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When the Central Intelligence Agency forwarded to the Warren Commission a copy of Duran’s signed statement. It read as follows:

...she remembered...(that Lee Harvey Oswald) was the name of an American who had come to the Cuban Consulate to obtain a visa to travel to Cuba in transit to Russia, the latter part of September or the early part of October of this year, and in support of his application had shown his passport, in which it was noted that he had lived in that country for a period of three years; his labor card from the same country written in the Russian language; and letters in that same language. He had presented evidence that he was married to a Russian woman, and also that he was apparently the leader of an organization in the city of New Orleans called "Fair *** (Play) for Cuba," claiming that he should be accepted as a "friend" of the Cuban Revolution. Accordingly, the declarant, complying with her duties, took down all of the information and completed the appropriate application form; and the declarant, admittedly exceeding her responsibilities, informally telephoned the Russian consulate, with the intention of doing what she could to facilitate issuance of the Russia visa to Lee Harvey Oswald. However, they told her that there would be a delay of about four months in processing the case, which annoyed the applicant since, according to his statement, he was in a great hurry to obtain visas that would enable him to travel to Russia, insisting on his right to do so in view of his background and his loyalty and his activities in behalf of the Cuban movement. The declarant was unable to recall accurately whether or not the applicant told her he was a member of the Communist Party, but he did say that his wife*** was then in New York City, and would follow him.*** (Senora Duran stated) that when Oswald understood that it was not possible to give him a Cuban visa without his first having obtained the Russian visa, *** he became very excited or
angry, and accordingly, the affiant called Consul Ascue (sic),*** (who) came out and began a heated discussion in English with Oswald, that concluded by Ascue telling him that "if it were up to him, he would not give him the visa," and "a person of his type was harming the Cuban Revolution rather than helping it," it being understood that in their conversation they were talking about the Russian Socialist Revolution and not the Cuban. Oswald maintained that he had two reasons for requesting that his visa be issued promptly, and they were: one, that his tourist permit in Mexico was about to expire; and the other, that he had to get to Russia as quickly as possible. Despite her annoyance, the declarant gave Oswald a paper*** in which she put down her name, "Silvia Duran," and the number of the telephone at the consulate, which is "11-28-47" and the visa application was processed anyway. It was sent to the Ministry of (Foreign) Relations of Cuba; from which a routine reply was received some fifteen to thirty days later, approving the visa, but on the condition that the Russian visa be obtained first, although she does not recall whether or not Oswald later telephoned her at the Consulate number that she gave him.

The Central Intelligence Agency had relied on Duran's statements but had deleted Duran's description of Oswald as blonde and short. It had also excised Duran's statement, "The only aid she could give Oswald was advising that he see the Soviet Consul, and calling the person in charge of that office" which alluded to Oswald asking for some type of aid at the Cuban Consulate. Had the statements been included, the Warren Commission's conclusions would not have seemed as strong.
The Warren Commission Staff was not completely satisfied with Ms. Duran's ten page signed statement obtained by the Mexican authorities. W. David Sloas

wrote:

We then discussed ... the problem of (inter-
viewing) Silvia Duran. We pointed out that our only interest in witnesses (in Mexico) other than Duran was to get their formal testi-

mony for authentication purposes.... This was not true of Duran, however, because she had been interviewed only by the Mexican police and we considered that interview inadequate. (I should point out that we do not consider it totally inadequate, however. It is only on details such as Oswald's physical appearance, side comments or remarks he may have made, etc., that we would like to interrogate Mrs. Duran further. On the essential point of whether or not his contacts with the Embassy consisted of anything other than an attempt to travel to Cuban, Silvia Duran's knowledge has probably been exhausted. )

(Memorandum for the Record re: Trip to Mexico City, from W. David Sloas, 4/22/64, pp. 39-40. Last parenthetical is the original.) The Warren Commission staff's attempts to interview Ms. Duran never succeeded. (Memorandum for the Record re: Trip to Mexico City, from W. David Sloas, 4/22/64.) Ms. Duran was not interviewed by Americans until 1976, when two reporters from the Washington Post interviewed her. (On June 6, 1978, representatives of the House Select Committee on Assassinations inter-

viewed Ms. Duran in Mexico City.)
VI. Information not available at the time of the
Warren Commission INVESTIGATION
A. Silvia Tirado (nee Duran)

1. House Select Committee on Assassinations 6/6/78
   Interview of Silvia Tirado

Ms. Tirado (Duran divorced Horatio Duran in 1968)
was never questioned by American officials in 1963. Thus,
the Committee established contact with the Mexican go-

government and requested that the Mexican government make

Silvia Triado available for an interview. (Letter HSCA
to Mexican government, 3PR Doc. 3)

The Mexican government complied on 6/6/78. Ms. Tirado
told the House Select Committee on Assassinations the
following:

Lee Harvey Oswald visited the Cuban Cons-
sulate three times on September 27, 1963, not
twice as the Warren Commission previously re-
ported. (Interview of Silvia Tirado, 6/6/78,
3PR Doc. 3 p.) Oswald first visited the
Cuban Consulate at approximately 11:00 a.m.,
requesting an intransit visa to Cuba with Russia
as the final destination. He showed her some documents, then, left to ob-
tain photographs needed for his application.
Oswald returned at approximately 1:00 p.m. with four photographs. Ms. Tirado typed the application in duplicate, stapled a picture on top of each and had Oswald sign each in her presence. As identification, Oswald showed her documents he had brought: his Russian labor card, marriage certificate with the name of his Russian wife, his American Communist Party membership card and his "Fair Play for Cuba" membership card.

Ms. Tirado found Lee Harvey Oswald's behavior suspicious because normally a Communist traveled only with his passport as belonging to the Communist Party was illegal in Mexico in 1963.

There was a procedure whereby the American Communist Party would arrange visa matters for their members with the Cuban Communist Party. The American would then come to Mexico, visit the Cuban Consulate, and receive his visa immediately. When Tirado asked Oswald why he did not have the American Communist Party arrange his trip to Cuba, he stated that he had not had the time.
After explaining to Oswald that he had to acquire a Russian visa before he could receive a Cuban visa, Tirado jotted her name and business phone number on a piece of paper and gave it to Oswald who then left to get his Russian visa. (Ibid., p. 548, 549)

Oswald returned to the Cuban Consulate between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., which was after normal working hours, 10:00 to 2:00 p.m. (Ibid., p. 543) The guard called Tirado, stating that someone who did not speak Spanish was at the gate inquiring about a visa. (Ibid., p. 543) As routine procedure, she asked the guard to escort the individual to her office. (Ibid., p. 544) Oswald told her that he had acquired a Russian visa.

(Ibid., p. 544.) Since he did not produce it when asked, she called the Russian Consulate. (Ibid., p. 545.) The Consul told Duran that Oswald had been to the Consulate requesting a visa and had been told that the reply would take approximately four months. (Ibid., p. 545.) When she relayed the message to Oswald, he got very excited, insisting that as a person who had been in jail because of the Cuban Revolution he should receive a visa. (Ibid., p. 546) Oswald stated that he could not wait that long because his Mexican visa expired in three days. (Ibid., p. 546) At this point, Ms. Tirado informed Consul,
Eusebio Azcue of the situation. (Ibid., p. 546.) Azcue had been in his private office which he shared with his upcoming replacement, Alfredo Mirabal. (Ibid., 737) Azcue politely explained the requisites for an intransit visa to Oswald. (Ibid., p. 644.) When he noticed that Oswald was a stubborn man he told Oswald that he was obviously not a friend of the Cuban revolution because he would otherwise understand that Cuba had to be extremely careful with the people it allowed in the country. (Ibid., p. 551.) Azcue and Oswald yelled at each other. (Ibid., p. 551.) Then Azcue went to the door, opened it and asked Oswald to leave. (Ibid., p. 554.) Oswald did not revisit or telephone the Consulate. (Ibid., p. 551.) Ms. Tirado described Lee Harvey Oswald as approximately five feet six, with blonde hair, weighing about 125 pounds. (Ibid., p. 56.)

2. CIA information not available at the time of the Warren Commission investigation.

a. LIRING/3 allegation.

In 1967 a report that Silvia Duran had had intimate relations with Lee Harvey Oswald came to the attention of the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (Ibid., pp. 131, 132) The source, LIRING/3, (a source the CIA rated extremely reliable) stated that he had recently
received a call from Silvia Duran. A telephone tap on LIRING/3's telephone confirmed that the call had been made. LIRING/3 reported that he had visited Silvia to renew acquaintances. During the visit, Duran told LIRING/3 that she had met Lee Harvey Oswald at the Cuban Consulate when he applied for a visa and had dated him on several occasions. Duran admitted that she had intimate relations with Oswald, but insisted that she had no idea of his plans. In addition, Duran told LIRING/3 that when the news of the assassination became public knowledge, the Mexican government arrested her and during the interrogation beat her until she admitted that she had had an affair with Lee Harvey Oswald. Rowton (LIRING/3's case officer's pseudonym) counseled LIRING/3 against any further contact with Duran because the Cubans or the Mexican police might become suspicious of him. There is no indication in Rowton's report as to why contact with Silvia Duran would make the Mexican police suspicious of the agent. The report also notes that Ms. Duran says that she has not had contact with the Cubans since the time of the assassination. There is no indication in the report as to why LIRING/3's contact with Duran would make the Cubans suspicious.

The CIA Mexico City Station reported this information to Headquarters:

First that Silvia Duran had sexual intercourse
with Lee Harvey Oswald on several occasions when the latter was in Mexico is probably new, but adds little to the Oswald case. Second the Mexican police did not report the extent of the Duran-Oswald relationship to this Station.  

This was not the first report of such a relationship between Oswald and Duran. Elena Garro reported the same information to Charles Thomas in 1965. (See Section II. B. below.) In the chronology of the Mexico City investigation of Oswald, Ann Cooper notes: "Why didn't Mexi police give us all info?" (WK 7241, Entity no. 613.)

That the Mexican government did not disclose all the information in their possession to American authorities raises one of two possibilities:

1) the Mexican government did not want to disclose that one of their citizens had had intimate relations with the assassin of John Kennedy; or

2) Silvia Duran was a Mexican penetration agent in the Cuban Consulate and the Mexican government was protecting their informant by minimizing her relationship with Oswald.

b. The possibility that Silvia Duran was an agent for either American, Mexican or Cuban Intelligence.

Since the publication of the Warren Commission Report in September 1964, critics have written about the possibility that Silvia Duran was an intelligence
agents for either the Americans, Mexicans or Cubans.

(1) was Silvia Duran an agent for Mexican or American intelligence?

In an effort to resolve this question, the House Select Committee reviewed the United States investigative agencies' files on Silvia Duran. The Committee found no evidence in this file review that Silvia Duran was either an American or Mexican intelligence agent.

In addition, the Committee has interviewed most of the Mexico City Station employees about the possibility. Only David Phillips, Chief of Covert Action and the Cuban Section in the Mexico City Station in 1963, considered that Duran was possibly an agent. Mr. Phillips stated that "at one time the agency pitched almost everyone at the Cuban Embassy." (Phillips interview, 2/2/64.)

Phillips stated that he had first heard Duran's name from the telephone intercept transcripts. But Mr. Phillips asserted that the CIA had no interest in Ms. Duran because "She wasn't friendly with anyone." (Ibid.) Mr. Phillips had previously mentioned the CIA's interest in recruiting a former Cuban Ambassador to Mexico named Ichuga. Mr. Phillips was shown a memorandum written by W. David Slawson of the Warren Commission staff regarding a trip to Mexico.
which said:

Mr. Scott's (Chief of the CIA Station in Mexico City) narrative disclosed that the CIA's action immediately after the assassination consisted basically of alerting all its confidential sources of information throughout Mexico to immediately channel all information into their headquarters, and of compiling as complete dossiers as possible on Oswald and everyone else throughout Mexico who at that time the CIA knew had had some contact with Oswald. This meant especially Silvia Duran, who because she had previously been having an affair with Lechuga, the former Cuban Ambassador to Mexico and presently the Cuban representative at the United Nations, had previously been of substantial interest to the CIA.

(Memorandum for the Record re Trip to Mexico City, from W. David Stawson, 4/22/64, pp. 28-33. Emphasis added.)

Mr. Phillips was surprised by this and stated that "No one let me in on this operation." (Phillips 757 But Mr. Phillips added that he doubted that Duran would have been pitched because the Station could not identify any of her weaknesses. The Committee staff members then told Mr. Phillips about the reporting on file about Ms. Duran, from one of the Station's penetration agents, Litamil/9, at the Cuban Embassy. At one point Litamil/9 had reported to his case officer that all that would have to be done to recruit Ms. Duran was to get a blonde, blue-eyed American in bed with her.

With this, Mr. Phillips said that it did indeed sound as if the Station had targeted Ms. Duran for recruitment, that the Station's interest had been substantial, and that
the weaknesses and means had been identified. Mr. Phillips pointed out, however, that because Duran had been targeted did not necessarily mean that she had been pitched.

In addition, he stated that he had never heard that Duran had been pitched.

Mr. Phillips did state that he would expect that Ms. Duran's file at the CIA would be "very thick" because of all the telephone intercepts that concerned her and the substantial interest that the Station had in her.

He stated that much of the material in her file should predate the assassination. Mr. Phillips stated that he would be very surprised if Ms. Duran's 201 file was small and contained only a few pre-assassination documents. This is in fact the case of the Headquarters 201 file.

The Committee has asked the CIA to make Ms. Duran's Mexican "P" (personality) file available for review. The CIA informed the Committee that there was no "P" file available on Ms. Duran.

Another CIA employee, Dan Neiscur, who worked on an "Oswald Task Force" in late September or early October of 1975 dealing with Freedom of Information Act law suits brought against the agency concerning the files on Lee Harvey Oswald stated that he believed that Ms. Duran
may have been a source of information for either the CIA or the Mexicans. Mr. Neiscur could not recall why he specifically had this recollection, but thought that it was due to something he had seen in Oswald's file. He said that it may have been the Agency's attempts to protect Ms. Duran after the assassination and the heavy cable traffic that those attempts generated that led him to his inference that she was a source of information for either the Agency or the Mexicans.

Despite LIRING/3's being warned off Ms. Duran by his case officer, Mr. Phillips statements, and Mr. Neiscur's hazy recollections, the Committee can not definitely resolve whether Silvia Duran was a Mexican or American intelligence agent or source.

(2) was Silvia Duran a Cuban intelligence agent?

In an effort to resolve this question, the HSCA reviewed the United States investigative agencies' files on Silvia Duran. The Committee found no evidence in the files that would indicate that Ms. Duran was associated with Cuban intelligence.

In addition, the HSCA interviewed most of the Mexico City Station employees about the possibility that Duran worked for Cuban intelligence. Only Barney Hidalgo, a CIA officer who travelled to Mexico City in 1963, considered the possibility to be likely. Mr. Hidalgo,
not professing to remember all the details, stated that he thought that Duran was a Cuban intelligence agent. Hidalgo said:

At the time when this contact told me of Silvia Duran I tied the two together, yes, sir. I don't know, how at that time it was obvious to me as an intelligence agent that there was some connection there but it was of no interest whatsoever to me, I do remember that when I next saw this contact of mine I mentioned the fact to him and let him proceed to do whatever he wanted to.

Mr. Hidalgo further stated that he never resolved the issue.

With no corroborating evidence for Mr. Hidalgo's memory, the Committee must conclude that Silvia Duran was probably never employed by Cuban intelligence.

B. The Cubans.

1. Eusebio Azcue Lopez.

When Lee Harvey Oswald allegedly visited the Cuban Consulate, Eusebio Azcue Lopez, a Cuban citizen, was the Cuban Consul. Because he had diplomatic immunity, the Cuban government had never been asked to make him available for questioning.
In an effort to investigate contacts with the Cuban government, the Committee asked the Cuban government to make Eusebio Azcue available for Committee and staff interviews. (Letter from HSCA to Cuban government, 12/21/73.) The Cuban government complied with the Committee's request on April 1, 1976.

During that interview, Mr. Azcue alleged that the man shot in the Dallas Police Station was not the same individual who visited the Cuban Consulate in 1963. (HSCA Interview of Eusebio Azcue, April 1, 1976, pp. 12-21.) In addition, Mr. Azcue stated that Alfredo Mirabal, who in September 1963, had recently arrived from Cuba to assume the Consul's duties, had also been present during Oswald's visit. (Ibid., p. ) The Committee traveled to Cuba a second time to interview Alfredo Mirabal. (See Cuba procedural write-up, trip 2.) Subsequent to this second trip to Havana, the Committee asked the Cuban government to make Eusebio Azcue and Alfredo Mirabal available for the public hearings on September 18, 1976. (Letter HSCA to Cuban government, 7/8/76.) The Cuban government complied with the Committee's request.
Eusebio Azcue Lopez told the House Select Committee on Assassinations at the public hearing on 9/18/78 the following:

An individual who gave the name Lee Harvey Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate on three occasions in late September and early October 1963. (Mr. Azcue could not pinpoint the exact dates of the visit.) (Public Hearing in Testimony of Eusebio Azcue Lopez, 9/18/78, pp. 780-781.) The individual first visited the Cuban Consulate during working hours, requesting an intransit visa to Cuba with Russia as the final destination. The man showed the secretary, Silvia Duran, some documents (communist party membership card, Fair Play for Cuba membership card, Soviet Union residence card, marriage certificate with the name of his Russian wife) which he believed would be sufficient to obtain a visa. (Public Hearing Testimony of Eusebio Azcue Lopez, 9/18/78, pp. 782-783.) When the secretary would not grant him a visa, the man asked to see whether upon examination of the documents Azcue could grant him a visa. (Ibid., p. 20-21) Azcue answered negatively. (Ibid., p. 30) The indi-
vidual then left to obtain photographs needed for his application.  

The man probably returned on September 27, 1963 with the photographs and completed the applications in Ms. Duran's presence. (Ibid., p. 32) As the amount of time required to process this document could have taken as long as twenty days or the response could have been negative, Azcue told the man that he could grant him a visa to Cuba, without consulting his government, if he had a Russian visa. (Ibid., p. 32). The individual then left to obtain his Russian visa. (Ibid., p. 32)

After the man left the Cuban Consulate, Azcue received a telephone call from the Soviet Consulate. (Azcue could not precisely the time of the phone call. (Public Hearing Testimony of Businessman Eugene Lopez, 9/18/78, p. 4) The Soviet Consul explained that the man's documents were legitimate, but that the Soviet Consulate could not issue a visa until it received authorization from Moscow. (Ibid., p. 35)

Emphasizing that the Cuban Consulate never received visitors after working hours (10:00 - 2:00) Mr. Azcue opined that the individual probably returned to the Consulate on September 28, 1963. (Ibid., p. 36)

When Azcue explained to Oswald that he could not grant him a visa, the man made statements directed against
Cuba and called Cuba a bureaucracy. At that point, Azcue became upset and asked the individual to leave the Consulate. Oswald did not revisit the Consulate.

Mr. Azcue described the man who visited the Consulate as follows: a white male, between 5'6" and 5'7", over 30 years of age, very thin long face, with straight eyebrows and a cold look in his eyes. Azcue alleged that he would never have identified Lee Harvey Oswald as the man who visited the Cuban Consulate in 1963.

2. ALFREDO MIRABEL DIAZ

Alfredo Mirabal Diaz told the House Select Committee on Assassinations at the public hearing 9/18/78, the following:

Lee Harvey Oswald, seeking a visa, visited the Cuban Consulate twice in September 1963.

(Public Testimony, Alfredo Mirabal Diaz, 9/18/78, p. 117) (Mr. Mirabal could not pinpoint the exact dates of Oswald's visit but opined that the second visit occurred on September 27, 1963.) Since Mirabal could not speak English though he was the new Consul, ex-Consul Azcue handled the matter. On both
occasions there were such loud arguments between Oswald and Azcue that Mirabal thought that the man's visit to the Consulate was a case of provocation.  

Though Mirabal caught only glimpses of the man he opined that the person whose picture appears on Lee Harvey Oswald's visa application was the same Lee Harvey Oswald who visited the Consulate.  

C. Elena Garro de Paz.  

On October 5, 1964, eleven days after the publication of the Warren Commission Report, a story alleging Lee Harvey Oswald's presence at a party in Mexico City attended by Cuban government personnel came to the attention of the Central Intelligence Agency.  

_1. Elena's story as reported October 5, 1964.  

_Elena Garro de Paz_ (See Appendix 5 for biography.) and Deba Garro de Guerrero Galvan, first cousins of Horatio, Ruben, and Lydia Duran, were invited to a twist party at the home of Ruben Duran in the middle of the week in the fall of 1963. Lee Harvey Oswald was alleged to have been at this party in the company of "two other beatnik-looking boys."  

(307)  

(308)  

(309)  

(310)  

(311)
The Americans remained together the entire evening and did not dance. When Elena tried to speak with the Americans, she was "shifted" to another room by one of her cousins. (Ibid.) The memo does not state whether Elena had mentioned which cousin had not allowed her to speak to the Americans. One of Elena's cousins told her at the time that (he or she) did not know who the Americans were except that Silvia Duran (an employee of the Cuban Embassy and the wife of Horatio Duran), had brought them to the party.

The day after the party, Elena and Deba saw the three Americans on the Insurgentes, a main avenue in Mexico City. (Ibid.) The Garros claimed that they had recognized Oswald's photograph when it was published after the assassination. (Ibid.) Silvia Duran's arrest "underlined the Garros' certainty" that the man had been Lee Harvey Oswald. (Ibid.)

The source of the memo was a witting Central Intelligence Agency asset known by the cryptonym LICOKIE. The Committee identified as June Cobb Sharp while reviewing the LICOKIE file. According to Elena, Ms. Cobb was sent to her house shortly after the assassination for a few days, by a mutual friend, a Costa Rican writer named Eunice Odio.
Ms. Garro asserted that while at her house, Ms. Cobb expressed interest in the Kennedy assassination. One night Elena's sister Deba, who was visiting, got drunk and told the whole story. Claiming to be a CIA agent, Cobb suggested that Elena and Deba go to Texas to tell their story. Elena stated that when Cobb's suggestion was rejected, Cobb stated that she would arrange a meeting with the CIA Station Chief. (Winston Scott was the Mexico City Station Chief in 1964.) The meeting did not occur because Ms. Cobb was asked to leave the Garro house evidently because she kicked Elena's cat.

A notation on the memo says that L/l Cookie never regained contact with Elena Garro de Paz. Entry #436, CIA #731; Blind Memo dated 10/5/64, CIA #721.

The "Liccokie" memo was not inserted in either the Elena Garro or Lee Harvey Oswald "p" (personality) file, but in a local leftist and Cuban project file. The Committee learned about the memo from Wx-7241, a chronological history of the Oswald case prepared by Raymond Richey for the CIA in 1966. The memo was found in December, 1965. (See Wx-7241, p. 94, CIA #721; Stanley Watson, A marginal notation on Wx7241...
says, "Why was this not sent to Headquarters?" (p. 87, CIA #714.) The Committee has been able to determine that the memo was forwarded to headquarters shortly thereafter.

October 12, 1964 CIA Memo for the Record

On October 12, 1964 the Chief of Covert Action, Jim Flannery, wrote a memo for the record reporting that Elena Garro de Paz had told the story to Eunice Odio. The Committee has not been able to determine if Elena Garro told Ms. Odio the story personally or if Ms. Cobb related to Ms. Odio what Elena had told her) who relayed it to "Tichborn" (Tichborn means Henry P. Lopez) (a CIA asset) who gave them his version for the CIA. After careful review of the CIA file, the CIA has not been able to establish a link from Mr. Lopez to either Ms. Odio or Ms. Garro on 10/5/64. (8/25/66, 8/24/66.)

The story is not as detailed as the 10/5/64 version. There is no mention of Deba Garro Guerrero Galvan. The story, perhaps because it is third hand, differs from the previous story in two areas: the party was at the Cuban Embassy, not at Ruben Duran's; Elena talked to a Cuban Embassy official, not one of her...
cousins about the three Americans.

Attached to the memo was a note from Flannery to the Chief of Station, Winston Scott, which read, "Do you want me to send the gist of this to Headquarters?" Scott then noted that the memo should be filed. The file indications show that the memo went into the Oswald "P" file and the Elena Garro "P" file. (Ibid.)

November 24, 1964 CIA Informant Report

On November 24, 1964 a Central Intelligence Agency informant (the House Select Committee has been unable to determine the informant's identity since his name does not appear in any CIA files) reported that the memo from Winston Scott to the files, on June Cobb, 11/22/64, CIA No. 592-502, File 7241, D. 88, Entry 4101, CIA #715

AN ASSET, 8583

derived from "LICHANT/1." While reviewing LICHANT/1's file the Committee determined that the CIA asset's true name was Manuel Galvillo. Elena claims that the day following John F. Kennedy's assassination, Galvillo escorted her and her daughter to the Vermont Hotel for protection.

See also December 29, 1965 Thomas memo for more information on Manuel Galvillo. The informant asserted that June Cobb was an "American Communist" who rented a room from Elena Garro. (Memo from Winston Scott to the files.)
In addition, the informant claimed that Elena also told her story to an American official at the Embassy, who claimed to represent the Warren Commission.

The Chief of Station noted that he had asked LICHANTA to pursue the story but there is no indication that he ever complied with the request.

(HSCA Review of Classified CIA Documents.)

4. November 24, 1964 Elena Meeting with Mexico City Legal Attache Officers

Elena and her daughter told their story to the Mexico City Legal Attache. (The Legal Attache in 1964 was Clark Anderson.) They recounted the same story previously given to June Cobb Sharp. The date of the party was September 30, October 1 or October 2, 1963. (PB 103-0255 Report.)

The agent who wrote the report noted that Lee Harvey Oswald could not have been identical with the American allegedly observed by Mrs. Paz at the party if this party
were held on the evening of October 1 or October 2, 1963. (FBI 103-825555 Report, December 11, 1964 entitled Lee Harvey Oswald, p. 1) (Footnotes: President Kennedy was assassinated by Oswald in the quadrangle of the Texas School Book Depository on October 2, 1963 when Ms. P. stated that she saw Lee Harvey Oswald walking on the jagged glass. (Ibid., p. 3)

Elena was questioned regarding the identity of other persons attending the party at the Ruben Duran home who might have been in a position to observe the three Americans. Elena stated that in the course of the party her daughter met a young man named "Alejandro" at the party and danced with him. He was apparently quite smitten with the daughter and tried to call her on several occasions after the party. (Ibid., p. 3) The daughter did not take the calls and as a result "Alejandro" wrote several letters to the daughter. (Ibid., p. 3.) Ms. Garro exhibited two of the letters, as well as a business card which identified the young man as Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius. (Ibid., p. 3.)

The letter which Ms. Garro said was the first written by the young man to her daughter bore the date September 1, 1963 and the Mexico City Post Office postmark dated September 2, 1963. When Ms. Garro pointed this out to...
commented that the Communists probably had facilities for falsifying postcards. (Ibid.)

To investigate Ms. Garro's story further, representatives from the Federal Bureau interviewed Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius on November 27, 1964. (Ibid., p. 4.) Lavagnini recalled that there were approximately thirty people at Rubín Durán's party, few of whom he knew. He recalled having met a Mexican girl who had recently returned from living in France. He was unable to fix the date of the party, but felt it was probably early in September because of a heavy rain which occurred as they were leaving the party about 2:00 a.m. (Ibid., p. 4.)

Lavagnini noted that no Americans were present at this party. He was familiar with the physical description of Lee Harvey Oswald because of publicity following the assassination of President Kennedy, but otherwise had no knowledge of him and had never seen him except for news photographs following the assassination. (Ibid., p. 4.) Lavagnini was the only person interviewed by the FBI who attended parties at the Durán house in the September-October time frame.

There is no indication in the FBI document that this information was given to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (A review of CIA files..."
Charles Thomas' first meeting with Elena Garro where Lee Harvey Oswald is discussed.

On 12/10/65, Charles Thomas, a political officer at the American Embassy, wrote a memorandum about a conversation with Elena Garro de Paz. (CIA #706-387, WX-7241 Entry No. 485, p. 94, CIA No. 718.) The meeting with Elena had been about other matters but she mentioned knowing Oswald. Thomas noted that she was reluctant to talk but did.

Elena's story reported here is the same as that given in the Licookie memo dated 10/5/64, but with more details. She said that General Jose Jesus Clark Flores (a friend of Ruben Duran's), Silvia Duran, Eusebio Azcue (a pro-Communist writer-friend of the Durans), and a Latin American Negro man with red hair (unidentified) were at the party. A marginal comment by this entry in WX-7241 says, "How did Elena know about a red-haired Negro?" Elena also told Thomas that she had later learned that "Silvia Duran had been Oswald's mistress while he was there." A note by this entry in WX-7241 says, "How did Elena Garro know about Silvia being the mistress of Oswald? This is 1965." The Mexico City Station did not hear about the Oswald-Duran "affair" until July 1967 when a CIA asset, LIRING/3.
reported it. After reviewing LIRING/3 file at the
CIA, the House Select Committee on Assassinations has
been unable to determine with whom LIRING/3 was asso-
ciated who would have had knowledge about Silvia Duran
or Lee Harvey Oswald.

Elena told Thomas that she and her daughter had
gone to the Cuban Embassy on November 23, 1963 and
shouted "Assassins" and other insults at the Embassy em-
ployees. (CIA 1386-587, Wx7241, Entry No. 425, pr. 91,
According to Elena) That same day, a friend, Manuel Calvillo, whom the
official in the Gobernacion, took her and her daugh-
ter to a small hotel in the center of Mexico City. (Id.)
They were kept there for eight days under the pretext
that they were in danger. (Id.) Elena claimed
have told Calvillo that she wanted to tell her story
Calvillo dissuaded
her by telling her that the American Embassy was full
of Communist spies. (Id.) Elena said that some of
the other people who had been at the party were taken
to Veracruz where they were "protected" by Governor
Lopez Arias. (Id.) The House Select Committee was
unable to determine the veracity of Ms. Calvillo's allega-
tion. See House Investigation of Elena Garro's Calvillo allega-
tion.) She said that Ruben Duran, reportedly
"protected" by General Clark Flores, was very prosperous and was driving a big car. (CIA 1960-567, Wx 7241, Entry No. 725, p. 91, CIA No. 710) Elena also claimed that Ruben Duran told her months after the assassination that he was not really a Communist and that killing Kennedy had been a mistake. (The House Select Committee on Assassinations has not been able to determine whether Ruben actually spoke to Elena about the assassination. (See HSCA Investigation of Elena Cárdenas de Paz' Allegations.) Ruben Duran claimed he had no reason to tell Elena that killing Kennedy had been a mistake since he had no involvement.

Charles Thomas circulated a copy of his memorandum concerning Elena's allegations in the American Embassy including the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station to aid them in their investigation of the John F. Kennedy assassination. (The House Select Committee has determined that the Central Intelligence Agency received the copy of the Thomas memorandum prior to December 25, 1965, his next meeting with Elena Carro. See below.) The COS wrote a note on the memo: "What an imagination she has!?! Should we send to Headquarters?" The Officer replied; "Suggest sending. There have been stories around town about all this, and Thomas is
not the only person she has talked to...If memory serves me, didn't LICOOKIE refer to Oswald and the local leftists and Cubans in one of her squibs?"

The Mexico City Station cabled the information in Thomas' 12/10/65 memorandum of conversation to CIA Headquarters. (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, MEX 5021, date cut off, my copy, CIA Nos. 584-585). The cable reported that Elena's story would be checked with LICHANT/1, against the production from the Cuban surveillance operation "and other sources." Winston Scott wrote, next to the routing indications on the cable, "Please ask Charles Thomas if he'll follow up." Get questions from Ann G. (Ann Goodpasture). Please let's discuss. Thanks."

After the December 10 memorandum of conversation, Winston Scott (Chief Station) and Nathan Ferris (successor to Chick Anderson as Legal Attaché in Mexico) called Charles Thomas for a meeting. They asked him to get a more detailed account of Ms. Garro's story. At this meeting, Winston Scott made it clear that the FBI had full responsibility for any further investigation in the Oswald case. (State Department: Letters from Charles Thomas to E. Rogers, July 22, 1966, JFK Dec.)
Charles Thomas' Meeting with Elena Garro on December 25, 1965

Thomas met with Elena again on December 25, 1965. On that date, he wrote a memorandum of conversation which provided a much more detailed restatement of Ms. Garro's alleged encounter with Lee Harvey Oswald. (CIA Nos. 580-583, Wk 7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719.)

Elena admitted that she had spoken to two men at the Embassy, "presumably from the Legal Attache's Office." (Ibid. The meeting occurred on November 24, 1964. See supra, p. ) Elena said that she did not tell them the complete story because "the Embassy officers did not give much credence to anything she and Elenita said." (CIA Nos. 580-583, Wk 7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719.)

She stated that the party had been at Ruben Duran's home. (Ibid.) She was unclear about the date of the party. (Ibid.) It was a few days before the Soviet Astronaut, Gagarin, visited Mexico; she thought that this would put the party around September 2 or 3, 1963. (Ibid.)

She believed that the party was on a Monday or Tuesday because it was an odd night for a party. (Ibid.) Elena could not check her calendar to refresh her memory at the time of the interview because the calendar was in a desk that had been stored away. (Ibid.)
During the conversation, Elena described Oswald and his companions. (Ibid.) The man who she thought was Oswald wore a black sweater. (Ibid.) She said he was quite "and stared a lot at the floor." (Ibid.) One of his companions "was very tall and slender and had long blond hair and a rather long protruding chin." (Ibid.) The other companion was also tall, with short, light brown hair and no distinguishing characteristics. (Ibid.) The three Americans did not dance or mix with the other guests. (Ibid.) Elena saw the same three men on the street the next day. (Ibid.)

Elena was certain that Eusebio Azcue, Horacio Duran, Silvia Duran, Lydia Duran, Deba Guerrero, General Clark Flores and his mistress, a doctor from Dalinde Hospital, a young American couple who were honeymooning in Mexico, and several other people were at the party. (Ibid.) She said that Ricardo Guerra, whom she claimed converted Horatio Duran to Communism, and his wife, Rosario Castellanos, were supposed to be at the party but did not attend. (Ibid.)

Elena alleged that the red-haired man and Emilio Carballido were not at the party that Oswald attended but at another party where Carballido and Azcue got into a heated argument about President Kennedy. (Ibid.) "They
came to the conclusion that the only solution was to
kill him." (Ibid.) Elena was not clear on whether this
party was before or after the party where she met Oswald.

The House Select Committee has been unable to
confirm the conversation between Azcue and Carballido.

(See HSCA Investigation of Elena Garro de Paz allega-
tions.) Eusebio Azcue stated that the conversation did
never occur.

Elena reiterated that the incident at the Cuban
Embassy, where she and her daughter shouted "Assassins,"
etc. at the Embassy employees, occurred on November 23
at or about 3:00 p.m. (Ibid.) Elena and Elenita were
driven to the Cuban Embassy by Elena's brother who was
embarrassed by their behavior. (Ibid.) This occurred
before they had seen photographs of Oswald. (Ibid.)

Ms. Garro claimed that later in the day she and
Elenita were visited by Manuel Calvillo who told them
that they were in serious danger from the Communists
and that he would take them to a small hotel, where they
would be safe for a few days. (Ibid.) Elena said she
trusted and believed Calvillo because he was a known
undercover agent for the Mexico Government. (Ibid.)
He was also a friend of Noe Palomares (the Minister of
Immigration) and President Gustavo Díaz Ordaz. (Ibid.)
Calvillo also told Elena and her daughter that Silvia Duran had been arrested. (Ibid.) Duran's arrest was not public information on November 23, 1963.

Elena could not remember the name of the hotel so that same day (12/25/65) she took Thomas to the section of Mexico City where she thought it was. (Ibid.) They found the hotel. (Ibid.) Elena said that she assumed that Calvillo had registered them as relatives or friends. (Ibid.) They stayed at the hotel until the following Friday, November 30, 1963, hardly leaving their rooms. (Ibid.) (See Legal Attaché Report, p. for confirmation.)

Elena claimed that while she and Elenita were at the hotel they saw the photos of Oswald and realized that he had been the man at Ruben Duran's party. (Ibid.) When Calvillo visited them at the hotel, Elena told him that she wanted to report her story, which she related to Calvillo, to the American Embassy, however, Calvillo dissuaded her by stating that the American Embassy was full of Communists. (Ibid.) Elena stated that when she returned home, guards were posted outside.

(Ibid.) (The House Select Committee has been unable to confirm the veracity of Ms. Garro's claim. See HSCA Investigation of Elena Garro's allegations.)

Elena alleged that after she returned home she saw her sister, Deba Guerrera, who had independently come to
the conclusion. Deba was "terrified" because approximately two months after the assassination two "Communists" personally warned her never to reveal that she had been to a party with Oswald. (Ibid.) Deba, consequently, would not accompany Elena to the Ameri-

Elena stated that it was "common knowledge" that Silvia had been Oswald's mistress. (CIA Nos. 500–533; Wx 7241, Entry #427, p. 92 (IA #710.) When asked who could verify the allegation, she could only remember one person who had told her this. (Ibid.) Elena claimed that person was Victor Rico Galan, a "pro-Castro jour-

Victor Rico Galan is dead. The Committee could not verify Ms. Carro's allegation. Silvia Duran denied the allegation. Nonetheless, HSCA 3, a CIA asset, reported, the same story in 1967. (Ibid.) (The HSCA has been unable to deter-

During these conversations Elena also said that she "understood" that Oswald had been in Mexico more than once. (Ibid.) (The HSCA has been unable to deter-

Subsequent to December 25, 1965, the December 25, 1965 memo that Elena had found her cal-

should this be a separate section? If so at what point? If not, seems "No! Same memo!"
late September and not early September. (CIA Nos. 500-503, TX 7411, Entry #427, p. 42, CIA 712.) When Thomas went to Ferris' office and informed him, Ferris replied that Elena had given the late September date when she had originally reported her story at the American Embassy. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, pp. 3-4, JFK Doc. No. 931)

However, Mr. Ferris explained to Thomas that someone who had been at the twist party had stated that there were no Americans there. (Ibid.) Mr. Ferris did not tell Mr. Thomas that Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius had provided this information in 1964. (Ibid.) Mr. Ferris suggested that it was not necessary for Thomas to pursue Elena's allegations since he considered the Oswald case closed and had heard all the rumors before. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, pp. 3-4, JFK Doc. No.)

The State Department forwarded (the same day) a copy of the Charles Thomas memorandum to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station to aid in their investigation of the John F. Kennedy assassination. On the first page of the memorandum of conversation, Winston Scott wrote, "Shouldn't we send to Headquarters?"

Someone responded, "Of course." (CIA Nos. 500-503, TX 7241, Entry #427, p. 93, CIA 740)
December 27, 1965 Legal Attache Memo to the United States Ambassador re Elena Garro

On December 27, 1965 Nathan Ferris wrote a memo to the Ambassador reporting that Elena and her daughter were interviewed on 17 and 24 November 1964 by the Legal Attache's office in Mexico City. (Memo to the Ambassador from the Legal Attache, 12/27/65, CIA #578; WX-7241, Entry 429, p. 84, CIA #721.) The memo recorded that Elena and her daughter had furnished information similar to the informant reported in Thomas' 12/10/65 memo. (Ibid.) The memo further stated,

"Inquiries conducted at that time (November 1964), however, failed to substantiate the allegations made by Mrs. Garro de Paz and her daughter. In view of the fact that Mrs. Garro de Paz' allegations have been previously checked out without substantiation, no further action is being taken concerning her recent repetition of those allegations."  

Ibid.

The Legal Attache forwarded a copy of the memorandum to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (The House Select Committee has determined that the copy was forwarded prior to 12/29/65.)
Winston Scott superimposed a note to Anne Goodpasture on this memo which read, "Can we send in a report to Headquarters dismissing our cable?" Goodpasture responded, "Bone." (Memo to Ambassador from the Legal Attache, 12/27/65, CIA #758, WX-7241 Entry #429, p. 94, CIA #721.) The cable Winston Scott wanted dismissed was MEXI 5621. (Supra, p. 94.)

A cable written by Anne Goodpasture on December 29, 1965 reporting the Legat interview with Elena and the Legat Office's failure to substantiate Elena's story was sent to Headquarters. (Memo from Mexico City to the Director, 12/29/65, MEXI 5741, CIA #575; WX 7241 Entry #430, p. 94, CIA #721.) The cable promised to keep Headquarters advised if any further information was to develop. (Supra.)

LICOOKIE's 10/5/64 memo is attached to the 12/29/65 cable. (Supra.) WX-7241 explained this in a marginal comment, "This document by LICOOKIE was not in (Oswald's file), but was copied from (a project file) and attached to MEXI 5741, 29 Dec. 65." (Supra.)

A note stapled to this cable by Allen White stated, "I don't know what FBI did in November 1964, but the Garros have been talking about this for a long time and she is said to be extremely bright." Anne Goodpasture
wrote that the FBI had found Elena's allegations unsubstantiated but that "we will try to confirm or refute Ms. Garro de Paz's information and follow up." Win Scott wrote, "She is also 'nuts.'" (Ibid., CIA 574)

A CIA investigation of Elena's allegation that she created a disturbance at the Cuban Embassy on November 23, 1963

On February 3, 1966, Anne Goodpasture forwarded Thomas' December 25, 1965 memo to the Cuban section at the Mexico City Station with an attached note asking them to check whether Elena was "seen creating such a disturbance as they claimed in front of the Cuban Embassy." (It is apparent that the Mexico City Station was attempting to either refute or corroborate some of Elena Garro's allegations.) (Note from Anne Goodpasture to "Cuban" 2/3/66, CIA No. 579, MX 7241, Entry 128, 7241-41)

One Cuban section officer responded, "No bells ring with me." Another one wrote, "Me neither." The third officer wrote, "No pictures either." (Ibid.)

There is no indication that the penetration agents in the Cuban Embassy were queried about Elena's allegation. (852)

That there are no pictures is reasonable since Elena claimed that the event happened: 1) on a Saturday
at 3:00 p.m. when the Cuban Embassy was not normally photographically surveilled; and 2) the "disturbance" occurred inside the Cuban compound. HSCA Examination of the CIA Cuban Embassy photographic surveillance showed no surveillance on 11/23/63. (Classified Summ-
mary of Staff Review of CIA Documents, undated, p. 3, CIA #763.) The Committee found that Central Intelligence Agency made no other effort to corroborate Mrs. Garro's allegations.

Legal Attache 2/23/66 Memo to the United States Ambassador Regarding Elena Garro's Allegations

On 2/23/66 the Legal Attache wrote a memo to the Ambassador reporting that "extensive investigation" failed to disclose that Oswald had traveled to Mexico prior to September 26, 1963 and that no information had developed that would show that he had not been in New Orleans in the early part of that month. (Memo from Legat to Ambassador, 2/23/66, CIA #5717 WX 7241 Entry 455, p. 95, CIA #722.) The memo reiterated that no further action was being taken by the FBI because Elena's allegations had not been substantiated. (Ibid.) The Legal Attache forwarded a copy of this memo to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (The House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine when the copy was forwarded to the CIA.) A marginal comment made by Anne Goodpasture next to this entry in WX-7241 says, "How can it be ascertained that
Oswald did not travel to Mexico prior to early September 1963? There must be some basis for Elena's reporting." (Ibid., referenced to Thomas; 12/25/65 memo.)

Legal Attache Memo to Winston Scott re Elena's Allegation that She Had Stayed at the Hotel Vermont from the Day After the Assassination until November 30, 1963

On 10/13/66, the Legal Attache wrote a memo to the CIA reporting that a reliable confidential informant had reported that the records of the Hotel Vermont disclosed that "Elena Paz, housewife from San Luis Potosi," had registered at the Hotel Vermont on November 23, 1963. She left on November 30, 1963. (Memo from Legal to Winston Scott, 10/13/66, CIA No. 564; W-7241, Entry #466, p. 98, CIA #725; Thomas' 7/13/66 memo.)

The House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine why the Central Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigations waited until 1966 to investigate this aspect of Elena's story. The memo said, "The above individual may or may not be identical with Elena Garro de Paz." (Ibid.) Charles Thomas' 12/25/65 memo corroborates Elena Garro's presence at the Hotel Vermont. It states, "She and her daughter did not personally register at the
hotel. She thinks Calvillo registered them as relatives or friends of his from San Luis Postosi.

Memo of Conversation by Charles Thomas, 12/23/63, p. 3. (FIA-582) The entry for the 10/13/66 Legat memo in WX-7241 bore the notation, "This is what Elena claimed and no one would believe her." (WX-7241, Entry 7466, 963)

Charles Thomas' September 30, 1969 Letter to State Department and Legal Attache's Response

No further report on Elena's story was generated until 1969 when Charles Thomas was "selected out." In his letter to the Secretary of State which included a cover letter stating, "Since I was the Embassy Officer in Mexico who acquired this intelligence information, I feel a responsibility for seeing it through to its final evaluation." (State Department Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1969.) Charles Thomas' memorandum stated that "he got no reaction from Nathan Ferris and Winston Scott" regarding
his memorandum of December 25, 1965. (State Department Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1966) In addition, Thomas wrote that the only person to speak to him about the December 25, 1965 memorandum, Clarence Boonstra (Deputy Chief of Mission, State Department, Chief of Affairs at the time of President Kennedy's assassination and subsequent Oswald investigation) told him that Oswald had not been in Mexico on the date given for the party. (Ibid.)

(State Department Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1966) Thomas noted that even when he reiterated that Elena had not changed her story but rather that she had now given a more detailed account, Boonstra stated that the date was wrong and dismissed the entire affair. (Ibid.)

One of the Mexico City Legation Officers, Nathan Ferris, in reply to Thomas' letter and memorandum, asserted that Thomas' office had been advised by memoranda that Thomas' office had been advised by memoranda dated December 27, 1965 and February 23, 1966 that since Elena Garro's allegations had previously been investigated without substantiation, no further action was being taken concerning her recent repetition of those allegations. (Ibid.)
their report, the Legat's Office concluded that either the Counselor for Political Affairs did not route the memoranda to Charles Thomas or that Thomas did not recall receiving them. (Ibid.)

Thomas wrote that when he went to Nathan Ferris' office to inform him that Elena had found her calendar and had reconstructed the date of the party as late September, Ferris replied that Elena had given the late September date when she had originally reported her story at the American Embassy. (State Department: Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1969.) Thomas noted that Ferris explained that someone who had been at the party had stated that there had not been any Americans. (Ibid.) He wrote that he had assumed that Elena could have clearly been mistaken about the identity of the American she saw there, but never doubted that she had seen some Americans. (Ibid.) Thomas wrote that Ferris had suggested that it was not necessary for Thomas to pursue the matter since he considered the Oswald case closed and had heard all the rumors before. (Ibid.)

The Legation Attaché's reply to Thomas' memo stated that Mr. Ferris had not told Thomas that someone who was at the party had stated that there had not been any Americans present. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, p. 4, JFK Doc. No. )
The Legal Attache's memo asserted that Thomas had been told that it would not be necessary for him to pursue the matter any further since Elena's story had been investigated previously without being substantiated. In addition, the memo stated that Thomas had been told that Elena's story was considered a closed issue, not that the Oswald case was closed. (Ibid.)

House Select Committee on Assassination's Investigation of Elena Garro's Allegations

The House Select Committee on Assassinations investigated Elena Garro's story both through file reviews and personal interviews. The Committee requested and reviewed the CIA's, FBI's and State Department's files, on Elena Garro de Paz, Elenita Garro de Paz, Manuel Calvillo, Noe W. Palomares, June Cobb Sharp, Victor Rico Galan, Eunice Odio, Sylvia Duran, Lydia Duran, Ruben Duran, Betty Serratos, Horatio Duran, Eusebio Azcue, and Emilio Carbajalido. Only the Elena Garro de Paz file contained information on her allegations. Though all the names listed above played a role in Elena Garro de Paz' story, not one of their files included a reference to Elena Garro de Paz.

Furthermore, the House Select Committee on Assassinations requested and reviewed the Central Intelligence
Agency's LICOOKIE/4, LICHANT/4, LIRING/3, and TICHORN files. Once again, not one of the files included a mention of Elena Garro's allegations. The House Select Committee on Assassinations learned that LICOOKIE/4 was June Cobb Sharp, who first reported to the CIA Elena's allegation. (Supra; p. 4.) The Committee also learned that LICHANT/4 was Manuel Calvillo who had hidden Elena Garro and her daughter in a hotel the day following the assassination. (Supra.; p. 13.) He also told Elena that Silvia Duran had been arrested before this fact had become public knowledge. (Ibid.)

Since a file review was inconclusive, the Committee decided to arrange interviews in Mexico with Ruben Duran, Horatio Duran, Lydia Duran, Emilio Carballido and Betty Serratos. The Mexican Government informed the House Select Committee on Assassinations that Elena and Elenita Garro disappeared in 1968 during the student uprisings and have never returned to Mexico. The officials stated that Elena and her daughter might be in Spain. (See Mexico City Procedural Write up Tab 1.) The Mexican government reported that Emilio Carballido could not be found. (Ibid.) The others were interviewed between June 1 and June 6, 1978. (Ibid.)

Betty Serratos, Lydia Duran, Ruben Duran and Horatio Duran all stated that Elena was not the dancing
type and therefore did not attend any of the twist parties at the Duran homes. (See HSCA Interview of Betty Serratos, 6/6/78, p. 6, JFK Document No.; HSCA Staff Interview of Lydia Duran, 6/5/78, p. 6, JFK Document No.; HSCA Document No.; HSCA Staff Interview of Horatio Duran Navarro, 6/5/78, p. 25, JFK Document No.) When Sivlia Duran was asked if Elena or Elenita Garro ever attended twist parties at the Duran homes, she recalled Elena attending one twist party at Ruben's home in 1963 when the Garros returned to Mexico from France. (HSCA Staff Interview of Silvia Tirado Bazan, 6/6/70, p. 90, JFK, Document No.) All the Durans denied that Lee Harvey Oswald had attended any party at one of their homes. (String cites.)

The Committee next asked the Central Intelligence Agency to arrange interviews with LICHANT/1 (Manuel Calvillo), LICOOKIE/1 (June Cobb), along with a list of who may have had information related to Lee Harvey Oswald's trip to Mexico City. (The Central Intelligence Agency declined to aid the Committee in this aspect of the investigation. The Committee returned to Mexico City and attempted to locate June Cobb Sharp and Manuel Calvillo. (See end.)
The Mexican government told the Committee that June Cobb Sharp received a tourist permit, number 72781, on June 27, 1947 when she entered Mexico through Nuevo Laredo. She asked, but was denied, permission to represent the magazine, Modern Mexico. On June 21, 1948, she received a courtesy permit, number 25556. Furthermore, the Mexican government explained that she disappeared in 1954 and never returned to Mexico. (See Procedural Writeup Trip 2 Mexico City.)

The Committee believes that this information is incorrect. According to Ms. Cobb's CIA file, she worked for the agency as an asset in Mexico from 1961 through 1966. (CIA Report, 1966, June Cobb file, 201-) Elena also stated that Ms. Cobb resided at her home in 1964. (CIA Report, p. 97)

The Mexican government told the Committee that Manuel Calvillo did not live at Cuohtemoc 877-5 as the Committee had informed. Their agent-in-charge had spoken to the superintendent at the apartments at which Lamel-Calvillo was believed to reside, the superintendent who had worked at the apartments for twenty-five years,
said that no Manuel Calvillo had ever resided there. When Committee staffers gave the Mexican government Calvillo's pen name, the Mexicans gave the same answers. (See Procedural Write-Up, Mexico City Trip 2.)

The Committee is certain that Mr. Calvillo lived at this address since it acquired the address from a recent CIA document. (CIA Report, 1976, Manuel Calvillo File, 201)

The Committee believes that there is a possibility that the Mexican government received orders from the Central Intelligence Agency to refrain from aiding the Committee with this aspect of its work. (See Procedural Write-Up, Mexico City Trip 2.)

The Committee made every attempt possible to locate Elena. On July 7, 1978, the Committee telephoned her publisher, Mortiz, in Mexico City and asked about her whereabouts. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/7/78, JFK Document No. 10016) The publisher stated that Ms. Garro was living in the Hotel S.A.C.E. in Madrid, Spain. (S.A.C.E.) The Committee telephoned the Hotel in Spain and spoke to the manager who told him that Ms. Garro had moved. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/7/78, JFK Document No. 10016) On July 14, 1978, a Committee
called her publisher again and was told to contact the Mexican Embassy in Madrid, Spain. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/14/78, JFK Document No. 9950) The publisher stated that all Elena's payments were sent there because she did not even trust her publisher with her address. (Ibid.)

The Committee called the Mexican Embassy in Madrid, Spain and spoke to Adolfo Padilla, a Mexican employee of the Embassy who stated that when Elena had visited the Embassy a couple of weeks before to pick up a check she looked financially poor. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 6/31/78, JFK Document No. 1005) He stated that when he asked Elena her new address she declined to give one, stating that she would return every few weeks to pick up checks and mail. (Ibid.) The Committee gave Padilla a telephone number and a message asking Elena to telephone the Committee collect. (Ibid.)

On September 5, 1978 Elena Garro called the Committee. When the staffer explained that the Committee wished to talk to her in person and would pay both her daughter's and her travel from Spain, Ms. Garro asked why she should believe the staffer was who he claimed to be. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/5/78, JFK Document No. 1007) The staffer asked Ms. Garro to call back collect in the next few days when he could explain
to her when and where she could receive a Committee letter delineating why the Committee wished to interview her. (Ibid.) The Committee wrote the letter and made arrangements with the State Department for a letter to be hand-delivered to Elena at the American Embassy in Spain. (See attached letter; also, HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/5/78, JFK Document No.)

On September 7, 1978, Elena Garro called the Committee and asked when the letter would arrive. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/7/78, JFK Document No.) The Committee explained that the letter could be gotten on Monday, September 11, 1978 from George Phelan, the Counsellor for Consular Affairs at the American Embassy. (Ibid.) Ms. Garro stated that she would get the letter on September 11, 1978 and follow our suggestions. (Ibid.)

Ms. Garro never went to the American Embassy in Spain to get the Committee's letter. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/15/78, JFK Document No.) The Committee, hoping she would pick up the letter before her flight date, proceeded to purchase air tickets for both Elena and her daughter. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/15/78, JFK Document No.) Elena did not get the tickets at the airport. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/15/78, JFK Document No.) The Committee was not contacted.
The Committee also investigated whether Thomas' "selection out" was related to the Oswald case. After interviewing his widow, Ms. Cynthia Thomas, the Committee has concluded that his dismissal was unrelated. (NSCA Staff Interview of Cynthia Thomas, Document No.)

In sum, the House Select Committee on Assassinations has not been able to confirm the evidence that would indicate that Lee Harvey Oswald, on one night while he was in Mexico, attended a "twist party" at the home of Ruben Duran Navarro, the brother-in-law of Silvia Duran. In addition, the House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to confirm the allegation that Lee Harvey Oswald travelled while in Mexico City with "two beatnik-looking boys." A large part of the Committee's attempts to investigate these issues has met with frustration.

D. Oscar Contreras Laique

On March 16, 1967, B. J. Ruyle, the American Consul in Tampico, reported to the American Embassy that he had spoken to a reporter whom allegedly had met Lee Harvey Oswald at National Autonomous University of Mexico City (UNAM) in 1963. (American Embassy Incoming Telegram #739, p. 110, LIA #739) Stressing that he had only a fleeting contact with Oswald, the reporter had
claimed to know only about Lee Harvey Oswald's desire to travel to Cuba and the Embassy's unwillingness to grant him a visa. (Ibid.) When B. J. Ruyle asked the reporter for permission to cable the story to the American Embassy, the reporter declined stating that he feared losing his job. (Ibid.) Subsequent to the assassination, the reporter had told his editor about his contact with Lee Harvey Oswald, who had advised him not to report it. (Ibid.) The reporter granted B. J. Ruyle permission to cable the story to the American Embassy when Ruyle promised that it would be handled with the strictest confidence. (Ibid.) Ruyle wrote that he thought the reporter was genuinely concerned about his job. (Ibid.)

A letter from B. J. Ruyle to the State Department dated May 11, 1967 provided additional details of the reporter's story. (Letter to Wesley D. Bowles, Chief of Mexican political affairs, Office of Mexican Affairs, Department of State from B. J. Ruyle, American Consul, Tampico, 5/11/67, WX 7241 entry #897, p. 114, CIA #1411) The reporter alleged that he and some fellow students had met Lee Harvey Oswald as they exited the Cineclub at the Escuela de Filosofia (School of Philosophy) at the National Autonomous University of Mexico. (Ibid.) Oswald
told the group that he had gone to the National Autonomous University of Mexico looking for pro-Castro students who might help him persuade the Cuban Embassy to grant him a visa. (Ibid.) Oswald claimed that he was from California and was a member of a pro-Castro group in New Orleans. (Ibid.) Oswald remained with the students the rest of that day and evening, as well as the following day. (Ibid.) The reporter described Oswald as a strange and introverted individual who spoke very little Spanish. (Ibid.)

On [illegible] the State Department forwarded a copy of Ruyle's letter to the Central Intelligence Agency. (The Committee could not determine when the State Department forwarded the letter to the CIA.) On June 14, 1967, CIA Headquarters sent the Mexico City Station a copy of Ruyle's letter to Bowles. (Dispatch from the Director to Mexico City, 6/14/67, HNNW 15557, CIA Headquarters. W, 7241 Entry #616, p. 117, CIA #744.) CIA Headquarters considered Ruyle's report "the first piece of substantive info about Oswald's sojourn in Mexico" since the assassination. (Ibid.) Consequently, Headquarters cabled that though they understood the source's reluctance to become involved "the fact remains that this info cannot continue to be withheld or concealed." (Ibid.) Headquarters instructed the Mexico City Station to elicit the identity of the source from Ruyle. In addition,
Headquarters asked the Mexico City Station to bear in mind, while interviewing Ruyle's source, that Lee Harvey Oswald was a homosexual. The final sentence of the dispatch, "It is our hope that the facts obtained through these interviews will help to confirm that several of Garrison's allegations about involvement of anti-Castro Cubans, the CIA, etc. are false," explained the Central Intelligence Agency's motives for pursuing the story.

On June 29, 1967, the Mexico City Station cabled Headquarters that a station officer had gone to Tampico where he had interviewed Ruyle's source, Oscar Contreras. (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, MEXI 1950, 6/29/67, Wx 7241, entry #622, p. 118; CIA 7745.) The cable reported that Contreras was a reporter for El Sol (a newspaper, The Sun) in Tampico; was circa 30 years old; married, with three children; studied law at the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM) from 1960 to 1964; belonged to a pro-Castro group at UNAM; was persecuted by the Mexican police for this affiliation and moved to Tampico to escape the persecution. (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, MEXI 1950, 6/29/67, Wx 7241, entry #622, p. 118, #745.)

Contreras told the Mexico City Station official that he and four other individuals (when Contreras was
exposed to others. Four individuals who had met Lee Harvey Oswald, he refused to reveal their names because he feared that informing on them might endanger his family.) had met Oswald as they exited a roundtable discussion held at the School of Philosophy at UNAM. (Cable from Mexico City to Director, MEXI 1950, 6/29/67; TR 7241, entry # 622, p. 118, CIA #745) Contreras stated that Oswald had made inquiries on the UNAM campus about pro-Cuban groups and had been directed to his group. (Ibid.) Contreras reported that though the group initially mistrusted Oswald fearing he was a "CIA provocation," they allowed Oswald to remain with them that day and night and part of the following day. (Ibid.) Contreras noted that Oswald never mentioned assassination but kept emphasizing that he had to get to Cuba. (Ibid.) In addition, Oswald had exhibited no homosexual tendencies while he was with the group. (Ibid.)

On July 4, 1967, Headquarters cabled the Mexico City Station that Contreras' story should be explored to the fullest even though he might have fabricated it. (Cable from the Director to Mexico City, 7/4/67, DIR 1622, TR 7241, Entry 626, p. 119, CIA #746) Headquarters suggested that the FBI handle the story. (Ibid.) The following day, July 5, 1967, the Mexico City Station
cabled that it preferred turning Contreras' case over to the Mexican authorities and to the F.B.I. (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, 7/5/67, MEXI 1991; WX 7241, Entry 627, p. 119, CIA #746.) Headquarters suggested that the F.B.I. handle the story. (Ibid.)

The following day, July 5, 1967, the Mexico City Station cabled that it preferred turning Contreras' case over to the Mexican authorities and the F.B.I. (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, 7/5/67, MEXI 1991; WX 7241, Entry 627, p. 119, CIA #746.) The same day, the Chief of Station informed the Legat of Contreras' story, but asked him not to take any action without first consulting the Mexico City Station. (Memo to Legat, 7/5/67 from Chief of Station; WX 7241, entry 628, p. 119, CIA #746.)

On July 10, 1967, Jeremy K. Benedum ("JKB") wrote a memo delineating the results of a Mexican government review of Oscar Contreras' file. (Memo from JKB re: Oscar Contreras, 7/10/67, WX 7241, Entry 624, p. 120. According to the memo, a lone Oscar Contreras appeared in the UNAM law school records, Oscar Contreras Lartigue, born 2/14/39 in Ciudad Victoria, Tamaulipas. The memo also reported that a newspaper article appearing in "Excelsior" listed an Oscar Contreras as a signer of a protest for the Bloque Estudiantil Revolucionario which had been formed mid-1961. (Ibid.)
Leaders of the group were Victor Manuel, Carlos, Hugo Castro, Antonio Teniro Adame, Jose Guerriero, Carlos Ortiz Fijeda, Daniel Holma, Balvez, Humberto Hiriante, Oscar Gonzalez, Hiban Garcia, Pedro Saienz Cepeda, Alberto, Jose Eduardo Pascual, Juan Saldana, Martin Reyes Baisarde, Vicente Lvillamas, Rubiculo Fernandez Dorado and Jesus.) The memo speculated that Contreras probably signed the protest as a frontal man to protect the real leaders of the group. (Foot.) The Mexico City station called the information to Headquarters the following day, June 11, 1969. (Dispatch from Mexico City to Director, WMM/ 32497, 7/11/69; wx 7241, Entry #635, p. 121, CIA #748.)

The Committee has determined that the Central Intelligence Agency's main interest in Oscar Contreras was "to confirm that several of Garrison's allegations about involvement of anti-Castro Cubans, the CIA, etc. are false." 1057
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