Date: August 2, 2021

From: National Archives and Records Administration

Subject: Reconstructed FBI File (CR) RH 100-0, Serials 13081-13081B

To: The File

This memorandum briefly summarizes the status of missing original Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) case files or portions of case files in the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection (JFK Collection) and documents the National Archives and Records Administration’s (NARA) efforts to reconstruct these records, where possible, from duplicate copies of documents located in other FBI files.

As the JFK Collection was first compiled and reviewed in the 1990s, the Assassination Records Review Board and the FBI designated some records as "not believed relevant" (NBR) or "not assassination related" (NAR). The FBI retained custody of the NBR/NAR records and postponed their transfer to NARA until a later date. Every document or group of documents ("serials"), however, received an indexed Record Identification Form (RIF) and FBI inventory sheet for insertion into the JFK Collection.

After an extensive search, neither the FBI nor the National Archives could locate a small number of NAR documents or case files.

This compilation represents NARA's efforts to reconstruct the original file or portions of the file, as completely as possible, with duplicate copies of documents located in the FBI field office and headquarters files within the JFK Collection. Each reconstructed file or compilation contains a Record Identification Form, an explanatory cover memo, existing administrative documents available within the JFK Collection, and copies of identified duplicate documents. The table below summarizes the status of FBI file (CR) RH 100-0, Serials 13081 through 13081B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIF Number</th>
<th>FBI File Number</th>
<th>List of Serials From Inventory Sheet</th>
<th>List of Identified Serials at NARA</th>
<th>Reconstructed Status (None, Partial, Complete)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124-10179-10252</td>
<td>(CR) RH 100-0</td>
<td>13081-13081B</td>
<td>13081-1308B</td>
<td>Complete</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion (these deletions).

[ ] Deletions were made pursuant to the postponement rationale indicated below with no segregable material available for disclosure. All references relate to Section 6 of the "President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992."

[ ] Subsection 1A (intelligence agent's identity)
[ ] Subsection 1B (intelligence source or method)
[ ] Subsection 1C (other matter relating to military defense, intelligence operations or the conduct of foreign relations)
[ ] Subsection 2 (living person who provided confidential information)
[ ] Subsection 3 (unwarranted invasion of privacy)
[ ] Subsection 4 (cooperating individual or foreign government, currently requiring protection)
[ ] Subsection 5 (security or protective procedure, currently or expected to be utilized)

[ ] Information pertained to a matter unrelated to the JFK Assassination investigation.

[ ] For your information: ________________________________

[ ] The following number is to be used for reference regarding this page (these pages):

RH 100-0-10381 10381A 10381B

XXXXXXXXXX  XXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX  XXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX  XXXXXXXXXX
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Document Date</th>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document From</th>
<th>Document To</th>
<th>3rd Agy</th>
<th>Direct Dupes</th>
<th>ACTUAL PERT.</th>
<th>Rev.</th>
<th>Rel.</th>
<th>Withheld</th>
<th>FBI Ref</th>
<th>Duplicate Location</th>
<th>Postponements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13081</td>
<td>03/24/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13081A</td>
<td>03/25/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13081B</td>
<td>11/21/75</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13081B</td>
<td>11/20/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page: 1

Grand Totals: 0 4 1 5 0 0 0 0

End of Report....
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TO ALL SACS
FROM DIRECTOR

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SENATOR FRANK CHURCH, CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES HAS MADE AN INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION FROM THE FBI. AMONG THE ITEMS REQUESTED IS A BREAKDOWN OF FIELD AGENT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

ACCORDINGLY, WITHIN FOUR EIGHT HOURS EACH SAC SHOULD SUTEIL TO FBIHQ, ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION, SETTING FORTH SEPARATELY THE NUMBER OF SACS, ASACS, SUPERVISORS AND AGENTS ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS. PERCENTAGES OF AN AGENTS TIME, WHEN NOT ASSIGNED FULL-TIME TO THESE ACTIVITIES, SHOULD BE USED IF APPROPRIATE, PARTICULARLY IN THE SUPERVISORY CATEGORIES. THIS INFORMATION SHOULD BE BROKEN DOWN SEPARATELY BETWEEN INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE. YOUR RESPONSE SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AGENT PERSONNEL ONLY.

END

MAR 24 1975
62-2362
ALBANY
NR 004 RH PLAIN

3:50 PM NITEL MARCH 25, 1975 EJM

TO DIRECTOR

ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SEC.

FROM RICHMOND 1P

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

REBUTEL TO ALL SACS MAR 24, 1975.

RICHMOND HAS ONE AGENT ASSIGNED APPROXIMATELY 30 PERCENT
OF HIS TIME TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND NO AGENTS ASSIGNED TO
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS. ONE SUPERVISOR DEVOTES LESS
THAN 5 PERCENT OF HIS TIME TO SUCH CASES.

END
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Retention  For appropriate

[] For information  [ ] optional  [ ] action  [ ] Surep, by

[ ] The enclosed is for your information. If used in a future report, [ ] conceal all

[ ] Enclosed are corrected pages from report of SA

[ ] dated

Remarks:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of
an article by Mr. William Safire entitled "Mr.
Church's Cover-Up" that appeared in the

[Signature]  [Signature]
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62-236F-11B
Mr. Church's Cover-Up

By William Safire

WASHINGTON, Nov. 19—On Oct. 10, 1963, the then-Attorney General of the United States put his personal signature on a document that launched and legitimized one of the most horrendous abuses of Federal police power in this century.

In Senator Frank Church's subcommittee hearing room this week, the authorized wiretapping and subsequent unauthorized bugging and attempted blackmailing of Martin Luther King Jr. is being gingerly examined, with the "investigation" conducted in such as not to unduly embarrass officials of the Kennedy or Johnson Administrations.

With great care, the committee has focused on the F.B.I. Yesterday, when the committee counsel first set forth the result of shuffling through press clips, it seemed as if no Justice Department had existed in 1962; today, an F.B.I. witness pointed out that it was Robert Kennedy who authorized the wiretap of Dr. King, and that "the President of the United States and the Attorney General specifically discussed their concern of Communist influence with Dr. King."

But the Church committee showed no zest for getting further to the Kennedy root of this precedent to Watergate eavesdropping. If Senator Church were willing to let the chips fall where they may, he would call some knowledgeable witnesses into the glare of the camera lights and ask them some questions that have gone unasked for thirteen years.

For example, he could call Nicholas Katzenbach, Attorney General Kennedy's deputy and successor, and ask what he knew of the Kennedy decision to wiretap Dr. King. Who at Justice concurred in the recommendation? How does the F.B.I. know the President was consulted or informed?

After Mr. Katzenbach assumed office, and the wiretapping continued, he was told by angry newsmen that the F.B.I. was hiding incriminating information about Dr. King. Why did they wait for four months, and for a thousand telephonic interceptions, to discontinue the officially approved tap?

Of course, this sort of testimony would erode Senator Church's political base. That is why we do not see former Assistant F.B.I. director Carlisle (Dee) DelOcho, Lyndon Johnson's personal contact with the F.B.I. in the witness chair. What did President Johnson know about the character assassination plot and when did he know it? What conversations took place between Mr. DelOcho and President Johnson on the matters of Dr. King, or about the use of the F.B.I. in any other context into the lives of political figures?

ESSAY

ventions which were ordered by Lyndon Johnson. Such invasions of political headquarters were worse than the crime committed at Watergate, since they involved the use of the F.B.I., but the Church investigators seem to be determined not to probe too deeply.

If F.B.I. documents say that reports were made to specific Johnson aides, why are those men not given the same opportunity to publicly tell their story so avidly given the next President's men? If Lyndon Johnson committed this impeachable high crime of using the F.B.I. to spy on political opponents, who can be brought forward to tell us all about it?

But that would cause embarrassment to Democrats, and Senator Church wants to embarrass professional employees of investigative agencies only. A new sense of Congressional decorum exists, far from the sense of outrage expressed in the Senate Watergate committee's hearing room. When it is revealed that the management of NBC News gave press credentials to L.B.J.'s spies at the 1964 convention, everybody blushes decorously—and nobody demands to know which network executive made what decision under what pressure.

I have been haranguing patient readers for years about the double standard applied to Democratic and Republican political crimes, and had hoped the day would come when the hardball precedents set by the Kennedy and Johnson men would be laid before the public in damning detail.

Obviously, Democrat Frank Church is not the man to do it. His outraged indignation is all too selective; the trail of high-level responsibility for the crimes committed against Dr. King and others is evidently going to be allowed to cool.

Presumably that tissue has been through in the past few years; our political leaders would have learned that the one thing that brings you down is the act of confessing up.
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