C. Sylvia Duran

When President John F. Kennedy was assassinated on November 22, 1963, the United States investigative agencies -- FBI, CIA, Secret Service, etc. -- were asked to investigate the assassination. When the Central Intelligence Agency's Waco City Station learned that Lee Harvey Oswald had visited Waco City during late September and early October, it reviewed its electronic surveillance files and found three series of phone calls to the Soviet Embassy made September 27th, September 28th, and October 1st, that could have been made by Oswald. (See Electronic Surveillance Section) During the electronic surveillance files were gathered phone calls on Sept 27, 1963, between the Russian Consulate and Sylvia Duran where Oswald appeared to have been dropped off (See Soviet Electronic Surveillance Section). In addition, the Waco City Station found a September 28, 1963 phone call from Sylvia Duran to the Cuban Consulate, where Sylvia Duran stated that there was an American citizen at the Cuban Consulate who had previously visited the Soviet Consulate. (See Soviet Electronic Surveillance Section) A further phone call where the 'alleged' Oswald identified himself was also found. (See Electronic Surveillance Section)

At this point, the Central Intelligence determined that it would request the Mexican government -- with whom the U.S. had a good relationship -- to arrest Sylvia Duran (as Sylvia Duran was a Mexican citizen, she did not have diplomatic immunity) because she might shed some light on the circumstances surrounding the assassination.
The Medea City Station sent a note to the government head, Luis Embarin, with Duran’s address, his mother’s address, his brother’s address, his house plate number, his home phone number, his plate of work, and a request that she be arrested immediately. (Note to Luis Embarin, Nov 23, 1963, CIA No. 446; Cable to Oswaldo Duran, Nov 23, 1963, CIA No. 446; Unconfirmed Claims, U17291, entry 34, November 23, 1963, CIA No. 457) The Medea City Station also suggested that Duran be held incommunicado until she could be questioned on the matter.

The Medea City Station did not receive prior authorization from CIA Headquarters to request the arrest of Sylvia [redacted]. Headquarters found Duran by Mexican authorities. Therefore, Headquarters instructed that such a report could jeopardize the clandestine relationship between certain Mexican government officials with the CIA, if it were declared that she were behind Duran’s arrest. [redacted]

Jack Whittaker therefore stationed at Eagle Lake Headquarters, telephoned Winston Scott, the Mexican Chief of Station, and requested that Sylvia [redacted] Duran be arrested. (Note of conversation by Winston Scott re phone call with Jack Whittaker, November 23, 1963; Claims, prepared by June Rodney, U17291, entry 37, November 23, 1963, CIA No. 457)

Scott told Whittaker that he could not receive the request and that Headquarters should already have received a cable stating that Sylvia Duran had been arrested. [redacted] After Winston Scott’s conversation with Jack Whittaker, both called and informed [redacted] of their observations, although no information was received from Duran’s trial. (Note of conversation by Jack Whittaker to the Medea City Station)
After Winston Scott's conversation with Jack Whitten, he told
Sonia Dvornikareva and stated that the Mexican City
Station decided that all information received from Dvornikareva
immediately to the Mexican City Station, and that her arrest
and statements not be communicated to any leftist groups.

[Chief of Station phone call to Sonia Dvornikareva, Nov. 23, 1963, CIA
No. 450; Flash cable from Kamenskaya to Mexican City Station,
November 23, 1963, DIA 84916, CIA No. 453] Chronology of Anne Goodpasture,
entry 96, November 23, 1963 (CIA No. 392)

On November 27, 1963, the Mexican government forwarded
a copy of Sylvia Dvornikareva's ten-page affidavit to the
[Mexican City Station] [Chief Memo re the Harvey Oswald and
Sylvia Dvornikareva, November 23, 1963, CIA No. 473] "I began learning about

By the Mexican Government

..." Upon learning about the assassination she and her
husband speculated that President Kennedy might have been
assassinated for racial reasons. When she became aware
that the assassin was Lee Harvey Oswald, she ascertained
that it was the same man that approximately two months
prior had been to the Cuban Consulate to solicit an intransit visa to Russia. Having taken his name from the special
documentation he presented she knew that he was married to
a Russian woman and belonged to the "Fair Play for Cuba
Committee." She checked the data in the Consulate archives
and became certain that it was the same individual who was
blonde, short, dressed unelegantly and whose face turned red
when angry. The Consul had denied the visa because to
obtain an intransit visa from the Cuban government, it was imperative that he previously obtain a visa from the Soviet Consulate. Since obtaining a visa from the Soviets took four months and Oswald's Mexican visa expired soon after, he became excited and Duran had to call the Consul who had an argument with him. The only aid she could give Oswald was advising that he see the Soviet Consul, and calling the person in charge of that office. The Soviet official told her that they would have to consult Moscow which would take four months. That afternoon, Oswald returned to the Cuban Consulate and Sylvia Duran confirmed that he could get a Cuban visa only after he received a Russian visa. She gave Oswald her name and business phone number but never gave him her address because she had no reason to give it to him. She knew that phoning the Soviet Consulate was not one of her duties and that if she did so she did it only to help Oswald. She gave Oswald her business phone number only because he would have to call subsequently to check whether he had obtained a visa. He never called back." (Blind Memo re: Lee Harvey Oswald and Sylvia Duran, November 26, 1963, CIA #473)
The Mexico City Station forwarded Dunn's new report on a \[paginated\] copy to Headquarters on Nov. 25, 1965. [Cable Mexico City Station to Headquarters, November 25, 1965, west 705, CIA No. 279; chronology of Dunn, Mexico, November 21, 1965, entry No. 157, CIA No. 656]

The following day Headquarters sent a change to Mexico City Station seeking to ensure that neither Sylvia Dunn nor the Cubans would have a basis for concluding that the Americans were behind her report. [The cable stated, "We want the Mexican authorities to take the responsibility for the whole affair."

Cable from Headquarters to the Mexico City Station, November 26, 1965, Dir 0S571, CIA No. 444; chronology of Dunn Coopsho, Dec. 24, 1965, west 732, entry No. 157, CIA No. 652]

When the Central Intelligence Agency began to work with the Dunn Commission, Headquarters called the Mexico City Station that their plan in passing information to the Dunn Commission was to eliminate mention of telephone taps in order to protect their continuing operations. [Cable from Headquarters to Mexico City Station, December 31, 1965, Dir 0S466, CIA No. 569; chronology of Dunn Coopsho, December 24, 1965, entry No. 260, CIA No. 672] Headquarters called that they would rely on Sylvia Dunn's statement and on the Consular file which the Senate gave the State Dept. (1251); [Headquarters assured that exact, detailed information from L.446-7 and L.446-9 -- generally agents in the Cuban Embassy -- on what Sylvia Dunn and others said about officials at Oswald's visits and his dealings would be valuable and need constructive protection.]

When the Central Intelligence Agency forwarded to the Dunn Commission a copy of Dunn's 1965 statement, they said: [Devised Dunn's description of Oswald that blends facts and speculation.]

---
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Sylvia Duran.

1. House Select Committee on Assassinations 6/1/78
   interview with Sylvia Duran.

Sylvia Duran (the Duran division of the Duran)
told the House Select Committee on Assassinations staff the following:

The House Select Committee on Assassinations interviewed Sylvia Duran on June 1, 1978.

2. Mr. Trumbo (Duran division of the Duran in 1963) was never questioned by
   American officials in 1963. Thus, the Committee established contact with the Mexican government
   and requested that the Mexican government make Sylvia Duran available for interview. Letter HSCA to
   Mexico, 7/22/78.

Mr. Trumbo, told the House Select Committee on
   Assassinations the following:


Lee Harvey Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate three times on September 27, 1963, not twice as the Warren Commission previously reported. (HSCA interview of Sylvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. p. 523-526) Oswald first visited the Cuban Consulate at approximately 11:00 a.m., requesting an intransit visa to Cuba with Russia as the final destination. Oswald showed her some documents, then, left to obtain photographs needed for his application. (HSCA interview of Sylvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. p. 523-526)

Oswald returned at approximately 1:00 p.m. with four photographs. (HSCA interview of Sylvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. p. 526) Ms. Duran typed the application in duplicate, stapled a picture on top of each and had Oswald sign each in her presence. (HSCA interview of Sylvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. # ibid, p. 527-8) As proof Oswald showed her several documents he had brought: his Russian labor card, marriage certificate with the name of his Russian wife, his American Communist Party membership card and his "Fair Play for Cuba" membership card. (HSCA interview of Sylvia Duran, 6/6/78, JFK Doc. # ibid p.531) Ms. Duran stated that she found Lee Harvey Oswald's behavior suspicious because normally a Communist traveled only with his passport because belonging to the Communist...
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Party was illegal in Mexico in 1963. (HSCA interview: Ibid p. 533) There was a procedure whereby the American Communist Party would arrange visa matters for their members with the Cuban Communist Party. (Ibid. p.532-533) The American would then come to Mexico, visit the Cuban Consulate, and receive his visa immediately. (Ibid., p.533) When Duran asked Oswald why he did not have the American Communist Party arrange his trip to Cuba, he stated that he had not have time. (Ibid., p.532)

After Duran explained to Oswald that he had to acquire a Russian visa before he could receive a Cuban visa, (Ibid., p.534) Duran jotted her name and business phone number on a piece of paper and gave it to Oswald who then left to get his Russian visa. (Ibid., p.549, 534)

Oswald returned to the Cuban Consulate between 5:00 p.m. and 6:00 p.m., which was after normal working hours, (10:00 to 2:00 p.m.) (Ibid., p. 543) The guard called her, stating that someone who did not speak Spanish was at the gate inquiring about a visa. (Ibid., p.543-4) As routine procedure, she asked the guard to escort the individual to her office. (Ibid. p.544) Oswald told her that he had acquired a Russian visa. (Ibid., p. 544) Since he did not produce it when asked she called the Russian Consulate. (Ibid., p.545) The Consul told Duran
that Oswald had been to the Consulate requesting a visa and had been told that the reply would take approximately four months. (Ibid., p. 545) When she relayed the message to Oswald, he got very excited, insisting that as a person who had been in jail because of the Cuban Revolution he should receive a visa. (Ibid., p. 546) Oswald stated that he could not wait a long period of time because his Mexican visa expired in three days. (Ibid., p. 546) At this point Ms. Duran called the Consul, Eusibio Azcue, Tired informed of the situation. Azcue had been in his private office which he shared with his upcoming replacement, Alfredo Mirabal. (Ibid., p. 546) Azcue politely explained the requisites for an intransit visa to Oswald. (Ibid., p. 546, 554) When he noticed that Oswald was a stubborn man he told Oswald that he was obviously not a friend of the Cuban Revolution, because if he was a friend, he would understand that Cuba had to be extremely careful with the people it allowed in Cuba. (Ibid., p. 554) Azcue and Oswald yelled at each other. (Ibid., p. 551) Then Azcue went to the door, opened it and asked Oswald to leave. (Ibid., p. 554) Oswald did not revisit or telephone the Consulate because if he had she would have remembered it. The Consulate did not have many American visitors who had been to Russia and had married a Russian
Ms. Trulk described herself as weighing 125 lbs, approximately 5 feet one inch, blonde hair, and with very little hair. (bid. p. 190)
b. The Possibility that Selvin Duran was an Intelligence Agent for Either the Central Intelligence Agency or the Cuban Intelligence Agency.

Since the publication of the Warren Commission in September 1964, there has been much interest in determining whether Selvin Duran was an intelligence agent for either the Central Intelligence Agency or the Cuban Intelligence Agency.

1) Was Selvin Duran a Central Intelligence Agent?
2) Was Selvin Duran a Cuban Intelligence Agent?

3) Was Selvin Duran a Central Intelligence Agent?

In an effort to resolve the question, the House Select Committee on Assassinations attempted to obtain an interview with Selvin Duran. The Committee found no specific evidence in Selvin Duran's file review that Selvin Duran was an intelligence agent.

In addition, the House Select Committee on Assassinations interviewed most of the Mexico City Station's employees about the allegations. Only David Phillips (Chief of Earl Hollin's control the Mexico City Station in 1963) thought the allegations possible. At one time the agency believed that Selvin Duran was a CIA operative who was used to recruit an individual. Almost everyone at the Cuban Embassy (HSCA interview of David Phillips, 4/17/74, p. 2) thought that he was susceptible to being blackmailed. A CIA operative (David Phillips, 4/17/74, p. 2) identified Mr. Phillips who was then told about Selvin Duran's statement that all that would have to be done to recruit Duran was get a blonde, blue-eyed American in bed with the little girl (Pete in a speakeasy town for whom).
At that point, Mr. Phillips admitted that it appeared that Dunn had not been targeted, that the Station, at least, had been reactivated and that the weakness and means had been identified. [bid p. 7] Mr. Phillips went on to arrange, that targeting did not necessarily mean that Dunn had been targeted because Dunn had been targeted did not necessarily mean that she had been gotten. [bid p. 7] In addition, he went on to say that Dunn, in that Dunn had been gotten, [bid p. 7]

Another CIA employee, Dan Messner [Messner] worked on an "Osword Task Force" in late September or early October of 1975, concerned with 203 Ford suits brought against the agency concerning the 1964 Voting Rights Act. Messner noted that he believed that Dunn had been an agency source. The source was based on a review of Oswald's 203 Ford file, "probably because of the Department's effort in the assumption to get that Dunn." [Messner, interview 7/31/78, p.1]

Despite Mr. Phillips and Mr. Messner's statements, the Committee cannot definitely resolve whether Dunn was an actual or potential CIA agent.
In 1967, Mr. Sidney Dunn claimed to have been a Cuban intelligence agent. In an effort to verify the assertion, the House Select Committee on Intelligence interviewed Dunn, who was a Cuban intelligence officer. The committee found no evidence of Dunn's claims.

The committee stated in its report, "The House Select Committee on Intelligence, having investigated the matter, concludes that Sidney Dunn, as alleged, never worked for the Cuban intelligence agency indicated or any other Cuban agency in the United States in 1963, that he was not a member of any Cuban intelligence agency, and that he was not a Cuban intelligence agent.

The committee further stated that Dunn's claims were not substantiated by any evidence presented.

The committee concluded that Dunn was not a Cuban intelligence agent.
8. The Cubans

When Oswald allegedly met the Cuban Consulate, Cuban
Agent Hugo, a Cuban citizen, was the Cuban consul. Because
he had diplomatic immunity, the Cuban government had never
been asked to make him available for questioning. I argue
transform from Moscow to Cuba to interview the Cuban
Agent in Cuba. I also argued that the Cuban
Committee should contact the Cuban government. The Committee
sought the Cuban government's cooperation to make
Cuba's evidence

available for Committee and staff interviews. (Letter from 1964 to

Cuban government.)

I then met with the Cuban
Agent in Cuba. During
that interview Mr. Ague alleged that the man in
the photo was the same individual who visited the Cuban
Embassy in 1962. (Report
Embassy, Jan. 13, 1963, 1
Dec.)

I also raised the issue of
Affidavit

Windsor, who in 1963, had recently arrived from Cuba
to assume the Consulate's duties, had also been present
during Oswald's visits. (Letter to
The Committee
talked to
Windsor at the time to interview Affidavit
Windsor. [See Windsor, Affidavit, p. 2] Subsequent to that
meeting, the Committee asked the
Cuban government to make Cuban Agent and Affidavit
Windsor available for the public hearings. Letter from
Cuban government, 1
Dec.)

The Cuban
government complied with the Committee's request.

House Select Committee Public Hearing Testimony

Cuba's Actions, 1965

1. Cuban
Affidavit held the House Select Committee in

An individual who gave the name for含义 Osvald visited the Cuban Consulate on three occasions in late September and early October 1963. [Page 98, pp. 28-29] The individual first visited the Cuban Consulate during working hours, requesting an immediate visa to Cuba with Russia as the final destination. The man showed the secretary, Agnes Duran, some documents [Commercial contract, membership card, Fair Play in Cuba membership card, Soviet Union passport, and marriage certificate with the name of his Russian wife], which he believed would be sufficient to obtain a visa. [Page 98, pp. 30] When the secretary would not grant him a visa, the man asked me to see whether my agency examination of the documents & could grant him a visa. [Page 100, p. 30] I answered negatively. The individual then left to obtain photographs needed for his application. [Page 100, p. 30]

The man probably returned on September 23, 1963 with photographs and asked the application in the Duran's presence. [Page 101, p. 31] As the amount of time required to process this document would not be as long as twenty days in the process could have been negatived, I told the man that he could grant him a visa for Cuba, without examining his papers government, if he had a Russian visa. [Page 101, p. 31] The individual then left to obtain his Russian visa. [Page 101, p. 31]

After the left the Consulate, Agnes received a telephone call from the Soviet Embassy. [Page 101, p. 31] The Embassy explained that the man's documents were legitimated but that he could not issue a visa until they received authorization from Moscow. [Page 101, p. 31]
Enquiries that the Cuban Consulate never received visitors after working hours (10:30-2:00). Mr. Agnes told that the individual probably returned to the Consulate on September 25, 1963. When Agnes explained to Oswald that he could not admit him, the man made statements against Cuba and its government. (p. 34)

At that point, Agnes became angry and asked him to leave. The Consulate, Oswald did not want to leave the Consulate. (p. 36)

Mr. Agnes described the man who visited the Consulate were followed a white male, between 5'6" and 5'7", over 30 years of age, very thin long face, with straight eyebrows and a cold look in his eyes. (p. 35) Mr. Agnes allegedly that he would never have identified Lee Harvey Oswald as the man who visited the Cuban Consulate in 1963.

2. Alfredo Monreal Diaz told the House Joint Committee on Assassinations at the public hearings, 7/18/76, the following.

Lee Harvey Oswald visited the Cuban Consulate in Dallas, Texas, on August 25, 1963, according to Mr. Monreal, who knew the exact date. Oswald’s visit was brief, and he did not speak English.

July 25, 1963. On both occasions there were small crowds present. According to Agnes, Oswald took only a glimpse of the man and signed the papers. (p. 118)

Although Oswald could not write English, Mr. Agnes handed the papers (p. 117).
Henry Dawell made application in the name of Henry Dawell, who visited the Court, (bid 130)
On October 5, 1964, eleven days after the publication of the Warren Commission Report, a story alleging Lee Harvey Oswald's presence at a party in Mexico City attended by Cuban government personnel came to the attention of the Central Intelligence Agency (Wx7421, p.94, entry #430, CIA #721; Blind memo dated 10/5/64, CIA #576). An allegation of this type, if true, could negate the Warren Commission's conclusion that Lee Harvey Oswald was the lone assassin of President John F. Kennedy.

Elena's Story as Reported October 5, 1964

Elena Garro de Paz and Deba Garro de Guerrero Galvan, first cousins to Horatio, Ruben and Lynn Duran were invited to a twist party at the home of Ruben Duran in the middle of the week in the fall of 1963. Lee Harvey Oswald was alleged to have been at this party in the company of "two other beatnik-looking boys." (Ibid.) The Americans remained together the entire evening and did not dance. When Elena tried to speak with the Americans, she was "shifted" to another room by one of her cousins. (The memo does not state whether Elena had mentioned which cousin had not allowed her to speak to the Americans.) One of Elena's cousins told her at the time that (he or she) did not know who the Americans were except that Sylvia Duran (an employee of the Cuban Embassy and the wife of Horatio Duran), had brought them to the party.
The day after the party, Elena and Deba saw the three Americans on the Insurgents', a main avenue in Mexico City. The Garros claimed that they had recognized Oswald's photograph when it was published after the assassination Sylvia Duran's arrest "underlined the Garros' certainty" that the man had been Lee Harvey Oswald. (Ibid.) Deba added that Lynn and one of the Duran brothers had made trips to Texas. (Ibid.) She added that, even though the Duran brothers had always been poor before the assassination, they were both driving expensive new cars. (Ibid.)

The source of the memo was a witting Central Intelligence Agency asset known by the cryptonym LICOOKIE I whom the Committee identified as June Cobb Sharp while receiving LICOOKIE's file. According to Elena, Ms. Cobb was sent to her house shortly after the assassination for a few days, by a mutual friend, a Costa Rican writer Eunice Odio. (CIA No's 580-583; WX-7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719) Ms. Garro asserted that while at her house, Ms. Cobb expressed interest in the Kennedy assassination. One night Elena's sister Deba, who was visiting, got drunk and told the whole story. (Ibid.) Claiming to be a CIA agent, Cobb suggested that Elena and Deba go to Texas to tell their story. (Ibid.) Elena stated that when Cobb's suggestion was rejected, Cobb stated that she would arrange a meet-
ing with the CIA Station Chief. (Winston Scott was the Mexico City Station Chief in 1964). The meeting did not occur because Ms. Cobb was asked to leave the Garro house evidently because she kicked Elena's cat. (CIA No's 580-583; Wx7241, Entry #427, p. 42, CIA #719). A notation on the memo says that L/1 (Licookie I) never regained contact with Elena Garro de Paz. (Wx7241, p. 94, Entry #430, CIA #721; Blind Memo dated 10/5/64, CIA #576).

The LiCookie memo was not inserted in either the Elena Garro or Lee Harvey Oswald "p" (personality) files but in a local leftist and Cuban project file. The Committee learned about the memo from Wx-7241, a chronologi-
cal history of the Oswald case prepared by Anne Goodpasture for the CIA in 1976). The memo was found in December, 1965. (See Wx 7241, p. 94, CIA #721). Stanley Watson found the memo. A marginal notation on Wx7241 says, "Why was this not sent to Headquarters?" (P. 87, CIA #714). The Committee has been able to determine that the memo was forwarded to headquarters shortly thereafter.

I would leave this out + include as a

The story was told by Elena Garro de Paz who was born of Spanish parents in Puebla, Mexico on December 11, 1917. (All information in this section culled from Biography Data form prepared by Charles Thomas.) Ms. Garro attended the National Autonomous University of Mexico and
later did graduate work at Berkley in California and at the University of Paris. In 1963, Elena had long been married to Octavio Paz, a career diplomat who is also one of Mexico's finest poets and leading intellectuals. When Octavio was named Mexican Ambassador to India, the couple separated by mutual consent. Elena's daughter, also named Elena, has always resided with her mother.

Since Elena spent seventeen years of her early life in Europe she had a rather un-Mexican objectivity about her native land and had a reputation for being one of its more articulate detractors. At the same time, Elena was considered emotionally committed to many aspects of Mexican life and made an important contribution to its artistic development.

In the 1960's Elena became a significant writer. _Hogar Solido, El Rey Mago, La Señora en su Balcon, Ventura Allende, Andaise por las Ramas, Parada Empresa, and El Viaje_ are plays that have had appreciative audiences in Europe, where they were translated into German, as well as in Mexico. Ms. Garro's short stories are collected in a volume called _La Semana de Colores_. The _Literacy Supplement of the London Times_ has called her novel, _Los Recuerdos de Porvenir_, "a splendid success." Critics have said of her: "For Elena Garro, there is no frontier between reality and fantasy; in any case, the latter is a second reality—perhaps more intense—to which one may penetrate without
passport or forewarning, thanks to the effectiveness of a literature fired with passion, flavor and life. Many people who knew Elena have asserted that the frontier between reality and fantasy is also difficult for her to distinguish in real life. (Biography Data Form on Elena Garra de Paz prepared by Charles Thomas.)

Ms. Garro, for many years, was an active worker in the Confederacion Nacional Campesina (CNC), the agrarian arm of the Partido Reformista (PRI). Because Ms. Garro was a tireless propagandist and agitator on behalf of the poorer Mexican peasants, she was on close personal terms with and enjoyed the respect of peasant leaders from all over the country. (Ibid.)

Elena was considered a witty, urbane and opinionated woman with an unflagging sense of humor. Her forthright opinions and sharp wit tended on occasion to ruffle feathers in Mexico, but her important social, literacy, and political connections rendered her fainly immune from serious counterattack until 1968. Then, Ms. Garro was forced to flee the country with her daughter, Elenita and her sister, Deba Guerrero de Galvan, in the midst of the student strikes. The House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine the exact reason Ms. Garro had for fleeing Mexico.
Before her disappearance from Mexico, Elena was well disposed toward the United States and had been friendly with Embassy officers. Her broad range of significant personal friends, the views of many important to the American Embassy, made her a useful Embassy target. (A "useful Embassy target" is a person deemed important enough because of acquaintances to merit frequent contact, either witting or unwitting, with American Embassy officials.)

October 12, 1964 CIA Memo for the Record

On October 12, 1964 the Chief of Covert Action, Jim Flannery, wrote a memo for the record reporting that Elena Garro de Paz had told her story to Eunice Odio. (The Committee has not been able to determine if Elena Garro told Ms. Odio the story personally or if Ms. Cobb related to Ms. Odio what Elena had told her) who relayed it to "Tich-born" (Tichborn was Henry P. Lopez' cryptonym. Mr. Lopez was a witting asset who wrote propaganda pieces for the CIA. After careful review of his CIA file, the HSCA has not been able to establish a link from Mr. Lopez to either Ms. Odio or Ms. Garro on 10/9/64. 10/12/64 memo, CIA #596; Wx7241, p. 87, CIA #714)

The story is not as detailed as the 10/5/64 version. There is no mention of Deba Garro Guerrero Galvan. The story, perhaps because it is third hand, differs from the previous story in two areas: The party was at the Cuban Embassy, not at Ruben Duran's; Elena talked to a Cuban
In 1967 a report that Silvia Duran had had intimate relations with Lee Harvey Oswald came to the attention of the Central Intelligence Agency’s Mexico City Station. [Write-up by Wallace B. Raiton, meeting with LIRNE/3, May 22, 1967]. The source, LIRNE/3, an extremely reliable source, stated that he had recently received a call from Silvia Duran [Life? orig. or May 22, 1967, verified the phone call] and that he had visited her to renew acquaintances. [Write-up by Wallace B. Raiton, meeting with LIRNE/3, May 22, 1967] Duran told LIRNE/3 that she had met Lee Harvey Oswald at the Cuban Consulate when she applied for a visa and had dated him on several occasions. (156) Duran admitted that she had intimate relations with Oswald but insisted that she had no idea of his plans (158).

In addition, Duran told LIRNE/3 that when the news of the assassination became public knowledge, the Mexican government arrested her and during the interrogation kept her posted. She admitted that she had had an affair with Lee Harvey Oswald. (156)

In a subsequent memorandum, Headquarters wrote: “First that Silvia Duran had sexual intercourse with Lee Harvey Oswald on several occasions that the latter was in Mexico is probably news, but adds little to the Oswald case. Accruals the Mexican police did not report the extent of the Duran-Oswald relationship to this station.” (Dispatch Chief of Western Hemisphere, to Chief E. Station, HA-6353, May 22, 1967, p. 7)

That the Mexican government did not disclose all the information in this government’s possession to the Central Intelligence Agency raises one of two possibilities: (1) the Mexican government did not want to disclose that one of their citizens had had intimate relations with the assassin John F. Kennedy; (2) Silvia Duran was a Mexican penetrative agent in the Cuban Consulate and the Mexican government was protecting their informant.
Embassy official, not one of her cousins about the three Americans.

Attached to the memo was a note from Flannery to the Chief of Station, Winston Scott, which read, "Do you want me to send the gist of this to Headquarters?" Scott then noted that the memo should be filed. The file indications show that the memo went into the Oswald "P" file and the Elena Garro "P" file. (Ibid.)

November 24, 1964 CIA Informant Report

On November 24, 1964 a Central Intelligence Agency informant (The House Select Committee has been unable to determine the informant's identity) reported information (memo from Winston Scott to the files, re: June Cobb, 11/25/64, CIA Nos. 592-593; Wx 7241, p. 88, Entry #404, CIA #715) he had learned from "LICHANT/1. (While reviewing LICHANT I's file the Committee determined that the CIA asset's true name was Manuel Calvillo. Elena claims that the day following John F. Kennedy's assassination, Calvillo escorted her and her daughter to the Vermont Hotel for protection; see also December 25, 1965 Thomas memo for more information on Manuel Calvillo.) The informant asserted that June Cobb was an "American Communist" who rented a room from Elena Garro. (Memo from Winston Scott to the files, re June Cobb, 11/25/64, CIA Nos. 592-593, Wx 7241, p. 88, Entry #404,CIA No. 715) The informant also stated that Elena tried to talk to Robert Kennedy when
he was in Mexico because she had met Oswald "and two friends (Cubans)" at a party at Horacio Duran's house. (Ibid.) In addition, the informant claimed that Elena also told her story to an American official, at the Embassy, who claimed to represent the Warren Commission. (Ibid.) The Chief of Station noted that he had asked the informant to pursue the story (Ibid.) but there is no indication that he ever complied with this request. (HSCA Review of Classified CIA Documents.)

November 24, 1964 Elena Meeting with Mexico City Legal Attache Officers

Elena and her daughter also told their story to the Mexico City Legal Attache. (The Legal Attache in 1964 was Clark Anderson.) They recounted the same story previously given to the Commission. The date of the party was given as September 30, October 1 or October 2, 1963. (FBI 105-825555 Report, Dec. 11, 1964 entitled Lee Harvey Oswald, p. 1) The agent who wrote the report ( ) noted that Lee Harvey Oswald could not have been identical with the American allegedly observed by Mrs. Paz at the party if this party were held on the evening of October 1 or October 2, 1963. (FBI 103-825555 Report, Dec. 11, 1964 entitled Lee Harvey Oswald, p. 1) FBI investigation of President Kennedy's assassination had established that Lee Harvey Oswald had departed Mexico
City by bus at 8:30 a.m. on October 2, 1963 when Ms.
Paz stated that she saw Lee Harvey Oswald walking on
Insurgentes. (Ibid. p.3)

Elena was questioned regarding the identity of
other persons-attending the party at the Ruben Duran home
who might have been in a position to observe the three
Americans. Elena stated that in the course of the party
her daughter met a young man named "Alejandro" at the
party and danced with him. (Ibid. p.3) The daughter did
not take the calls and as a result "Alejandro" wrote
several letters to the daughter. Ms. Garro exhibited
two of the letters, as well as a business card which i-
identified the young man as Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius.
(Ibid. p. 3).

The letter which Ms. Garro said was the first writ-
ten by the young man to her daughter bore the date Septem-
ber 1, 1963 and the Mexico City Post Office postmark Septem-
ber 2, 1963. When Ms. Garro was told this she commented
that the Communists probably had facilities for falsifying
postcards. (Ibid.)

To investigate Ms. Garro's story further, the
Federal Bureau interviewed Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius
on November 27, 1964. (Ibid. p.4) Lavagnini recalled that
there were approximately thirty people at the Ruben Duran
party, few of whom he knew. He recalled having met a Mexi-
can girl who had recently returned from living in France. He was unable to fix the date of the party, but felt it was probably early in September because of a heavy rain which occurred as they were leaving the party about 2:00 a.m. (Ibid. p. 4)

Lavagnini noted no Americans present at this party. He was familiar with the appearance of Lee Harvey Oswald because of publicity following the assassination of President Kennedy but otherwise had no knowledge of him and had never seen him except for news photographs following the assassination. (Ibid. p. 4) Levagnini was the only person interviewed by the FBI who attended parties at the Duran house in the September-October time frame.

There is no indication on the document that this information was given to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. [A review of CIA files accurately contradicts the above.]

Charles Thomas' First Meeting with Elena Garro Where Lee Harvey Oswald is Discussed

On 12/10/65 Charles Thomas, a political officer at the American Embassy, wrote a memorandum about a conversation with Elena Garro de Paz. (CIA #586-587, WX-7241 Entry No. 425, p. 91, CIA No. 718.) The meeting with Elena had been about other matters but she mentioned knowing Oswald. Thomas noted that she was reluctant to talk but did. (Ibid.)

Elena's story reported here is the same, but with more details, as that given in the Licookie memo dated 10/5/64.
10/5/64. She said that General Jose Jesus Clark Flores, a friend of Rubín Duran's, Silvia Duran, Eusebio Azcue (Cuban Consul in Mexico City), Emilio Carballido (a pro-Communist writer-friend of the Durans), and a Latin American Negro man with red hair (unidentified) were at the party. A marginal comment by this entry in WX-7241 says, "How did Elena know about a red-haired Negro?"

Elena also told Thomas that she had later learned that Silvia Duran had been "Oswald's mistress while he was there." (A note by this entry in WX-7241 says, "How did Elena Garro know about Silvia being the mistress of Oswald?? This is 1965.") The Mexico City Station did not hear about the Oswald-Duran "affair" until July 1967 when a CIA Asset Liring3 reported it. After reviewing LIRING/3 file at the CIA the House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine who LIRING/3 was associated with that had knowledge about Sylvia Duran or Lee Harvey Oswald. 7

Elena told Thomas that she and her daughter had gone to the Cuban Embassy on November 23, 1963 and shouted "Assassins" and other insults at the Embassy employees. (CIA #586-587, Wx7241, Entry No. 425, p. 91, CIA No. 718)

That same day a friend, Manuel Calvillo, an official in the Gobernacion, took her and her daughter to a small hotel in the center of Mexico City. (Ibid.) They were kept
there for eight days under the pretext that they were in
danger. (Ibid.) Elena claimed to have told Calvillo that
she wanted to tell her story to the American Embassy.
(Ibid.) Calvillo dissuaded her by telling her that the
American Embassy was full of Communist spies. (Ibid.)
Elena said that some of the other people who had been at
the party were taken to Veracruz where they were "protected"
by Governor Lopez Arias. (Ibid; The House Select Committee
was unable to determine the veracity of Ms. Paz' allega-
See HSCA Investigation of Elena Chavez de Paz' Allegations.
Sion. She said that Ruben Duran, reportedly "protected"
by General Clark Flores, was very prosperous and was
driving a big car. (CIA #586-587, Wx-7241, Entry No. 425,
p. 91, CIA No. 718) Elena also claimed that Ruben Duran
told her months after the assassination that he was not
really a Communist and that killing Kennedy had been a
mistake. (Ibid; the House Select Committee on Assess-
inations has not been able to determine whether Ruben actually
spoke to Elena about the assassination. Ruben Duran claims
he had no reason to tell Elena that killing Kennedy had been a mistake since
he had no involvement.

Charles Thomas circulated a copy of the
memorandum in the American Embassy including the Cen-
tral Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station to aid them in their investigation of the John F. Kennedy assassina-
tion. (The House Select Committee has determined that the
Central Intelligence Agency received the copy of the Thomas
memorandum prior to December 25, 1965. See below. The COS wrote a note on the memo: "What an imagination she has! Should we send to Headquarters?" The officer replied, "Suggest sending. There have been stories around town about all this, and Thomas is not only person she has talked to...If memory serves me, didn't LICOOKIE refer to Oswald and the local leftists and Cubans in one of her squibs?" (CIA No. 588, note from SW to COS.)

The Mexico City Station called the information in Thomas' 12/10/65 memorandum of conversations to CIA Headquarters (Cable from Mexico City to the Director, Mexi 5621, date out off my copy; CIA Nos. 584-585) The cable reported that Elena's story would be checked with LICHANT/1, against the production from the Cuban surveillance operation "and other sources." (Ibid.) Winston Scott wrote, next to the routing indications on the cable, "Please ask Charles Thomas if he'll 'follow up.' Get questions from Anne G. [Anne Goodpasture]. Please let's discuss. Thanks." (Ibid.)

After the December 10 memorandum of conversations, Winston Scott (Chief/Station) and Nathan Ferris (Legal Attache) called C. Thomas for a meeting. They asked him to get a more detailed replay of Ms. Garro's story. At this meeting Winston Scott made it clear that the FBI had full responsibility for any further investigation in the Oswald case. (State Dept: letter from Charles Thomas
to William P. Rogers, July 25, 1969, JKF Doc. #

3. Charles Thomas' Meeting with Elena Garro on December 25, 1965

Thomas met with Elena again on December 25, 1965. At the same date he wrote a memorandum of conversation which provided a much more detailed restatement of Ms. Garro's alleged encounter with Lee Harvey Oswald and subsequent developments. (CIA Nos. 580-583; Wx-7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719)

Elena admitted that she had spoken to two men at the Embassy, "presumably from the Legal Attache's Office." (Ibid, The meeting occurred on Nov. 24, 1964.) Elena said that she did not tell them the complete story because "the Embassy officers did not give much credence to anything she and Elenita said." (CIA Nos. 580-583; Wx 7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719)

She stated that the party had been at Ruben Duran's home. (Ibid.) She was unclear about the date of the party. (Ibid.) It was a few days before the Soviet Astronaut, Gagarin, visited Mexico; she thought that this would put the party around September 2 or 3, 1963. (Ibid.) She believed that the party was on a Monday or Tuesday because it was an odd night for a party. (Ibid.) Elena could not check her calendar to refresh her memory at the time of the interview because the calendar was in a desk that had been stored away. (Ibid.)
During the conversation Elena described Oswald and his companions. (Ibid.) The man who she thought was Oswald wore a black sweater. (Ibid.) She said he was quiet "and stared a lot at the floor." (Ibid.) One of his companions "was very tall and slender and had long blond hair which hung across his forehead. He had a gaunt face and a rather long protruding chin." (Ibid.) The other companion was also tall, with short, light brown hair and no distinguishing characteristics. (Ibid.) The three Americans did not dance or mix with the other guests. (Ibid.) Elena saw the same three men on the street the next day. (Ibid.)

Elena was certain that Eusebio Azcue, Horacio Duran, Silvia Duran, Lydia Duran, Deba Guerrero, General Clark Flores and his mistress, a doctor from Dalinde Hospital, a young American couple who were honeymooning in Mexico, and several other people were at the party. (Ibid.) She said that Ricardo Guerra, whom she claims converted Horatio Duran to Communism, and his wife, Rosario Castellanos, were supposed to be at the party but did not attend. (Ibid.)

Elena alleged that the red-haired man and Emilio Carballido were not at the party that Oswald attended but at another party where Carballido and Azcue got into a heated argument about President Kennedy. (Ibid.) "They came to the conclusion that the only solution was to kill
him." (Ibid.) Elena was not clear on whether this party was before or after the party where she met Oswald. (Ibid.) The House Select Committee has been unable to confirm the conversation. Eusebio Azcue stated that it did not occur.

Elena said that Carballido "is a known Castro agent in Mexico." (Ibid.) After the assassination he spent a year in Cuba. (Ibid.) He then got a job teaching at Rutgers University through Dr. Jose Vasquez Amaral. (Ibid.) The House Select Committee has been unable to confirm Ms. Garro's allegations.

Elena reiterated that the incident at the Cuban Embassy, where she and her daughter shouted "Assassins," etc. at the Embassy employees, occurred on November 23 at or about 3:00 p.m. (Ibid.) Elena and Elenita were driven to the Cuban Embassy by Elena's brother who was embarrassed by their behavior. (Ibid.) This occurred before they had seen photographs of Oswald. (Ibid.)

Ms. Garro claimed that later in the day she and Elenita were visited by Manuel Calvillo who told them that they were in serious danger from the Communists and that he would take them to a small hotel, where they would be safe, for a few days. (Ibid.) Elena said she trusted and believed Calvillo because he was a known undercover agent for the Mexico Government. (Ibid.) He was also a friend of Noe Palomares (the Minister of Immigration) and President Gustavo
Diaz Ordaz. (Ibid.) Calvillo also told Elena and her daughter that Silvia Duran had been arrested. Duran's arrest was not public information on November 23, 1963. (Ibid.)

Elena could not remember the name of the hotel so that same day (12/25/65) she took Thomas to the section of Mexico City where she thought it was. (Ibid.) They found the hotel. (Ibid.) It was the Vermont Hotel, Calle Vermont 29. (Ibid.) Elena said that she assumed that Calvillo had registered them as relatives or friends. (Ibid.) They stayed at the hotel until the following Friday, November 30, 1963, hardly leaving their rooms. (Ibid.) (See Legal Attache report, p. 8 for confirmation.)

Elena claimed that she and Elenita were at the hotel they saw the photos of Oswald and realized that he had been the man at Ruben Duran's party. (Ibid.) When Calvillo visited them at the hotel Elena told him that she wanted to report it to the American Embassy, however, Calvillo dissuaded her by stating that the American Embassy was full of Communists. (Ibid.) Elena stated that when she returned home, guards were posted outside. (Ibid.) (The House Select Committee has been unable to confirm the veracity of Ms. Garro's claim. See HSCA Investigation of Elena Garro's Allegations.)

Elena alleged that after she returned home she saw her sister, Deba Guerrera, who had independently come to the same conclusion. Deba was "terrified" because approxi-
mately two months after the assassination two "Communists" personally warned her never to reveal that she had been to a party with Oswald. (Ibid.) Deba, consequently, would not accompany Elena to the American Embassy to tell her story on November 24, 1964. (Ibid.)

Elena said that a few days after the assassination Emilio Carballido took the Durans to Jalapa, Varacruz and "kept them out of the way until the initial shock of the assassination wore off." (Ibid.) The House Select Committee has been unable to confirm Ms. Garro's allegation.

Elena also alleged that shortly after the assassination an American named June Cobb spent several days in her house. (Ibid.) She said that Ms. Cobb had been sent to Elena by a mutual friend, Eunice Odio. (Ibid.) Elena claims that while Ms. Cobb was at her house she expressed an interest in the Kennedy assassination. (Ibid.) Deba, visiting Elena one night, got drunk and told Ms. Cobb the entire story. (Ibid.) Ms. Cobb wanted them to go to the American authorities. (Ibid.) Claiming to be a CIA agent, Cobb suggested that Elena and Deba go to Texas to tell their story. (Ibid.) When her suggestion was rejected, Cobb said that she would arrange a meeting with the CIA Station Chief. The meeting did not occur because Ms. Cobb was asked to leave the Garro house; evidently because she kicked Elena's cat. (Ibid.) The House Select Committee on
Assassinations has determined that June Cobb Sharp was a CIA asset in 1964. (Supra p. 3)

Elena claimed that Ruben Duran visited her circa the end of January 1964. (CIA Nos. 580-583; Wx 7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #719) He was worried that Oswald's visit to his home might be discovered and that he might lose his Mexican citizenship. (Ibid.) Ruben told Elena that it had been Silvia who had gotten him involved with Oswald. (Ibid.) Ruben added that he was not really a Communist and had opposed the assassination. (Ibid.) (Ruben Duran denied the story. HSCA Interview of Ruben Duran, p. , JFK Doc. #)

Elena said that she had told her story to Noe Palomares of the Gobernacion about six months after the assassination. (Ibid.) He advised against going to the American Embassy and told her that if she did anything at all she should merely write an anonymous letter. (Ibid.) The Committee spoke to Mr. Palomares who denied Ms. Garro's claim. (HSCA interview of Noe Palomares, JFK Doc.)

Elena stated that it was "common knowledge" that Silvia had been Oswald's mistress. (CIA Nos. 580-583; Wx7241, Entry #427, p. 92 CIA #719) When asked who could verify the allegation she could only remember one person who had told her this. (Ibid.) Elena claimed that person was Victor Rico Galan, a "pro-Castro journalist." (Ibid.) /Victor Rico Galan is dead. The Committee could not verify
Ms. Garro's allegation. Sylvia Duran denied the allegation. Nonetheless, LIRING 3, a CIA asset reported the same story in 1967.\footnote{The HSCA has been unable to determine the exact date.\footnote{Subsequent to December 25, 1965} Thomas wrote in the December 25, 1965 memo that Elena had found her calendar and had reconstructed the date of the party as late September and not early September.\footnote{CIA Nos. 580-583, Wx-7241, Entry #427, p. 42, CIA #719} When Thomas went to Ferris' office and informed him, Ferris replied that Elena had given the late September date when she had originally reported her story at the American Embassy. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, pp. 3-4, JFK Doc. No. ) However, Mr. Ferris explained to Thomas that someone who had been at the party had stated that there were no Americans there. (Ibid.) Mr. Ferris did not tell Mr. Thomas that Ario Alejandro Lavagnini Stenius Ferris had provided this information in 1964. (Supra p. ) Mr. Ferris suggested that it was not necessary for Thomas to pursue the matter since he considered the Oswald case closed and had heard all the rumors before. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, pp. 3-4, JFK Doc. No. )

The State Department forwarded (the same day) a copy of the Charles Thomas memorandum to the Central Intelligence
Agency's Mexico City Station to aid in their investigation of the John F. Kennedy assassination. On the first page of the memorandum of conversation Winston Scott wrote "Shouldn't we sent to Headquarters?" Someone responded, "Of course." (CIA Nos. 580-583; WX 7241, Entry #427, p. 92, CIA #721)

December 27, 1965 Legal Attache Memo to the United States Ambassador re Elena Garro

On December 27, 1965 the Legal Attache had written a memo to the Ambassador reporting that Elena and her daughter were interviewed on 17 and 24 November 1964. (Memo to the Ambassador from the Legal Attache, 12/27/65, CIA #578; WX-7241, Entry #429, p. 94, CIA #721.) The memo recorded that Elena and her daughter furnished information similar to that in Thomas' 12/10/65 memo. (Ibid.) The memo further stated, "Inquiries conducted at that time (November 1964), however, failed to substantiate the allegations made by Mrs. Garro de Paz and her daughter. In view of the fact that Mrs. Garro de Paz' allegations have been previously checked out without substantiation, no further action is being taken concerning her recent repetition of those allegations." (Ibid.)

The Legal Attache forwarded a copy of the memorandum to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (The House Select Committee has determined that the copy was forwarded prior to 12/29/78. See below.) Winston
Scott superimposed a note to Anne Goodpasture on this memo which read, "Can we send in a report to Headquarters 'dismissing' our cable?" Goodpasture responded, "Done." (Memo to the Ambassador from the Legal Attache, 12/27/65, CIA #578, WX-7241 Entry #429, p. 94, CIA #721) The cable Winston Scott wanted dismissed was Mexi 5621 (Supra. p. ) on December 29, 1965.

A cable written by Anne Goodpasture/reporting the Legat interview with Elena and the Legat office's failure to substantiate Elena's story was sent to Headquarters. (Memo from Mexico City to the Director, 12/29/65, Mexi 5741, CIA #575; WX-7241 Entry #430, p. 94, CIA #721).

The cable promised to keep Headquarters advised if any further information were to develop. (Ibid.)

LICOOKIE's 10/5/64 memo is attached to this cable. WX-7241 explained this in a marginal comment, "This document by LICOOKIE was not in (Oswald's file), but was copied from (a project file) and attached to Mexi 5741, 29 Dec 65." (Ibid.)

A note superimposed to this cable by Allen White stated, "I don't know what FBI did in November 1964, but the Garros have been talking about this for a long time and she is said to be extremely bright." Anne Goodpasture wrote that the FBI had found Elena's allegations unsubstantiated but that "we will try to confirm or refute Ms. Garro de Paz's information and follow up." Win Scott wrote,
"She is also 'nuts.'" (Ibid. CIA #574)

CIA Investigation of Elena's Allegation that She Created
A Disturbance at the Cuban Embassy on November 23, 1963

On February 3, 1966 Anne Goodpasture forwarded
Thomas' December 25, 1965 memo to the Cuban section with
an attached note asking them to check whether Elena was
"seen creating such a disturbance as they claimed in front
of the Cuban Embassy." (Note from Anne Goodpasture to
"Cubans," 2/3/66, CIA No. 579; WX-7241, Entry 428, p. 94,
CIA #721.)

One Cuban section officer responded, "No bells ring
with me." Another one wrote "Me neither." The third of-

- officer wrote, "No pictures either." (Ibid.) There is no

indication that the penetration agents in the Cuban Embassy
were queried about Elena's allegation. Ibid., that there
are no pictures is reasonable since Elena claimed that
the event happened: 1) on a Saturday at 3:00 p.m. when
the Cuban Embassy was not normally photographically sur-
veilled; and 2) the "disturbance" occurred inside the Cuban
compound. HSCA Examination of the CIA Cuban Embassy pho-

tographic surveillance showed no surveillance on 11/23/63.
(Classified Summary of Staff Review of CIA Documents, un-
dated, p. 3, CIA #763. /)
Legal Attache 2/23/66 Memo to the United States Ambassador
Regarding Elena Garro's Allegations

On 2/23/66 the Legal Attache wrote a memo to the Ambassador reporting that "extensive investigation" failed to disclose that Oswald had traveled to Mexico prior to September 26, 1963 and that no information had developed that would show that he had not been in New Orleans in the early part of that month. [Memo from Legat to Ambassador, 2/23/66, CIA #571; WX-7241 Entry #455, p. 95, CIA #722] The memo reiterated that no further action was being taken by the FBI because Elena's allegations had not been substantiated. (Ibid.) The Legal Attache forwarded a copy to the Central Intelligence Agency's Mexico City Station. (The House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine when the copy was forwarded to the CIA.) A marginal comment made by Anne Goodpasture next to this entry in WX-7241 says, "How can it be ascertained that Oswald did not travel to Mexico prior to early September 1963? There must be some basis for Elena's reporting."
(Ibid; referenced to Thomas' 12/25/65 memo.)

Charles Thomas 7/13/66 Memorandum of Conversation re Elena Garro

On 7/13/66 Charles Thomas wrote a memorandum of conversation reporting that Elena had told him that she had received an invitation to visit Cuba from Ambassador Juquin Hernandez Armas. (Memorandum of Conversation by
Charles Thomas, 7/13/66, CIA #565; WX-7241, Entry 460, p. 96, CIA #723) Elena gave Thomas two letters to support her claim. (Ibid.) She said the letters had been delivered to her home by a driver from the Cuban Embassy. (Ibid.) The envelope gave her address as Vermont 38. (Ibid.) Because of her stay at the Hotel Vermont she saw the letters as a threat and was frightened. (Ibid.) (The HSCA has determined that the Cuban Government invited Elena Garro to visit Cuba due to her literary talents; See Biography.)

Legal Attache Memo to Winston Scott re Elena's Allegation that She Had Stayed at the Hotel Vermont from the Day After the Assassination until November 30, 1963

On 10/13/66 the Legal Attache wrote a memo to the CIA/COS reporting that a reliable confidential informant had reported that the records of the Hotel Vermont disclosed that "Elena Paz, housewife from San Luis Potosi" had registered at the Hotel Vermont on November 23, 1963. She left on November 30, 1963. (Memo from Legat to Winston Scott, 10/13/66, CIA No. 564; WX-7241, Entry #466, p. 98, CIA #725; Thomas' 7/13/66 memo.) (The House Select Committee on Assassinations has been unable to determine why the Central Intelligence Agency and the Federal Bureau of Investigations waited until 1966 to investigate this aspect of Elena's story.) The Memo said that "the above individual may or may not be identical with Elena Garro de Paz." (Ibid.)
Charles Thomas' 12/25/65 memo states, "She (Elena) and her daughter did not personally register at the hotel. She thinks Calvillo registered them as relatives or friends of his from San Luis Postosi." (Memo of Conversation by Charles Thomas, 12/25/65, p. 3, CIA #582) The entry for the 10/13/66 Legat memo in WX-7241 bore the notation, "This is what Elena claimed and no one would believe her." (WX-7241, Entry #466, p. 98, CIA #725)

Charles Thomas' September 30, 1969 Letter to State Department and Legal Attaches Response

No further reports on Elena's story was generated until 1969 when Charles Thomas was "selected out." ("Selected out" is a phrase used when an officer is retired after having been in one grade for the maximum period of time and is not considered qualified for promotion to a higher grade). Then he wrote a memorandum to the Secretary of State which included a cover letter stating, "Since I was the Embassy Officer in Mexico who acquired this intelligence information, I feel a responsibility for seeing it through to its final evaluation." (State Department: Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1969)

Charles Thomas' memorandum stated that "he got no reaction from Nathan Ferris and Winston Scott" regarding
his memorandum of December 25, 1965. (State Department: Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1969) In addition, Thomas wrote that the only person to speak to him about the December 25, 1965 memorandum, Clarence Bomstra (Deputy Chief of Mission, State Department, Chief of Affairs at the time of President Kennedy's assassination and subsequent Oswald investigation) told him that Oswald had not been in Mexico on the date given for the party. (State Department: Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1964) Thomas noted that even when he reiterated that Elena had not changed her story but rather that she had now given a more accurate account, Boonstra stated that the date was wrong and dismissed the entire affair. (Ibid.)

The Mexico City Legat's Office, Nathan Ferris, in reply to Thomas' letter and memorandum, asserted that Thomas' office had been advised by memoranda dated December 27, 1965 and February 23, 1966 that since Elena Garro's allegations had previously been investigated without substantiation, no further action was being taken concerning her recent repetition of those allegations. (FBI Report, 9/30/69, pp. 3-4, JFK Doc. No. ) In their report, the Legat's Office concluded that either the Counselor for Political Affairs did not route the memoranda to Charles Thomas or that Thomas did not recall receiving them. (Ibid.)
Thomas wrote that when he went to Nathan Ferris' office to inform him that Elena had found her calendar and had reconstructed the date of the party as late September, Ferris replied that Elena had given the late September date when she had originally reported her story at the American Embassy. (State Department: Letter from Charles Thomas to William Rogers, Secretary of State, July 25, 1969). Thomas noted that Ferris explained that someone who had been at the party had stated that there had not been any Americans. (Ibid.) He wrote that he had assumed that Elena could have clearly been mistaken about the identity of the American she saw there, but never doubted that she had seen some Americans. (Ibid.) Thomas alleged that Ferris suggested that it was not necessary for Thomas to pursue the matter since he considered the Oswald case closed and had heard all the rumors before. (Ibid.)

The Legal Office replied that Mr. Ferris had not told Thomas that someone who was at the party had stated that there had not been any Americans present. (FBI Report, 9/39/69, p. 4, JFK Doc. No. ) The report asserted that Thomas had been told that it would not be necessary for him to pursue the matter any further since Elena's story had been investigated previously without being substantiated. (Ibid.) In addition, the memo stated that Thomas had been told that Elena's story was considered a closed issue, not
that the Oswald case was closed. (Ibid.)

House Select Committee on Assassinations' Investigation of
Elena Garro's Allegations

The House Select Committee on Assassinations investigated Elena Garro's story both through file reviews and personal interviews. The Committee requested and reviewed the CIA's, FBI's and State Department's files, when available, on Elena Garro de Paz, Elenita Garro de Paz, Manuel Calvillo, Noe W. Palomares, June Cobb Sharp, Victor Rico Galan, Eunice Odio, Sylvia Duran, Lydia Duran, Ruben Duran, Betty Serratos, Horatio Duran, Eusebio Azcue, and Emilio Carballido. Only the Elena Garro de Paz file contained information on her allegations. Though all the names listed above played a role in Elena Garro de Paz' story, not one of their files included a reference to Elena Garro de Paz.

Furthermore, the House Select Committee on Assassinations requested and reviewed the Central Intelligence Agency's LICOOKIE I, LICHANT I, LIRING 3, LIHUFF I and TICHBORN files. Once again, not one of the files included a mention of Elena Garro's allegations. The House Select Committee on Assassinations learned that LICOOKIE I was June Cobb Sharp who first reported Elena's allegation. (Supra, p. 4) The Committee also learned that LICHANT I
was Manuel Calvillo who had Elena Garro and her daughter in a hotel the day following the assassination. (Supra, p. 13) He also told Elena that Sylvia Duran had been arrested before this fact had become public knowledge. (Ibid.)

Since a file review was inconclusive, the Committee decided to arrange interviews in Mexico with Sylvia Duran, Elena Garro, Elenita Garro, Horacio Duran, Ruben Duran, Lynn Duran, Emilio Carballido and Betty Serratos. The Mexican Government informed the House Select Committee on Assassinations that Elena and Elenita Garro disappeared in 1968 during the student uprisings and have never returned to Mexico. The officials stated that Elena and her daughter might be in Spain. (See Mexico City Procedural Write-up Trip 1.) The Mexican government reported that Emilio Garballido could not be found. (Ibid.) The others were interviewed between June 1 and June 6, 1978. (Ibid.)

Betty Serratos, Lydia Duran, Ruben Duran, and Horatio Duran all stated that Elena was not the dancing type and therefore did not attend any of the twist parties at the Duran homes. (See: HSCA Interview of Betty Serratos, 6/6/78, p. 6, JFK Document No. ; HSCA Staff Interview of Lydia Duran, 6/5/78, p. 6, JFK Document No. ; HSCA Staff Interview of Ruben Duran Navarro, 6/6/78, p. 16, JFK Document No. ; HSCA Staff Interview of Horatio Duran Navarro, 6/5/78, p. 25, JFK Document No. ). When
Sylvia Duran was asked if Elena or Elenita Garro ever attended twist parties at the Duran homes, she recalled Elena attending one twist party at Ruben's home in 1963 when the Garros returned to Mexico from France. (HSCA Staff Interview of Sylvia Tirado Bazan, 6/6/70, p. 90, JFK, Document No. ) All the Durans denied that Lee Harvey Oswald had attended any party at one of their homes. (String cites.)

The Committee next asked the Central Intelligence Agency to arrange staff interviews with LICHANT/1 (Manuel Calvillo), LICOOKIE/1 (June Cobb), along with a list of other assets who may have had information related to Lee Harvey Oswald's trip to Mexico City. (The Central Intelligence Agency declined to aid the Committee in this aspect of the investigation.

The Committee returned to Mexico City and attempted to locate June Cobb Sharp and Manuel Calvillo. (See Procedural Write-up Trip 2 Mexico City) The results of the Committee's work were as follows: The Mexican government told the Committee that June Cobb Sharp received a tourist permit, number 72781, on June 27, 1947 when she entered Mexico through Nuevo Laredo. She asked, but was denied, permission to represent the magazine, Modern Mexico. On June 21, 1948, she received a courtesy permit, number 25556. Furthermore, the Mexican government explained that she dis-
appeared in 1954 and never returned to Mexico. (See Pro-
ecedural Writeup Trip 2 Mexico City.) The Committee believes
that there is a possibility that this information is incor-
rect. According to Ms. Cobb's CIA file she worked for the
agency as an asset in Mexico from 1961 through 1966. (CIA
Report, 1965, June Cobb file, 201- ) Elena also
stated that Ms. Cobb resided at her home in 1964. (Supra
p. )
2) The Mexican government told the Committee
that Manuel Calvillo did not live at Cuohatemoc 877-5 as
the Committee had stated. Their agent-in-charge had spoken
to the superintendent at the apartments for the past
was believed to reside. The superintendent, who had worked at the apartments
twenty-five years, who said that no Manuel Calvillo had
ever resided there. When Committee staffers gave the Mexi-
can government Calvillo's pen name, the Mexicans gave the
same answers. (See Procedural Write Mexico City Trip 2.)
The Committee is quite certain that Mr. Calvillo lived at
this address since it acquired the address from a recent
CIA document. (CIA Report, 1976, Manuel Calvillo file,
201-
)

The Committee believes that there is a possibility
that the Mexican government received orders from the Cen-
tral Intelligence Agency to refrain from aiding the Committee
with this aspect of its work. (See Procedural Write-up
Trip 2 Mexico City.)
The Committee made every attempt possible to locate Elena on July 7, 1978. A Committee staffer telephoned her publisher Mortiz in Mexico City and asked about her whereabouts. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/7/78, JFK Document No. 10016) The publisher stated that Ms. Garro was living in the Hotel S.A.C.E. in Madrid, Spain. (Ibid.) The Committee staffer telephoned the Hotel in Spain and spoke to the manager who told him that Ms. Garro had moved out. (Ibid.) (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/7/78, JFK Document No.) On July 14, 1978 a Committee staffer called her publisher again and was told to contact the Mexican Embassy in Madrid, Spain. The publisher stated that all Elena's checks were sent there because she did not even trust her publisher with her address. (Ibid.) (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 7/14/78, JFK Document No. 9950)

The Committee staffer called the Mexican Embassy in Madrid, Spain and spoke to Adolfo Padilla, an employee of the Embassy who stated that when Elena visited the Embassy a couple of weeks before to pick up a check she looked financially poor. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 8/31/78, JFK Document No.) He stated that when he asked Elena her new address she declined to give one, stating that she would return every few weeks to pick up checks and mail. (Ibid.) The staffer gave Padilla a telephone number and a message asking Elena to telephone the Committee collect. (Ibid.)
On September 5, 1978, Elena Garro called the Committee staffer. When the staffer explained that the Committee wished to talk to her in person and would pay both her daughter's and her travel from Spain, Ms. Garro asked why she should believe the staffer was who he claimed to be. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/5/78, JFK Document No. 213.) The staffer asked Ms. Garro to call back collect in the next few days when he could explain to her when and where she could receive a Committee letter delineating why the Committee wished to interview her. (Ibid.) The Committee wrote the letter and made arrangements with the State Department for a letter to be hand-delivered to Elena at the American Embassy in Spain. (See attached letter; also, HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/5/78, JFK Document No. 213.)

On September 7, 1978, Elena Garro called the Committee staffer and asked when the letter would arrive. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/7/78, JFK Document No. 213.) The Committee staffer explained that the letter could be gotten on Monday, September 11, 1978 from George Phelan, the Counsellor for Consular Affairs at the American Embassy. (Ibid.) Ms. Garro stated that she would get the letter on September 11, 1978 and follow our suggestions. (Ibid.)

Ms. Garro never went to the American Embassy in Spain to get the Committee's letter. (HSCA Staff Contact
Report, 9/15/78, JFK Document No. 12 The Committee, hoping she would accept the letter before her flight date, proceeded to purchase tickets for both Elena and her daughter. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/12/78, JFK Document No. 26) Elena did not get the tickets at the airport. (HSCA Staff Contact Report, 9/15/78, JFK Document No. 26) The Committee never regained contact with her.

The Committee also investigated whether Thomas' "selection out" was related to the Oswald case. After interviewing his widow, Ms. Cynthia Thomas, the Committee has concluded that his dismissal was unrelated. (HSCA Staff interview of Cynthia Thomas, 20th, p. 1090, JFK Document No. 12

In sum, the Committee has not been able to confirm the evidence that would indicate that Lee Harvey Oswald, on one might while he was in Mexico, attended a "artist party" at the home of Ruben Garcia. However, the brother-in-law of Stenio De las, the Italian dishwasher. In addition, the Committee on Assassination has been unable to confirm the allegation that Lee Harvey Oswald frequented the Mayor City Westside "lunch lunch" club. 11