

# Comments on Book V

SSC Final Report  
Sanitized

Destroyed  
Cy # 7

# 4

# 14

# 3

by Susan Kesler

cy # 1

Destroyed  
by [Angela <sup>03</sup> Decker] 10/Dec/80

1477 Review *Adg.* 23 MAR 1978

TO : Mr. <del>XXX</del> MILLER	DATE OF REQUEST
FROM : DFM	SUSPENSE DATE

SUBJECT:

NOTES

This is the Scott Breckinridge task force study which was prepared last fall in response to the Church Committee book on the Kennedy assassination. A copy of it was shown to Blakey. This <sup>has come</sup> ~~is~~ coming to me now with a suggestion from the IG that we send a copy to the SSCI and HPSCI.

I don't believe we should send it because it is extremely critical of the Church Committee report (see page 9 of the introduction for a sample). The Committee has not asked for this paper. Indeed I am not sure they even know of it, although a brief mention was made to Mike Epstein recently. My concern is that report will fan the flames and cause them to resurrect these old questions for a new look. I certainly don't mind letting them know when they do a poor job, but not when the cost is another examination of the same questions. I have explained my point of view to Scott Breckinridge who said his concern was that we were providing a report to the Assassinations Committee which was not being provided to oversight committees, but that this was a question for OLC. He says he doesn't care if it is sent to the oversight committees or not. <sup>03</sup> [Pete Palmer] agrees with my view on this.

COORDINATED WITH (list names as well as offices)		
NAME	OFFICE	DATE

ACTION REQUIRED BY GLC

Decide if we should ~~send~~ send This to SSCI + HPSCI.

cy# 1408 Report to memo for Passage to SSCI (?)