Date: August 2, 2021

From: National Archives and Records Administration

Subject: Reconstructed FBI File BS 66-4117, Serials 1-43

To: The File

This memorandum briefly summarizes the status of missing original Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) case files or portions of case files in the President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection (JFK Collection) and documents the National Archives and Records Administration’s (NARA) efforts to reconstruct these records, where possible, from duplicate copies of documents located in other FBI files.

As the JFK Collection was first compiled and reviewed in the 1990s, the Assassination Records Review Board and the FBI designated some records as "not believed relevant" (NBR) or "not assassination related" (NAR). The FBI retained custody of the NBR/NAR records and postponed their transfer to NARA until a later date. Every document or group of documents ("serials"), however, received an indexed Record Identification Form (RIF) and FBI inventory sheet for insertion into the JFK Collection.

Several years prior to the 2017 re-review and transfer of the NBR/NAR material to the National Archives, the FBI destroyed a few files with NAR designations according to an authorized records disposition schedule in effect at the time.

This compilation represents NARA’s efforts to reconstruct the original file or portions of the file, as completely as possible, with duplicate copies of documents located in the FBI field office and headquarters files within the JFK Collection. Each reconstructed file or compilation contains a Record Identification Form, an explanatory cover memo, existing administrative documents available within the JFK Collection, and copies of identified duplicate documents. The table below summarizes the status of FBI file BS 66-4117, Serials 1 through 43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RIF Number</th>
<th>FBI File Number</th>
<th>List of Serials From Inventory Sheet</th>
<th>List of Identified Serials at NARA</th>
<th>Reconstructed Status (None, Partial, Complete)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>124-10187-10136</td>
<td>BS 66-4117</td>
<td>1-43</td>
<td>1-3, 5, 7, 9-24, 28-30, 32, 39-43</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
POSTPONEMENT INFORMATION SHEET (JFK MATERIALS)

Page(s) withheld entirely at this location in the file. One or more of the following statements, where indicated, explain this deletion (these deletions).

[ ] Deletions were made pursuant to the postponement rationale indicated below with no segregable material available for disclosure. All references relate to Section 6 of the "President John F. Kennedy Assassination Records Collection Act of 1992."

[ ] Subsection 1A  (intelligence agent's identity)
[ ] Subsection 1B  (intelligence source or method)
[ ] Subsection 1C  (other matter relating to military defense, intelligence operations or the conduct of foreign relations)
[ ] Subsection 2  (living person who provided confidential information)
[ ] Subsection 3  (unwarranted invasion of privacy)
[ ] Subsection 4  (cooperating individual or foreign government, currently requiring protection)
[ ] Subsection 5  (security or protective procedure, currently or expected to be utilized)

Information pertained to a matter unrelated to the JFK Assassination investigation.

[ ] For your information:

[ ] The following number is to be used for reference regarding this page (these pages):

66-4117-1 four 43
### JFK Inventory Sheet
(Committees Files)

**File #: BS 66-4117**  
**Section #: 1**  
**Re: CHURCH COMM.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number</th>
<th>Document Date</th>
<th>Document Type</th>
<th>Document From</th>
<th>Document To</th>
<th>3rd Agy</th>
<th>Direct Dupes</th>
<th>ACTUAL</th>
<th>PERT.</th>
<th>Rev. Rel.</th>
<th>Withheld</th>
<th>FBI Ref</th>
<th>3rd Agy</th>
<th>Duplicate Location</th>
<th>Postponements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>03/24/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>03/25/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>05/02/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>05/05/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>NEWPHER</td>
<td>ALL EMPLOY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>05/20/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>05/20/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
<td>ALL EMPLOY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>05/28/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL EMPLOY</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>06/13/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>BATES</td>
<td>JOHNSON</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>06/18/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>06/18/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>06/20/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>07/24/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>09/04/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>09/03/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>09/04/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>09/05/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document From</td>
<td>Document To</td>
<td>3rd Agy</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Direct Dupes</td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>PERT.</td>
<td>Rev. Rel.</td>
<td>Withheld</td>
<td>FBI Ref</td>
<td>Duplicate Location</td>
<td>Postponements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>09/05/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>AX</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>09/06/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>09/17/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>AX</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>09/18/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>AX</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>09/18/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>09/23/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>09/24/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>09/26/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>09/29/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>BATES</td>
<td>ALL AGENTS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>10/10/75</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>BATES</td>
<td>ALL EMPLOY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>11/17/75</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>11/17/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>11/18/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11/20/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>NY</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>11/21/75</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>12/10/75</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>12/12/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>12/16/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serial Number</td>
<td>Document Date</td>
<td>Document Type</td>
<td>Document From</td>
<td>Document To</td>
<td>3rd Agy</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Direct Dupes</td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>PERT</td>
<td>Rev. Rel.</td>
<td>Withheld</td>
<td>FBI Ref</td>
<td>3rd Agy</td>
<td>Duplicate Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>12/16/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>01/05/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>12/31/75</td>
<td>NEWS ARTIC</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>01/05/76</td>
<td>LET</td>
<td>THIRD PART</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>01/08/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>02/23/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>03/02/75</td>
<td>LHM</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>03/02/76</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>03/18/76</td>
<td>RS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>04/09/76</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>BATES</td>
<td>PALMER</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>04/10/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>06/01/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>06/02/76</td>
<td>MEMO</td>
<td>BATES</td>
<td>ALL SUPERV</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>08/31/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>ALL SACS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>09/06/76</td>
<td>TT</td>
<td>BS</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>09/22/76</td>
<td>STATEMENT</td>
<td>CHURCH COM</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>10/19/76</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>AL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO ALL SACS

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SENATOR FRANK CHURCH, CHAIRMAN OF THE SENATE SELECT

COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO

INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES HAS MADE AN INITIAL REQUEST FOR INFORMA-

TION FROM THE FBI. AMONG THE ITEMS REQUESTED IS A BREAKDOWN

OF FIELD AGENT PERSONNEL ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND

COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

ACCORDINGLY, WITHIN FOUR EIGHT HOURS EACH SAC SHOULD

SUTEL TO FBIHQ, ATTENTION: BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION,

SETTING FORTH SEPARATELY THE NUMBER OF SACS, ASACS, SUPERVISORS

AND AGENTS ASSIGNED TO INTERNAL SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLI-

GENCE MATTERS. PERCENTAGES OF AN AGENT'S TIME, WHEN NOT

ASSIGNED FULL-TIME TO THESE ACTIVITIES, SHOULD BE USED IF APPROP-

RIATE, PARTICULARLY IN THE SUPERVISORY CATEGORIES. THIS

INFORMATION SHOULD BE BROKEN DOWN SEPARATELY BETWEEN INTERNAL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
Dated 3-24-75 BY SDAM
mkr-16
TELETYPTE TO ALL SACS
RE: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SECURITY AND COUNTERINTELLIGENCE. YOUR RESPONSE SHOULD BE LIMITED TO AGENT PERSONNEL ONLY.
TO DIRECTOR
FROM BOSTON (66-82)
ATTN/ BUDGET AND ACCOUNTING SECTION
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES
REBUNITEL 3/24/75.

BOSTON HAS TWO SUPERVISORS AND TWENTY-ONE AGENTS, ALL
ASSIGNED FULL TIME, TO INTERNAL SECURITY MATTERS. IN ADDITION,
TWELVE RESIDENT AGENTS ARE WORKING THE EQUIVALENT OF THREE
AND ONE-HALF AGENTS FULL TIME TO INTERNAL SECURITY MATTERS.

BOSTON HAS ONE SUPERVISOR AND TEN AGENTS ASSIGNED
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS FULL TIME. IN ADDITION, ELEVEN
RESIDENT AGENTS ARE WORKING THE EQUIVALENT OF ONE AND
ONE-HALF AGENTS FULL TIME ON COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

BUREAU SHOULD NOTE THAT THE SAME RESIDENT AGENTS, WITH
ONE EXCEPTION, WHO ARE ASSIGNED INTERNAL SECURITY
MATTERS, ARE ALSO ASSIGNED COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS.

END
TO SACS ALL OFFICES
FROM DIRECTOR FBI (62-116395)
SENSUBY STUDY 75

1 - Mr. T.J. Jenkins 5/2/75
1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Each Assistant Director
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

PERSONAL ATTENTION

CAPTIONED MATTER PERTAINS TO BUREAU'S HANDLING OF REQUESTS FROM SENATE AND HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. IN CONNECTION WITH WORK OF THESE COMMITTEES, STAFF MEMBERS MAY SEEK TO INTERVIEW CURRENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES.

RECENTLY, THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) STAFF HAS INTERVIEWED SEVERAL FORMER EMPLOYEES AND IT IS ANTICIPATED THAT MANY MORE SUCH PERSONNEL WILL BE CONTACTED.

THE FBI HAS PLEDGED FULL COOPERATION WITH THE COMMITTEE AND WE WISH TO ASSIST AND FACILITATE ANY INVESTIGATIONS UNDER-TAKEN BY THE COMMITTEE WITH RESPECT TO THE FBI. HOWEVER, WE DO HAVE AN OBLIGATION TO INSURE THAT SENSITIVE SOURCES AND METHODS AND ONGOING SENSITIVE INVESTIGATIONS ARE FULLY

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION
MAY 05 1975

TELETYPING UNIT

XEROX
JULY 11 1975
TELETEYPE TO ALL OFFICES
RE:: SENSTUDY 75
62-116395

PROTECTED. SHOULD ANY FORMER EMPLOYEE CONTACT YOUR OFFICE AND
HAVE ANY QUESTION REGARDING HIS OBLIGATION NOT TO DIVULGE INFOR-
MATION OBTAINED BY VIRTUE OF HIS PAST FBI EMPLOYMENT, HE SHOULD
BE INSTRUCTED TO CONTACT LEGAL COUNSEL, FBIHQ, BY COLLECT CALL.
YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH FORMER EMPLOYEES MUST BE IN KEEPING WITH
OUR PLEDGE. IT IS BELIEVED SUCH A PROCEDURE WOULD INSURE PROPER
PROTECTION AND ALSO FACILITATE THE WORK OF THE SSC.

THE ABOVE PROCEDURE ALSO APPLIES TO CURRENT EMPLOYEES
OF YOUR OFFICE. HOWEVER, CONTACT WITH THE LEGAL COUNSEL SHOULD
BE HANDLED THROUGH THE SAC.

NOTE: Teletype prepared for all offices to alert SACs to
the possibility former employees may contact their offices seeking
guidance.

The Office of Legal Counsel in response to requests from
former employees will utilize the briefing paper prepared by the
Intelligence Community Staff of the Director of Central
Intelligence and concurred in by Assistant Attorney General
Antonino Scalia.
MR & WA CODE
4:10PM NTEL 5-20-75 PAW
TO ALL SACs
FROM DIRECTOR (GE-116395)
PERSONAL ATTENTION
SENSTUDY - 75.

REBUTEL MAY 2, 1975.

IN CONNECTION WITH WORK OF THE SENATE AND HOUSE SELECT COMMITTEES, ITS REPRESENTATIVES MAY CONTACT YOUR OFFICE FOR INFORMATION.

IN ONE RECENT INSTANCE, A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TELEPHONICALLY INQUIRED AS TO IDENTITY OF SAC IN A PARTICULAR OFFICE DURING 1970.

IN HANDLING SUCH INQUIRIES INSURE ESTABLISHING BONA FIDES OF REPRESENTATIVE BY SHOW OF CREDENTIALS ON PERSONAL CONTACT OR, IF TELEPHONIC CONTACT, BY TELEPHONE BACK TO COMMITTEE.

UNLESS INFORMATION IS OF A PUBLIC NATURE, AS IN THE INSTANCE CITED ABOVE, OBTAIN FBIHQ CLEARANCE PRIOR TO SUPPLYING ANY INFORMATION. FBIHQ MUST BE EXPEDITIOUSLY ADVISED OF ALL INFORMATION FURNISHED.

END
MEMORANDUM TO ALL EMPLOYEES

RE: INTERVIEWS OF FBI EMPLOYEES

All employees are advised that Congress is conducting an inquiry into activities of the Federal Bureau of Investigation. Congressional staff members are conducting interviews of former and current FBI employees. This Bureau has pledged its cooperation with the Congress.

You are reminded of the FBI Employment Agreement (copy attached) with which you agreed to comply during your employment in the FBI and following termination of such employment.

Also, you are reminded of Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 16.22 (copy attached), which reads as follows:

"No employee or former employee of the Department of Justice shall, in response to a demand of a court or other authority, produce any material contained in the files of the Department or disclose any information relating to material contained in the files of the Department, or disclose any information or produce any material acquired as part of the performance of his official duties or because of his official status without prior approval of the appropriate Department official or the Attorney General in accordance with Section 16.24."

Also, you are reminded of Department of Justice Order Number 116-56, dated May 15, 1956, (copy attached) which, among other things, requires an employee upon the completion of his testimony to prepare a memorandum outlining his testimony.

Our cooperative efforts, of course, must be consistent with the above cited authority. Therefore, if you are contacted for purpose of interview or testimony you are to request approval as required by the Employment Agreement and await authorization before furnishing information, testimony, or record material.

Enclosures (3)
EMployment Agreement

As consideration for employment in the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), United States Department of Justice, and as a condition for continued employment, I hereby declare that I intend to be governed by and I will comply with the following provisions:

(1) That I am hereby advised and I understand that Federal law such as Title 18, United States Code, Sections 793, 794, and 798; Order of the President of the United States (Executive Order 11652); and regulations issued by the Attorney General of the United States (28 Code of Federal Regulations, Sections 16.21 through 16.26) prohibit loss, misuse, or unauthorized disclosure or production of national security information, other classified information and other nonclassified information in the files of the FBI;

(2) I understand that unauthorized disclosure of information in the files of the FBI or information I may acquire as an employee of the FBI could result in impairment of national security, place human life in jeopardy, or result in the denial of due process to a person or persons who are subjects of an FBI investigation, or prevent the FBI from effectively discharging its responsibilities. I understand the need for this secrecy agreement; therefore, as consideration for employment I agree that I will never divulge, publish, or reveal either by word or conduct, or by other means disclose to any unauthorized recipient without official written authorization by the Director of the FBI or his delegate, any information from the investigatory files of the FBI or any information relating to material contained in the files, or disclose any information or produce any material acquired as a part of the performance of my official duties or because of my official status. The burden is on me to determine, prior to disclosure, whether information may be disclosed and in this regard I agree to request approval of the Director of the FBI in each such instance by presenting the full text of my proposed disclosure in writing to the Director of the FBI at least thirty (30) days prior to disclosure. I understand that this agreement is not intended to apply to information which has been placed in the public domain or to prevent me from writing or speaking about the FBI but it is intended to prevent disclosure of information where disclosure would be contrary to law, regulation or public policy. I agree the Director of the FBI is in a better position than I to make that determination;

(3) I agree that all information acquired by me in connection with my official duties with the FBI and all official material to which I have access remains the property of the United States of America, and I will surrender upon demand by the Director of the FBI or his delegate, or upon separation from the FBI, any material relating to such information or property in my possession;

(4) That I understand unauthorized disclosure may be a violation of Federal law and prosecuted as a criminal offense and in addition to this agreement may be enforced by means of an injunction or other civil remedy.

I accept the above provisions as conditions for my employment and continued employment in the FBI. I agree to comply with these provisions both during my employment in the FBI and following termination of such employment.

(Signature)

(Type or print name)

Witnessed and accepted in behalf of the Director, FBI, on

, 19 , by

(Signature)
Office of the Attorney General
Washington, D.C. 20530

January 18, 1973

ORDER NO. 501-73

RULES AND REGULATIONS

Title 28—JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

Chapter I—Department of Justice

[Order 501-73]

PART 16—PRODUCTION OR DISCLOSURE OF MATERIAL OR INFORMATION

Subpart B—Production or Disclosure in Response to Subpoenas or Demands of Courts or Other Authorities

This order delegates to certain Department of Justice officials the authority to approve the production or disclosure of material or information contained in Department files, or information or material acquired by a person while employed by the Department. It applies where a subpoena, order or other demand of a court or other authority, such as an administrative agency, is issued for the production or disclosure of such information.

By virtue of the authority vested in me by 28 U.S.C. 509, 510, and 5 U.S.C. 301, Subpart B of Part 16 of Chapter I of Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, is revised, and its provisions renumbered, to read as follows:

Subpart B—Production or Disclosure in Response to Subpoenas or Demands of Courts or Other Authorities

Sec.
16.21 Purpose and scope.
16.22 Production or disclosure prohibited unless approved by appropriate Department official.
16.23 Procedure in the event of a demand for production or disclosure.
16.24 Final action by the appropriate Department official or the Attorney General.
16.25 Procedure where a Department decision concerning a demand is not made prior to the time a response to the demand is required.
16.26 Procedure in the event of an adverse ruling.


Subpart B—Production or Disclosure in Response to Subpoenas or Demands of Courts or Other Authorities

§ 16.21 Purpose and scope.

(a) This subpart sets forth the procedures to be followed when a subpoena, order, or other demand (hereinafter referred to as a "demand") of a court or other authority is issued for the production or disclosure of (1) any material contained in the files of the Department, (2) any information relating to material contained in the files of the Department, or (3) any information or material acquired by any person while such person was an employee of the Department as a part of the performance of his official duties or because of his official status.

(b) For purposes of this subpart, the term "employee of the Department" includes all officers and employees of the United States appointed by, or subject to the supervision, jurisdiction, or control of, the Attorney General of the United States, including U.S. attorneys, U.S. marshals, and members of the staffs of these officials.

§ 16.22 Production or disclosure prohibited unless approved by appropriate Department official.

(a) No employee or former employee of the Department of Justice shall, in response to a demand of a court or other authority, produce any material contained in the files of the Department or disclose any information relating to material contained in the files of the Department, or disclose any information or produce any material acquired as part of the performance of his official duties or because of his official status without prior approval of the appropriate Department official or the Attorney General in accordance with § 16.24.

§ 16.23 Procedure in the event of a demand for production or disclosure.

(a) Whenever a demand is made upon an employee or former employee of the Department for the production of material or the disclosure of information described in § 16.21(a), he shall immediately notify the U.S. attorney for the district where the issuing authority is located. The U.S. attorney shall immediately request instructions from the appropriate Department official, as designated in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) The Department officials authorized to approve production or disclosure under this subpart are:

(1) In the event that the case or other matter which gave rise to the demanded material or information is or, if closed, was within the cognizance of a division of the Department, the Assistant Attorney General in charge of that division. This authority may be redelegated to Deputy Assistant Attorneys General.

(2) In instances of demands that are not covered by paragraph (b)(1) of this section:
(i) The Director of the Federal Bureau of Investigation, if the demand is one made on an employee or former employee of that Bureau for information or if the demand calls for the production of material from the files of that Bureau, and
(ii) The Director of the Bureau of Prisons, if the demand is one made on an employee or former employee of that Bureau for information or if the demand calls for the production of material from the files of that Bureau.

(3) In instances of demands that are not covered by paragraph (b) (1) or (2) of this section, the Deputy Attorney General.

(c) If oral testimony is sought by the demand, an affidavit, or, if that is not feasible, a statement by the party seeking the testimony or his attorney, setting forth a summary of the testimony desired, must be furnished for submission by the U.S. attorney to the appropriate Department official.

§ 16.24 Final action by the appropriate Department official or the Attorney General.

(a) If the appropriate Department official, as designated in § 16.23(b), approves a demand for the production of material or disclosure of information, he shall so notify the U.S. attorney and such other persons as circumstances may warrant.

(b) If the appropriate Department official, as designated in § 16.23(b), decides not to approve a demand for the production of material or disclosure of information, he shall immediately refer the demand to the Attorney General for decision. Upon such referral, the Attorney General shall make the final decision and give notice thereof to the U.S. attorney and such other persons as circumstances may warrant.

§ 16.25 Procedure where a Department decision concerning a demand is not made prior to the time a response to the demand is required.

If response to the demand is required before the instructions from the appropriate Department official or the Attorney General are received, the U.S. attorney or other Department attorney designated for the purpose shall appear with the employee or former employee of the Department upon whom the demand has been made, and shall furnish the court or other authority with a copy of the regulations contained in this subpart and inform the court or other authority that the demand has been, or is being, as the case may be, referred for the prompt consideration of the appropriate Department official and shall respectfully request the court or authority to stay the demand pending receipt of the requested instructions.

§ 16.26 Procedure in the event of an adverse ruling.

If the court or other authority declines to stay the effect of the demand in response to a request made in accordance with § 16.25 pending receipt of instructions, or if the court or other authority rules that the demand must be complied with irrespective of instructions not to produce the material or disclose the information sought, in accordance with § 16.24, the employee or former employee upon whom the demand has been made shall respectfully decline to comply with the demand. "United States ex rel Touhy v. Ragen," 340 U.S. 462.


RICHARD G. KLEINDENST, Attorney General.

[FR Doc.73-1071 Filed 1-17-73; 8:45 am]
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
WASHINGTON, D. C.

May 15, 1956

ORDER NO. 116-56

It is the policy of the Department of Justice to extend the fullest possible cooperation to congressional committees requesting information from departmental files, interviews with department employees, testimony of department personnel, or testimony of Federal prisoners. The following procedures are prescribed in order to effectuate this policy on a basis which will be mutually satisfactory to the congressional committees and to the Department. [This order supersedes the Deputy Attorney General's Memorandum No. 5, dated March 23, 1953, and his Memorandum No. 97, dated August 5, 1954. It formalizes the Attorney General's press release of November 5, 1953, establishing procedures to permit committees of the Congress and their authorized representatives to interview and to take sworn testimony from Federal prisoners. It supplements Order No. 3229 (Revised) dated January 13, 1953, and Order No. 3464, Supplement No. 4 (Revised) dated January 13, 1953 (with Memorandum of "Authorization Under Order No. 3464 Supplement No. 4 (Revised)" dated January 13, 1953), insofar as said orders have reference to procedures to be followed in the Department's relations with congressional committees. In support of this order, reference should be had to the President's letter dated May 17, 1954, addressed to the Secretary of Defense, and to the Attorney General's Memorandum which accompanied it.]

A. REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION FROM DEPARTMENT FILES

1. Congressional committee requests for the examination of files or other confidential information should be reduced to writing, signed by the chairman of the committee, and addressed to the Deputy Attorney General, who is responsible for the coordination of our liaison with Congress and congressional committees. The request shall state the specific information sought as well as the specific objective for which it is sought. The Deputy Attorney General will forward the request to the appropriate division where a reply will be prepared and returned for the Deputy Attorney General's signature and dispatch to the chairman of the committee.

2. If the request concerns a closed case, i.e., one in which there is no litigation or administrative action pending or contemplated, the file may be made available for review in the Department, in the presence of the official or employee having custody thereof. The following procedure shall be followed in such cases:

  a. The reply letter will advise the committee that the file is available for examination and set forth the name, telephone extension number, and room number of the person who will have custody of the file to be reviewed;
b. Before making the file available to the committee representative all reports and memoranda from the FBI as well as investigative reports from any other agency, will be removed from the file and not be made available for examination; provided however that if the committee representative states that it is essential that information from the FBI reports and memoranda be made available, he will be advised that the request will be considered by the Department. Thereafter a summary of the contents of the FBI reports and memoranda involved will be prepared which will not disclose investigative techniques, the identity of confidential informants, or other matters which might jeopardize the investigative operations of the FBI. This summary will be forwarded by the division to the FBI with a request for advice as to whether the FBI has any objection to examination of such summary by the committee representative. The file will not be physically relinquished from the custody of the Department. If the committee representative desires to examine investigative reports from other government agencies, contained in the files of the Department, he will be advised to direct his request to the agency whose reports are concerned.

3. If the request concerns an open case, i.e., one which litigation or administrative action is pending or contemplated, the file may not be made available for examination by the committee's representative. The following procedure shall be followed:

a. The reply letter should advise the committee that its request concerns a case in which litigation or administrative action is pending or contemplated, and state that the file cannot be made available until the case is completed; and

b. Should briefly set forth the status of the case in as much detail as is practicable and prudent without jeopardizing the pending contemplated litigation or administrative action.

B. REQUESTS FOR INTERVIEWS WITH DEPARTMENTAL PERSONNEL

1. Requests for interviews with departmental personnel regarding any official matters within the Department should be reduced to writing, signed by the chairman of the committee, and addressed to the Deputy Attorney General. When the approval of the Deputy Attorney General is given, the employee is expected to discuss such matters freely and cooperatively with the representative, subject to the limitations prescribed in A respecting open cases and data in investigative reports;
2. Upon the completion of the interview with the committee representative the employee will prepare a summary of it for the file, with a copy routed to his division head and a copy routed to the Deputy Attorney General.

C. EMPLOYEES TESTIFYING BEFORE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES

1. When an employee is requested to testify before a congressional committee regarding official matters within the Department the Deputy Attorney General shall be promptly informed. When the Deputy Attorney General's approval is given the employee is expected to testify freely subject to limitations prescribed in A respecting open cases and data in investigative reports;

2. An employee subpoenaed to testify before a congressional committee on official matters within the Department shall promptly notify the Deputy Attorney General. In general he shall be guided in testifying by Order 3229 (Revised) and the President's letter of May 17, 1934, cited at the beginning of this Order.

3. Upon the completion of his testimony the employee will prepare a memorandum outlining his testimony with a copy routed to his division head and a copy routed to the Deputy Attorney General.

D. REQUESTS OF CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES FOR THE TESTIMONY OF FEDERAL PRISONERS

Because of the custodial hazards involved and the extent to which their public testimony may affect the discipline and well-being of the institution, it is the policy of the Department not to deliver Federal prisoners outside the penal institution in which they are incarcerated for the purpose of being interviewed or examined under oath by congressional committees. However, when it appears that no pending investigation or legal proceeding will be adversely affected thereby and that the public interest will not be otherwise adversely affected, Federal prisoners may be interviewed or examined under oath by congressional committees in the institution in which they are incarcerated under the following procedures, and with the specific advance approval of the Deputy Attorney General.

1. Arrangements for interviewing and taking of sworn testimony from a Federal prisoner by a committee of the Congress or the authorized representatives of such a committee shall be made in the form of a written request by the chairman of the committee to the Deputy Attorney General.

2. Such written request shall be made at least ten (10) days prior to the requested date for the interview and the taking of testimony and shall be accompanied by written evidence that authorization for the interview or the taking of sworn testimony was approved by vote of the committee. Such request shall contain a statement of the purpose and the subjects upon which the prisoner will be interrogated as well as the names of all persons other than the representatives of the Department of Justice who will be present.

3. A member of the interested committee of the Congress shall be present during the entire time of the interrogation.
4. The warden of the penal institution in which the Federal prisoner is incarcerated shall, at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the time at which the interview takes place, advise the Federal prisoner concerned of the proposed interview or taking of sworn testimony; and shall further advise that he is under the same, but no greater obligation to answer than any other witness who is not a prisoner.

5. The warden of the penal institution shall have complete authority in conformity with the requirements of security and the maintenance of discipline to limit the number of persons who will be present at the interview and taking of testimony.

6. The warden or his authorized representative shall be present at the interview and at the taking of testimony and the Department of Justice shall have the right to have one of its representatives present throughout the interview and taking of testimony.

7. The committee shall arrange to have a stenographic transcript made of the entire proceedings at committee expense and shall furnish a copy of the transcript to the Department of Justice.

E. OBSERVERS IN ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE HEARINGS

In order that the Department may be kept currently advised in matters within its responsibility, and in order that the Deputy Attorney General may properly coordinate the Department's liaison with Congress and its committees, each division that has an observer in attendance at a congressional hearing, will have the observer prepare a written summary of the proceeding which should be sent to the division head and a copy routed to the Deputy Attorney General.

/s/ Herbert Brownell, Jr.

Attorney General
TO SACS NEW YORK
BOSTON
DETROIT
LOS ANGELES

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

TOP SECRET


THE FOLLOWING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION HAS BEEN ADDRESSED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO FBIHQ FROM THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES: "...

THE FOLLOWING REQUESTS PERTAINING TO THE TECHNIQUE REFERRED TO AS 'MAIL SURVEILLANCE, INCLUDING MAIL COVERS AND OPENING MAIL' AND THE UTILIZATION OF THIS TECHNIQUE 'IN INTERNAL SECURITY, INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION, AND/OR COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS, OPERATIONS, OR ACTIVITIES':

(1) FOR ALL INCIDENTS OF MAIL OPENING OR MAIL INTERCEPT BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FROM JANUARY 1, 1960, UNTIL THE PRESENT, PLEASE STATE THE PHYSICAL LOCATION WHERE THE OPENING OR INTERCEPT WAS CONDUCTED, THE NAMES OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE OPENING OR INTERCEPT, THE TYPE OF MAIL OPENED OR INTERCEPTED,

EACH OFFICE SHOULD IMMEDIATELY REVIEW ITS FILES FOR ALL INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE SENATE COMMITTEE. NEW YORK, BOSTON, DETROIT, LOS ANGELES, SEATTLE, AND WFO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING SAM SURVEY. NEW YORK, DETROIT, AND SAN FRANCISCO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING [SUS SURVEY]. NEW YORK AND WFO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING Z COVERAGE. SAN FRANCISCO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING CHIPROP
PAGE THREE  TOP-SECRET


CLASSIFIED BY 3676, NGBS 2 AND 3, INDEFINITE.
TO SACS NEW YORK  
   BOSTON  
   DETROIT  
   LOS ANGELES  

MIAMI  
   SAN FRANCISCO  
   SEATTLE  
   WFO  

JUNE 18, 1975

FROM DIRECTOR FBI

TOP SECRET


THE FOLLOWING REQUEST FOR INFORMATION HAS BEEN ADDRESSED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL AND FROM THE ATTORNEY GENERAL TO FBIHQ FROM THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES: "... THE FOLLOWING REQUESTS PERTAINING TO THE TECHNIQUE REFERRED TO AS 'MAIL SURVEILLANCE, INCLUDING MAIL COVERS AND OPENING MAIL' AND THE UTILIZATION OF THIS TECHNIQUE 'IN INTERNAL SECURITY, INTELLIGENCE COLLECTION, AND/OR COUNTERINTELLIGENCE MATTERS, OPERATIONS, OR ACTIVITIES:' (1) FOR ALL INCIDENTS OF MAIL OPENING OR MAIL INTERCEPT BY OR ON BEHALF OF THE FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION FROM JANUARY 1, 1960, UNTIL THE PRESENT, PLEASE STATE THE PHYSICAL LOCATION WHERE THE OPENING OR INTERCEPT WAS CONDUCTED, THE NAMES OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE OPENING OR INTERCEPT, THE TYPE OF MAIL OPENED OR INTERCEPTED,

EACH OFFICE SHOULD IMMEDIATELY REVIEW ITS FILES FOR ALL INFORMATION REQUESTED BY THE SENATE COMMITTEE. NEW YORK, BOSTON, DETROIT, LOS ANGELES, SEATTLE, AND WFO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING SAM SURVEY. NEW YORK, DETROIT, AND SAN FRANCISCO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING [GUS SURVEY. NEW YORK AND WFO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING Z COVERAGE. SAN FRANCISCO SHOULD FURNISH INFORMATION CONCERNING CHIPROP
AND CHICLET. MIAMI SHOULD ADVISE IF THE INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM MI 890-S* RESULTED FROM INTERCEPT OF MAIL AND IF SO APPROPRIATE INFORMATION SHOULD BE FURNISHED. RESULTS SHOULD BE SUBMITTED BY TELETYPING, ATTENTION OF SA W. O. CREGAR, AND SHOULD REACH THE BUREAU BY JUNE 24, 1975.

CLASSIFIED BY 36767-XCDS 2 AND 3, INDEFINITE.
TO: DIRECTOR (ATTN: INTD, SA W. O. CREGAR)  
FROM: BOSTON (66-4177)  

TOP SECRET  
SENSTUDY, 1975; BUDED: JUNE 24, 1975

RE: HEADQUARTERS TELETYPE, JUNE 18, 1975, WHICH SET OUT REQUEST FOR INFORMATION ADDRESSED TO ATTORNEY GENERAL AND FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL TO FBIHQ FROM SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES:

A REVIEW OF ALL AVAILABLE FILES IN THE BOSTON OFFICE AND CONTACT WITH APPROPRIATE SUPERVISORS AND SPECIAL AGENT PERSONNEL DID NOT DISCLOSE ANY INCIDENTS OF MAIL OPENING OR MAIL INTERCEPT BY OR ON BEHALF OF FBI FROM JANUARY 1, 1969, TO PRESENT.

FURTHER, NO INCIDENTS OF MAIL COVERS THAT WERE PHYSICALLY CONDUCTED BY FBI EMPLOYEES ALONE OR IN COOPERATION WITH EMPLOYEES OF THE U. S. POSTAL SERVICE WERE DISCLOSED FROM REVIEW OF FILES AND CONTACT WITH APPROPRIATE PERSONNEL OF THE BOSTON OFFICE, FBI.

DECLASSIFIED BY STRATEGIC
CN 11/14/00
FOR INFORMATION OF FBIHQ, BOSTON FILE 65-4447,
CAPTIONED SAM SURVEY, WAS DESTROYED IN 1973. BUFILE IN
THIS MATTER IS 65-65884.
CLASSIFIED BY 6320, XGDS 2 AND 3, INDEFINITE.
END.
VLJ FBIHQ CLR
NR018 BS CODED

8:03PM NITEL JULY 24, 1975

TO: DIRECTOR 62-116395
FROM: BOSTON 66-4177
ATTN: INTD, (SA W. O. CREGAR)

SENSTUDY, 1975.

ON JULY 24, 1975, JOHN F. KEHOE, JR., (FORMER SA), COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC SAFETY, COMMONWEALTH OF MASS., ADVISED THAT ON JULY 23, 1975, HE HAD RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL FROM PAUL WALLACH, WHO STATED HE WAS A STAFF MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES. WALLACH GAVE HIS TELEPHONE NUMBER AS 202-224-1700. WALLACH ASKED KEHOE IF HE WAS A FORMER SPECIAL AGENT OF FBI AND KEHOE REPLIED IN THE AFFIRMATIVE. WALLACH THEN INQUIRED WHETHER KEHOE HAD BEEN INVOLVED IN ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE OR OTHER COVERT INTELLIGENCE GATHERING ACTIVITIES IN NEW YORK CITY IN THE 1960'S. KEHOE TOLD WALLACH THAT HE HAD BEEN AN SA ASSIGNED TO THE NEW YORK OFFICE IN 1946 AND 1947. WALLACH STATED "YOU DIDN'T GO BACK THERE TO DO SOME JOB DURING THE 60'S DID YOU?" KEHOE REPLIED IN THE NEGATIVE. WALLACH THEN
ASKED IF KEHOE KNEW OF ANY OTHER SA'S NAMED KEHOE WHO
WERE ASSIGNED TO NEW YORK OFFICE DURING THE 1960'S AND
WHO WERE NOW RETIRED. KEHOE REPLIED IN THE NEGATIVE,
AT WHICH TIME WALLACH CONCLUDED THE TELEPHONE CONVERSATION.
THE ABOVE IS FOR INFORMATION OF FBIHQ.
END
TO ALL SACs

FROM DIRECTOR FBI (62-116395)

SENSTUDY 75

REBUTED MAY 2, 1975.

PURPOSES OF INSTANT TELETYPE ARE TO (1) REITERATE THAT FBI HAS PLEDGED FULL COOPERATION WITH THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) AND WISHES TO ASSIST AND FACILITATE ANY INVESTIGATIONS UNDERTAKEN BY THE SSC WITH RESPECT TO THE FBI;

AND (2) SET FORTH NEW PROCEDURE RELATING TO SSC STAFF INTERVIEWS OF CURRENT AND FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES.

FOR INFORMATION OF THOSE OFFICES WHICH HAVE NOT PREVIOUSLY HAD CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEES IN ITS TERRITORY INTERVIEWED BY THE SSC, THE BUREAU FREQUENTLY LEARNS FROM THE SSC OR OTHERWISE THAT FORMER EMPLOYEES ARE BEING CONSIDERED FOR INTERVIEW BY THE SSC STAFF. INSTRUCTIONS ARE ISSUED FOR THE FIELD OFFICE TO CONTACT THE FORMER EMPLOYEE TO ALERT HIM AS TO POSSIBLE INTERVIEW, REMIND HIM OF HIS CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT.

SFP: 1hb 11 6
(19)

SEE NOTE PAGE 3
WITH THE BUREAU AND SUGGEST THAT IF HE IS CONTACTED FOR INTERVIEW, HE MAY CONTACT THE LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FURTHER INFORMATION. IN THE USUAL CASE, AS CIRCUMSTANCES UNFOLD, THE FORMER EMPLOYEE IS TOLD (1) THAT HE HAS A RIGHT TO LEGAL COUNSEL, BUT THAT THE BUREAU CANNOT PROVIDE SAME; (2) THAT THE BUREAU HAS WAIVED THE CONFIDENTIALITY AGREEMENT FOR THE INTERVIEW WITHIN SPECIFIED PARAMETERS; AND (3) THAT THERE ARE FOUR PRIVILEGED AREAS IN WHICH HE IS NOT REQUIRED TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. THESE AREAS ARE RELATING TO INFORMATION WHICH MAY (A) IDENTIFY BUREAU SOURCES; (B) REVEAL SENSITIVE METHODS/TECHNIQUES; (C) REVEAL IDENTITIES OF THIRD AGENCIES, INCLUDING FOREIGN INTELLIGENCE AGENCIES, OR INFORMATION FROM SUCH AGENCIES; AND (D) ADVERSELY AFFECT ONGOING BUREAU INVESTIGATIONS.

HERETOFORE, BUREAU HAS OFFERED INTERVIEWEES CONSULTATION PRIVILEGES WHEREBY A BUREAU SUPERVISOR WOULD BE AVAILABLE NEARBY, ALTHOUGH NOT ACTUALLY AT INTERVIEW, SO INTERVIEWEE MIGHT CONSULT WITH HIM SHOULD QUESTIONS ARISE AS TO PARAMETERS OF INTERVIEW OR PRIVILEGED AREAS. THE CONSULTANT DID NOT ACT AS A LEGAL ADVISOR.
EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY, BUREAU WILL NO LONGER PROVIDE ON-THE-SCENE PERSONNEL FOR CONSULTATION PURPOSES TO ASSIST EITHER CURRENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEES. PROSPECTIVE INTERVIEWEES SHOULD BE TOLD THAT, IF THEY DESIRE ASSISTANCE OF THIS NATURE DURING AN INTERVIEW, THEY MAY CONTACT EITHER PERSONALLY (IF INTERVIEW IS IN WASHINGTON, D. C.) OR BY COLLECT CALL, THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, MR. W. R. WANNALL, OR, IN HIS ABSENCE, SECTION CHIEF U. O. CREGAR.

THIS CHANGE IN PROCEDURE SHOULD NOT BE CONSTRUED AS LESSENING THE ASSISTANCE WE ARE FURNISHING TO CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES.

FOR YOUR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, I AM WORKING WITH THE DEPARTMENT IN EXPLORING AVENUES TO ARRANGE LEGAL REPRESENTATION, WHEN NECESSARY, FOR CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES WITHOUT EXPENSE TO THEM. YOU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED OF DEVELOPMENTS IN THIS REGARD.

NOTE:

Referenced 5/2/75 teletype briefly informed of our cooperation with the SSC and of our obligation to insure that sensitive sources, methods and ongoing sensitive investigations are fully protected. Change in procedure relating to consultation privileges of interviewees is intended to facilitate interviews with a minimum of disruption to ongoing Bureau operations, including its servicing of SSC requests.
TO BOSTON
CHICAGO
DETROIT
LOS ANGELES
NEWARK
NEW YORK
SAN DIEGO
SAINT LOUIS

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)
SENSTUDY 75.

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) HAS REQUESTED IDENTITIES OF ALL SUPERVISORS AND COORDINATORS FOR NEW LEFT AND BLACK EXTREMIST Cointelpros for 1967 through 1971 in recipient offices.

By NITEL September 5, 1975; Attention INTD, W. O. Cregar; furnish identities of such personnel and dates of pertinent Cointelpro assignments. If individual is still assigned to your office, so state.

END

NOTE:

Above based on SSC request dated 8/26/75, Part I, item 8, deadline 9/12/75.
5:43PM NITEL SEPTEMBER 4, 1975

TO: DIRECTOR 62-116395
FROM: BOSTON 66-4117
ATTN: INTD, W. CREGAR
SENSUDY 75.

REBUNITEL, SEPTEMBER 3, 1975.

SET OUT BELOW ARE IDENTITIES OF SUPERVISORS AND
COORDINATORS FOR NEW LEFT AND BLACK EXTREMIST COINTELPROS FOR
1967 THROUGH 1971:

NEW LEFT

SUPV. BERNARD T. MCCABE, PRESENTLY ASSIGNED BOSTON,
3, 1971; ALSO SUPERVISED BY FORMER ASAC RALPH D. RAMPTON,
ASSIGNED LOS ANGELES, JANUARY 23 TO AUGUST 24, 1970:
COORDINATORS WERE SA THOMAS D. MANNING, PRESENTLY ASSIGNED
BOSTON, MAY 15, 1968 TO JULY 15, 1968, AND JULY 15, 1968,
TO JANUARY 29, 1970; FORMER SA RICHARD H. BLASKER
(RETIRED), JUNE 20, 1975, ACTED AS COORDINATOR JUNE 15, 1968
TO JULY 11, 1969; SA JAMES A. BENBROOK, TRANSFERRED
FROM BOSTON TO LOUISVILLE DURING 1972, ACTED AS COORDINATOR
JANUARY 29, 1970 TO APRIL 30, 1970; SA LEO E. BRUNNICK

SEP 22 1975

COINTELPROS BLACK EXTREMIST

SUPERVISED BY THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS DURING DATES INDICATED:


THE FOLLOWING AGENTS ACTED AS COORDINATORS DURING PERIOD INDICATED:


END

EJF FBHQ 3.
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

SEP 05 1975

TELETYPE

NR 011 BS CODED

NR 011 BS CODED

8:20 PM NITEL SEPTEMBER 5, 1975

TO: DIRECTOR (62-116395)
FROM: BOSTON (66-4117)

ATTN: INTD. W. O. CREGAR

SENSTUDY 75

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED.

DATE 8/7/70 BY SEAN LAD

RE-BUNITEL SEPTEMBER 3, 1975, RE BOSNITEL SEPTEMBER 4 LAST.

THIS NITEL IS CORRECTED VERSION OF RE BOSNITEL.

SET OUT BELOW ARE IDENTITIES OF SUPERVISORS AND COORDINATORS
FOR "NEW LEFT" AND "BLACK EXTREMIST COINTELPROS FOR 1967 THROUGH
1971".

NEW LEFT:

SUPV. BERNARD T. MCCABE, (PRESENTLY ASSIGNED BOSTON) SUPERVISED
PROGRAM FROM MAY 15, 1968 TO JANUARY 28, 1970 AND FROM AUGUST 24, 1970
TO MAY 3, 1971.

ALSO SUPERVISED BY SAC RALPH D. RAMPTON, (NOW IN LA) FROM

COORDINATORS WERE SA THOMAS D. MANNING, (NOW ASSIGNED
BOSTON) FROM MAY 15, 1968 TO JULY 15, 1968 AND FROM JULY 1, 1969
TO JANUARY 29, 1970. RETIRED SA RICHARD H. BLASSER SERVED AS A

SEP 22 1975

PAGE 97

BLACK EXTREMIST:


END. FBIHQ 1.
TO ALEXANDRIA
Baltimore
BIRMINGHAM
BOSTON
CHICAGO
CINCINNATI
DALLAS
EL PASO
INDIANAPOLIS
JACKSON
JACKSONVILLE
LOUISVILLE
LOS ANGELES
MEMPHIS
MIAMI
NEW YORK
OKLAHOMA CITY
OMAHA
PHILADELPHIA
PHOENIX
ST. LOUIS
SAN DIEGO
SAN FRANCISCO
SAVANNAH
SEATTLE

FROM DIRECTOR (62-116395)

SENSTUDY 75


SEPTEMBER 5, 1975

PERSONAL ATTENTION

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall
1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar
1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

SFP: eks (6)

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREE IS UNCLASSIFIED

SEE NOTE PAGE 9

MAIL ROOM TELETYPING UNIT

WOC/9

1. SEP 17 1975
SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) HAS REQUESTED WHEREABOUTS OF A NUMBER OF FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES INDICATING THEY MAY BE INTERVIEWED BY THE SSC STAFF. LISTED BELOW, BY FIELD OFFICE TERRITORY, ARE THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES AND THEIR LAST KNOWN ADDRESSES AS CONTAINED IN BUREAU FILES.

INFORMATION FROM SSC INDICATES NAMES OF FORMER SAS LITRENTO AND STEWART DEVELOPED AS HAVING BEEN RESPONSIBLE FOR SUPERVISING COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE FBI AND CIA CONCERNING MAIL OPENING ACTIVITIES. ALL OTHERS IN LIST BELOW WERE EITHER SAC, ASAC, OR BOTH, DURING PERIOD 1959 - 1966 IN ONE OR MORE OF THE FOLLOWING OFFICES: BOSTON, DETROIT, LOS ANGELES, MIAMI, NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, SEATTLE, AND WASHINGTON FIELD. THEY PRESUMABLY ARE ALSO KNOWLEDGEABLE CONCERNING MAIL OPENINGS.

EACH OF THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES IS TO BE IMMEDIATELY CONTACTED AND ALERTED THAT HE MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY THE SSC STAFF FOR INTERVIEW. THE FORMER EMPLOYEE MAY, AFTER BEING CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF, CONTACT BUREAU'S LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FULL INFORMATION TO ASSIST HIM INCLUDING OBLIGATIONS AS TO CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION ACQUIRED AS
FBI EMPLOYEE. IT IS EMPHASIZED THAT BUREAU'S OFFER OF
ASSISTANCE IS NOT INTENDED TO IMPEDE SSC WORK, BUT IS DONE
AS COOPERATIVE GESTURE AND TO SAFEGUARD SENSITIVE BUREAU
INFORMATION.

CONTACTS WITH THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES TO BE HANDLED
PERSONALLY BY SAC OR ASAC. IN EVENT THIS IS NOT FEASIBLE
FOR JUST CAUSE, TO BE HANDLED BY A SENIOR SUPERVISOR.

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONTACT, RESULTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED
BUREAU BY NITEL IN ABOVE CAPTION, BRIEFLY INCLUDING REACTION
OF FORMER EMPLOYEES CONTACTED. IF A FORMER EMPLOYEE NO
LONGER IN YOUR TERRITORY OR TEMPORARILY AWAY, SET OUT LEAD TO
OTHER OFFICE IMMEDIATELY WITH COPY TO FBIHQ.

ALEXANDRIA:

V. DONALD STEWART, CRYSTAL HOUSE I, APARTMENT 202,
ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA

JAMES H. CALE, 3307 ROCKY MOUNT ROAD, FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

THOMAS E. BISHOP, 8820 STARK ROAD, ANNANDALE, VIRGINIA
Baltimore:

Anthony P. Litrento, 2810 Stonybrook Drive, Bowie, Maryland
Paul O'Connell, Jr., 2417 Stratt'n Drive, Potomac, Maryland
Donald E. Roney, 131 Cambridge Drive, Windsor Hills, Wilmington, Delaware
Victor Turyn, 2645 Turf Valley Road, Ellicott City, Maryland

Donald V. Morley, Box 222, New Market, Maryland

Birmingham:

John David Pope, Jr., 221 Remington Road, Birmingham, Alabama

Boston:

Leo L. Laughlin, 9 Everett Avenue, Winchester, Massachusetts
Edward J. Powers, 10 Colonial Drive, Bedford, New Hampshire

J. F. Desmond, 185 Franklin Street, Boston, Massachusetts
CHICAGO:

MARLIN W. JOHNSON, CANTEEN CORPORATION, THE MERCHANDISE MALL, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

HARVEY G. FOSTER, 1012 SOUTH HAMLIN, PARK RIDGE, ILLINOIS

CINCINNATI:

PAUL FIELDS, 2677 CYCLORAMA DRIVE, CINCINNATI, OHIO

RARRY J. MORAN, 5314 CLIMCREST LANE, CINCINNATI, OHIO

DALLAS:

PAUL H. STODDARD, 3014 CHATTERTON DRIVE, SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

KENNETH E. CORMONS, 2458 DOUGLAS DRIVE, SAN ANGELO, TEXAS

EL PASO:

KARL V. DISSLY, POST OFFICE BOX 9762, EL PASO, TEXAS

INDIANAPOLIS:

DILLARD W. HOWELL, 6413 CARDINAL LANE, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

ALLAN GILLIES, 8228 HOOVER LANE, INDIANAPOLIS, INDIANA

JACKSON:

WILLIAMS W. BURKE, JR., 1847 AZTEC DRIVE, JACKSON, MISSISSIPPI
JACKSONVILLE:

DONALD K. BROWN, 826 BROOKMONT AVENUE, EAST JACKSONVILLE, FLOIDA

WILLIAM M. ALEXANDER, 4857 WATER OAK LANE, JACKSONVILLE, FLOIDA

LOUISVILLE:

BERNARD C. BROWN, 2301 NEWMARKET DRIVE, N.E., LOUISVILLE, KENTUCKY

LOS ANGELES:

WILLIAM G. SIMON, 2075 LORBARDY ROAD, SAN MARINO, CALIFORNIA

WESLEY G. CRAPP, 4240 BON HOMME ROAD, WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA

ARNOLD C. LARSON, 4232 ABBINGTON COURT, WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CALIFORNIA

JOSEPH K. FONDER, 3719 CARRIAGE HOUSE COURT, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA. BUSINESS ADDRESS: 3030 SOUTH RED HILL AVENUE, SANTA ANA, CALIFORNIA
MEMPHIS:

E. HUGO WINTERROUD, 1550 NORTH PARKWAY, MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

MIAMI:

THOMAS MC ANDREWS, 324 NEAPOLITAN WAY, NAPLES, FLORIDA
FREDERICK F. FOX, 11450 W. BISCAYNE CANAL ROAD, MIAMI, FLORIDA

NEW YORK:

JOSEPH L. SCHMIT, 656 HUNT LANE, MANHASSET, NEW YORK
HENRY A. FITZGIBBON, 76 EASTON ROAD, BRONXVILLE, NEW YORK

OKLAHOMA CITY:

JAMES T. MORELAND, 108 FERN DRIVE, POTEAU, OKLAHOMA
LEE O. TEAGUE, 2501 N.W. 121ST STREET, OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA

OMAHA:

JOHN F. CALLAGHAN, IOWA LAW ENFORCEMENT ACADEMY,
CAMP DODGE, POST OFFICE BOX 130, JOHNSTON, IOWA
PHILADELPHIA:

RICHARD J. BAKER, 219 JEFFREY LANE, NEWTON SQUARE, PENNSYLVANIA

JOHN F. MALONE, 25 GARFIELD AVENUE, CARBONDALE, PENNSYLVANIA

PHOENIX:

PALMER M. BAXEN, JR., 3832 EAST YUCCA STREET, PHOENIX, ARIZONA

ST. LOUIS:

THOMAS J. GEANTY, 6630 CLAYTON ROAD #105, RICHMOND HEIGHTS, MISSOURI

WESLEY T. WALEY, 286 GREEN TRAILS DRIVE, CHESTERFIELD, MISSOURI

SAN DIEGO:

FRANK L. PRICE, 2705 TOKALON STREET, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO:

CURTIS O. LYNUM, 644 EAST HILLSDALE BOULEVARD, SAN MATEO, CALIFORNIA

HAROLD E. WELBORN, 13067 LA VISTA COURT, SARATOGA, CALIFORNIA
SAVANNAH:

TROY COLEMAN, 36 CROMWELL ROAD, WILMINGTON PARK,

SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

JOSEPH D. PURVIS, 721 DANCY AVENUE, SAVANNAH, GEORGIA

SEATTLE:

LELAND V. BOARDMAN, ROUTE 3, BOX 268, SEQUIM, WASHINGTON

RICHARD D. AUERBACH, P. O. BOX 1768, SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

JAMES E. MILNES, 4317 - 50TH AVENUE, N.E., SEATTLE,

WASHINGTON

PAUL R. BIBLER, 15134 - 38TH AVENUE, N.E., SEATTLE,

WASHINGTON

NOTE:

Referenced Bureau teletypes furnished field general background and instructions relating to our cooperation with the SSC and procedures concerning interviews of current and former employees. Former employees listed herein derived from an SSC request dated 8/19/75, to which we have responded by LHM 9/5/75 being furnished the SSC through the AG. After dispatch of instant teletype, we will arrange for copies to be filed in the respective personnel files of the former employees listed.
NR003 BS CODED
5:15PM NITEL SEPTEMBER 8, 1975
O: DIRECTOR 62-116395
FROM: BOSTON 66-4117
SENSTUDY 75.

RE/UNITEL, PERSONAL ATTENTION, SAC'S ALEXANDRIA AND SELECTED OFFICES, DATED SEPTEMBER 5, 1975 CAPTIONED AS ABOVE.

ON SEPTEMBER 8, 1975, THE FOLLOWING FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES WERE CONTACTED BY ASAC BOSTON AND PROVIDED WITH PERTINENT INFORMATION FROM REFERENCED TELETYPE:

LEO L. LAUGHLIN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR "THE 100 MASSACHUSETTS CLUB, INC."., 17 GLOUCESTER STREET, BOSTON, MASS., TELEPHONE 617-536-4410; EDWARD J. POWERS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, NEW HAMPSHIRE SWEETSTAKES COMMISSION, BEDFORD, NEW HAMPSHIRE, TELEPHONE 603-271-3391; J. F. DESMOND, GENERAL LITIGATION ATTORNEY, NEW ENGLAND TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY, BOSTON, MASS., TELEPHONE 617-743-2323.

EACH FORMER EMPLOYEE CONTACTED INTERPOSED NO OBJECTION TO CONTACT BY SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE; HOWEVER, EACH STATED HE COULD NOT RECALL HAVING ANY KNOWLEDGE CONCERNING MAIL OPENINGS AS DESCRIBED IN RETEL.

END
TO SACs, ALEXANDRIA
ATLANTA
BOSTON
DETROIT
JACKSON
JACKSONVILLE
KNOXVILLE
LOS ANGELES
MEMPHIS
NEWARK
NEW YORK
RICHMOND
ST. LOUIS
SAN DIEGO
SEATTLE

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)

SENSTUDY 75

REBUTELS MAY 2, 1975, AND SEPTEMBER 4, 1975, TO ALL
OFFICES AND BUTELS SEPTEMBER 3, 1975, TO SELECTED OFFICES
INFORMING LATTER THAT SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) HAD
REQUESTED IDENTITIES OF ALL SUPERVISORS AND COORDINATORS FOR
Cointelpros in selected offices for (1) New left and Black
Extremist, 1967 through 1973 and (2) for white hate, 1964
through 1971.

SSC also requested locations of persons named in field
responses to referenced September 3, 1975, teletypes, and
latest information in FBIHQ files has been furnished to SSC.

12 SEP 19 1975

SEE NOTE, PAGE 6
SSC STAFF MAY CONTACT CURRENT AND/OR FORMER EMPLOYEES NAMED, TO INTERVIEW THEM CONCERNING THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF COINTELPROS IN WHICH THEY HAD SUPERVISORY OR COORDINATING RESPONSIBILITIES. EACH OF THE FOLLOWING FORMER EMPLOYEES EXCEPT MESSRS. CROKE AND MCMANUS IS TO BE CONTACTED IMMEDIATELY AND ALERTED THAT HE MIGHT BE APPROACHED BY THE SSC STAFF FOR INTERVIEW. THE FORMER EMPLOYEE MAY, AFTER BEING CONTACTED BY SSC STAFF, CONTACT BUREAU'S LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL FOR FULL INFORMATION TO ASSIST HIM INCLUDING OBLIGATIONS AS TO CONFIDENTIALITY OF INFORMATION ACQUIRED AS FBI EMPLOYEE. IT IS EMPHASIZED THAT BUREAU'S OFFER OF ASSISTANCE IS NOT INTENDED TO IMPEDE SSC WORK, BUT IS DONE AS COOPERATIVE GESTURE AND TO SAFEGUARD SENSITIVE BUREAU INFORMATION.

CONTACTS WITH THESE FORMER EMPLOYEES TO BE HANDLED PERSONALLY BY SAC OR ASAC. IN EVENT THIS IS NOT FEASIBLE FOR JUST CAUSE, TO BE HANDLED BY A SENIOR SUPERVISOR.

REGARDING FORMER SAS CROKE AND MCMANUS, SSC HAS BEEN INFORMED OF THEIR POOR PHYSICAL CONDITION AND REQUESTED TO TAKE THIS INTO CONSIDERATION IN ANY ACTION CONTEMPLATED BY SSC CONCERNING THEM. WE DO NOT, HOWEVER, KNOW THAT SSC WILL NOT CONTACT THEM. NEW YORK OFFICE, IN COORDINATION WITH NEWARK SHOULD ARRANGE TO HAVE CONTACT MADE WITH CROKE AND
MCMANUS BY A FORMER ASSOCIATE TO MAKE FRIENDLY INQUIRY AS TO THEIR CURRENT CONDITION. IT IS BEING LEFT TO DISCRETION OF SAS NEW YORK AND NEWARK, BASED ON RESULTS OF SUCH INQUIRY, WHETHER CROKE AND MCMANUS SHOULD BE INFORMED REGARDING POSSIBLE CONTACT OF THEM BY SSC. FBIHQ DOES NOT DESIRE THAT THEY BE UNDULY ALARMED, BUT WOULD NOT WANT THEM SURPRISED BY CONTACT OF SSC STAFF IF THIS COULD IMPAIR THEIR HEALTH.

IMMEDIATELY AFTER CONTACT, RESULTS SHOULD BE FURNISHED BUREAU BY NITEL IN ABOVE CAPTION, ATTENTION INTD, W. O. CREGAR, BRIEFLY INCLUDING REACTION OF FORMER EMPLOYEES CONTACTED. IF A FORMER EMPLOYEE NO LONGER IN YOUR TERRITORY OR TEMPORARILY AWAY, SET OUT LEAD TO OTHER OFFICE IMMEDIATELY WITH COPY TO FBIHQ.

ALEXANDRIA:

SETH F. EIKENBERRY, 5367 SUMMIT DRIVE, FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

JESSE C. HALL, JR., 4535 EATEN PLACE, ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

ATLANTA:

CARL E. CLAIBORNE, 1866 MARY LOU LANE, S.E., ATLANTA, GEORGIA

RICHARD H. DAVIS, 1147 WILD CREEK TRAIL, ATLANTA, GEORGIA

CHARLES S. HARDING, 2243 PINECLIFF DRIVE, N. E., ATLANTA, GEORGIA
BOSTON:

RICHARD H. BLASSER, 129 ACADEMY AVENUE, WEYMOUTH, MASSACHUSETTS

FREDERICK M. CONNORS, 15 LONGFELLOW ROAD, MELROSE, MASSACHUSETTS

MICHAEL J. MCDONAGH, 28 SPRINGVALE ROAD, NORWOOD, MASSACHUSETTS

JOHN F. NOONAN, 122 VERNON ROAD, SCITUATE, MASSACHUSETTS

DETROIT:

ROBERT F. O'NEILL, 2551 IRCQUOIS, DETROIT, MICHIGAN

JACKSON:

ROY K. MOORE, 107 SWALLOW DRIVE, BRANDON, MISSISSIPPI

JACKSONVILLE:

W. HERSHEL CAVER, 3714 NORTHWEST 40TH STREET, GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA

KNOXVILLE:

IRVING R. ANDERSON, 1029 PERCH DRIVE, CONCORD, TENNESSEE

LOS ANGELES:

JOHN KEARNEY, 4140 MAYFIELD STREET, NEWBURY PARK, CALIFORNIA

RICHARD J. STILLING, 11648 AMESTOY STREET, GRANADA HILLS, CALIFORNIA
JOHN S. TEMPLE, 2145 GRENA DiER, SAN PEDRO, CALIFORNIA
MEMPHIS:

PHILIP S. ENDRES, 22 SOUTH SECOND STREET, MEMPHIS,
TENNESSEE

NEWARK:

BENJAMIN P. MC MANUS, 25 MICHAEL STREET, FORDS, NEW JERSEY

NEW YORK:

THOMAS J. CROKE, JR., 15 HOFSTRA DRIVE, GREEN LAWN, NEW YORK
JOHN J. DUNLEAVY, 10 SOUTHVIEW CT., CARLE PLACE, NEW YORK
JOSEPH H. GAMBLE, 24 GREYSTONE ROAD, ROCKVILLE CENTRE,
NEW YORK

RICHMOND:

CHARLES F. HEINER, 25 TWIN LAKE LANE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA
RANDOLPH E. TROW, 1702 RANCH DRIVE, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

JOHN H. WAGNER, 3220 BARN INGHAM ROAD, RICHMOND, VIRGINIA

SAINT LOUIS:

JOHN J. BUCKLEY, 9469 HARALD DRIVE, WOODSON TERRACE,
MISSOURI

EDMUND C. WELTON, 835 DEANDELl COURT, FERGUSON, MISSOURI
PAGE SIX
SENSTUDY 75
62-116395

SAN DIEGO:

ROBERT S. BAKER, 4268 HORTENSTIA, SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

SEATTLE:

LERCY W. SHEETS, 5725 72ND STREET, N. E., MARYSVILLE,
WASHINGTON

NOTE:

Bureau teletypes 5/2/75 and 9/4/75 furnished field general background and instructions relating to our cooperation with the SSC and procedures concerning interviews of current and former employees. After dispatch of instant teletype, we will arrange for copies to be filed in the respective personnel files of the former employees listed.
NR001 AX PLAIN

3:03 PM URGENT SEPTEMBER 18, 1975 DKL

TO: DIRECTOR (62-116395)

(ATTENTION: INTD W. O. CREGAR)

BOSTON

FROM: ALEXANDRIA (62-217) (RUC)

SENSTUDY, 1975.

REFERENCE BUREAU NITEL, SEPTEMBER 17, 1975.

REFERENCED NITEL INSTRUCTED ALEXANDRIA CONTACT JESSE C.

HALL, JR. HALL CURRENTLY RESIDES POST OFFICE BOX 142, NORTH AMERO, MASSACHUSETTS.

BOSTON HANDLE PER REFERENCED NITEL.

END.

RE: cc - CL-67-HALL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 10/2/75 DATED BY: PAUL E. SHERMAN

84 OCT 6 1975

NW 55225 DocId:32989653 Page 355

NW 65994 DocId:32176642 Page 36
TO: DIRECTOR 62-116395
FROM: BOSTON 66-4117
ATTN: INTL DP WO CREGER
SSENETTY 75.

REBUNITEL DATED SEPTEMBER 17, 1975, AND ALEXANDRIA
URGENT TELETYPE DATED SEPTEMBER 18, 1975 BOTH CAPTIONED AS
ABOVE.

RICHARD H. BLASSER, FREDERICK M. CONNORS, MICHAEL J.
MC DONAGH, AND JOHN F. NOONAN, ALL RESIDING GREATER BOSTON
AREA, AND JESSE C. HALL, JR., PO BOX 142, NORTH TRURO,
MASSACHUSETTS, TELEPHONE 487-1633, CONTACTED SEPTEMBER 18,
1975 BY ASAC, BOSTON.

ALL FORMER FBI EMPLOYEES ADVISED OF PERTINENT
PORTIONS OF REFERENCED BUREAU NITEL. EACH EXPRESSED SUPPORT
FOR BUREAU STATING THEY WOULD COOPERATE WITH ANY SENATE
SELECT COMMITTEE STAFF MEMBER IN THE EVENT THEY ARE CONTACTED
IN FUTURE; HOWEVER, STATED THEY HAD BEEN AWAY FROM BUREAU
SO LONG THEY COULD NOT RECALL ANYTHING THEY BELIEVED TO BE
OF VALUE TO THE COMMITTEE.

END.

{Signature}

agers
Connors
McDonagh
Hall
NR002 NY CODE
2:20 PM URGENT 9/23/75 PJS
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)
AND SAC, BOSTON
ATTN: SECTION CHIEF W. O. CREGAR
FROM: SAC, NEW YORK (62-15065)
SENESTUDY-75.

RE BUREAU TEL, SEPTEMBER 19, 1975, TO LA AND NY, WHICH
DIRECTED NY TO CONTACT FORMER SUPERVISOR JOHN Kearney WHO
SUPERVISED COINTELPROM-BLACK EXTREMIST CASES FROM 1968-70, AND
ALERT HIM TO SENESTUDY IN ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS IN
BUREAU TELETEYPE, SEPTEMBER 17, 1975.

KEARNEY CAN BE CONTACTED AT WELLS FARGO COMPANY,
SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS, TELEPHONE NUMBER 413-732-1122.

BOSTON HAS COPY OF BUREAU TELETEYPE, SEPTEMBER 17, 1975.
BOSTON REQUESTED TO CONTACT KEARNEY.

END.

AJN FBIHQ CLR TU
RENYTEL TO DIRECTOR AND BOSTON, SEPTEMBER 23, 1975,

REGARDING CONTACT OF FORMER SUPERVISOR JOHN KEARNY.

KEARNY TODAY CONTACTED SAC, BOSTON, WHO ALERTED
KEARNY TO SENSTUDY IN ACCORDANCE WITH INSTRUCTIONS IN BUTEL
SEPTEMBER 17, 1975.

END

VL: FBIHQ ACK FOR ONE TEL CLR TU
1023PM NITEL 9/26/75 PMJ

TO ALL SACs

FROM DIRECTOR

LEGAL ADVICE FOR PRESENT OR FORMER BUREAU EMPLOYEES.

IN RESPONSE TO OUR REQUEST, THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ADVISED THAT LEGAL REPRESENTATION FOR EMPLOYEES WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR PRELIMINARY ADVICE. SHOULD CASES ARISE WHERE A FORMER OR PRESENT EMPLOYEE REQUIRES MORE PROTRACTED AND SUBSTANTIAL LEGAL REPRESENTATION, IT IS THE POSITION OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT SPECIAL COUNSEL MAY BE RETAINED FOR SUCH EMPLOYEES AT DEPARTMENT EXPENSE. GUIDELINES ARE BEING DRAWN BY THE DEPARTMENT TO GOVERN THESE MATTERS.

HOWEVER, SHOULD THE DEPARTMENT SUBSEQUENTLY CONCLUDE THAT SUCH CASES INVOLVE MATTERS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF A PRESENT OR FORMER EMPLOYEE'S DUTIES, OTHER CONSIDERATIONS WOULD APPLY.

ALL LEGATS ADVISED SEPARATELY.

END.

HOLD
TO SAC, NEW YORK
BOSTON

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)

SENSTUDY 75.

IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
(SSC) YOU ARE REQUESTED TO SEARCH YOUR FILES FOR THE FOLLOWING
INFORMATION.

1. ALL MATERIALS PERTAINING TO THE OPENING OF MAIL TO
OR FROM GILBERT STUART AND VIRGINIA R. STUART DURING THE YEARS
1960 AND 1961 BY FBI AGENTS IN OR AROUND THE CITIES OF
NEW YORK AND PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND. (THIS REQUEST
ENCOMPASSES MATERIALS LOCATED IN FBI HEADQUARTERS, THE NEW YORK
FIELD OFFICE AND RESIDENT AGENCY IN PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND).
SPECIAL RESULTS OF YOUR REVIEW EXPEDITIOUSLY.

END

JWJ:11j
(3)

NOTE:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 11/7/75

SSC request dated 11/7/75 requested the above
investigation be conducted and results submitted expeditiously
to the SSC.
NR002 BS CODE

6:00 AM IMMEDIATE NOVEMBER 18, 1975 RCM
TO: DIRECTOR 62-116395
FROM: BOSTON 66-4117
SENSTUDY 75.

RE BUTEL NOVEMBER 17, 1975.

BOSTON INDICES CONTAIN NO REFERENCES TO VIRGINIA R. STUART.

- BOSTON FILES INDICATE GILBERT STUART, 28 PLANET STREET, PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND, WAS INTERVIEWED BY BUAGENTS ON FEBRUARY 17, 1954 AT WHICH TIME HE FURNISHED INFORMATION IN THE OWEN LATTIMORE, ESP-R, PERJURY CASE, BUFILE 1W0-24628. STUART LATER BY LETTER TO THE BOSTON OFFICE OF THE FBI DATED MARCH 18, 1954, FURNISHED A LIST OF PERSONS WHO HE ALLEGED HAD DIRECT CONTACT WITH LATTIMORE.

- BOSTON FILES DO NOT CONTAIN ANY MATERIAL PERTAINING TO OPENING OF MAIL TO OR FROM GILBERT STUART OR VIRGINIA R. STUART.

END

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREBY UNCLASSIFIED

8 4 NOV 25 1975

Records 62-116395

- J. Johnson
Mr. Church's Cover-Up

By William Safire

WASHINGTON, Nov. 19—On Oct. 10, 1963, the then-Attorney General of the United States put his personal signature on a document that launched and legitimized one of the most horrendous abuses of Federal police power in this century.

In Senator Frank Church's subcommittee hearing room this week, the unauthorized wiretapping and subsequent unauthorized butt dialing and attempted blackmailing of Martin Luther King Jr. is being gingerly examined, with the "investigation" conducted in such a way as not to unduly embarrass officials of the Kennedy or Johnson Administrations.

With great care, the committee has focused on the F.B.I. Yesterday, when the committee counsel first set forth the result of shuffling through press clips, it seemed as if no Justice Department had existed in 1962; today, an F.B.I. witness pointed out that it was Robert Kennedy who authorized the wiretap of Dr. King, and that "the President of the United States and the Attorney General specifically discussed their concern of Communist influence with Dr. King."

But the Church committee showed no zest for getting farther to the Kennedy root of precedent to Watergate sordidness. If Senator Church was willing to let the chips fall where they may, he would call some knowledgeable witnesses into the glare of the camera lights and ask them some questions that have gone unasked for thirteen years.

For example, he could call Nicholas Katzenbach, Attorney General Kennedy's deputy and successor, and ask what he knows of the Kennedy decision to wiretap Dr. King. Who at Justice concurred in the recommendation? How does the F.B.I. know the President was consulted or informed?

After Mr. Katzenbach assumed office, and the wiretapping continued, he was told by angry newsmen that the F.B.I. was leaking sordid information about Dr. King. Why did he wait for four months, and for a thousand telephone intercepts, to discontinue the officially approved tap?

Of course, this sort of testimony would erode Senator Church's political base. That is why we do not see former Assistant F.B.I. director Cochran (D.C.) Doleach, Lyndon Johnson's personal contact with the F.B.I. in the witness chair. What did President Johnson know about the character-assassination plot and when did he know it? What conversations took place between Mr. Doleach and President Johnson on the tapping of Dr. King, or about the use of the F.B.I. in other intrusions into the lives of political figures?

The committee is not asking embarrassing questions even when answers are readily available. A couple of weeks ago, at an open hearing, an F.B.I. man inadvertently started to blurt out an episode about newsmen who were wiretapping in 1962 with the apparent knowledge of Attorney General Kennedy. The too-willing witness was promptly shooshed into silence, and told that such information would be developed only in executive session. Nobody raised an eyebrow.

That pattern of containment by the Church committee is vividly shown by the handling of the buggings at the 1964 Republican and Democratic conventions which were ordered by Lyndon Johnson. Such invasions of political headquarters were worse than the crime committed at Watergate, since they involved the use of the F.B.I., but the Church investigators seem to be determined not to probe too deeply.

If F.B.I. documents say that reports were made to specific Johnson aides, why are those men not given the same opportunity to publicly tell their story so avidly given the next President's men? If Lyndon Johnson committed this impeachable high crime of using the F.B.I. to spy on political opponents, who can be brought forward to tell us all about it?

But that would cause embarrassment to Democrats, and Senator Church wants to embarrass professional employees of investigatory agencies only. A new sense of Congressional decorum exists, far from the sense of outrage expressed in the Senate Watergate committee's hearing room. When it is revealed that the management of NBC News gave press credentials to L.B.J.'s spies at the 1964 convention, everybody blushes demurely—and nobody demands to know which network executive made what decision under what pressure.

I have been haranguing patient readers for years about the double standard applied to Democratic and Republican political crimes, and had hoped the day would come when the hardball precedents set by the Kennedy and Johnson men would be laid before the public in damning detail.

Obviously, Democrat Frank Church is not the man to do it. His jaw-shaking indignation is all too selective; the trail of high-level responsibility for the crimes committed against Dr. King and others is evidently going to be allowed to cool.

Pity. You'd think that after all the nation has been through in the past few years, our political leaders would have learned that the one thing that brings you down is the act of covering up.
NR950 WA PLAIN
3:05PM NITEL 12/10/75 GHS
TO ALL SACS
FROM DIRECTOR
DIRECTOR'S APPEARANCE BEFORE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES, DECEMBER 10, 1975

A COPY OF THE STATEMENT I DELIVERED BEFORE THE SENATE
SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES TODAY HAS BEEN
SENT ALL OFFICES. FOR YOUR INFORMATION, THERE FOLLOWS A
SYNOPSIZED ACCOUNT OF THE MAJOR AREAS OF THE COMMITTEE'S
QUESTIONS TO ME, TOGETHER WITH MY RESPONSES:

1) REGARDING FBI INFORMANTS, QUESTIONS WERE ASKED
WHETHER COURT APPROVAL SHOULD BE REQUIRED FOR FBI USE OF
INFORMANTS IN INVESTIGATIONS OF ORGANIZATIONS (MY RESPONSE
WAS THAT THE CONTROLS WHICH EXIST TODAY OVER USE OF INFORMANTS
ARE SATISFACTORY); HOW CAN FBI KEEP INFORMANTS OPERATING
WITHIN PROPER LIMITS SO THEY DO NOT INVADE RIGHTS OF OTHER
PERSONS (MY RESPONSE WAS THAT RELIANCE MUST BE PLACED ON THE
INDIVIDUAL AGENTS HANDLING INFORMANTS AND THOSE SUPERVISING
THE AGENTS' WORK, THAT INFORMANTS WHO VIOLATE THE LAW CAN BE

1. SAC
2. ASAC
3. Sec. Supvr
4. Desk 4
5. Desk 5
6. Desk 6
7. LONC

[Signature]

[Date] 11/63-95 - 11/79

[Redaction]
PAGE TWO

PROSECUTED -- AS CAN ANY AGENT WHO COUNSELS AN INFORMANT TO
COMMIT VIOLATIONS; AND DID FORMER KLAN INFORMANT GARY ROWE
TESTIFY ACCURATELY WHEN HE TOLD THE COMMITTEE ON DECEMBER 2
THAT HE INFORMED FBI OF PLANNED ACTS OF VIOLENCE BUT FBI
DID NOT ACT TO PREVENT THEM (MY RESPONSE WAS THAT ROWE'S
TESTIMONY WAS NOT ACCURATE).

(2) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING IMPROPER
CONDUCT BY FBI EMPLOYEES, I STATED THAT ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF
LAW BY FBI PERSONNEL SHOULD BE INVESTIGATED BY THE FBI OR
OTHER APPROPRIATE AGENCY; THAT THE INSPECTION DIVISION HAS
CONDUCTED INQUIRIES REGARDING ALLEGATIONS OF MISCONDUCT;
THAT AN OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY HAS JUST
BEEN ESTABLISHED IN THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, AND WE WILL ADVISE
THAT OFFICE OF OUR MAJOR INVESTIGATIONS OF DEPARTMENTAL PERSONNEL,
INCLUDING FBI EMPLOYEES, FOR ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF LAW, REGULATIONS,
OR STANDARDS OF CONDUCT; THAT I WOULD RESERVE COMMENT
REGARDING POSSIBLE CREATION OF A NATIONAL INSPECTOR GENERAL
TO CONSIDER MATTERS OF MISCONDUCT BY EMPLOYEES OF ANY FEDERAL
AGENCY.
(3) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS CONCERNING HARASSMENT OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., I STATED THAT THE PERSONS WHO ISSUED THE ORDERS WHICH RESULTED IN SUCH HARASSMENT SHOULD FACE THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR IT, RATHER THAN THOSE UNDER THEM WHO CARRIED OUT SUCH ORDERS IN GOOD FAITH; THAT THE FBI STILL HAS RECORDINGS RESULTING FROM ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCES OF KING; THAT WE RETAIN RECORDINGS FOR TEN YEARS BUT WE ALSO HAVE AGREED TO A REQUEST FROM THE SENATE NOT TO DESTROY INFORMATION IN OUR FILES WHILE CONGRESSIONAL INQUIRIES ARE BEING CONDUCTED; THAT I HAVE NOT REVIEWED THE KING TAPES; THAT IF THE COMMITTEE REQUESTED TO REVIEW THE KING TAPES, THE REQUEST WOULD BE REFERRED TO THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

(4) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS REGARDING WHETHER IT WOULD BE ADVANTAGEOUS TO SEPARATE THE FBI CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES AND OUR INTELLIGENCE FUNCTIONS, I STATED THAT WE HAVE FOUND THE TWO AREAS TO BE COMPATIBLE, AND I FEEL THE FBI IS DOING A SPLENDID JOB IN BOTH AREAS.

(5) IN RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS CONCERNING THE ADEQUACY OF CONTROLS ON REQUESTS FROM THE WHITE HOUSE AND FROM OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES FOR FBI INVESTIGATIONS OR FOR INFORMATION
PAGE FOUR

FROM OUR FILES, I STATED THAT WHEN SUCH REQUESTS ARE MADE ORALLY, THEY SHOULD BE CONFIRMED IN WRITING; THAT WE WOULD WELCOME ANY LEGISLATIVE GUIDELINES THE CONGRESS FEELS WOULD PROTECT THE FBI FROM THE POSSIBILITY OF PARTISAN MISUSE.

A FULL TRANSCRIPT OF THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS WILL BE FURNISHED TO EACH OFFICE AS SOON AS IT IS AVAILABLE.

ALL LEGATS ADVISED SEPARATELY.

END

DM B FBI BUFFALO FOR TWO AND CLEAR
FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

JAN 08 1976

TELEYTYPE

MR. PLAIN
8:00PM NTFL JANUARY 8, 1976 MGC

TO: DIRECTOR
FROM: BOSTON
ATTN: INTD, M. O. CREGAP
SENSTUDY 75.

RF BUREAU TELEPHONE CALL TO BOSTON JANUARY 8, 1976.

MR. LAURENCE P. KENNAN, 6 LISA BETH DRIVE, DOVER, NEW HAMPSHIRE, 03826, TELEPHONE 603-749-0365, CONTACTED JANUARY 8, 1976 AND WAS ADVISED OF CAPTIONED GROUP'S DESIRE TO INTERVIEW HIM. KENNAN INVITED TO CONTACT FRIHO, OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, BY COLLECT TELEPHONE CALL FOLLOWING CONTACT BY SENSTUDY TO RESOLVE ANY QUESTION OF CONFIDENTIALITY. KENNAN EXPRESSED VERY COOPERATIVE ATTITUDE AND STATED HE HAD NO OBJECTION TO SUCH AN INTERVIEW AND WOULD CALL FRIHO IF CONTACTED.

END.

REC-14 67-47313 KE

5 JAN 15 1976

ST. 115.
TO SAC BOSTON
FROM DIRECTOR FBI

1 - Mr. Walsh
1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly

REBUCAL 2-23-76.

THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE HAS REQUESTED THAT
SA FRANCIS T. LEONARD BE MADE AVAILABLE IN WASHINGTON, D. C.,
FOR INTERVIEW BY THAT COMMITTEE ON 2-25-76. THE INTERVIEW
WILL CONCERN HIS KNOWLEDGE OF THE BUREAU'S INVESTIGATION
OF MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR., THE SOUTHERN CHRISTIAN
LEADERSHIP CONFERENCE, AND STANLEY DAVID LEVISON. SA LEONARD
SHOULD ARRANGE TO ARRIVE IN WASHINGTON IN TIME TO BE
BRIEFED BY REPRESENTATIVES OF THE LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION
AND INTELLIGENCE DIVISION AT 9:00 A.M., 2-25-76, IN
ROOM 3658, JEH BUILDING.

1 - Personnel File SA Francis T. Leonard

NOTE: Michael Epstein, Senate Select Committee staff
member, made the request for the interview of Leonard.
This communication will serve as approval for the waiver
of existing employment agreement signed by SA Leonard for
purposes of this interview. Referenced phone call alerted
ASAC Richard Strain of this request.
On Friday, February 27, 1976, at approximately 10:30 A.M., Special Agent Francis T. Leonard, who is currently assigned to the Boston Division, met with SSC Staff Member Michael Epstein in a room on the sixth floor of the Old Senate Office Building, Washington, D.C.

Special Agent Leonard was not provided with a warning as to his rights nor was he placed under oath at the outset of the interview.

The only two occupants of the room at the outset of this interview were Leonard and Epstein. Epstein ascertained from Leonard that he had entered on duty as a Special Agent with the FBI on August 6, 1951, and had been assigned to the New York Office from April 1955 to May 1967. Leonard stated that during the period from 1955 through approximately 1964, his work entailed investigations regarding the internal security of the United States.

Epstein inquired as to what role Leonard played in the investigation of one Stanley David Levison. Leonard replied that he was the case agent of the Stanley David Levison investigation during the late 1950s and early 1960s although he could not be sure of the exact years because of the extensive period of time that has elapsed since he was involved in that investigation. Epstein queried Leonard as to his recollection of how he became the case agent in the Levison case, and Leonard replied that to the best of his recollection the Levison case was reopened and assigned to him based on new information that Levison was a secret member of the Communist Party of the United States (CPUSA).
At this point Epstein stated wasn't it in fact Levison's association with Martin Luther King, Jr., that led to the reopening of the case and Leonard replied that he was positive that was not the reason for the reopening of the case but rather information regarding Levison's activities with the CPUSA. Epstein inquired as to specifically what these activities of Levison's were and Leonard refused to reveal them because he felt that by so doing, he may jeopardize the security of a highly reliable source of the Bureau that had furnished the information regarding Levison. Leonard further explained that he felt this would be in violation of the agreement between the Bureau and the Committee if he should reveal this information.

Epstein then showed Special Agent Leonard a memorandum bearing his name to the SAC, New York, dated August 22, 1962, entitled Stanley David Levison and asked Leonard if he recalled the memo. Special Agent Leonard stated that he did not recall the memo but that undoubtedly it was his memo and appeared to be a characterization of Stanley David Levison. Epstein then inquired as to what the procedure was for formulating such a characterization and it was explained to him by Special Agent Leonard to the best of his knowledge. At this point Epstein stated that he would prefer to continue the interview with the presence of a court stenographer and asked if Special Agent Leonard had any objection to that. Special Agent Leonard replied that he had no objection to a court stenographer being present. At this point the interview was terminated at approximately 11:05 A.M.

The interview was resumed at 11:25 A.M. in the same room with the court stenographer present and all the information discussed in the first interview was repeated for the benefit of the court stenographer up to and including the discussion regarding the characterization of Stanley David Levison dated August 22, 1962. At that point in the second interview, Epstein held up a report on Martin Luther King, Jr., written by Special Agent John Elliott of the New York Office. Epstein asked Leonard if he knew Elliott and he replied that he did. He did not make the report available to Leonard but Epstein then
asked Leonard if he was aware of any relationship between Stanley David Levison and Martin Luther King prior to Leonard being assigned the case Agent of the Levison investigation. Leonard replied that he was not. At this point Epstein referred to page 17 of Elliott's report and gave the following four dates: December 26, 1956; September 24, 1958; January 18, 1959; and May 6, 1960; on which it was reported by sources of the FBI that there was a relationship between Stanley David Levison and Martin Luther King, Jr.

At this point Epstein produced another memorandum written by Special Agent Leonard to the SAC, New York, dated July 26, 1963, which was another characterization of Stanley David Levison stating that he was a secret member of the CPUSA. Epstein asked Leonard if he recalled writing such a memorandum and he replied that although he did not recall it, obviously it was a memorandum written by him at that time. Again Epstein and Leonard discussed the administrative procedure that would be used by the New York Office to make up a characterization card regarding an individual. During this portion of the conversation, Epstein asked Leonard if he knew the identity of the Agent who handled the highly reliable source that was used to characterize Stanley David Levison. Leonard stated that he did know the identity of the Agent but refused to disclose it because by so doing it could lead to the identification of this highly reliable source of information. At this point Epstein asked Leonard what he meant when he said Levison was a secret member of the Communist Party and Leonard pointed out to Epstein that it was not Leonard but the source of this information who described Levison as a secret member of the Communist Party and that Leonard was merely repeating that for the purpose of making a characterization of Levison. Epstein then asked Leonard what his definition was of a secret member of the CP and Leonard said he could only give his own definition because he was not aware of the criteria that Bureau headquarters or the New York Office would have for such a definition. Epstein stated that he desired Leonard to give his own definition which he furnished as follows:

SECRET
1. An individual that would not openly take part in any CPUSA function such as a national convention or state or district convention.

2. An individual who would not be carried on any membership list.

3. Not to be a card carrying member of the CP.

4. Not to be publicly associated with any known members of the CP, socially or otherwise.

Leonard further stated that a secret member would be an individual who adhered to and supported the principle of the CPUSA, that is, to overthrow the United States Government by force and violence and that a secret member would make a contribution to the CPUSA in order that they could accomplish that goal whether it be financial or otherwise.

Epstein then asked if the FBI made any effort to establish Stanley David Levison's connection with the CPUSA other than that which was furnished by the highly reliable source referred to earlier in this memorandum. Leonard stated that there was a constant effort made by physical surveillances and contact with other sources of information to determine a relationship between Stanley Levison and other CP members. Epstein asked if Leonard participated in any physical surveillances of Stanley Levison and Leonard stated that he did recall taking part in such physical surveillances but could not recall the results of these surveillances.

Epstein and Leonard then discussed memoranda regarding technical surveillances of Stanley David Levison in very general terms. Epstein asked if Leonard could recall any evidence of Stanley Levison influencing the civil rights movement at the direction of the CPUSA and Special Agent Leonard answered that he could not.

The interview was terminated with two last questions, one regarding the fact that if Special Agent Leonard had
knowledge that microphones were installed at one time or another in the hotel rooms of Martin Luther King and Leonard answered that he had recollection of general information to that effect and, secondly, did Special Agent Leonard know William Sullivan (presumably former Assistant Director William Sullivan) and Special Agent Leonard replied his only personal relationship with Sullivan was when Sullivan lectured to Leonard's training class in 1951.

The interview was terminated at approximately 1:35 P. M. on February 27, 1978.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.
TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (Attention: INTD - S. F. PHILLIPS)

FROM: SAC, BOSTON (66-4117)

SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75

ReButel to Boston 12/23/75 informing that the Senate Select Committee (SSC) had requested SA FRANCIS T. LEONARD be made available for interview by that committee.

Enclosed herewith is the original and eight copies of an LHM reflecting SA LEONARD's interview by SSC Staff Member MICHAEL EPSTEIN in Washington, D. C., on 2/27/76.

2 - Bureau (Encs. 9)
1 - Boston
FTL/bbr
(3)
Routing Slip
0-7 (Rev. 12-17-73)

TO: SAC:

- Albany
- Albuquerque
- Alexandria
- Anchorage
- Atlanta
- Baltimore
- Birmingham
- Boston
- Buffalo
- Butte
- Charlotte
- Chicago
- Cincinnati
- Cleveland
- Columbia
- Dallas
- Denver
- Detroit
- El Paso
- Honolulu

- Houston
- Indianapolis
- Jackson
- Jacksonville
- Kansas City
- Knoxville
- Las Vegas
- Little Rock
- Los Angeles
- Louisville
- Memphis
- Milwaukee
- Minneapolis
- Mobile
- Newark
- New Haven
- New Orleans
- New York City
- Norfolk

- Oklahoma City
- Omaha
- Philadelphia
- Phoenix
- Pittsburgh
- Portland
- Richmond
- Sacramento
- Salt Lake City
- San Antonio
- San Diego
- San Francisco
- San Juan
- Savannah
- Seattle
- Springfield
- Tampa
- Washington Field
- Quantico

TO LEGAT:

- Beirut
- Bern
- Bonn
- Brasilia
- Buenos Aires
- Caracas
- Hong Kong
- London
- Madrid
- Manila
- Mexico City
- Ottawa
- Paris
- Rome
- Singapore
- Tel Aviv
- Tokyo

RE: SENSTUDY 75

Date 3/18/76

ReBSairtel 3/2/76, captioned as above.

- For information
- optional
- action
- Surep, by

- The enclosed is for your information. If used in a future report, conceal all sources, paraphrase contents.

- Enclosed are corrected pages from report of SA dated

Remarks:

LHM enclosed with referenced airtel has been classified "Secret," Category 2, Classifying Officer 2047, Indefinite. Boston should classify its copy accordingly.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

11-8-66 by 32-ALM 101

Enc.
Bufile 62-116395
Urfile 66-4117