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[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED
Introduction

This is a report of an inquiry into alleged indiscretions of the Chief of Station, Ciudad Trujillo, Dominican Republic.

This inquiry was conducted in Ciudad Trujillo between the dates of 11 and 15 March 1957, by representatives of the IIA Staff and MI Division.

II. Allegations of Dr. William Pawley, former U.S. Ambassador.

On 25 February 1957, Dr. William Pawley, former U.S. Ambassador to Brazil, in conversation with the Director had stated, in part, that

"...he was not a man who can evaluate a situation in its merits...not only the Dominicans are scared to death of him but all the Americans are scared of him. I am scared of him, and I am afraid to talk to the guy and that isn't the type of man you want in Chief of Station."

III. Ambassador Pettitt's conversation with Foreign Minister of the Dominican Republic.
II. Discussions with Mr. PANIAG, A

16. According to and other Embassy officials, there are many U.S. citizens who have vested interests in the Dominican Republic, among whom several are mentioned as apologists for the Dominicans for business reasons. Two of those frequently mentioned during the course of this inquiry were Mr. Robert GUERRERO, a former Foreign Service officer, and Mr. William PANIAG, a former U.S. Ambassador. It is particularly true of the latter’s close
ness to General TUBULLN, who stated that he had discussed with PANIAG on a number of occasions some of the implications of revolu-
tions in Latin America. This was done because it was believed that
PAMILO's long service in the U.S. government and known position justified confidence in him. So far as was aware, he believed that he gave no cause for Mr. PAMILO to question his ability for

of PAMILO as a former U.S. ambassador, believes he leaned over backwards in not presenting an anti-DEMUS position because he was aware of PAMILO's close ties with DEMUS.

17. Mr. DEMUS did state that at one time he asked PAMILO for information on the CALDEH case. In that particular conversation, when he informally and "quite bluntly" requested this information, Mr. DEMUS stated that he did not mix business and politics, and that in the Democratic Party he was in business. Mr. DEMUS stated that in view of the answer he received he wished he had not asked the question.

18. In the opinion of the NS special representatives it is a moot question whether or not that question posed to PAMILO was indiscrete. Regarding the event, it probably was indiscrete.

Due regard was given in the light of Mr. PAMILO's previous sensitive employment and stature in the U.S. government and previous relations with it was a question which probably could have been asked by the most discreet U.S. employee in similar circumstances.