily in order to develop information on possible [redacted] or other lines of support to [redacted] He will be under instructions not to [redacted] in any [redacted] or other [redacted] while in the United States.

2. We would appreciate the Bureau's concurrence in this activity. We would also welcome any Bureau requirements which the Agency would levy on RUMAS for servicing during his stay in the United States.

[redacted]

DMP/C/CA/CF/[redacted] (18 August 1967)


Distribution:

Original & 1 - Addressee

- 1 - CI/LSH
- 1 - CI/RMA
- 1 - CI/RUB
- 1 - R/EC/UM
- 1 - CA/SEC
- 2 - CA/CF
- 1 - RI (201-306052)

ES COPY

SECRET



201-306052

CONTINUING BRITISH IMMIGRATION PROBLEM

Returning to London from [ ] on 10 July, I was again queried by British Immigration about my failure to register as a permanent resident. My excuse has always been that I did in fact go to the Home Office, but no action was ever taken because I rarely remained in the country more than a month. However, this tale is wearing thin and I fear that I may have much more serious trouble in the near future.

It would seem to me that the best solution might be to become the official [ ] or any other outfit that might have me. I would need a letter to that effect and would require a notional salary of about \$5,000 per year, I believe, but even this might raise questions from the British Inland Revenue.

Some advice on this problem would be appreciated.

x Must be at least £1000 yearly.

Q/ 201 file

TP# 072269 15/8/67

FBI (X-ref)  
10 Aug 67.

---

FBI doc  
8 Aug '67 (wash)

Re:

Bufile: (15) 105-93072

12 NYT. 16 JULY 67

## NEGRO, IN PEKING, ACCUSES CUBANS

'Vicious Smear' of China in  
'Forged' Letter Charged

Robert F. Williams, an American Negro who is now a black nationalist spokesman in Peking, has charged that the Cuban regime of Premier Fidel Castro has counterfeited a newsletter he puts out and used the bogus periodical for "a vicious smear attack" on Communist China.

In the May issue of the newsletter, The Crusader, which has arrived here, Mr. Williams asserted that the "forged" version "old and sick and can no longer control his arrogant, mark that is available only for power-mad underlings who, although acting in his name, have ing." He charged that the newsletter was put out by "the Cuban G-2," which he said was heavily infiltrated by the United States Central Intelligence Agency.

Mr. Williams left his home in Monroe, N. C., in 1951 and went to Cuba, where he advocated a "freedom struggle" for true facts of life.

Negroes in the United States. He left Cuba last year.

Another American Negro nationalist, Richard Gibson, in a current newsletter from London, describes Mr. Williams as "chairman-in-exile of the all-Black Revolutionary Action Movement (RAM) in the United States." Sixteen persons, who were said to be members of the revolutionary movement, were arrested in Queens last month on charges of having plotted to murder moderate Negro civil rights leaders.

The Crusader that Mr. Williams contended was mailed from Havana last month, has a headline, "China Betrays The Cuban Revolution," and has Mr. Williams saying that he was "deceived by the soft lies and subtle flattery" of Chinese Communist leaders. It goes on to say that Mao Tse-tung was "carries a metered post-longer control his arrogant, mark that is available only for power-mad underlings who, although acting in his name, have ing."

He charged that the newsletter was put out by "the Cuban G-2," which he said was heavily infiltrated by the United States Central Intelligence Agency. But it added that "those who are trapped in Monroe, N. C., in 1951 and into it" could "work among the troops to educate them to the true facts of life."

As advice to Negroes at home, the newsletter said:

"Revolutionaries must play it cool. Infiltrate the police and the master infiltrators, the C.I.A. We must learn to subvert him at his own expense while using his facilities to advance our cause of Black liberation."

On Monday, Workers World, a weekly here with a Trotskyist tendency, announced that it had obtained a statement from Mr. Williams denouncing the alleged murder plot here as a "frame-up."

"It is not my policy nor RAM's to kill condescending Uncle Toms," the statement said. "We are not an organization advocating pointless assassinations. We are dedicated freedom-fighters stressing armed self-defense. Our objective is to make America a just and decent place to live."

Q/1 201



# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING AND INDEXING

TO	Chief, KOVIA	MAPPED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, EUR; Chief, LCPIPIT	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DT/DORIC CARMEL [redacted] JAGUAR AIRCRAFT	

## ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Refs: OEPA 153, 5 May 1967  
OELA 4737, 10 May 1967

1. We now have JAGUAR's comments on the proposed [redacted] to allow [redacted] to expand his access by "representing" something - even if only a [redacted] The letter from JAGUAR is attached.
2. We would observe that JAGUAR's comments are obviously made in a constructive vein. Similarly, JAGUAR seems to take a protective, [redacted] in considerations affecting the [redacted] project. We naturally welcome this feeling on their part, since [redacted] continues to [redacted] And we think that JAGUAR's comments are sound, in substance. Their final comment also veils, to say the least, the understandable SEORANGE reluctance to see yet [redacted] with the frightful [redacted] implications if a WCLADY [redacted] while [redacted] (It would probably be [redacted] in WCLADY, for that matter, but [redacted] here as a result.)
3. We have now had an opportunity to talk this over with CILIBERTI, LAGINESTIA and RODIGAST, and all are agreed that [redacted] trial balloon will not be re-floated. We think no harm is done. If JAGUAR should come into [redacted] of [redacted] and ask us about it, we will acknowledge that he wrote it to try out on a limited number of friends and aimed at his [redacted] preliminary to (he hopes) BOB WILLIAMS' arrival in [redacted] We are now merely replying to JAGUAR that we are grateful for their cogent comments, and that [redacted] will not be [redacted] unless there is a significant change in the [redacted] operational situation such as to require [redacted] in which case we will again seek their concurrence.

Arthur T. JOSEVICK

Attachment: h/w  
Letter - as stated  
Distribution:

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
3-C/KOVIA, w/att	OEL-47,660	18 June 1967
2-C/LCPIPIT, w/att.		
CC - (C) [redacted]	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	WGS FILE NUMBER [redacted]

SECRET

the 1990s, the number of people in the world who are under 15 years of age is expected to increase from 1.1 billion to 1.5 billion. The number of people aged 65 and over is expected to increase from 250 million to 450 million. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion. The number of people aged 15 and over is expected to increase from 3.5 billion to 4.5 billion.

1. The first step in the process of identifying a problem is to recognize that a problem exists. This involves gathering information about the situation and identifying the specific issue that needs to be addressed.

1. The first step in the process of the investigation is the identification of the problem. This is done by the investigator who is assigned to the case. The investigator must first determine the nature of the problem and the scope of the investigation. This is done by reviewing the available information and by conducting interviews with the relevant parties. The investigator must also determine the objectives of the investigation and the methods to be used.
2. The second step in the process is the collection of data. This is done by the investigator who is assigned to the case. The investigator must first determine the sources of data and the methods to be used. This is done by reviewing the available information and by conducting interviews with the relevant parties. The investigator must also determine the objectives of the investigation and the methods to be used.
3. The third step in the process is the analysis of data. This is done by the investigator who is assigned to the case. The investigator must first determine the sources of data and the methods to be used. This is done by reviewing the available information and by conducting interviews with the relevant parties. The investigator must also determine the objectives of the investigation and the methods to be used.
4. The fourth step in the process is the presentation of findings. This is done by the investigator who is assigned to the case. The investigator must first determine the sources of data and the methods to be used. This is done by reviewing the available information and by conducting interviews with the relevant parties. The investigator must also determine the objectives of the investigation and the methods to be used.

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	
TO			MARKED FOR INDEXING
C/NOVIEW			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
COS [ ] C/NOUSE, C/Eur Div			
FROM			MICROFILM
Chief, LCPIPIT			
SUBJECT LTDOPIC/Operational			
[ ]/1 and AMSQUAW/1's Relationship			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. The relationship between [ ]/1 and AMSQUAW/1 is a particularly important one from both the production and security points of view. AMSQUAW/1 has been a prolific and reliable reporter, especially on items dealing with the [ ] and it will be highly desirable for her to continue to serve in this capacity in the foreseeable future. It is recognized that AMSQUAW/1 is not a [ ] and that her personal willingness to serve as a [ ] of [ ] is based upon a complex and inter-related series of reasons, both personal and ideological. [ ]/1 helped her out of a tight situation in [ ] and has befriended her since her arrival in [ ]. For the last several months she has been living at his house. [ ]/1 has a very agreeable personality and is a genuinely likeable person. At the same time, although she is not an ideological person, she shares his [ ] ideological position and she has never questioned his explanation - that her reporting is for the [ ]. Lastly, we cannot and have not, overlooked the likelihood of a physical attraction between the two. In the last analysis it is impossible for us to state exactly what motivates her to act as a [ ]/1.</p> <p>2. It is quite possible for the relationship between [ ]/1 and AMSQUAW/1 to change at any time. Should their relationship take a turn for the worst it might possibly have adverse security implications for [ ]/1. This subject has been discussed at length with [ ]/1 and he agrees that great care must be taken. He is careful to [ ] AMSQUAW/1 [ ] where it would be [ ]. Thus far this has been relatively easy, indeed at the [ ] sessions of the [ ] the absence of any [ ] served to confirm in AMSQUAW/1's mind that [ ]/1 was the [ ] on the scene. At this point no actual problem exists, but both LCPIPIT and [ ]/1 are alert to the possibility that one could arise.</p>			
AARON C. LAGINESTRA			
Distribution:			
3 - C/NOVIEW			
2 - C/NOUSE			
2 - COS/ [ ]			
2 - C/Eur Div			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	CEPA - 281	15 May 1967	
	CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER	
	SECRET	CS [ ] Filed 201-294316	

<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PROCESSING ACTION
TO <b>C/NOVIEW</b>			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO. <b>C/NOHOUSE, COS [ ] C/Eur Div</b>			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM <b>Chief, LCPIPIT</b>			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT <b>DTDORIC/Operational</b> <b>[ ]'s Meeting with RNSLIVER</b>			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>Transmitted herewith is a brief memo for the record summarizing a conversation between the undersigned and RNSLIVER in which the latter recounted his impressions of a meeting with [ ]/1. Transmitted USC is a brief note from [ ]/1 to RODIGAST covering the events of the same evening.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.F. CILIBERTI</p>			
<p>Attachments: H/W &amp; USC As stated memo H/W Brief note USC</p> <p>Distributions: 3 - C/NOVIEW w/atts H/W &amp; USC 2 - C/NOHOUSE w/atts H/W &amp; USC 2 - COS/[ ] w/atts H/W &amp; USC 2 - C/Eur Div w/o atts</p> <p>CS COPY FILED IN <u>200-124156/3</u></p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	OEPA - 268	MAY 11 1967	
	CLASSIFICATION	NQS FILE NUMBER	
	<b>SECRET</b>		

File in 200-306052

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: CILIBENTI Conversation with RNSLIVER 26 April Concerning His Meeting with [ ]/1

1. On 25 April BASTEAR told me that RNSLIVER was in [ ] and was planning to meet with [ ]/1. BASTEAR accordingly suggested that RNSLIVER be debriefed by the undersigned the following morning. Accordingly I met with RNSLIVER for about one hour, from which meeting the following highlights seem worth recording:

A. I told RNSLIVER that we (WOFAC) had been interested in [ ]/1 at the time that he was [ ] for [ ] concerning his dispute with the [ ] of [ ] but that we had lost track of him of late. Now that we are in [ ]/1's home town, RNSLIVER was told that we and Headquarters would be interested in any information on [ ]/1 which could be reported as a result of such meetings as RNSLIVER had had with him.

B. RNSLIVER said that he had told [ ] that he would like to meet Ibrahim to gain some understanding of what was going on in the [ ] particularly as it related to the Sino-Soviet split. [ ] said that he thought that RNSLIVER would do much better by talking to [ ]/1 whom he, [ ] said was one of the few people around from whom [ ] could learn anything.

C. RNSLIVER met [ ]/1 at [ ]/1's house. RNSLIVER did not have a complete roster of those who were there to participate in what RNSLIVER described as a "political bull session". He remembered only (in addition to himself and [ ]/1) of Matthew Mkoana and a girl from Mexico City named Sucesos, who he said knew a great deal about guerrilla goings-on in Venezuela and whose address he said was Calzada, Tacuboya 103, Mexico 18. RNSLIVER said that Mkoana said practically nothing throughout the evening, apparently because he found RNSLIVER's presence odd and unnerving.

D. RNSLIVER was as impressed with [ ]/1 as [ ] had predicted he would be. He described [ ]/1 as remarkably knowledgeable concerning [ ] in Western Europe and Africa. He said that [ ]/1 had described his recent trip to [ ] and [ ] had mentioned that he had visited [ ] that he knew Jacques Grippa, and that he intended to visit [ ] in connection with the [ ] and a possible [ ] visit by Robert Williams.

SECRET

CONTINUING BRITISH IMMIGRATION PROBLEM

Returning to London from [ ] on 10 July, I was again queried by British Immigration about my failure to register as a permanent resident. My excuse has always been that I did in fact go to the Home Office, but no action was ever taken because I rarely remained in the country more than a month. However, this tale is wearing thin and I fear that I may have much more serious trouble in the near future.

It would seem to me that the best solution might be to become the official [ ] or any other outfit that might have me. I would need a letter to that effect and would require a notional salary of about \$5,000 per year, I believe, but even this might raise questions from the British Inland Revenue.

Some advice on this problem would be appreciated.

\* Must be at least £1000 yearly.

Q / 201 file

TPI# 072269

15/8/67

SECRET

- 2 -

E. RNSLIVER was particularly impressed by [ ]/1's library of [ ] books and pamphlets. RNSLIVER turned over to the undersigned for copying and return a recent issue of Williams' The Crusader, and a copy of [ ]/1's own [ ]. These were received with feigned interest, although of course we had earlier received the same items from RODIGAST (already forwarded to Headquarters).

F. RNSLIVER did not recall meeting Mrs. [ ]/1, and did not know how many children [ ]/1 had. He described [ ]/1's house as attractively middle class. He was aware of the fact that [ ]/1 had gone to Kenyon College and praised [ ]/1's writing skills. [ ]/1 showed him a number of articles he had written which RNSLIVER said completely followed the [ ]. RNSLIVER was surprised that some of these articles had been printed in the United States in spite of their political orientation.

P.F. CILIBERTI

SECRET

Att to OEPA-00268

Att

[ ] VISIT

Conversation with [ ]

25/4/67.

Tadeusz Horzko phoned my home to tell me that ~~XXXXX~~ [ ] who is spending a sabbatical year in Munich from MIT, wanted to see me. Later that evening, [ ] and [ ] arrived. Stella Joyce of Amnesty International and Matthew Mkoana also arrived unexpectedly. It turned out that [ ] and Joyce had often talked over ~~XXXXXX~~ the phone, but had never met.

[ ] told me that he had recently visited East Africa. In Dar es Salaam, he had met David Sibeko and Ahmed G. Ebrahim of the PAC (Pan Africanist Congress). He said his distinct impression was that PAC was on a strong pro-Chinese course. [ ] said he had written to Nana Mahomo's old address in London in an effort to contact him, but had learned later that Mahomo was now at ~~Kirkland~~ Kirkland House, Harvard University. He said he looked forward to talking with Mahomo when he went briefly to the USA in May.


CS COPY

S/L ATT 1 to OEPA 468

890-124-156/5



DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION	PROCESSING ACTION
		SECRET	MARKED FOR INDEXING
TO C/NOVIEW			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO C/HOUSE, COS [ ] C/Eur Div			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM Chief, ICPIPIT 206-1			MICROFILM
SUBJECT LTDORIC/Operational CRPREY/[ ]			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>As HQS is aware, [ ]/1 has been pursuing a legal action against [ ]</p> <p>[ ] He has now received a letter from his lawyer which seems to indicate that [ ] is willing to make some sort of settlement--although he can only pay in [ ] [ ]/1, of course, is willing to accept a settlement provided it includes some sort of apology from [ ] Armed with this apology [ ]/1 could then dispose of any lingering doubts regarding his political reliability caused by [ ] accusations and would also have the ego gratification of forcing [ ] to eat his own words.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">ACL AARON C. LAGIESTRA</p>			
<p>Attachment: USC As stated above USC</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - C/NOVIEW w/atts USC 2 - C/HOUSE w/o atts 2 - COS [ ] w/o atts 2 - C/Eur Div w/o atts</p> <p style="text-align: right;">S/O ATT'D BY [ ] Dated 12 MAY 1967</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MICROFILMED MAY 15 1967 DOC. MICRO. SER.</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
		OEPA - 245	2 May 1967
		CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER
		SECRET	



CS COPY

SECRET

(WHEN FILLED IN)

## STATEMENT of EARNINGS and DEDUCTIONS

NAME	EMPLOYEE NO.	PAY PERIOD DATE	ROLL	COST CENTER	STA
1	802799	04/01 04/30	20	1240062	000

CD	EARNINGS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
09	74600	18400	REG SAL

## NOTE:

THIS FORM IS ISSUED ONLY WHEN AN EMPLOYEE ENTERS ON DUTY OR THERE IS ANY CHANGE IN THE PAY ACCOUNT

CD	DEDUCTIONS		DESCRIPTION
	NORMAL	OTHER	
80	74600	18400	CPA EX CR
96	4600		FED TAX F

## REMARKS:

Increase Normal Salary from \$700 to \$746 effective 1 December 1966.

## EMPLOYEE NUMBER:

802799 1

CA/000

FORM 1314 Previous editions obsolete

SECRET

(20-51)

GROSS PAY DUE FROM EMPLOYEE								NET PAY		
PP	OT/MRS	HT/MRS	ED/MRS	RATE	Q/T-NT AMT	R/D AMOUNT		CD	NORMAL	OTHER
								86	4600-	00

## REMARKS:

PAID AT HQS.

FORM 1315A

SECRET

(20-51)

201-306052  
82 APR 68

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO  
C/VOVIEW

MARKED FOR INDEXING

INFO  
COS [ ] C/Eur Div

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

FROM  
Chief, LCPIPIIT

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

SUBJECT  
DTDORIC/Operational  
[ ]'s Taxes

MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. [ ] told RODIGAST at their most recent meeting that he did not have to file an overt tax form for 1966 because his gross overt income for 1966 was less than \$600. This will not be true for the 1967 tax year and he will have to file in the Spring of 1968. The operative sentence in the 1966 Tax Guide For US Citizens Abroad, US Treasury Dept IRS Pub No 54 states--"Every citizen of the United States, regardless of where he resides who is under 65 years of age and has a gross income of \$600 or more during a tax year must file an income tax return."

2. For the record the undersigned who is as yet unfamiliar with all administrative details, would appreciate HQS confirmation of the above.

ACL

AARON C. LAGINESTRA

Distribution:

- 3 - C/VOVIEW
- 2 - COS [ ]
- 2 - C/Eur Div

CS COPY

201-306052  
APR 25 1967

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE

OEPA - 228

22 April 1967

CLASSIFICATION

HQS FILE NUMBER

SECRET

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

X-REF TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FILE RID ☐ RET. TO BRANCH ☐

DESTROY ☐ SIG. \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE **E**

TOTAL COPIES

20

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

ACTION

*Calby*

☒ RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

FILE, *VE, CA 2, EURR, C/112, C/11A*

**SECRET** 191034Z CITE LCPIPI 0701

DIRECTOR INFO [ ]

DTIDORIC [ ]

REF: DIRECTOR 90256

**SECRET**  
13 AUG 1971 40420

1. AGREED PARA 3 REF THAT RAISE NOT BE PASSED  
THRU GRPURE. ALSO AGREE JULY EFFECTIVE DATE.  
REQUEST HQS TAKE STEPS HAVE RAISE ADDED TO  
SALARY DEPOSITS MADE BY HQS TO [ ] ACCOUNT.

2. WILL COMMENT ON PARA 4 REF WHEN PROMISED  
DISPATCH RECEIVED.

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

BT

*Harrison =*

DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO C/NOVIEW			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO COS, [ ] C/Eur Div, C/NOHOUSE			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief, LCPIPT <i>245</i>			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT DTDCRIC/Operational [ ] British Immigration Status			RECORD FILE
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. The USC memoranda sets forth a problem which [ ]/1 recently encountered at London Airport and how it was solved with the assistance of [ ] and JAGUAR.</p> <p>2. As matters now stand, British Immigration will take <u>no</u> action against [ ]/1 without checking with JAGUAR.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>ACL</i> AARON C. LAGINESTRA</p>			
<p>Attachment: USC As stated above para 1 USC</p> <p>Distribution: 3 - C/NOVIEW w/att USC 2 - C/NOHOUSE w/att USC 2 - COS [ ] w/o att 2 - C/EUR DIV w/o att</p> <p style="text-align: right;">S/C ATT'D BY RI/AN Dated <u>1 MAY 1967</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">CS COPY</p> <p style="text-align: right;">APR 18 1967 <i>201-306052</i></p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO <i>15/4M-</i>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OEPA - 211	DATE 17 April 1967	
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HQ'S FILE NUMBER	

TO: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] with Home Office people. Roddy can tell them what the immigration people at the airport say. As long as he's properly documented with current and all that, they won't keep him out (unless, of course, they catch him in flagrante delicto). So as long as he keeps his nose relatively clean, he's okay. He doesn't need to worry until the Home Office comes to him; when that happens - if it happens, and that is unlikely, the way the British law works - he will look into things further. Tell him that having a British wife makes him immune to most out-kicking activities - as long as his nose is clean.

In fact, it is all fixed with the Home Office. They will not take any action against him without checking with JAGUAR, and they, of course, will check with us. [REDACTED] should not be encouraged to be flippant or sassy with the immigration people, but he doesn't need to panic, either. He should behave in a reasonably straightforward and natural way - so he should not be encouraged to think we have "fixed" things for him.

I also discussed again with JAG the question of having him taken off the watchlist. They are willing to do so, if we want, but their real still is that it is better for him to be watchlisted. There could probably be no better credential for him, if traveling with [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] or [REDACTED] of that sort, than to get the business from the [REDACTED] or immigration people. And, of course, he should, for our sake (security, and all that) be discouraged from carrying anything incriminating, and if he knows what he may be searched any time he comes or goes, that should help his discretion along.

Now, as for the airport harassment, the airport harassment really goes to be too much of a burden, maybe we will want to review the situation. On the basis of the two incidents to date, however, I vote for leaving him watchlisted.

Let me know if you see any problems, or if there are any unanswered questions.

(Oh, yes: consensus is that he should not apply for permanent residence permit. If he wonders about it, Roddy should point out that in applying for one he would have to give some info about his income, etc., which he doesn't have to do now. And, again, since his British wife is an ace in the hole, he doesn't have to volunteer that information; so he shouldn't.

FROM: JYZEVICK



OFFICIAL - 211  
15 Apr 67

URGENT

BRITISH IMMIGRATION PROBLEM

Returning to the U.K. on 15/3/67, I was warned by British Immigration at London Airport that I was spending more time in Britain than outside the country. The Immigration Officer inspected my passport closely, counting the number of trips I had made since getting that passport, which had been issued by the U.S. Embassy in London, on 1 December 1965. However, no explanation was asked for concerning the travel. I explained that I had intended to resettle in Switzerland or France and was spending so much time in Britain only because my wife, who was British, had been helping to take care of her elderly parents in London. I was told nevertheless that I should go to the Home Office and apply for temporary residence in Britain.

Before doing so, I would need to know:

- 1) whether I should in fact do so;
- 2) how should I describe my work, if any, and source of income.

At present, upon investigation, the British would learn that I have no visible means of income, except for perhaps for my Reports and [redacted] but nevertheless [redacted]


If I told the Home Office, I was a [redacted] I imagine they would demand some sort of bank or other financial statements, which would probably be examined by British tax authorities for possible taxation. Even if I say I have no employment of any consequence and maintain myself on income from investments made in Switzerland and elsewhere, I might have to verify that statement and pay some sort of British taxes. Considering the positions of my brothers-in-law, I doubt if either could get away with claiming to fully or partially support my family, although my brother-in-law [redacted] who is a Chartered Accountant, has been deducting my children's school fees from his taxes by means of an educational trust fund, although he no longer pays anything towards the fees. I could say that I was the beneficiary of a tax-free U.S. fellowship, but <sup>this might</sup> ~~that~~ ~~prove~~ prove more cumbersome and dangerous than necessary, in the present circumstances.

In any case, I need advice on this matter urgently, as I suspect I may soon have to visit from the Home Office if I do not go to them voluntarily.

CS COPY

SLATT 10 OEPA-211

861-306052



TO Chief of Station, [ ] For LCPIPIIT		X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [ ]		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, WOVIEV		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	2 DTDORIC [ ] / Operational [ ] / 1 Mentioned in Senate Hearings		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

See paragraph 4.

1. Transmitted under separate cover is an excerpt from a recent Senate debriefing of a PBRUMEN defector, in which [ ] /1's name was mentioned.

2. We do not believe that the testimony is particularly awkward for [ ] /1, and while we had an opportunity to have this portion of the testimony stricken from the record, we elected not to do anything about it since it would have involved revealing W.OFACT interest in [ ] /1.

3. FYI, In order to avoid any concern on the part of QRPURE should this portion of the testimony ever hit the press, KAMMERMAYER mentioned the reference to [ ] /1 in low key to QRPURE at a recent meeting. QRPURE exhibited no concern.

4. Please have RODIGAST brief [ ] /1 concerning the testimony at an early opportunity.

JULIA R. CONGREVE

## Attachment:

As stated above (u/s/c)

## Distribution:

Original &amp; 2 - LCPIPIIT w/att.

1 - COS, [ ] w/o att.

15/11/42 CS COPY		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OEPW-46		DATE 13 APR 1967	
		CLASSIFICATION SECRET		HQ'S FILE NUMBER 201-306052	
Distribution: ✓ 1 - RI/w Att. 1 - E/BC/UK w/o att. 1 - CI/IC w/att. 1 - WH/COG/CA/EW 1 - CCS/NC		OFFICE CA/CF		EAT 7767	
		COORDINATING			
		OFFICE SYMBOL CI/IC		DATE 5/4/67	
		OFFICE SYMBOL WH/COG/CA/EW		DATE 10 30 WH 67	
AN/7-ED PS ABSTRACT INDEX		OFFICE SYMBOL C/CA		RELEASING OFFICER'S NAME Cord Meyer, Jr.	
FORM 53 1-63 USE PREVIOUS EDITION		(40)		DISPATCH	

SECRET

50 att to CEPW-46

09

Mr. Volta. I don't know, sir.

Mr. Sourwine. Do you know if they did in fact organize this conference in London, involving --

Mr. Volta. I think they did, but I never heard of it --

Mr. Sourwine. Involving the North Vietnamese. You heard nothing further?

Mr. Volta. No, sir.

Mr. Sourwine. Now, did you have any information about the presence in London of any other United States citizens who had attempted to obtain a visa to travel to Cuba?

Mr. Volta. No, sir. Not that I remember.

Mr. Sourwine. Didn't you have something to do with an individual who wanted to go to Cuba, to interview Castro?

Mr. Volta. Yes.

Mr. Sourwine. Tell us about this.

Mr. Volta. There was a [redacted] a colored man.

Mr. Sourwine. A Negro?

Mr. Volta. Yes, a Negro. And he used to be, I think,

[redacted] here in the States, I think in New York. I don't know if this was in New York. And he visited the Embassy, trying to get a visa.

Mr. Sourwine. The Cuban Embassy in London?

Mr. Volta. Yes, the Cuban Embassy in London.

Mr. Sourwine. To get a visa to go to Cuba?

Mr. Volta. Yes. And then we sent this request to Cuba,

SECRET

40 att to CEPW-46

208-306052

nb-22

but we never had a reply. He wanted to have an interview with Castro for a magazine -- Playboy Magazine.

Q Playboy Magazine.

What did this [ ] do, do you know? Do you know where he worked?

Mr. Volta. As far as I know, he was editing a bulletin, newspaper bulletin, in [ ]

Mr. Sourvine. In [ ] -- not in Paris?

Mr. Volta. I hardly can tell you exactly. But I know he was editing a news bulletin.

Mr. Sourvine. What kind of a news bulletin?

Mr. Volta. Well, a sheet of paper with news about different aspects.

Mr. Sourvine. Well, do you know whether this was really a news enterprise, or whether it was a propaganda enterprise?

Mr. Volta. I think it was a [ ] because his name was in the head.

Mr. Sourvine. What was that name?

Mr. Volta. I tried to remember his name.

Mr. Sourvine. Didn't you tell our man in Miami that it was [ ]

Mr. Volta. Right, that one, yes, sir. [ ]

Mr. Sourvine. Now, do you have any details about the alleged contract with Playboy Magazine for an interview with Fidel Castro?

SEE REVERSE OF THIS PAGE

SECRET

MR. WINTER.     

MR. CONNOR.     

MR. WINTER.     

MR. CONNOR.     

MR. WINTER.     

SECRET

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

X-REF TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FILE RID ☐ RET. TO BRANCH ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

DESTROY ☐ ☐ ☐ \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE **3** TOTAL COPIES **5**

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

FROM \_\_\_\_\_

ACTION **CA/04** ☒ RID COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED ☐ PAGED

ADVANCE COPY

UNIT \_\_\_\_\_ TIME \_\_\_\_\_ BY \_\_\_\_\_

FILE VR, CA2, WE8, C/OPS, C/IC3, C/LOA, FI, F/INT2

**SECRET** 021318Z

PALP INFO DIR CITE ☐ 2425

210000-65923

DTDORIC GRPREY ☐

1. ☐ RAW REPORTS RECEIVED 1 MARCH. APPRECIATE  
PROMPT FORWARDING.

2. SINCE WILL BE CONTINUING REVIEW OF CASE WITH  
JAGUAR IN NEXT FEW DAYS (THEY HAVE AGREED IN PRINCIPLE  
OUR CONTINUED ☐ OF HIM IN ☐ WOULD APPRECIATE CABLE  
SUMMARY LATEST MEETING WITH ☐ AND PLANS FOR IMMEDIATE  
FUTURE.

**SECRET**

CFN 2425 ☐ RAW 1 JAGUAR ☐

BT

3. 0000

SECRET

1 FEB 1966

TO: Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM: Deputy Director for Plans


SUBJECT: SUGAR's Involvement with [REDACTED]

1. This memorandum requests your Agency's comments, on a priority basis, relative to improving the operational capabilities of SUGAR, a source of this Agency known to you, whose reporting on [REDACTED] we are forwarding on a continuing basis.

2. Despite our hopes and his efforts, SUGAR was unable to obtain an invitation to the [REDACTED] recently held in [REDACTED] which was a [REDACTED]. It is our belief that SUGAR's reputation of being [REDACTED] was responsible for his exclusion. In discussing this problem with important [REDACTED] in Europe recently, the latter suggested that his ability to secure access to such meetings would be considerably enhanced if he could obtain a "grass-roots" base or [REDACTED]. In reporting this suggestion--which strikes us as valid--SUGAR speculated on the possibility that he might attempt to become the [REDACTED] of the [REDACTED] of which he knows little but which seems, from what he does know, to be consistent in its outlook with his ostensible political orientation.

3. In this connection, we would very much appreciate receiving any information you possess on [REDACTED]. We would welcome particularly, [REDACTED] of this [REDACTED] which might be passed on to SUGAR without compromise to security considerations.

SECRET





SECRET

- 2 -

4. Most of all, we would welcome your comments on this general problem, given your Agency's broad knowledge of such organizations as [redacted] in this country.

[redacted]  
We would be most interested in receiving both your comments on this general movement of opinion in the United States, and your descriptions of the organizations associated with it which could enable us to provide information and guidance to CIKAR for developing an association which would increase his operational access and effectiveness.

[redacted]  
CA/CF: [redacted] (16 February 1966)

**Distribution:**

Orig. & 1 - Addressee  
1 - CI/L&N  
1 - CI/R & A  
1 - CI/IC  
1 - RID (200-124-156/3)  
1 - CA/DET  
1 - CA/SEC  
2 - CA/CF

SECRET

SECRET

13 February 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, CI/OA

ATTENTION: [REDACTED]

SUBJECT: Field Investigation of [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Clearance (201-306052)

1. Pursuant to our conversation of 11 February in your office, this memorandum requests that the usual field background investigation not be undertaken in the clearance process instituted for [REDACTED]

2. We make this request for limitation because of our strong belief that such an investigation would run an unacceptably high risk--approaching certainty--

SECRET

-2-

His use is understood to be, and has been, limited and precludes revelation  
[redacted] or  
[redacted] other KUBARK operations, vitting participation in the [redacted] of  
other [redacted] or the passing of such requirements as would [redacted]  
status of KUBARK [redacted]

[redacted]  
c/cn/cf

[redacted]  
cl/ic

**SECRET**



## SECRET

REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OR INVESTIGATIVE ACTION (Always handcarry 1 copy of this form)		DATE 10 February 1966	
TO: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CI/Operational Approval and Support Division	FROM: <input type="text"/>		
Security Support Division/Office of Security	4 D 30 XT767		
SUBJECT: (True name) <input type="text"/>	PROJECT <input type="text"/>		
CRYPTONYM, PSEUDONYM, AKA OR ALIASES <input type="text"/>	CI/OA FILE NO.		
Frederick T. Dominic (P)	RI 201 FILE NO. 201-306052	SO FILE NO.	
1. TYPE ACTION REQUESTED			
<input type="checkbox"/> PROVISIONAL OPERATIONAL APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PROVISIONAL PROPRIETARY APPROVAL		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> OPERATIONAL APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> PROPRIETARY APPROVAL		
<input type="checkbox"/> PROVISIONAL COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> COVERT NAME CHECK		
<input type="checkbox"/> COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/> SPECIAL INQUIRY (SO field investigation)		
<input type="checkbox"/> COVERT SECURITY APPROVAL FOR LIAISON WITH U.S. OFFICIALS			
2. SPECIFIC AREA OF USE International			
3. FULL DETAILS OF USE <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 100px; width: 100%;"></div>			
4. INVESTIGATION AND COVER			
A. U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST MAY BE SHOWN DURING INVESTIGATION? See F below		YES	NO
B. CIA INTEREST MAY BE SHOWN DURING INVESTIGATION?			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
C. IS SUBJECT AWARE OF U.S. GOVERNMENT INTEREST IN HIM?		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
D. IS SUBJECT AWARE OF CIA INTEREST IN HIM? CIA has not been explicitly identified, but subject doubtless suspects CIA interest.		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
E. INDICATE ANY LIMITATIONS ON COVERAGE IN THE INVESTIGATION OF SUBJECT. No FBI - All info from them has been received and reviewed.			
F. SUGGEST "COVER PRETEXT" TO BE USED IN CONDUCTING PERSONAL INVESTIGATION OF SUBJECT. <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 20px; width: 100%;"></div>			
IF NO INVESTIGATION OUTSIDE CIA, EXPLAIN FULLY Possible long-range assignment to makes case			
5. PRO AND GREEN LIST STATUS			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO I. OR EQUIVALENT, IN (2) COPIES ATTACHED	<input type="checkbox"/> PRO II WILL BE FORWARDED		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PRO II. OR EQUIVALENT, IN (1) COPY ATTACHED	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> GREEN LIST ATTACHED. NO: 169669		
6. RI TRACES			
<input type="checkbox"/> NO RECORD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL FORWARD	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-DEROGATORY	<input type="checkbox"/> DEROGATORY ATTACHED
7. DIVISION TRACES			
<input type="checkbox"/> NO RECORD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL FORWARD	<input type="checkbox"/> NON-DEROGATORY	<input type="checkbox"/> DEROGATORY ATTACHED
8. FIELD TRACES			
<input type="checkbox"/> NO RECORD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WILL FORWARD		
<input type="checkbox"/> NO DEROGATORY INFO.	<input type="checkbox"/> DEROGATORY ATTACHED		
LIST SOURCES CHECKED <input type="text"/>			
NOT INITIATED (Explanation) <input type="text"/>			
SIGNATURE OF CASE OFFICER		EXTENSION	SIGNATURE OF BRANCH CHIEF

FORM 772 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

SECRET

(8)



X 7468

SECRET

CA/6

Chief of Station [ ] (LCPIPT)

Chief, WE, Deputy Chief, WE/C, Chief, KUDSK

Chief of Station, [ ]  
 DECOMIC, CPRT [ ] PERDEN

Transmittal of Background Reports on Subjects of Reference

- : A. PALP 5275, 30 December 1965
- B. [ ] 6774, 12 January 1966

Attached herewith are copies of the reports prepared by AIRONE.2 concerning the Subjects of reference.

George D. BOCHORDY

Attachments:  
 As stated above, herewith



Distribution:  
 2 - COS, [ ] (LCPIPT), w/att, h/v  
 2 - C WE, w/o att  
 1 - DC/WE/C, w/att, h/v  
 1 - C/KUDSK, w/att, h/v


GROUP I  
 Excluded from  
 automatic downgrading  
 and declassification

CA/6  
 OSMA-20639  
 signed

14 JAN 66



Handwritten text at the top of the page, possibly a title or header, including the word "مجلس" (Majlis).









م. ١٠٠٠ ————— م. ١٠٠٠ ————— م. ١٠٠٠ ————— م. ١٠٠٠







100

Chief of Station, [ ] /LCPIPIT

XX

Chief, KUVOLF

DTDORIC/GRPREY [ ]

ACTION REQUIRED: FYI

REF: A. PALP 5033 (IM 96553)  
B. OFLA 3128 (18 November 1965)

1. We have discussed the substance of the question raised in Ref B through the regular Headquarters channel with the ODENVY Rep here who has acted as a point of liaison for [ ] material in the past. We passed on, also, the materials which you prepared for the ODENVY representative with whom you are in contact in [ ]. We raised specifically the question of passing [ ] identity to the [ ] ODENVY representative, which you mentioned in Ref B, stating that, so far as KUDARK is concerned, we had no objections. The ODENVY representative here, however, thought that this might serve to confuse somewhat the lines of coordination for [ ] information, for which ODENVY headquarters has sole responsibility. He therefore felt that we should continue the present arrangement by which, in effect, [ ] identity is held here at the point where his material within ODENVY originates. He appreciated, however, your initiative in filling in the [ ] ODENVY representative on the targets which [ ] can service, thus alerting him to possible leads he might look into. He suggests also that we be kept aware of your liaison with ODENVY in the field to guard against false confirmation or other possible duplication which could lead to confusion here in those quarters of ODENVY responsible for processing the [ ] material.

2. With respect to [ ] discussed in Ref A, and Q's possible relationship with it, we will report separately.

Howell D. KAMERMAYER

Distribution:

Orig. & 1 - COS, [ ] /LCPIPIT

OFLH-1414

7 JAN 1967

S-E-C-R-E-T

200-124-156/2

1 - 200-124-156/2 CA/CF [ ] (30-12-65) 7767  
1 - CA/CF Chrono  
1 - CI/ICG

CI/ICG

C/CA

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE		TOTAL COPIES 43	PI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> COPY <input type="checkbox"/> DISSE
30... SECRET		REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED	
FROM	PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED	1	5
		2	6
		3	7
		4	8
ACTION	ADVANCE COPY	FOR UNIT	TIME
AF 7	<input type="checkbox"/> ISSUED <input type="checkbox"/> SLOTTED <input type="checkbox"/> TUBED		BY
INFO VR, FILE, WEG, CA 2, C10 4, FE6, FI, CI, C10 6, C10 2, FILINT 2, DDP, WHISA 9			

SECRET 221745Z

PARI DIR INFO PALP CITE [ ] 5337 CAYOOD ACTING

ZIBET LCHARVEST

REF [ ] 8052 (39470) \*

MOB	INT	SA	AS
IOB	PERS	AR	
RPTS	LOG	CA	
SI	BP	HH	
	SEC	NS	

1. UNBOUND HAS MADE AVAILABLE TO ODENVY SAME INFO AS CONTAINED  
 REF. ODENVY [ ] INSIST THEY HAVE NO OPS INTERST [ ] SER-  
 IOUSLY DOUBT EITHER [ ] OR JAGUAR HAVE ANY RELATIONSHIP  
 [ ] SINCE WOULD BE GROSS (AND SOONER OR LATER  
 APPARENT) CONTRAVENTION OUR [ ] RE [ ]

2. [ ]

3. ODENVY FILES HERE REFLECT FURTHER INFO ON CHARGES [ ]  
 A KUBARKER, ALTHOUGH NOTHING TO INDICATE BASIS FOR SUCH CHARGES.  
 (SEE ODENVY/WASH LETTER TO KUBARK INFO ODENVY [ ] AND [ ]  
 1 DEC64, CAPTIONED [ ] CAN HQS SHED ANY LIGHT?

4. [ ]

SECRET

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

IN 47512 PAGE 2

HQS SEE OEL 39690


AND OEL 39490.)

5. [ ] HAVE PREVIOUSLY ACKNOWLEDGED THEY WOULD LIKE  
SCUTTLE REVOLUTION REPEAT REVOLUTION. REQUEST [ ] BE ADVISED  
OF SCHEME OF DIR 63751. THEN, IF APPROPRIATE, SUGGEST WE  
DISCUSS WITH [ ] JAGUAR/LCBEFORE AS NECESSARY TO ENLIST THEIR  
COOPERATION. JAGUAR IN PARTICULAR MAY BE USEFUL IN PROVIDING  
CURRENT DATA ON [ ] AND MAY BE ABLE GET SOMEONE CLOSE TO HIM  
IN ORDER OBTAIN OPS DATA IN SUPPORT ANY PLAN FOR OP AGAINST  
REVOLUTION REPEAT REVOLUTION. PLS ADVISE.

SECRET

\* UNBOUND INFO RE [ ]

SECRET



201- 306052



MESSAGE FORM  
TOTAL COPIES: 31

ORIG: [ ]  
UNIT: CA/CP  
EXT: 7767  
DATE: 9 DEC 1965

☐ INDEX  
☒ NO INDEX  
☒ FILE IN CS-FILE NO. 201-306052

SECRET

☐ DESTROY ☐ RETURN TO BRANCH ☒ FILE RD

ROUTING

1		5	
2		6	
3		7	
4		8	

TO: [ ] LCPIPT [ ]

10 Dec 65 14 32g

FROM: DIRECTOR

CONF: CA/O 4 INFO: VR . FILE ☒ RID COPY WE 8, WH/C 8, CA 2, CI/OPS, C1/IC 2, CCS 3

TO: PALP INFO: [ ]

DEDORIC ORPREY [ ]

REFS: A. [ ] 0723 (IN 00407)

B. DIR 61637

CITE DIR

AN 22	FI	64369
RS	DEPT	
ABSTRACT X		INDEX

10 DEC 1965

1. CONSULTATION WITH [ ] YIELDS FOLLOWING:

A. TRAVEL WITH U.S. PASSPORT FROM AREA OUTSIDE U.S. CONSIDERED TECHNICAL VIOLATION, NOT BASIS FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION.

B. EVIDENCE OF SUCH TRAVEL, E.G. CUBAN VISA STAMP, IS BASIS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE ACTION BY STATE DEPT AGAINST HOLDER OF PASSPORT. I.E. WHEN HE PRESENTS HIS PASSPORT FOR RENEWAL, EMBASSY WILL REFER TO DEPARTMENT FOR GUIDANCE, ~~USUALLY RESULTING IN ISSUANCE OF NEW PASSPORT GOOD ONLY FOR ONE WAY TRAVEL HOME.~~

C. DESPITE REF A REPORT FROM ODENVY THAT [ ] PASSPORT FILE IS CLEAN, [ ] CANNOT ASSURE THAT [ ] PASSPORT OFFICE PROCEDURES WOULD AUTOMATICALLY RENEW [ ] PASSPORT ON REQUEST, TO DEPT IF SUCH MADE, WITHOUT REFERRAL ~~TO DEPT~~ THERE MAY EXIST DEROG IN [ ] PASSPORT FILE HERE RESULTING IN INSTRUCTIONS TO [ ] EMBASSY ISSUE LIMITED PASSPORT FOR TRAVEL HOME. CAN NOT CLARIFY FURTHER STATUS [ ] FILE HERE WITHOUT DIVULGING TRUE NAME.

Continued...

RELEASING OFFICER

COORDINATING OFFICERS

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

201-306052  
10 DEC 65  
AUTHENTICATING  
OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

COPY NO.

MESSAGE FORM  
TOTAL COPIES:

☐ INDEX  
☐ NO INDEX  
☐ FILE IN CS FILE NO.

SECRET

DEC 14 8 56 AM '63

☐ DESTROY  
☐ RETURN TO BRANCH  
☐ FILE RID

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

ROUTING

1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

53411 03

TO :  
FROM: DIRECTOR  
CONF: INFO: VR , FILE ☐ RID COPY

TO INFO CITE DIR 64369

2. IN ANY CASE WE ABLE PROTECT [REDACTED]  
IF [REDACTED] REQUEST FOR RENEWAL IS REFERRED TO [REDACTED] BECAUSE OF CUBAN TRAVEL OR SOME OTHER CAUSE, WE CAN HAVE [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

3. PLEASE ADVISE WHEN [REDACTED] PASSPORT EXPIRES. IF IT HAS BEEN RENEWED RECENTLY, CUBAN VISA WILL PRESENT NO PROBLEM FOR LIFE OF DOCUMENT. [REDACTED] SHOULD NOT ATTEMPT <sup>RENEW</sup> [REDACTED] WITHOUT PRIOR COORDINATION WITH HQS.

4. PLEASE ADVISE ALSO WHETHER [REDACTED] HAS RECEIVED CUBAN VISA FOR TRAVEL, HOW ACQUIRED, AND WHAT ARRANGEMENTS HE PLANS FOR TRAVEL.

APPRECIATE/ESTIMATED TRAVEL COSTS.  
BREAKDOWN

END OF MESSAGE

CCS [REDACTED]

CI/IC [REDACTED]

WE/BC/U [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

CORD MEYER, JR.  
C/CA

CA/CF

COORDINATING OFFICERS  
SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

COPY NO.

SECRET

Chief, KUNOLF

XX

Chiefs: KUDRSK; KURIOT; CSR, [ ] (DETOPAZ for OVERSIGHT);

W. Chiefs of Station: [ ]

Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIFIT.

DETORIC/Operational

[ ]/Security of [ ]/1 [ ]

## References:

A. OFLA 3044 (Not sent Chief, CSR, [ ]; COS, [ ]  
COS, [ ]

B. [ ] 9240

1. Forwarded herewith is a Memorandum for the record concerning [ ]/LCPIFIT's investigation of the security of [ ]/1's [ ] system following an episode involving an 11 October 1965 message received from him by LCPIFIT.

2. We request Headquarters' guidance concerning this situation, with special reference to Paragraph 3 of the attached Memorandum, in order that we may take all appropriate steps to resolve the problem of maintaining secure communications with [ ]/1, especially in view of the plans to send [ ]/1 on an extended visit to [ ] commencing sometime in mid-December 1965.

Harvey G. FAHRICH

## Attachment:

Memorandum [ ]

## Distribution:

- 7 - C, KUDRSK w/att. [ ]
- 1 - C, KURIOT w/att. [ ]
- 1 - C, CSR [ ] w/att. [ ]
- 1 - COS, [ ] w/att. [ ]
- 1 - COS, [ ] w/att. [ ]
- 1 - C, WE w/o att.
- 3 - C, KUNOLF

OFLA 3152

24 November 1965

SECRET

200-124/156/2

NOV 26 1965

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Record

FROM : Harvey G. FAHRNICH

SUBJECT : Security of [ ]/1 [ ]

REFERENCES : A. OFLA 3044  
B. PALP 4385  
C. [ ] 5087

1. In early June of this year KUWOLF developmental agent [ ]/1 (formerly [ ]/1) was trained by KUWOLF Career Agent Rufus F. RODIGAST in the [ ] prescribed by MKTOPAZ per Reference C. [ ]/1 and [ ] in the latter part of June. Concurrently, [ ] Station [ ] on LCPIPIIT's behalf, requested in OFPT 10348 that MKTOPAZ prepare for [ ]/1's use an [ ] which would alleviate the [ ] for the agent. The [ ] was received subsequently and passed to [ ]/1 on 18 July 1965, at which time his original [ ] was withdrawn.

2. [ ]/1 first [ ] dated 22 July 1965, comments on which were transmitted to interested KUBARK Headquarters and Field components in Reference B. [ ]/1 underwent a corrective briefing [ ]

3. On the basis of LCPIPIIT's instruction to refrain from [ ] except for [ ]/1 did not employ it again until 11 October 1965. The [ ] indeed met the criteria for [ ] but as in the 22 July letter the [ ] To quote [ ] Station [ ]

On the basis of this report, [ ]/1's previous performance in [ ] and the fact that the next point from which he was to [ ] would be [ ] LCPIPIIT determined that the risk of compromise outweighed the necessity of [ ]/1 [ ] and withdrew the [ ] on 20 October 1965. LCPIPIIT retains possession of [ ]

[redacted] which contains the [redacted] and inserted in the [redacted] No. 3 still bound [redacted] The 11 October [redacted]

4. Of greater concern to LCPIPIIT, however, were the following additional comments of [redacted] Station [redacted] regarding the 11 October [redacted]

[redacted]

5. In the 20 October meeting at which his [redacted] was withdrawn [redacted] /1 stated that he had [redacted] the 11 October [redacted] He conceded that there was some [redacted] but nothing which could be [redacted] He said he [redacted] at 1100 hours when he was alone at his home and the remainder of the [redacted] immediately. He said he then put the [redacted] in an [redacted] immediately and [redacted] to avoid [redacted] He added that he then placed the [redacted] placed it in his pocket, and [redacted] it two hours later [redacted] to him home. [redacted] /1 asserted that he did not [redacted] and was certain that his wife had not seen it.

6. Pursuant to LCPIPIIT's exploration of this question with [redacted] /1 we sent an informal note to MKTOPAZ via a [redacted] Station Officer on 20 October 1965 requesting assistance in efforts to determine whether [redacted] /1's

[redacted]

7. The [redacted] were picked up at the LCPIPIIT [redacted] and were handcarried by a [redacted] Station Officer to [redacted] on 18 November for processing by MKTOPAZ. [redacted] /LCPIPIIT asked the opinion of MKTOPAZ on the following points:

[redacted]

8. MKTOPAZ advised LCPIPIIT on 19 November via [redacted] 9240 that there was [redacted]

Report

Security Aspects

OFLA-3146/1  
30 Nov. 1965

In reply to the attached (Memo  
on Home Situation) Rodriguez told  
1:

1. We can't do anything about his  
housing problem in view of non-permanent  
nature of our present arrangement.

2. Avoid formalizing periodical at this  
point; it should require no authorizations  
for publication as it is merely a news  
correspondent's report, mimeographed for  
convenience—not a magazine.

3. In order to control content, Q  
alone should have responsibility for  
putting out issues— can't delegate to  
wife and above all to other contacts.

CS 6.1

201-306052

OFLA 3146/1

ATG:

## HOME SITUATION

My wife was rather hostile to my news about my contacts with the [redacted]. She said she was sorry I couldn't work something out with [redacted]. I hadn't done enough work for them because I was lazy, she said. She said if I weren't careful I'd be treated by the [redacted] just like [redacted]. What future was there in that? she asked. Anyway, she added, I didn't really give a damn about the [redacted].


She pointed out the situation was difficult for us. The landlord in [redacted] was trying to evict us and we had no idea where we were going. I had no substantial funds from the [redacted] and was still living on the generosity of the Americans ([redacted]), she said.

Moreover, she was tired of being a grass widow. I was keeping secrets from her, she had been certain for sometime, she said. She had had enough trouble with my "secrets" in the past, she said.

However, she liked the idea of [redacted] reports, but was sorry about the "objectivity" and the 50 copies only limit. There was no reason, if I could get some help from the [redacted] that I couldn't make a success of the [redacted] and really be independent, she said.




I emphasized to her that I had had contact with the [redacted] without Hils' knowledge and that she should on no account ever mention the matter with him. She said that showed how the [redacted] treated people. Nobody was more honest than Hils, she added. What was happening to him now would happen to me one day, she said.

However, she was genuinely pleased with the [redacted] and spent some time trying to collect [redacted] I was preparing. She wrote herself a piece on X.C.A.R.D. (I have the feeling that a lot of her resentment would be dissipated if she would allowed to handle most of the business involved with the [redacted]).



rtg-2

OFLA 3146/1





18 Nov 65

OFLA 3146/1

Subject: [redacted] /1 - Security at home

1. At his 17 November meeting with Rodigast [redacted] /1 (Q) was asked some questions concerning keep of files and correspondence. He keeps copies of all correspondence (except routine letters transmitting articles he has written, for example) in a file to which his wife has access. He maintains a minimum of questionable notes, and keeps his personal notebook (with addresses, expenses, etc.) in his coat pocket day and night.

2. His wife has two brothers. The one to whom Q owes money is Gordon, a chartered accountant, who during World War II was in British Military Intelligence. He visits the Q home to bring gifts from the wife's mother to the Q children, but has a cat-and-dog relationship with Q's wife; indeed, he will not stay for a meal because he can't stand talking to her, and the feeling is mutual. Q is certain she would never think of confiding in Gordon, for example to say: "Look at these awful letters Q has written!" Gordon is more likely to confide in Q himself. Gordon's politics are apparently solidly conservative. (His wife's other brother, less involved with them, works for Rank.)

[redacted]

4. Q wanted to get a lawyer's opinion on what regulations govern publishing a periodical in his country of residence (he is not of course officially a resident at all). Sensing additional costs and unnecessary formality, Rodigast suggested that he keep it on the basis of being a [redacted] not a separate [redacted] requiring eventual licenses of payment of business taxes, at least for the time being. Mailed first class, the material should require no permit from the mail authorities. A contact had suggested he might have difficulty with the locals (see report of Q's 15 November contact with WP).

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO \_\_\_\_\_

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_ CL ☒ IFIED MESSAGE TOTAL COPIES 4

2-REF TO FILE NO. \_\_\_\_\_

FILE RID ☐ REV. TO BRANCH ☐ **2 SECRET** GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

DESTROY ☐ SIG. \_\_\_\_\_ PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED \_\_\_\_\_

FROM (CLEPIPIIT)

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED	
1	5
2	6
3	7
4	8

ACTION CA/OL ☒ RID COPY ☐ ISSUED ☐ SLOTTED ☐ TUBED

UNIT \_\_\_\_\_ TIME \_\_\_\_\_ BY \_\_\_\_\_

INFO FILE IR CA 2 WE 8 CI APPS C/IC2 F. F/INT2

SECRET 171642Z

DIR CITE PALP 5033

DTDRIC ☐ KUDSK

17 Nov 65 96553

REF DIR 57747, PARA 3

1. PALP POUCHING DETAILS FIELD LIAISON WITH ODENVY ☐ RE  
EXCHANGE INFO PERTAINING ☐ PBPRIME CITIZEN CONTACTS. ☐ 1  
(Q) IDENTITY NOT DIVULGED LOCALLY.

2. AMONG INFO RECEIVED FROM ODENVY IS REPORT DATED 17 DEC 64  
NEW YORK (FILE NO: BUFILE 100-434319) RE ☐

☐ REQUEST HQARS STUDY THIS DOCUMENT WITH PURPOSE ASSESSING  
FEASIBILITY HAVING ☐ IN ATTEMPT SECURE  
☐ INCLUDING POSSIBLE  
☐

3. APPRECIATE Q-F LINK MAY BE INADVISABLE IN VIEW: A)

☐ B) ☐ C)

SEEMING INCOMPATIBILITY BETWEEN INDIRECT ASSOCIATION ☐ AND  
EFFORTS ☐ AND ORGANIZATIONS

SECRET

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

SECRET

IN 91557 PAGE 7

IN [REDACTED] ALSO APPRECIATE Q-F ASSOCIATION  
SHOULD BE DISCUSSED WITH ODENVY.

4. FOREGOING NOT YET DISCUSSED WITH Q OR RODIGAST AND WILL NOT  
BE RAISED WITH FORMER PENDING HQS REPLY.

5. NO INDEX.

SECRET

CFN 5033 57747 PARA 3 PALP ODENVY [REDACTED] RE [REDACTED] PPRIME

[REDACTED] /1 (Q) NOT DIVULGED INFO ODENVY 17 DEC 64 NEW YORK BUFILE

100-434819 RE [REDACTED] F

[REDACTED] (B) [REDACTED] (C) [REDACTED] ODENVY NOT

YET Q RODIGAST NOT BE HQS NO INDEX

T

SECRET

SECRET

Chief, KIMOLF

X

Chiefs, AF, WE, KUDSK, KURIOT; Chiefs of Station, [redacted]

Chief of Station, [redacted] LCPIPT

DFDORIC/Operational

20 October 1965 Contact with LCPIPT

1. Forwarded herewith as Attachment A is Career Agent Rufus P. RODIGAST's Contact Report of his 20 October 1965 meeting with [redacted] 1.
2. Forwarded as Attachment B (USC) is the identity list for RODIGAST's Contact Report.
3. Forwarded herewith as Attachment C is the "Progress Report" submitted by [redacted] 1 to RODIGAST at the 20 October meeting. A careful review of the report's content did not justify KUTUBE dissemination of any of the material therein.
4. Copies of recent correspondence addressed to and sent by [redacted] 1 will be forwarded by nonaccountable manifest as appropriate.
5. Paragraph 5 of Attachment A refers to a security flap which has arisen in [redacted] 1's [redacted] In a letter to LCPIPT dated and postmarked 11 October and picked up by LCPIPT 14 October, [redacted] had prepared [redacted] LCPIPT received the following report from [redacted] concerning this letter:

Attachments: As stated.

Distributions:

- 2 - C, KIMOLF w/Atts. A, C, [redacted] B use
- 1 - C, AF w/Atts. A, C, [redacted] B use
- 1 - C, WE w/Atts. A, C, [redacted] B use
- 1 - C, KUDSK w/Atts. A, C [redacted] B use
- 1 - C, KURIOT w/Att. A [redacted]
- 1 - COS, [redacted] w/Atts. A, C, [redacted] B use
- 1 - COS, [redacted] w/Atts. A, C [redacted] B use
- 1 - COS, [redacted] w/Atts. A, [redacted] B use

OCT 28 1965

File in 201-306052

26 October 1965

097A 3044

SECRET

200-124-156/3

*CS copy filed  
in 200-124-156/3*

SECRET

CPLA 3044

6. Because of [redacted]'s repeated carelessness [redacted]

[redacted] A full report on this matter, including its resolution, will be forwarded appropriate addresses as soon as the investigation can be completed.

Harvey G. FAIRBACH

C

File in: 201-306052

~~201-306052~~ 150/3

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, KIDSSK AF	X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WE; Chief, AF; Chief, KUWOLF; Chief, LCPIPIT		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted] JAC		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	QKPREY JAGUAR JAGUAR Report on [redacted] /1		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: A OELA 43,522, 2 July 1965  
B OELA 43,802, 11 August 1965

1. The following is the text of a JAGUAR report on [redacted] /1  
and [redacted] JAGUAR states that the information was  
received from a [redacted]

2. Ref A contains previous JAGUAR comments about [redacted] 1 and  
[redacted] of about the same period. While TIFFANY was in London  
(which puts it at the right time) [redacted] /1 was in touch with  
[redacted] and TIFFANY should be able to compare JAGUAR's comments  
with what [redacted] /1 said at the time. Ref B reflects a different  
contact between the two, from a different source.

George H. KATZENBACH

Distribution:

- 2-Chief, KIDSSK
- 3-Chief, WE
- 2-Chief, AF
- 2-Chief, KUWOLF
- 2-Chief, LCPIPIT

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

35 COPY

201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-44,033	DATE 30 SEP 1965 29 September 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HQS FILE NUMBER

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 201-725837

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES

X-REF TO FILE NO.

FILE NO. ☐ PER

DESTROY ☐ SIG.

**SECRET**

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

FROM

ACTION

CA/04

☒ RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBES

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE #1, CA2, ADDP, C1, WEP, AEF, FER, C1/ICG, CA/PR.P, C1/0A, F1, F1/INT.

**SECRET** 271812Z

INFO DIR CITE (PALP 4723)

UNCRISP

DTIDORIC QRPREY ☐ KUDESK

REF ☐ 6529 (~58856) \*

1. RELUCTANT GIVE UP ☐ (S) FOR FOLLOWING REASONS:

A. S TO BE ☐ TO CONFERENCE, WITH RENEWED

☐ AND NEW VISA. AS GUEST NEW REGIME WITH NO CONNECTION TO OLD, LESS LIKELY TO BE DISTURBED. S TO REMAIN IN NORMAL CONFERENCE MILIEU THROUGHOUT.

B. EVIDENCE AVAILABLE HERE IS ONLY ORTHODOX COMMUNISTS OR TROTSKYISTS ASSOCIATED WITH OLD REGIME HAVE BEEN TROUBLED, BUT NOT ☐ S IS PART OF MINORITY WHICH FOLLOWED ☐ IN SUPPORTING NEW REGIME FROM FIRST DAY.

C. MOST OF S' OLD ☐ ARE GONE SO HE NOT LIKELY BE INVOLVED WITH THEM. EXCEPTION IS ☐ WHO SEEMS TO HAVE MADE PEACE WITH REGIME. S' OTHER CONTACTS WILL BE LIKE HIMSELF BE GUESTS OF NEW REGIME AND OF CONFERENCE. THEY WILL USUALLY BE ☐ SUCH AS ☐

D. WE CONTINUE HOLD TO ORIGINAL VIEW THAT S ATTENDANCE AT 201-306052

**SECRET**

201-725837  
07 Sept 65

SECRET

IN 60611

PAGE 2

CONFERENCE WOULD BE USEFUL TO [REDACTED] FOR  
FURTHER OPERATIONS. S WILL CONTINUALLY BE MOVING IN POTENTIALLY

[REDACTED] THIS COULD BE TRUE OF TRIPS [REDACTED]

AT SOME TIME OR ANOTHER. IN VIEW OF NATURE OF OPERATION, THE RISK  
WOULD SEEM TO BE WORTH TAKING.

- 2. PALP UNABLE EVALUATE POSSIBLE COMPLICATIONS [REDACTED]

REAPPEARANCE MIGHT PRESENT. AS OF 15 SEPT S NOT OVERLY APPREHENSIVE.

3. PALP REMAINS MOST INTERESTED DISCUSSING ABOVE WITH [REDACTED]  
(IN 56986) (IN 59208)  
OFFICER PER PALP 4689 AND PALP 4712. PLS ADVISE.

SECRET

[REDACTED] CONCLUDED IT UNWISE TRY HAVE  
[REDACTED] OPERATE IN [REDACTED]  
CFN 4723 6529 [REDACTED] I S S NO CONNECTION S MILIEU TROTSKYISTS

NOT [REDACTED] S' [REDACTED] S' [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] 15 S NOT OVERLY PALP

[REDACTED] PER PALP 4689 PALP 4712 PLS

BT

NOV 1974

HS

SEP 31 11 34 AM '55

RECEIVED  
RMD/MIS



INDEX: ☒ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. 201-306052

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES

X-REF TO FILE NO. 201-726122

FILE RID ☐ EXT. TO BRANCH ☒

DESTROY ☐ SIG.

**SECRET**

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM (CCP.P.T.)

ACTION

WH/CP

☒ RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE UR WEF DAD CA/04 ADDP C1, DD4, DO/H3, CA/20P,  
C/1/C3, C/1/OPS, SRR, FEB FI, TIT/2

**SECRET** 221643Z

DIR INFO [REDACTED] CITE PALP 4575

UNCRISP TYPIC PERUMEN [REDACTED] ORPREY KUDESK

REF: DIR 419394

**ZZ PROCESSED**

27 Sept. 65

201-306052

1055673

1. IN 15 SEPT MEETING [REDACTED] VI (S) TOLD RODIGAST [REDACTED]

PER [REDACTED]

1 SEPT REMARKS TO S AND 5 SEPT

STORY [REDACTED] EDITS [REDACTED] OF U.S.

"EMERGENCY COMMITTEE ON VIETNAM" AND HAS BEEN AN [REDACTED]

2. <FIVE SEPT CABLED C/O FORMIN ASKING CONTINUED SUPPORT

EFFORTS RE FREE RIDE [REDACTED] AND [REDACTED]

3. S HAS KNOWN F AND WIFE SINCE 1963. F WIFE'S FAMILY HAS FURNITURE  
BUSINESS NEW YORK CITY AND F WORKED [REDACTED] BOOKSTORE AND  
PUBLISHING HOUSE.

4. S HAS MET WITH F IN [REDACTED] OVER PAST FIVE YEARS.  
THOUGH CLOSE FRIENDS, S CLAIMS F [REDACTED]  
ORIENTATION (BUT NOT BECAUSE OF OLD CHARGES S IS KUBARK AGENT.)

5. S CLAIMS F NONMARXIST, NOT EMOTIONALLY ANTI-AMERICAN, NOT  
IDEOLOGICALLY MOTIVATED, NEITHER COMMUNIST NOR ANTI-COMMUNIST. F "FRANK"

WITH S THAT HE HAS BEEN ACCUSED IN [REDACTED] HOMOSEXUALITY, BUT S SAYS F

B 201-726122

201-306052

SECRET

10 55673 page 3

ALSO SHOWS INTEREST IN WOMEN. F/S WIFE NOT PHYSICALLY ATTRACTIVE AND OTHER ACQUAINTANCES CLAIM HE MARRIED FOR MONEY.

6. S SAYS F DOES NOT APPEAR HAVE MUCH MONEY AND HAS MAINTAINED MODEST [ ] APARTMENT.

7. F'S WIFE WORKS [ ] EMBASSY. WIFE'S SISTER CAME [ ] AT BIRTH F'S THIRD CHILD THREE MONTHS AGO. ON RETURNING [ ] WITH F 31 AUG UNDERSTANDING WAS SHE TO CARE FOR INFANT SIX MONTHS SINCE F'S WIFE WORKED MORNINGS EMBASSY AND COULDN'T CARE FOR INFANT UNDER SUCH CONDITIONS.

8. S SAYS F TOLD HIM MONTH AGO HE WANTED TRANSFER MADR OR HOPED OBTAIN FELLOWSHIP OR LEAVE ABSENCE PERMITTING STAY WESTERN EUROPE. DESCRIBES F AS POET OF BEATNIK BENT BUT [ ] AND

S CLAIMS F LOST NOTHING IN COMMUNIST TAKE-OVER [ ]

9. [ ]

[ ] WAS PREVIOUSLY TOLD S THAT F SPOKE AT COMMUNIST RALLIES [ ] AND TOOK PRO-MOSCOW LINE ON SINO-SOVIET ISSUES. S KNOWS NOTHING OF THIS AND QUESTIONS [ ] ACCURACY.

10. APPARENTLY S NOT FOREWARNED F HASTY DEPARTURE.

11. S. VOLUNTEERED F WOULD "QUIT" ONLY UNDER TERRIFIC ATTACK AND

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

IN 55673 PAGE 3

1. PRESSURE FROM HOME OR IF SOVIET AMBASSADOR [ ] TWISTED ARM (RODIGAST DID NOT SPECIFY REASON FOR DEBRIEFING.) LCPIPT WIL<sup>L</sup> TRY CLARIFY.

12. POUCHING FULL REPORT.

13. NO INDEX.

\* HQS HIGHLY INTERESTED

SECRET

CONTACTS

CFN: 4675 41989 15 SEPT [ ] /1 S RODIGAST [ ] F

PER [ ] 1 SEPT S 5 SEPT [ ] EDITS

[ ] SEPT S F C/O FORNMIN [ ] F S RE [ ]

S F 1960 F WIFE'S NEW YORK CITY F [ ] S F NYC [ ] S F

S [ ] NOT BECAUSE S KUBARK AGENT S F NON-MARXIST NOT EMOTIONALLY

ANTI-AMERICAN NOT IDEOLOGICALLY NEITHER COMMUNIST NOR ANTI-COMMUNIST

F FRANK S [ ] HOMOSEXUALITY S F F'S NOT PHYSICALLY S F NOT APPEAR

F'S [ ] F'S [ ] F 31 AUG F'S NOT CARE S F WESTERN EUROPE

[ ] S F NOTHING IN [ ] A [ ]

[ ] S F PRO-MOSCOW SINO-SOVIET S NOTHING OF [ ]

S NOT FOREWARNED F S F SOVIET RODIGAST NOT SPECIFY LCPIPT NO INDEX

BT

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

MEMORANDUM OF ORAL COMMITMENTS

1 September 1965

TO: Director, DTEARM  
VIA: Contract Approving Officer  
FROM: Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPT  
SUBJECT: Engagement of [ ]/1, as Independent Contractor

1. Term and Project Charge. The subject individual has been engaged under oral contract as a developmental agent for an as yet unwritten Project involving KUNOLF and KUDSK and coordinated through QRPKEY. Subject's salary and expenses are to be charged against the BOLINABLE Field Allotment per DIR 36066. The effective date of the oral contract is 1 September 1965, and it shall continue thereafter on a month-to-month basis subject to termination by, and at the convenience of, ODYOR without prior notice.

2. Security Approval. Per CSI 10-5, [ ] does not require a FOA (cf: DIR 15660), and OA not being requested pending establishment definite [ ] Project (cf: DIR 36066). LCPIPT advised 2 June 1965 [ ] granted clearance for LCPLUTTER (cf: DIR 15660).

3. Citizenship. The subject is not a foreign national.

4. Compensation. The subject will be paid \$600.00 per month. The subject will be advised that he must file a covert income tax return through KUBARK.

5. Operational Expenses.

(A) The subject will be reimbursed for operational travel, except as noted in para. 8 below.

(B) The subject will be reimbursed for operational expenses, except as noted in para. 8 below.

6. Other Commitments. The following additional commitments have been made to the subject: "Since security conditions generally preclude the detailed accounting of miscellaneous travel expenses, per diem will be paid at the rate of \$20.00 during periods of authorized travel." Per Personal Ruling of Chief of Station, [ ] LCPIPT dated 12 July 1965: "If subject is absent from his home station on authorized travel for part of one day

SECRET

SECRET

and returns to his home station the night of that same day (i.e., if no hotel expense is involved in the sense of overnight accommodations), then he shall be entitled to draw 1/2 of his authorized per diem of \$20.00 (i.e., \$10.00). Per diem under the above terms will be paid except as noted in para. 8 below.

7. Contingent Obligations. No additional commitments have been made to the subject under this oral contract. However, in the original Letter of Agreement signed by subject 3 June 1965 and which expired on 31 August 1965, paragraph 4 stipulated: "ODYOKE will compensate you in an amount mutually agreed upon for the relocation of you and your family to a new residence if such a relocation is considered desirable by you and ODYOKE." Under the circumstances ODYOKE may have to supplement such funds as subject may receive for relocation from a target organization, institution, or government in order to defray such relocation expenses as subject may personally incur.

8. No commitments other than those stated above have been made to the subject. Moreover, in cases where travel and/or operational expenses and/or per diem are provided or furnished in money or in kind from other than ODYOKE sources, ODYOKE will offset such funds, goods, or services against the obligations assumed in the above oral commitments.

9. The substance of paras. 1, 4, 5, 6, and 8 (except as noted in the second sentence of para. 4) have been communicated to, and accepted by, the subject.

SIGNED

*Richard D. Sothern*  
Richard D. SOTHERN  
Chief of Station. ☐ LCPIPT

REVIEWED:

Contract Approving Officer

SECRET



SECRET

Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPTT


1

Chief, H/OLP

DISORIC/CPREY/ROUSEK/[ ]/1 - Transmittal of Background Materials

REF: OFLA-2613, 9 July 1965

1. Forwarded herewith is the following material compiled in response to your request in reference dispatch.




Book Dispatch No. 4761, 21 January 1965, and its attachment, [ ] which LCPIPTT already has, would also be useful for this purpose.

2. It is likely that we shall be able to work up a more comprehensive bibliography than the one included above (h), and will forward the books as they become available.

Attachments: H/V  
A-H, as listed above

Distribution:  
2 - COS, [ ]/LCPIPTT, v/atta., h/v



HOWELL D. KAMMERMEYER

201-306052

OFLA-1234

19 AUG 1965

SECRET

200-126-79

- 1 - CI/IOO
- 1 - CA/CP
- 1 - RIB/Files (for 200-126-79 file)
- 1 - 201-306052

CA/CP

RCM

7767

CI/IOO

17 Aug 65

[ ] (in draft)

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, LCPIPIT	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, WE; Chief, KUDESK; Chief, KUWOLF		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	KUDESK QRPREY [redacted] JAGUAR Info Requested from [redacted] /1		INDEX FILE

## ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. JAGUAR, in acknowledging receipt of the processed [redacted] /1 material that we forwarded to them, have also asked for "further information about [redacted] We should be most grateful for any further identifying particulars for both [redacted] and with regard to the latter, we should particularly like to know his present position in connection with [redacted] and whether he still holds a [redacted]


2. JAGUAR's note was shown to RODIGAST in [redacted] on 12 August, just before RODIGAST met with [redacted] /1. LCPIPIT please provide anything that can be had for passage to JAGUAR.

3. As should be fairly apparent, I think, from reading the material we passed to JAGUAR, plus the fact that there has been no [redacted] these many months, the status of anyone connected with the [redacted] is about as uncertain as the status of the [redacted] itself. Nonetheless, we will be happy to let JAGUAR know as much as we can.

George H. KATZENBACH

## Distribution:

- 2-Chief, LCPIPIT
- 3-Chief, WE
- 2-Chief, KUDESK
- 2-Chief, KUWOLF



CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OKLA-43,827	DATE 16 August 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	NO'S FILE NUMBER



DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
**SECRET**

PROCESSING ACTION

TO

Chief, LCPIPIT

INFO

Chief, WE; KUVOLF; KUDESK; WH

FROM

Chief of Station, [REDACTED]

SUBJECT

KUDESK ORPREY [REDACTED]

BAIRN Report on [REDACTED] VI

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

RECORDS

1. BAIRN, [REDACTED] operation, provides the following report relating to [REDACTED] I (date of info, 26 July 1965):

2. This is for background information only.


*not to be disseminated outside U.S.*

George H. KATZENBACH

Distribution:

2-Chief, LCPIPIT  
2-Chief, WE  
2-Chief, KUVOLF  
2-Chief, KUDESK  
2-Chief, WH

CS COPY



CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE

OELA-43,802

11 August 1965

CLASSIFICATION  
**SECRET**


HQS FILE NUMBER

201-306052

### ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

**HOWELL D. KAMERMAYER**

2 - 009 [redacted] /LCPIPT  
2 - 009 [redacted]



201-306052

FORM 53 USE PREVIOUS EDITION

(40)

# DISPATCH

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. [REDACTED]

X-REF TO FILE NO. [REDACTED]

FILE NO. ☐ KEY. [REDACTED]

DESTROY ☐ SIG. [REDACTED]

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES 32

SECRET

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION

CA/4

☒ RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBES

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE, NR, WES. CA, CL, WOPS, WIL, PEP, F, F, INT 2

AP 7

SECRET 291003Z

DIR INFO PALP CITE [REDACTED] 8835

WDESK OR PREY [REDACTED]

REF: PALP 4385 (IN 20682)

29 JUL 65 121357

AS	FI
ABSTRACT X	

1. [REDACTED] HAS LONG SUSPECTED [REDACTED] A JAGUAR SOURCE.

WHILE WISH PASS REF INFO, WOULD LIKE WAIT WEEK OR SO TO SEE IF WE GET SAME FROM THEM.

2. REQUEST PERMISSION PASS CAREFULLY SANITIZED VERSION REF INFO TO JAGUAR ABOUT AUG 10, UNLESS THEY PROVIDE SAME INFO IN MEANTIME.

3. FIRST SUBJ PARA 2 D REF IS [REDACTED]

SECRET

CFN 8835 4385 [REDACTED] A JAGUAR REF REF AUG 10 2 D

BT

SECRET

29 July 65  
201-306052

INDEX: ☐ YES ☐ NO 201-306052

CLASSIFY TO FILE NO.

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE

TOTAL COPIES

31

X-REF TO FILE NO.

FILE RID ☐ RET. TO BRANCH ☐

DESTROY ☐ SIG.

7 SECRET

GROUP 1  
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED

PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED

FROM

ACTION

WEX

☒ RID COPY

ADVANCE COPY

☐ ISSUED

☐ SLOTTED

☐ TUBED

UNIT

TIME

BY

INFO

FILE DR. FE 8 C1 C4003 C4102 FI FI/INT2  
CA2 CA104 C4100

23 JUL 1965

SECRET 231422Z

PALP INFO DIR CITE ☐ 8762

KUDESK ORPREY ☐

REF: ☐ 8728 (44730)

LATER JAGUAR NOTE STATES ☐ /1, WHO NOW HAS U.K. VISITOR'S

PERMIT FOR THREE MONTHS, STATED HIS INTENTION TO GO TO ☐

TO GATHER ☐

SECRET

CFN 2762 8728 JAGUAR ☐ /1 ☐

BT

SECRET



23 JUL 1965

201-306052

23 July 65

CONFIDENTIAL

DBB 15

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20505

16 JUL 1965

TO: Director  
Federal Bureau of Investigation  
Attention: Mr. S. J. Papich

FROM: Deputy Director for Plans

SUBJECT:


In response to your request  
there are attached 5  
reports which appear to relate  
to the subject of your inquiry.

*see additional reports in*  
*subj: DBB-15788*  
*DBB-15591*  
*DBB-15592*

*recontacted as of 29 July 1965*  
*28 July 1965*

This reply is result of check of FBI  
investigative files. To check arrest  
records re: "see" must be submitted to  
ad. sec. in file.

CS C-1  
CONFIDENTIAL



201-306052  
~~100-218~~

DBE

15788  
FD-204  
(5-7-54)UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

♡ Title:

• Report of: Special Agent JOSEPH HOLIDAY

Date and Place: Albany, New York, July 16, 1957.

## PROPERTY OF FBI

This report is loaned to you by the FBI, and neither it nor its contents are to be distributed outside the agency to which loaned.

This is an FBI investigative report and makes no recommendation for clearance or disapproval.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency. This is in answer to your request for a check of FBI files.

DBD 15788

Albany, New York  
July 16, 1957

RESULTS OF INVESTIGATION

Professor JOHN K. GALBRAITH, Economics Department, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, presently vacationing at his summer residence, Newfane, Vermont, advised on July 12, 1957, that applicant has been his secretary and general assistant at Harvard University for the past four years. Professor GALBRAITH said he highly recommends the applicant as to character, reputation and loyalty. He further stated the applicant is a first-rate person, very superior, intelligent, and well qualified for the position she seeks. Professor GALBRAITH stated he is aware of the position the applicant seeks in view of the fact that he recommended her for this position.

Professor GALBRAITH was investigated in 1950 as "JOHN KENNETH GALBRAITH, also known as J. KENNETH GALBRAITH, KENNETH GALBRAITH, Consultant-Appointee, Commodities Division, Office of the Director, Office of Industry and Commerce, United States Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C., - LOYALTY OF GOVERNMENT EMPLOYEES" under the provisions of Executive Order 9835. On March 2, 1951, the Civil Service Commission advised that JOHN KENNETH GALBRAITH resigned or otherwise separated from Federal service, prior to decision on loyalty.

## DISPATCH

TO	Chief of Station, [REDACTED] LCPIET	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief of Station, [REDACTED]	X NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, KIMOLF	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DIODORIC/OKPREY, [REDACTED]	MICROFILM

## ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

REF. CFI-2341, 22 June 1965

1. Subject to [REDACTED] Station views, Headquarters believes that [REDACTED] proposal in Reference is a good one and very consistent with our interests that he develop his access and acceptability within the circles of which Ref Iden A is a significant figure. We realize that the picture is a complicated one, and that Iden A of Ref may or may not yet prove to have the staying power of the successful [REDACTED] figure. At the same time, it does seem that he is the most [REDACTED] today, and as such merits our attention.


2. With regard to Reference para 4's concern about possible damage to our national interest by publication of the book in English, we tend to side with [REDACTED] in feeling that the support which such a publication might render to our enemies could not really be detected by the naked eye. Similarly, while agreeing with the sentiment that would critically scrutinize all proposals by new assets, we note that [REDACTED] is, indeed, supposed to be [REDACTED] among his former associates, and that Ref proposal does not, to our view, contain any hint which would suggest that in following out this line of action at our direction, he is motivated by any ulterior or concealed aim different from that which led us to impose the commission in the first place.

3. We are attempting to get a rough translation done on a priority basis. [REDACTED] of course, should understand that such a translation will be unfinished, and should be carefully reviewed for the proper "feel," to rule out usage or interpretation which [REDACTED] with his background, would never have inferred from the French text. Nonetheless, as the document has come to hand only on 2 July, and given the holiday weekend, the limitation on the number of translators available, the existence of other translation assignments, and, finally, the pouch transit time back to [REDACTED] we regret that it will not be possible to get this product to RODIGAST for his 15 July meeting.

## Distribution:

2 - COS, [REDACTED] LCPIET  
2 - COS, [REDACTED]

CLAYTON P. BURNAD



201-306052

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OFLW-1152	DATE 15 JUL 1965	
	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	HQS FILE NUMBER 2010306052	
1 - CI/IC 1 - WE/2/BL 1 - CA/CF → 1 - 201-306052	OFFICE CA/CF	EXT 7767	
	OFFICE SYMBOL CI/IC	DATE 12/7	OFFICER'S NAME [REDACTED] (in draft)
	OFFICE SYMBOL WE/2/BL	DATE 12/7	OFFICER'S NAME [REDACTED]
	OFFICE SYMBOL [REDACTED]	DATE [REDACTED]	OFFICER'S NAME [REDACTED]
	OFFICE SYMBOL C/CA	DATE 3 JUL 1965	OFFICER'S NAME Cord Meyer, Jr.

DISPATCH



<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. <b>OELA-43,589</b>
TO INFO	Chief, KUDESK Chief, WE; Chief, AF; Chief, KUWOLF; Chief, LCPIPIIT; Chief of Station, [redacted]		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. <b>201-306052</b> 10 JUL 1965
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]	DATE	<b>13 July 1965</b>
SUBJECT	0 KUDESK QRPREY [redacted] Old Letter from [redacted] 1		RE: "43-1" - (CHECK "X" ONE) <input type="checkbox"/> MARKED FOR INDEXING <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED <input type="checkbox"/> INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY
ACTION REQUIRED			
REFERENCES			
<p>1. Cleaning out some old papers, I ran across a letter from [redacted] 1 (copies attached). The letter was seen by TIFFANY, RODIGAST, et al., during the busy week they all spent in [redacted] and is sent along now to bring the record more or less up to date.</p> <p>2. A batch of other materials, which arrived through our postal arrangement, were turned over to TIFFANY, and they are presumably now a part of Headquarters' records.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> George H. KATZENBACH</p>			
<p>Attachments: Herewith</p> <p>Distributions:  <del>2</del>-Chief, KUDESK w/att h/w          3-Chief, WE w/att h/w          2-Chief, AF w/att h/w          2-Chief, KUWOLF w/att h/w          2-Chief, LCPIPIIT w/att h/w          2-GOS, [redacted] w/att h/w       </p>			
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; width: fit-content; margin: auto;">         GROUP 1          Excluded from automatic          downgrading and          declassification       </div>			
<p><b>CS COPY</b></p> <p>1 att. <span style="float: right;">201-306052</span></p>			
FORM 10-57 53 (40)	USE PREVIOUS EDITION. REPLACES FORMS 51-28, 51-28A AND 51-29 WHICH ARE OBSOLETE.	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED PAGE NO. <b>1</b>

TO: Chief, RUDINSK  
FROM: Chief of Station, [redacted]  
Attachment to OELA-43,589  
13 July 1965

Dear Katzenbach,

I'm pleased you have received at least one of my letters, but I still wonder if you got a large envelope containing three letters which had been held and returned all at once. As one of the letters was rather detailed and dealt with matters of some importance, I'm rather disconcerted -- to say the least -- ~~to~~ to think that it may not have found its way to you. The envelope measured 8 by 5 inches and was light tan in color. A confirmation of its receipt would be appreciated.

Of course, I understood about the service, the [redacted] not being a definite thing. In any case, I am not looking for a [redacted] and that seemed to be one. It also gave me something to offer contacts ~~with~~ and would have strengthened my position considerably. At present, [redacted] is as light as the Emperor's new clothes.

Still no positive reply from any [redacted] in the States, and the general silence from my American "friends" is not very encouraging. Here, the situation is much better, and if I can get to [redacted] in good time, after visiting [redacted] and seeing some contacts in [redacted] my position will be better, but I still see myself representing the [redacted] or [redacted] and/or the [redacted] Mrs. [redacted] even suggested that I do a short paperback book for them, gathering together the conference documents with an analysis. All of this is better than nothing, but it definitely isn't much.

On the other hand, for the future, [redacted] who has been [redacted] here, is returning to [redacted] to take over the [redacted]. He has suggested that I [redacted] for him, after the [redacted] and that I try to get to [redacted] to discuss future projects with him while I am in [redacted]. You well know what many [redacted] and [redacted] think of [redacted] at present, so there may not be much that we can do with this arrangement.

This afternoon, I saw [redacted] at the [redacted]. Until three months ago, he was at the U.N. He claims that [redacted] came to [redacted] last December and saw [redacted] about me and other matters, but that [redacted] was not convinced. He says he did not know that I was in [redacted] until recently -- this was our first meeting since the spring of 1964. Now, he proposes that he try to get the [redacted] the [redacted] for me. We will discuss the matter again at lunch next Monday. It would be a fixed post in [redacted] and I can't yet ~~see~~ fathom why he is willing to suggest me for the job. He claims he has not been in touch with [redacted].

att 1 to OELA-43589

CS COPY

[redacted] 201-306052

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
**SECRET**

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, KUDRESK	X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, KUWOLF; Chief, WE		NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted] /LCPIPIT		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	C DIACORIC/Operational ORPHRY [redacted] /Request for Background Materials		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCE	[redacted]		

Action requested: Paragraph 3.

1. Career Agent Rufus F. RODIGAST has been briefed by [redacted] LCPIPIT on an ad hoc basis to date regarding information on the [redacted] of operational interest to his handling and guidance of [redacted] /1. As the [redacted] operation progresses, however, it is LCPIPIT's intention that those handling [redacted] become more knowledgeable on the subject of the [redacted] than [redacted] /1, himself, as a means to ensure the best possible operational guidance to the agent and to provide another element of agent control--superior knowledge.

2. LCPIPIT's limited files include two basic documents: Attachment to OFIN 630, and Book Dispatch No. 4463. We also have selected disseminations and reports on [redacted] What would be most useful, however, would be a collection of reports and analyses, whether overt or covert, discussing the following topics: a) [redacted] and the [redacted] b) the [redacted] (the Headquarters TPRANSACK c/o has had a file on this [redacted]); c) the individual [redacted] and their leaders; d) operations of the [redacted] individually and collectively, out of such centers as [redacted] (at least up to 19 June 1965), [redacted] etc/.; e) [redacted] with, and operations out of, the [redacted] both [redacted] and [redacted] oriented; f) support and guidance of the [redacted] by [redacted] and selected fronts.

3. We recognize that much of the information on the above mentioned topics may not yet be collated, if indeed it is available; however, we should appreciate receiving from Headquarters such materials as you feel would best meet LCPIPIT's operational needs in working with [redacted] /1. Of special value would be a bibliography of overt writing on the subject, greatly alleviating the security problem and mere logistics of bringing RODIGAST and a large volume of classified reading together, in a secure environment, over an extended period.

Harvey G. Fahrnich  
Harvey G. FAHRNICH

Distribution:  
2 - C/KUDRESK

CROSS REFERENCE TO	C/KUDWOLF	1 - [redacted]	DATE	201-306652 JUL 9 1965
OFLA 2613		9 July 1965		
CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>		HQ'S FILE NUMBER 200-126-79/3		

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, KUDESX [redacted]		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.	Chief, WE; Chief, AF; Chief, LCPIFIT; Chief of Station, [redacted] Chief, KUWOLF	X	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief of Station, [redacted]		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	JAGUAR		MICROFILM
	Report on [redacted] 1		

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

1. Transmitted under separate cover is a JAGUAR report on [redacted]/1. While JAGUAR did not state what the DOI was, JAGUAR's letter was dated 15 June 1965.

2. [redacted], in JAGUAR's para 2 ii, should be [redacted]

3. The comments contained in the report are either consistent with what [redacted] 1 has told us or consistent with what [redacted] 1 is supposed to have been telling other people - sometimes both.

*Katzenbach*  
George H. KATZENBACH

Attachments:  
(USC)

Distributions:

- 1-Chief, KUDESX w/att USC
- 3-Chief, WE w/att USC
- 2-Chief, AF w/att USC
- 2-Chief, LCPIFIT w/att USC
- 2-COS, [redacted] w/att USC
- 2-Chief, KUWOLF w/att USC

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

CS COPY

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OELA-43,522	DATE 2 July 1965
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HQS FILE NUMBER

*15 JUL 1965*  
Dated 15 JUL 1965

201-306052

SECRET

TO: Chief, RUDESK  
FROM: Chief of Station,   
Separate Cover Attachment to  
ORLA-43,522, 2 July 1965



SECRET

201-306052

MESSAGE FORM TOTAL COPIES: <b>27</b>		<b>SECRET</b>		<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th colspan="2">LISTING A-10/08 INITIALS - SEEN BY</th> </tr> <tr><td>1</td><td>6</td></tr> <tr><td>2</td><td>7</td></tr> <tr><td>3</td><td>8</td></tr> <tr><td>4</td><td>9</td></tr> <tr><td>5</td><td>10</td></tr> </table>		LISTING A-10/08 INITIALS - SEEN BY		1	6	2	7	3	8	4	9	5	10
LISTING A-10/08 INITIALS - SEEN BY																	
1	6																
2	7																
3	8																
4	9																
5	10																
CA/CF 7767 6 APRIL 1967		SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION 46 PER _____															
<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO _____ BRANCH <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE EIS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEX <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO. 200-124-156/2 X FILE 201-306052															
CA/64 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY		INFO: FILE NO. CA, EIAN, EUES, C/IC2 FI, FI/INT2, WH/COG8, CCS3 C, C/OPS, C/OUT (filled) CA/PEG (placed)															
<b>SECRET</b>		<b>6 23 25 Z</b>		CITE DIRECTOR <b>90256</b>													
LCPIPIT INFO _____																	
DTDORIC _____																	
REFS: A. OEPA 90 B. OELA 43237 C. LCPIPIT 0619 (IN 38606)																	
1. CONCUR \$ 100 PER MONTH PAY RAISE FOR _____/1 RECOMMENDED TAX SUPPLEMENT WILL BE \$70. REF A. WOULD PREFER EFFECTIVE DATE 1 JULY 67. ↑																	
2. TO SATISFY ADMIN REQUIREMENTS, PLS PROVIDE AMENDMENT TO LETTER OF UNDERSTANDING REF B, REFLECTING THIS AND OTHER INTER- MEDIATE RAISES GRANTED _____/1 SINCE DATE REF B.																	
3. WOULD ALSO PREFER ABOVE RAISE BE GIVEN QREBONY/1 IN THE <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 100px; width: 100%; margin-top: 10px;"></div> <div style="text-align: right; margin-top: 10px;">PLS ADVISE.</div>																	
4. BELIEVE THIS WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TIME SATISFY _____/1 CLEARANCE REQUIREMENT FOR PERIODIC SGSWRL. IN ADDITION EX OEVIOUS QUESTIONS, REQUEST HE BE SPECIFICALLY QUERIED EX <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 10px;"> <div>           CS COPY FILED IN 200-124-156/2            RELAYING OFFICER         </div> <div style="text-align: center;">           COORDINATING OFFICERS  <b>SECRET</b> </div> <div style="text-align: right;">           GROUP 1            Excluded from automatic            downgrading and            declassification            AUTHENTICATING            OFFICER         </div> </div>																	
REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.																	
COPY NO.																	

48 MAY 8

RETRIEVAL FORM

SECRET

POSTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY

1		6
2		7
3		8
4		9
5		10

SECRETARIAT DISSEMINATION

APR 13 6 35 AM '57

☐ INDEX ☐ DESTROY ☐ RETURNED TO ☐ BRANCH ☐ FILE END

☐ NO INDEX ☐ FILE IN CI FILE NO.

☐ RID COPY

DATE FILE

SECRET

CITE DIRECTOR 90256

PAGE TWO

RE (A) KNOWLEDGEABILITY HIS WIFE AND AMSQUAW/1 REGARDING HIS WOFACT AND OR LNFORT CONNECTIONS AND (B) HIS ESTIMATE THEIR RESPECTIVE ACCEPTANCES HIS

5. DISPATCH, ORIGINALLY PREPARED TO INCORPORATE ABOVE CONCURRENCE, FOLLOWS WITH ADDITIONAL COMMENTS.

END OF MESSAGE

CA/B & F

E/BC/UK

CI/ICG

CHIEF, CA

CHIEF, CA/CF

COORDINATING OFFICER

SECRET

GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED

COPY NO.

SECRET

4 APR 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Contract Personnel Division

SUBJECT: [ ]/1 - MOC Amendment

REFERENCE: OFLW - 1699, 1 November 1966

1. Effective 1 September 1966, the MOC of [ ]/1 was amended to provide compensation of \$746.00 per month.
2. Please see attached reference for the salary breakdown.
3. We regret that due to an administrative oversight, this memorandum was not submitted at an earlier date.

Signed [ ]

[ ]  
Chief, CA Support Group

APPROVED:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CPD

Attachment:

OFLW - 1699

DDP/CA/Pers [ ] (30 March 1967)

Distribution:

Orig & 1 - Addressee  
1 - OF/APB/CAAS)  
1 - CA/Pers

SECRET



MESSAGE FORM		TOTAL COPIES 20		ROUTING AND/OR INITIALS - SEEN BY	
1		6			
2		7			
3		8			
4		9			
5		10			

TO: [REDACTED]  
 FROM: CA/CF  
 DATE: 24 MARCH 1967

WFO SACASTARIAT DISSEMINATION  
 INDEX ☐ DESTROY ☒ RETURN TO CA/CF BRANCH ☐ FILE RID ☐  
 NO INDEX ☐ FILE IN CS FILE NO. 201-306052

RID COPY ☒ FILE .VR 0A2 EHR3 C/102 C/0A

(date and time fixed) 25 15 32Z  
 (reference number) 86838

CITE DIRECTOR

LCPIPTT INFO [REDACTED]  
 DEDORIC [REDACTED] QKOPERA  
 RE LCPIPTT 0519 (IN 24171)  
 RE PARA TWO REF, PLS ADVISE DETAILS OF CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH WYNNERROWD  
 AND SABA WERE MADE WITTING WOPACT'S CONTINUING INTEREST IN [REDACTED] 1.

END OF MESSAGE

C/CA/B3 - [REDACTED] (telecord)  
 EUR/BC/UK - [REDACTED] (telecord)

CA/COFS  
 COORDINATING OFFICERS  
 SECRET  
 GROUP 1  
 Excluded from automatic  
 downgrading and  
 declassification  
 AUTHENTICATING OFFICER  
 CA/CF 35 March 1967  
 COPY NO. 201-306052

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

FBI doc.  
23 Mar. 67 (wash)

Re:

no Profile given

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief, WOVIE/		MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO	Chief, EUR; COS, [ ] Chief, WOMUSE	XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, LCPIPIT		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	DTDORIC/Operational CRPREY/[ ]/Institution Base		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			

- References: A. LCPIPIT-8161  
B. DIRECTOR-66211, paragraph 3

At their 15 March meeting, [ ]/1 and RODIGAST discussed [ ]/1's [ ] at least as a paper organization to allow him to get close to [ ] and [ ] as a [ ] rather than [ ]. [ ]/1 feels that if not done right this could cause trouble, because of usual organizational rivalries. He is thinking of beginning by [ ] of the statement on [ ] which appeared in the 6 December [ ]. He would use a pseudonym to sign his statement, but would let all his friends know that the pseudonym was really himself, and he might use [ ] address. Perhaps [ ] would agree to circulate or print it. If this statement goes over, [ ]/1 could escalate into a small organization. He will show RODIGAST a draft of his statement, but also will discuss it with [ ] for "ideological purity".

P. F. CILIBERTI

Distribution

3-C/WOVIEW  
2-C/WOMUSE  
1-C/EUR  
2-COS, [ ]

MAR 22 1967

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OEPA-164	DATE 20 March 1967
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HQS FILE NUMBER 200-124-156/3

# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
S E C R E T

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief, WOVIEW	XX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
INFO COS, [ ] Chief, EUR		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM Chief, LCPIPIT		MICROFILM
SUBJECT DTDORIC/Operational [ ]/Salary Raise for [ ] 1		
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES		

1. At the time of his recruitment in June 1965, [ ]/1 was told that his \$600 monthly tax-free salary would be raised to \$800 once he got a [ ] in a [ ] which of course was the goal of his planned summer activity in [ ]

2. Through no fault of his own, the [ ] assignment (and other possibilities, such as [ ] evaporated shortly thereafter. Meanwhile, WOFAC changed its signals and eventually decided to base [ ]/1 in Europe targeted against individuals and groups in various countries.

3. From the beginning [ ]/1 has proved more than satisfactory as an agent. He has carried out assignments given to him with knowledge and tact and the proper spirit, has used his own initiative as much as our guidance, and has not violated our instructions. No one will argue that he hasn't proved to be better than we collectively thought he would be, and in terms of his own abilities—apart from targets which may or may not be accessible to him—he is getting better all the time.

4. Last year, about a year later than [ ]/1 might have expected his salary to go up from \$600 to \$800, we raised it to \$700. He was pleased, and he has not complained as much as he used to about a shortage of funds. (Presumably [ ]/1 is responsive to the generally good treatment he has had, to the respect for him as an individual and the appreciation of his services; probably he is carried along as much by his own personal satisfaction in knowing he is meeting requirements and continually breaking new ground.)

5. Nevertheless, LCPIPIT believes the time has come to raise [ ]/1's salary to the promised level. We can all agree that we are still getting his services cheap; [ ]/1 receives no quarters, living allowance, or educational allowance for his children. His increased gratitude, the relief he will undoubtedly feel at being able to reduce his present financial worries, are more than worth the extra \$100.

(continued)

Distribution:

3-C/WOVIEW  
2-COS, [ ]  
1-C/EUR

201

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OEPA-090	DATE FEB 24 1967 21 February 1967
	CLASSIFICATION S E C R E T	HQS FILE NUMBER 200-124-156/X <sup>2</sup>


CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T</b>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER <b>OEPA-090</b>
<p>6. If Headquarters agrees, the [ ] QRPURE (whose [ ] in this event). QRPURE could write to [ ]/1 expressing his pleasure at the results of syndication of [ ]/1's material, and announcing that the monthly fee will go up to \$200; alternatively, [ ] could write to QRPURE saying he feels the sum should go up, and QRPURE would reply affirmatively.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">_____ P. F. CILIBERTI</p>		
FORM 8-64 1401 <b>53a</b> USE PREVIOUS EDITION.	CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED PAGE NO. <b>2 of 2</b>

JAL# 798462

201

[redacted]

200 soft



[redacted]

fwded in  
CEPA-0006, 26/1/67, filed  
[redacted] logs.

[redacted]

DBB 71942, 25 January 1967 100-300-004

Abstracted from pages 36 and 37


q At a meeting held on August 14, 1966, STANFORD mentioned that Robert Williams allegedly has \$1,000,000 available for the use of RAM.

NY T-10 advised on September 26, 1966, that STANFORD prior to moving to Philadelphia on September 18, 1966, discussed RAM and ROBERT F. WILLIAMS with him. According to the source, STANFORD has alleged WILLIAMS has money available for RAM.

During the course of the conversation, STANFORD stated that the contact man between RAM in the US and R. Williams' is one [redacted] in [redacted] STANFORD stated that [redacted] passes on messages from WILLIAMS and will be the man contacted by whoever is designated as a courier to go to [redacted] to get the money.

Source also stated that he was told [redacted] was living in the [redacted] [redacted] (phonetic), [redacted] and was working out of the [redacted] (phonetic), [redacted]

SECRET







088 - 61450

**SECRET**  
**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**  
**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION**

In Reply, Please Refer to  
File No. (IS) 105-93072

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20535

BY LIAISON

Date: October 24, 1966  
To: Director  
Central Intelligence Agency  
Attention: Deputy Director, Plans  
From: John Edgar Hoover, Director  
Subject: [REDACTED]  
INFORMATION CONCERNING

A source of this Bureau who has furnished reliable information in the past recently advised that during the Fall of 1966, a member of an Italian pro-Chinese Marxist-Leninist organization stated that one [REDACTED] was suspected of being a spy for the Central Intelligence Agency. According to this individual, [REDACTED] recently had been in [REDACTED] in the company of a female secretary from Paris, whose home is in New Rochelle, New York. At that time [REDACTED] remarked his travel and activities were possible because he had married a wealthy English woman. Other things were said which aroused the suspicions of the Italian.

According to our source, the Italian pro-Chinese Marxist-Leninist subsequently relayed his suspicions concerning [REDACTED] to Rene Raindorf, a member of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of Belgium (Marxist-Leninist).

[REDACTED] he had indicated the Belgian pro-Chinese communists would look into the charges of the Italian Marxist-Leninist.

CS COPY

**SECRET**

Group 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

201-306052

Chase

MESSAGE FORM  
TOTAL COPIES: 34

TO: [ ]  
FROM: CH/CF  
EXT: 7767  
DATE: 31 AUGUST 1966  
DISSEM BY: 30/3 PER [ ]

☐ FILE BID  
☐ INDEX  
☒ NO INDEX  
FILE IN CS FILE NO. 200-124-156/3

SECRET

ROUTING			
1		5	
2		6	
3		7	
4		8	

☐ DESTROY ☐ RETURN TO [ ] BRANCH

FROM: DIRECTOR

REF: CA/04 ☒ RID COPY

INFO: VR FILE EURB, AF7, CA2, CCS3,

C1/0A, C1/OPS, FI, FI/INTZ C1/IC2

(CLASSIFICATION) SECRET (DATE AND TIME FILED)

(REFERENCE NUMBER) 32195

11 17 45 Z CITE DIRECTOR

TO: LCPIPT INFO [ ]

REF: LCPIPT 7388 (IN 83410)

DTDORIC [ ]

1. RE REF PARA 7: [ ] DESK REP MET [ ] FOR ASSESSMENT WITH VIEW TOWARD HIS POSSIBLE LATER USE AGAINST [ ] TARGET. DISCUSSION [ ] WAS GENERAL PROBING OF [ ] RELATIONSHIP AND ESTIMATE HIS PERSONALITY. WHILE [ ] MAY HAVE INFERRED POSSIBILITY RELATED OPERATION <sup>AL</sup> ~~DISCUSS~~ COMMISSION, WOFAC REP AVOIDED SUGGESTING SUCH WAS PLANNED MUCH LESS INTENT. IN FACT, DESK HAS NOT DEVELOPED SUCH DETAILED HQS PLANS FOR [ ] OPERATION [ ] GIVEN UNCERTAINTIES IN DEVELOPING SITUATION ON GROUND. WHEN AND IF CLEAR FOCUS SUCH OPERATION WORKED OUT AND AGREED WITH [ ] STATION, [ ] TRIP WOULD PRESUMABLY BE FIRST STEP.

2. WHILE SUCH TRIP MENTIONED TO [ ] AS PROBABLY USEFUL, EMPHASIZED TO [ ] THAT VIETNAM IS TOP PRIORITY AT THIS TIME. STRONGLY FEEL HE SHOULD SIT TIGHT AND PLAY OUT THIS STRING WITHOUT PLANNING FOR EXTENSIVE [ ] SWINGS, PARTICULARLY AFTER HAVING JUST RETURNED FROM ~~THE~~ WOLADY TDY. WITH VIET TRIP AND [ ] MORE IMMEDIATE HIGHER PRIORITY TARGETS CLOSE TO HOME AT THIS TIME, SEE LITTLE VALUE AND UNNECESSARY RISKS IN OVEREXTENDING HIM IN WIDE [ ] SWINGS OF LESS IMMEDIATE INTEREST. THIS EVEN MORE TRUE FOR [ ] GIVEN STATION VIEWS

COORDINATING OFFICERS

RELEASING OFFICER

SECRET

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

AUTHENTICATING OFFICER

REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.

COPY NO.

MESSAGE FORM		TOTAL COPIES:		ROUTING			
ORIG:	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE RID	SECRET		1		5	
EXT:	<input type="checkbox"/> INDEX			2		6	
DATE:	<input type="checkbox"/> FILE IN CS FILE NO.			3		7	
				4		8	
DISSEM BY _____ PER _____		<input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> RETURN TO _____ BRANCH					
FROM: DIRECTOR							
CONF: <input type="checkbox"/> RID COPY		INFO: VN , FILE					
(CLASSIFICATION) <b>SECRET</b>		(DATE AND TIME FILED)		(REFERENCE NUMBER)		(PAGE)	
PAGE TWO		CITE DIRECTOR 32195					
<p>TO</p> <p>PER AJDA 1989 ON NEED FOR LONGER STAY, WITH ACCOMPANYING COVER AND CONTACT PROBLEMS, AND INDICATIONS OF [ ] HOSTILITY, NATURE AND EXTENT OF WHICH WE CANNOT IMMEDIATELY ASSESS. HQS VERY SATISFIED WITH [ ] PERFORMANCE AND DEVELOPMENT SO FAR. WHILE UNDERSTAND HIS TEMPERAMENTAL INCLINATION TO PRESS FOR ACTION <del>IN</del> ASSIGNMENTS INVOLVING TRAVEL, HE SHOULD BE ASSURED, IF NECESSARY, THAT SUCH DEMONSTRATION OF <del>USE</del> <sup>BEING CONSTANTLY USEFUL</sup> NOT NEEDED AND <del>IT</del> NOT ALWAYS IN BEST INTERESTS PROJECT DEVELOPMENT.</p> <p>3. REF PARA 8: WILL BE POUCHING LONGER REPORTS ON [ ] VISIT HERE WHICH DELAYED BECAUSE OF PRESSURE OTHER WORK. KASSTWATER RETURNED TO [ ] ORIGINALS FINEMAN AND OTHER CORRESPONDENCE HE LEFT, BUT SENDING COPIES WE HAD MADE OF THESE AND REPORTS HE SUBMITTED ON WOLADY CONTACTS BY TRANSMITTAL MANIFEST 722028.</p> <p>4. RE PARA 9 REF: YES. WE WOULD STRONGLY PREFER THAT RAISE BE MADE AVAILABLE TO [ ] WHICH WE WILL EXPECT ARRANGE SOON (AWAITING CLEARANCE ON ONE <del>IF</del> INDIVIDUAL WHO WOULD HAVE TO BE CUT IN). CAN YOU MAKE DIRECT TRANSFER UNTIL THIS IS <del>IT</del> SET UP.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">END OF MESSAGE</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-end; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="width: 20%;">[ ] CA/CO28</div> <div style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">AF/4/3. [ ] (in draft)</div> <div style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">COORDINATING OFFICERS <b>SECRET</b></div> <div style="width: 20%; text-align: center;">[ ] CHIEF, CA/CS AUTHENTICATING OFFICER</div> </div> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 10px;">REPRODUCTION BY OTHER THAN THE ISSUING OFFICE IS PROHIBITED.</p>							

CLASSIFIED MESSAGE <b>G</b> TOTAL COPIES		REPRODUCTION PROHIBITED	
CLASSIFY TO FILE NO. _____ X-REF TO FILE NO. _____ FILE RID <input type="checkbox"/> RET. TO BRANCH <input type="checkbox"/> DESTROY <input type="checkbox"/> SIG. _____		PERSON/UNIT NOTIFIED _____	
ACTION <b>CA/4</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RID COPY ADVANCE COPY <input type="checkbox"/> ISSUED <input type="checkbox"/> SLOTTED <input type="checkbox"/> TUBED		UNIT _____ TIME _____ BY _____	
INFO FILE <b>VR, CA2, EUR8, WH/C8, FE8, SAVA 2, AF7, CI, CLOPS, CILIC2, CLOA, FI, PLINTA</b>			

25 AUG 68 83410

SECRET 251622Z CITE LCPIPII 7388 (CILIBERTI ACTING)

DIRECTOR INFO [REDACTED]

DTDORIC [REDACTED]

1. RODIGAST (R) [REDACTED] (Q) AS SCHEDULED  
 23 AUGUST. BALANCE VOLADY REPORTING BEING POUCHED  
 AS WELL AS NEW RPORTS. Q PLANNING TRAVEL PARI  
 BRUS-ROTTERDAM POSSIBLY FRANKFURT, VIENNA 2-12  
 SEPT. ROD WILL MEET Q ANTWERP 8 SEPT.

2. [REDACTED] IN LETTER TO Q  
 25 JULY FROM [REDACTED] SAID HOPES BE EUROPE LATER IN  
 YEAR PROBABLY GOING SWEDEN TO MAKE SPEECH. ALSO  
 ADVISED HE MAY TRY PUBLISH [REDACTED]  
 AND ASKS Q'S HELP WITH DISTRIBUTION. ATTACKED  
 HYPOCRITICAL RACIST CUBANS. (THIS INFO BEING  
 DISSEMINATED).

SECRET

THIS IS RELATIVELY URGENT & SHOULD BE HANDLED AS SUCH  
 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED  
 EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE  
 DATE 10/11/00 BY [REDACTED]  
 2000 10/11/00

SECRET

PAGE 2 LCPIPI 7388

**SECRET**

3. Q THINKS ENTRY NORTH VIETNAM MAY BE DIFFICULT AT PRESENT BECAUSE OF MILITARY SITUATION AND PROBABLE NORTH VIETNAMESE DESIRE NOT TO ENTERTAIN ELEMENTS OPENLY HOSTILE SOVIET UNION. [REDACTED] SAYS [REDACTED] CAN'T GO HANOI AT PRESENT. [REDACTED] TOLD [REDACTED] ENTRY WOULD BE DIFFICULT. GRAY IN SAN FRANCISCO SAID HIS TOUR POSTPONED INDEFINITELY BECAUSE OF MILITARY SITUATION.

4. AT KATZENBACH SUGGESTION Q MADE PRECISE

[REDACTED] AND [REDACTED] 26 AUGUST WHICH KATZENBACH INFORMING JAGUAR TO ALLOW SURVEILLANCE.

5. RE DIR 26709, Q HOPES OBTAIN FURTHER INFO [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] BUT WHO OPPOSED TO TROTSKYISTS. Q'S STRATEGY IS [REDACTED] AS RIFE WITH CONFLICTS AND INFILTRATED BY TROTSKYISTS.

**SECRET**

SECRET

PAGE 3 LCPIPIIT 7388 S E C R E T

6. POUCHING FULLER REPORT Q CONVERSATIONS

[ ] WHICH Q TOLD KAMMERMAYER BY  
PHONE. [ ] INSISTED [ ] HAS NOT  
ACCEPTED [ ] INVITATION.

7. IF NOT SENT, PLEASE CABLE DETAILS  
HQS PLANS FOR Q OPERATION [ ] INVOLVING [ ]  
[ ] DISCUSSED WITH Q AT HQS. WOULD THIS BE  
IN FRAMEWORK Q VISIT [ ] AND APPROXIMATELY  
WHEN? WHERE DOES THIS PLACE [ ] TRIP,  
NOT MENTIONED TO Q BY HQS?

8. ASSUME HQS POUCHING ALL Q VOLADY REPORTING AS WELL  
CORRESPONDENCE. FOR EXAMPLE Q HAS NO COPY LETTERS  
FROM [ ] ON [ ] SITUATION WHICH SUBMITTED  
KAMMERMAYER.

9. CAN RAISE OF \$120 IN Q TAKE-HOME AFTER  
TAXES BE MADE EFFECTIVE 1 SEPTEMBER? PLS CABLE  
WHEN BEGINNING DEPOSITS SO CAN NOTIFY Q.

SECRET

BT

SECRET

106  
1

Cable sent answering 9 in affirmative.

8 July 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, CI/OA

SUBJECT: Field Traces on [ ] 201-306052

This is to inform you that there were no additional traces on  
[ ] from the Field Stations-- [ ] Negative  
traces from [ ] Station.

[ ]  
CA/CF

*201 file*

201-306052

SECRET RYBA

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief of Station, [ ] LCPIPT

X

MARKED FOR INDEXING

NO INDEXING REQUIRED

ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

MICROFILM

INFO:

FROM Chief, EWOLF

SUBJECT POA for [ ]/1

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

None, information only

Attached herewith is the text of the Provisional Operational Approval on [ ]/1. An Operational Approval is awaited pending receipt of final Agency traces which, we understand have not yet come in. Your attention is directed to paragraph 3 and to paragraph 5. The latter will be the subject of further correspondence in the future.

HOWELL D. KAMETMAYER

Attachment:  
POA h/v

Distribution:  
Orig & 1 - COS, [ ] LCPIPT w/att.

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OFLM-1991	DATE 7 JUL 1966
GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification	CLASSIFICATION SECRET RYBAT	HQS FILE NUMBER 201-306052
Distribution: 1 - RI v/o att. 2 - CA/CP v/o att.	ORIGINATING	
	OFFICE CA/CP	OFFICER [ ]
	TYPYST [ ]	
	EXT. 7767	
	COORDINATING	
OFFICE SYMBOL	DATE	OFFICER'S NAME
OFFICE SYMBOL C/CA	DATE 6 JUL 1966	RELEASING OFFICER'S SIGNATURE Cord Meyer, Jr. <i>[Signature]</i>
FORM 53 1-63 USE PREVIOUS EDITION	(40)	DISPATCH



SECRET

8. Not applicable. The Agent is not in the status of a tour of assignment but will be exploited in his current operational role as long as it is viable.
9. This request is not for [redacted]
10. No relative is witting for such emergency contact, nor is Benefits and Counseling Branch involvement applicable in this case.
11. None.
12. Agent has served in the U.S. Army, is not now eligible for induction.
13. Spouse is not witting and not clearable.

D. Operational Information

1. Type of Operation. FI. Duration is indefinite. Should be considered a [redacted] of a [redacted] establishment, rather than of a [redacted]. No use, other than those indicated, are to be made witting.
2. Location: The Agent is to reside in [redacted] with a possible alternative of [redacted]
3. The Agent should be free to visit [redacted] and [redacted] as well as [redacted] and [redacted]. Impossible to anticipate the times or duration of such visits, which will, however, be at his (and our) control.
4. Special groups Agent should be able to contact: Not applicable.
5. Approximately \$2,500 to \$3,000.
6. Not applicable.
7. Communications channels desired: None.

[redacted]

SECRET

**SECRET**



[Redacted text]

**SECRET**

**SECRET**

28 JUN 1963


**MEMORANDUM FOR:** Deputy Director for Plans**VIA:** Chief, CCS/NC**SUBJECT:** Request for Approval for Operational Use of -  /1

1. This memorandum submits a recommendation for your approval pursuant to CSI 240-6, 10 January 1963, paragraph 6, governing operational involvement

**SECRET**

201-306052

**SECRET**



**2**

**SECRET**

SECRET

arrangements for this purpose.

[Redacted]

for CORD MEYER, JR.  
Chief  
Covert Action Staff

RECOMMEND APPROVAL:

[Redacted]

Chief, CCS/XC

APPROVED:

AA DDP *[Signature]*

30 June '66

3

SECRET

SECRET

27 JUN 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Finance

VIA: Contract Personnel Division

SUBJECT: [ ] 1 - Amendment of Memorandum of  
Oral Commitment

1. The Memorandum of Oral Commitment with [ ] is amended effective 15 June 1966 to provide indemnification in the amount of \$10,000 in case of death, and payment of \$800 per month up to \$10,000 to his wife in case of total incapacitation or disappearance.

2. It is anticipated that a trip planned for late summer or early fall will take [ ] into [ ] Indemnification is intended to apply to death or disability resulting from air accident, act of war, or for disappearance.

3. Without being able to specify the possible circumstances, it is intended that such indemnification as outlined above be deemed to apply to loss of life or functions suffered by [ ] as a direct result of his KUBARK relationship during the trip. Such indemnification, if made, must be presented to his widow by a [ ] KUBARK involvement.

Signed [ ]


[ ]  
CA Staff Contract Approving Officer

CA/PERS [ ] (24 Jun 66)

Distribution:

- 2 - Addressee
- 1 - CPD
- 1 - CA/Pers
- 1 - CA/Chrono

SECRET



DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Chief, KUWOLF			MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO. Chief, KUDESK; Chief, EUR		XXX	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPIIT			ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT: DTDORIC/Operational QRPREY/[ ]/Photo of [ ]/1 and Contact			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>Forwarded under separate cover is a photograph of [ ]/1 with one of his contacts.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Zaffaresi</i> Karen H. ZAFFARESI (Rans)</p> <p>Attachment usc Photo usc</p> <p>Distribution  <del>3-C/KUWOLF w/att usc</del>            2-C/KUDESK w/o att            1-C/EUR w/o att</p>			
<p style="text-align: right;">S/C ATT'D BY RE/AM Date: 13 JUN 1966</p> <p style="text-align: right;">201-306052 JUN 2 1966</p>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OFLA-3903	DATE 1 June 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HQS FILE NUMBER 200-124-156/3	


SECRET

DTDORIC/QRPREY [REDACTED]

To: Chief, WOLF

LSC Att to OFLA-3903

Photo: Do not bend.





SECRET

PROVISIONAL OPERATIONAL APPROVAL

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief,

CA/CF -

NUMBER

201 - 312052

SUBJECT

REFERENCE: Your Memorandum, Dated

10 February 1966

Lab

A Provisional Operational Approval is granted for the use of this Subject as set forth below.

A Provisional Operational Approval issued by CI Staff grants the same authority, on a temporary basis, for the use of an individual as the authority granted in an Operational Approval unless otherwise specified by CI/OA. It is based, however, only on preliminary file checks and investigation and is subject to a further final review when all investigation is completed.

(2) Requirements passed to Subject will be confined, insofar as it is operationally feasible, to instructions for the refinement and completeness of the reporting he is capable of providing from his normal intercourse with source personalities. Disclosure of classified information will be held to a minimum, he will not be given operational assignments supporting or servicing other KUARK Operations, nor will he participate directly and/or wittingly in the spotting and/or recruitment of other agents.

(3) In addition to this background, certain of Subject's past statements and behavior are inconsistent with, and give rise to an uncertainty about, the nature and degree of his presently professed motivations and loyalties. It is impossible to resolve this uncertainty with the knowledge now available. At the present time, therefore, it is believed that his bona fides have not been established to an extent which would warrant handling him in any way than "at arms length".

X To process the Operational Approval, full information (completed PRQ Parts I and II) must be submitted as soon as possible and not later than six (6) months from this date. An Operational Approval will follow when all investigation is completed and upon the receipt of satisfactory PRQ Parts I and II. Cancellation must be requested if use of Subject by interested Divisions ceases.

/s/

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

APPROVAL

BY

DATE

CI/OPERATIONAL APPROVAL AND SUPPORT DIVISION

23 May 1966

Continuation of PCA - 201 - 308052 - 23 May 1960

(4) This case has been discussed in detail on several occasions between representatives of CI/CA, CI/ICG, and CA/CE and there is agreement that it is primarily because of Subject's background and contacts that he is of interest and, therefore, it is believed, if he is handled with circumspection, the possible benefits to be derived justify the security risks involved in the use of Subject within the limits set forth above.

(5) Polygraph is recommended at least once a year.

/s/

WOMOSE  
GREEN

**SECRET**  
(When Filled In)

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE					
SUBJECT OF DOCUMENT			DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
			2. RI FILE NO.		
			3. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.		4. DATE
			7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM	8. DATE OF INFO.	9. EVALUATION
5. ANALYST		6. DATE PROCESSED		10. DISSEMINATED IN	
				11. DATE	
DOCUMENT DISPOSITION					
12. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE			13. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.		
PERTINENT INFORMATION					
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; margin: 20px auto; width: 80%;"> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 60%;"> <p>9 May 1966 poa os</p> <p>THERE IS A RECORD OF THIS 201</p> <p>FORM 11-56 OBSOLETE PREVIOUS EDITIONS</p> </div> <div style="width: 35%; text-align: right;"> <p>801-306052</p> <p>7757</p> <p>1/0A FOLDER ON THE SUBJECT</p> <p><b>ABSTRACT FILE SLIP (18)</b></p> </div> </div> <div style="margin-top: 20px;"> <p>THERE IS A RECORD OF THIS 201</p> <p>1/0A FOLDER ON THE SUBJECT</p> </div> </div>					
15.					
FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.				➔	201 30 60 52

FORM 867 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS.

**SECRET**

(7-46)

DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO Chief of Station, [ ] (APTH. KATZENTZ)

XX

MARKED FOR INDEXING

INFO Chief, KUBARK

NO INDEXING REQUIRED  
ONLY QUALIFIED DESK  
CAN JUDGE INDEXING

FROM Chief of Station, [ ] (LCPIPT)

MICROFILM

SUBJECT: DTDORIC/Operational

GRIFREY

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

Ref: OELA 45254

1. At his 6 April meeting with RODIGAST, [ ]/1 pointed out that his brother-in-law (the accountant) still thinks that [ ] receives regular income from an [ ] and undeclared for tax reasons [ ] and the [ ] taxes).

2. RODIGAST thought that if the brother-in-law finds this story hard to believe he may guess that [ ] is really working for KUBARK and may have passed this information on to the [ ]. Thus he would be the source of the story relayed in reference.

3. At the same meeting, on another subject, RODIGAST asked [ ] whether he had ever told anyone pointblank that he [ ] is a [ ] agent. He said that he never had. RODIGAST broke in: "or the agent of any other country, including GYORE?" [ ] said he had not.

Karen H. ZAFFARESI

Distribution:

2 - COS, [ ]

✓ 3 - C/KUBARK

1 - C/NE

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
DOWNGRADING AND  
DECLASSIFICATION

CS COPY

201-306052  
200-124-156/3  
APR 18 1966

CROSS REFERENCE TO

DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER

DATE

OELA-3731

15 April 1966

CLASSIFICATION

HQS FILE NUMBER

SECRET


DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Chief, KUWOLF		NEC	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO Chief, WE; COS, [ ] COS, [ ] Chief, AP		AJD	NO INDEXING REQUIRED
COS, [ ] Chief, WL; Chief, KUWOLF; COS, [ ]		CIR	ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
FROM Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPIT			RECEIVED
SUBJECT DTDORIC/Operational CAPREY/[ ]/1's New Contact, [ ]			
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>1. We are manifesting reports and correspondence concerning [ ]/1's new contact, [ ] age 31, born in [ ] whose father's last name was [ ] her husband's name is [ ] (Mexican), but she is separated from him and he is seeking a divorce.</p> <p>[ ]</p> <p>hurt her chances of getting a renewal of her passport.</p> <p>2. Subject had been having a series of political and amorous affairs during her stay in [ ] and was in serious trouble when Q met her. After rejecting [ ] advances, she was in serious danger of being declared a prohibited immigrant; Q befriended her, and he and [ ] of the [ ] Embassy (who had been having an affair with her) saw that she got out of [ ] safely. Q was to meet her in [ ] (but in fact had no intention of doing so) and later caught up with her in [ ] She indicated a desire to work with Q (without quite knowing for whom she would be working—he hinted rather broadly to her that he was in cahoots with "our friends in the East," but it will be noted that in one of her letters there is this disarming phrase: she warns him about how to deal with certain persons and adds: "Be cool with them—do not show your true colors (what-ever they are)").</p> <p>3. Q seized on the opportunity of using subject as [ ] and eventually agent on the same basis. She submitted to an interview on tape in a [ ] hotel room which lasts about 2-1/4 hours, in which Q debriefs her on her knowledge of every [ ] with whom she had contact, and in view of her attractiveness and willingness she knew quite a few. (She had some amusing run-ins with ODYOKE personnel in [ ] which we shall edit out of the tape only for a fee.)</p>			
<b>Distribution</b> 3-C/KUWOLF 2-C/KUWOLF 2-COS [ ] 2-COS [ ] 2-CO [ ] 2-COS [ ] 1-C/NE [ ] 1-C/WE [ ] 1-C/AF [ ]			
CROSS REFERENCE TO		DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE
		OFLA-3638	30 March 1966
		CLASSIFICATION	HQS FILE NUMBER
		SECRET	200-124-156/3

GROUP 1  
Excluded from automatic  
downgrading and  
declassification

MAR 31 1966

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OFLA-3638
<p>4. Q feels that she is emotionally unstable--his firmness in dealing with her, his making it clear he was not out for her body, have made him a guardian and true friend. She seems to be a good political reporter, understanding her own (confused) political yearnings and where her various contacts stand; she also seems to have a good memory for details. Q says that her "anti-revisionism" is incipient anti-Communism. He could eventually recruit her as an ODYOKE witting agent, but that would take a lot of time and trouble; with much less effort he could effect a [redacted] and indeed, he has already done this on an informal basis by making it clear that he was [redacted] for his [redacted] friends. She would undertake further assignments for him. He actually asked her, "Suppose I asked you to go somewhere, would you go?" To which she replied, "If it's not cold." It is unlikely, however, that she would be able to return to [redacted] because of [redacted] hostility. Wherever she goes, her main asset will be her physical attraction.</p> <p>5. She could be debriefed further on any point raised in the tape interview. The ideal would be to have the questions by the time Q goes to [redacted] in the second half of April, but this does not seem to be a likely possibility.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Karen H. ZAFFARESI</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SECRET</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2072</p>		

<b>DISPATCH</b>		CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T</b>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO. <b>OZLA-45,254</b>
TO INFO	Chief, KUWOLF Chief, WE; Chief, KUDESK; Chief, LCPIPIT		HEADQUARTERS FILE NO. <b>200-124-156/3</b> <b>MAR 31 1966</b>
FROM	Chief of Station, [ ]	DATE <b>30 March 1966</b>	
SUBJECT DTDORIC QRPREV [ ] Relations with JAGUAR	RE "45" - (CHECK "X" ONE)		
	MARKED FOR INDEXING		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO INDEXING REQUIRED		
ACTION REQUIRED	INDEXING CAN BE JUDGED BY QUALIFIED HQ. DESK ONLY		
<p>REFERENCES</p> <p>Ref: [ ] 2425, 2 March 1966</p> <p>1. A number of lengthy and fruitful discussions have been held with JAGUAR officers regarding our continued [ ] Their comments on the quality of [ ] reporting have been reported from time to time. Similarly, we will not dwell here on the mechanical arrangements we have evolved (with [ ] agreement) to expedite the passage of information to JAGUAR, on the one hand, and avoid duplicate reporting (principally by passing the same materials as [ ] on the other hand. Suffice it here to say that achieving both of these goals, [ ] throughout both JAGUAR and [ ] (due largely to the wide range of [ ] is a complex problem. We think we have reached a mutually satisfactory arrangement for achieving both aims.</p> <p>2. What we are concerned with here, then, is JAGUAR's [ ] for the time being at least. The exact phrasing from JAGUAR's letter, agreeing to our request is: "With regard to the continued [ ] on a temporary basis while [ ] we have no objection to this provided we are kept fully informed of as much detail as possible."</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 300px; width: 100%;"></div>			
<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">         GROUP 1 Excluded from automatic downgrading and declassification       </div>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">         CLASSIFICATION PAGE NO.       </div>	

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION <b>S E C R E T</b>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NO <b>OELA-45,254</b>
<p>4. This, then, reflects the state of our relations with JAGUAR as it relates to <input type="text"/> We trust that this will be of value to Headquarters and LCPIPIT in planning <input type="text"/> future.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"> George H. KATZENBACH</p> <p><b>Distribution:</b> 2-Chief, KUSOLF 3-Chief, WE 2-Chief, KUDESK 2-Chief, LCPIPIT</p>		

CLASSIFICATION

PAGE NO




**SECRET**

29 June 1966

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Central Cover Staff


SUBJECT: **A. General Information**

1. /1 (previously carried as ). No previous request has officially been forwarded for
2. Currently a Contract Agent. Currently compensated at the rate of \$7,200 per year with a \$1200 nonaccountable allowance.
3. Agent has not nor will be reviewed by the Agency's medical psychiatric assessment and evaluations Staff. He is already overseas and will remain there.
4. The Agent uses a complex of related  based primarily on exaggerated implications of fact: Namely, that his  from  and money available from his wife's family. Some quarters suspect or believe that he may possess funds from the  but this has never been alleged by the Agent himself.
5. Agent is available now for
6. Agent is overseas.
7.  Project.

**SECRET**

211 file

**SECRET**





**2**

**SECRET**

**SECRET**  
(When Filled In)

DOCUMENT TRANSFER AND CROSS REFERENCE				
SUBJECT OF DOCUMENT		DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION		
		2. RI FILE NO.		
		3. DISPATCH OR SOURCE DOC. SYMBOL NO.		4. DATE
		7. SOURCE CRYPTONYM	8. DATE OF INFO.	9. EVALUATION
5. ANALYST	6. DATE PROCESSED	10. DISSEMINATED IN	11. DATE	
DOCUMENT DISPOSITION				
12. CROSS REFERRED TO THIS FILE		13. TRANSFERRED TO RI FILE NO.		
PERTINENT INFORMATION				
<p>14.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; margin-top: 100px;"> <div> <p>201-306052 SEX M DOB ?</p> <p>CIT ? ACC ? SUNJ PPTS HIS FAMILY SITUATION DIFFICULT BECAUSE MUST LEAVE APT 1 JUN AND MAKES LOT OF DIFFERENCE WHETHER MOVE IS PERMANENT /ALLOWING LONG-TERM ADVANTAGEOUS LEASE OR PURCHASE/OR TEMPORARY.</p> </div> <div> <p>200-124-156/02 PALP-06112 30 MAR 66</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 50px;">R 6605110149</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-top: 50px;">FILE IN 201-<u>306052</u></p>				
15. FILE THIS FORM IN FILE NO.		<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin-left: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin-left: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin-left: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 40px; height: 20px; margin-left: 5px;"></div> </div>		


DISPATCH		CLASSIFICATION SECRET	PROCESSING ACTION
TO Chief, KUWOLF		X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM Chief of Station, [ ]/LCPIPIIT			ONLY QUALIFIED CLERK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT DIDORIC/Operational Assessment of [ ]/1			MICROFILM
ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES			
<p>Ref: OFLW-1494</p> <p>1. Forwarded herewith is a 6-1/2 page answer by RODIGAST to the questions posed in reference. If any further clarification is required by Headquarters, please advise.</p> <p>2. With regard to [ ]/1's overt tax return, it has been determined that declarations must be filed by all citizens with over \$600 income. If it turns out that [ ]/1 cannot justify a \$600 income from overt sources, and we think he cannot, he simply has no declaration to file and will be advised to so state to the Embassy.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Zaffaresi</i> Karen H. ZAFFARESI</p> <p>Attachment h/w As stated above</p> <p>Distribution 3-C/KUWOLF w/att h/w</p>			
<div style="text-align: center;">  </div>			
CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER	DATE	
	OFLA-3627	29 March 1966	
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HQ'S FILE NUMBER 201-306052	




ATTN: OFLA 3627

CS COPY


201 306052

















# DISPATCH

CLASSIFICATION  
SECRET

PROCESSING ACTION

TO	Chief of Station, [ ] /LCPIPT	X	MARKED FOR INDEXING
INFO.			NO INDEXING REQUIRED
FROM	Chief, KJWOLF		ONLY QUALIFIED DESK CAN JUDGE INDEXING
SUBJECT	Assessment of [ ]		MICROFILM

ACTION REQUIRED - REFERENCES

See below

It would prove very useful to us--both as a contribution to the preparation of the Project Outline now in progress and as a backgrounder for possible discussions of [ ] operational utilization--if RODIGAST could provide us with an interpretive assessment of him based on the impressions and judgements he has been able to develop over the past months. We have some such initial assessments, of course, and can infer more from current reporting. However, we would like to get the benefit of RODIGAST's unique and direct contact, now that [ ] has passed through a breaking-in phase and settled down in a regular relationship which, at its inception, is certainly a difficult one for most people to adjust to. We would suggest the following outline of topics for RODIGAST to follow:

Distribution:  
2 - COS, [ ] LCPIPT

continued

CROSS REFERENCE TO	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER OFLW-1494	DATE 17 MAR 1966
	CLASSIFICATION SECRET	HQS FILE NUMBER 201-306052
<p>Distribution: 1 - RI (201-306052) 2 - CA/CF</p> <p>ABSTRACT X</p>	<p>OPIGINATING</p> <p>OFFICE CA/CF OFFICER [ ] TYPIST [ ] EXT. 7767</p>	
	<p>COORDINATING</p> <p>OFFICE SYMBOL DATE OFFICER'S NAME</p>	
	<p>CI/ICG 15 March 1966 [ ] in draft)</p>	
	<p>RELEASING</p> <p>OFFICE SYMBOL DATE OFFICER'S SIGNATURE</p>	
	<p>C/CA 7 MAR 1966 [ ]</p>	

DISPATCH

CONTINUATION OF DISPATCH	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	DISPATCH SYMBOL AND NUMBER <b>OFLN-1494</b>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 127px; margin-bottom: 20px;"></div> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>HOWELL D. KAMBERGETER</b></p> <div style="text-align: right; font-size: 1.5em; font-family: cursive;">201-306052</div>		
FORM E-60 53a (40) USE PREVIOUS EDITION.	CLASSIFICATION <b>SECRET</b>	PAGE NO. <b>2</b> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/> CONTINUED</div>