------

14 Yay 1965

MENORANDUH FOR: Chief, SR/GI

SUBJECT : 3-13 May Interregation of MOSENKO

- 1. Although I have attended all nine (9) sessions in the current phase of MOSEMKO's interrogation, I as yet have been unable to determine the reasons for the interrogaton(1) conduct and manner and the true purpose of the phase itself.
- 2. As the interrogator noted in the beginning, he is to be concerned only with "certain personal matters." The interrogation of NOSENKO along such lines and W.SENKO's responses neither have produced any change in my opinion of NOSENKO nor have appeared to have opened up any new, useful or valuable information to us.
- 3. Perhaps, from the psychologists' point of view, this interrogation has been of some value; but, even if this is so, I cannot see it from a review of his reports. These reports all contain basic errors arising from the psychologist's lack of knowledge of Saviet reality and his lack of experience with the true nature of Saviet reality and his lack of prepared to preparly deal with and evaluate MOSENKO because MOSENKO is first, a Russian; second, a Saviet; third, a Communist; and, in addition to all of this, a highly trained intelligence agent.
- 4. ROSENKO is one of a kind. It is quite likely that the psychologist never has experienced such a personality before. Then, with the wide difference in their backgrounds and the psychologist's resulting inability to understand NOSENKO's character, it is understandable that he cannot properly juage NOSENKO and his conduct. The most we can improve an improve the case improvement are the carefully c neidered epinions of those who

PICTO I

<u> Approved for release 1994</u> CIA Historical Review Program have backgrounds more similar to that of MOSENAO and experience with persons of MOSENAO's type. This becomes more and more obvious to me as I hearing the psychologist say, "Well, he is probably telling the truth," when in I have seen clearly that MOSENAO has been lying. There have been many such cases in this EM latest phase of the interrogation.

- 5. As a result of such instances instances of "soft interregation," where MOSENKO's obvious lies have not been challenged MOSENKO can now only be getting the impression that there still is a way out for him. We must remember that MOSENKO came to us prepared only for complete success or failure; he expected that in the case of failure he would be subjected to MOSENKO hard interregation, harsh treatment, strong punishment. Yet he now finds himself in the peculiar position of having MOSENCESCOMMENTERMY outwardly failed in his primary assignment, yet being fairly well treated and allowed to continue with his lies and dezinformatsiya despite his detention. He can now only be thinking that there still is some hope for him and for his mission.
- 6. In his report on the 3 May session (first session), the decter wrote: "... After apologizing for having stemach trouble he became most receptive and even eager to cooperate. In general his manner during the interview remained relaxed, spentaneous and relevant.... As the interview progressed it was apparent to me that he was getting considerable psychological relief from the interview. At the time he left, he actually told me goodbye...." This is typical of many such statements and incidents which are my reasons for asking do we really need this kind of interrogation? POLYCOMMONIAN MARKET TRANSPORTED ASIGN FOR CONSIDERATE THE PROGRESSION Asign from considering the report itself we must ask if we really need to concern ou selves with giving MOSEMAN "psychological relief." If not and since it is obvious we are getting nothing else out of it then this type of



interrogation should be abandened.

- 7. Elsewhere the frychelegist writes that "the picutre that MOSENKO painted of his father was that of a hard working man.... Accredited as a worker at 13 or 14.... He graduated from the institute the year after MOSENKO was bern in 1928. MOSENKO tells of being teld that his father would work at home on his studies while helding MOSENKO acress his knees to quiet him when he would cry." We knew all of this except the fact that MOSENKO held his sen acress his knews from the Seviet newspaper, Frayda, in a August 1956; and what father has not held his crying child acress his knees to confert him?
- 8. It is certain that REEN our ECSENKO did have a father, and times it is quite likely that this father held him across his kneed. Yet this does not answer the real question is our ECSENKO's father Ivan Isiderovich ECSENKO?
- 9. ROSENKO teld us in this first session that his father studied in
  the Rabfak and the institute while working full-time suring the years 1923-28.
  The dector was quite impressed with HOSELKO's statements on this. Yet Pravia netedenly the studies of (again on 3 August 1956, in ROSENKO's obituary) rain/insta / NOSENKO, Ivan 11
  Isiderevich; frankenth 1924 HOSENKO, Ivan Isiderevich, also/been working at the time he was studying, Pravda would have mentioned this without fail, because it was that much more to MOSENKO's credit. Further, the 3 August 1956 Pravda clearly stated that after graduation from the institute, MOSENKO, I. I., was sent to MOM a ship-building plant.
- 10. In the same session, MOSENKO stated that at some point in 1922 his
  (3 Aug 56)
  father was admitted to the Party. <u>Fravda/states</u> that MOSENKO I. I. entered the
  Party in 1925. According to MOSENKO his family moved to Loningrad early in 1934.

  <u>Pravda</u> (3 Aug 56) states that they moved in 1935.



- 11. If EDSERGO does not remember what his father did how he torked and studied, when the family neved, when he was admitted to the CPSU why does no not say so? Why is he apparently guessing at these things? Also, why can't he remember these things when he can remember being held across his father's knees?
- 12. The psychologists report includes and assessment of ROSEKKO's rether:

  "An hypothesis that could be made based on the description of the mother, would
  be that she would have difficulty adjusting away from Nikelayev where she had
  been bern and where she could depend on her rether." Of whem is this not true?

  Who does not experience some difficulty in noving away from familiar neighborhoods
  and friends? Do we really need this assessment of NOSENKO's mothers emotions of
  35 years ago? Do we need it badly enough to spend this much time and effort
  getting it?
- 13. The psychologist's report continues: "... His (NCSENGO's) mether continued to get support from her father, and they apparently lived better (or beyond their means) in Leningrad." So what? Is the point that we should thank MOSENGO's grandpother for helping NCSENGO grow and come to us? MARM If not, then again what is the point? Why do we need this?
- 14. It is my epinion that the type MPAN and line of questioning used

  MY of present in this phase of MESENEO's interregation should be abandoned;

  we must drop this policy of letting MOSENEO ramble on freely and uninterruptedly—

  about the unimportant details of his youth. Instead, we should begin now

  to press MESENEO and question him in detail about such things as:
- a. His father's life (we still cannot be certain that MCSENAO's father was the I. I. MCSENAO); for example, MCSENAO's father's service in ChON (& what credit was given MCSENAO for this while he was working in State Security); was it even mentioned in his file?);
  - b. His grandfather (external); for example, his arrest and douth in

C=30=7

jail, the role this played when MESENKO entered the MGB, the manner in which it was recorded in MCSENKO's file, MOSENKO's questioning in Personnel (identities of Personnel employees included) about this; MOSENKO speke with some happiness and gratitude of the financial assistance given his family by the grandfather — the MGB would have been most unhappy to learn of this.

15. If ECSENIO were really the person he claims to be he would have speken more precisely of his father's rank (rank given and date received).

According to Pravda, in 1944 EOSENIO's father get the rank of Engineer-Rear Asmiral. Manufactured the real EOSENIO also should be able to tell us more decorations precisely of the Engineering given his father. According to Pravda, he was awarded three Orders of Lenin, Order of Eakhirev First Class, three Orders of Red Banner (Laber), Order of Red Star, Order of "Medal of Hener (Znak Pecheta)."

16. Further, in order to be certain that we have the real MOSENAC, we should question him in detail about his father's death and funeral, starting with the illness and the dectors in attendance through the procession from the Hall of Columns to the Kremlin wall. I do not wish to include such detailed questions in this memo, but can decome produce them upon request/nhaming especially those on the funeral procession, having twice been in such procession myself.

17. According to Pravia (5 Aug 56), H. I. BOBROVNIKOV was a member of the funeral commission and opened the funeral ceremony on Red Square. BOBROVNIKOV at that time was Chairman of the Moscow City Council. During the 13 May 1965 interview, MOSEMKO mentioned a girl friend, Marina, who once worked as secretary to BOEROVNIKOV. If this was the a true statement, then MCSEMKO should be able to tell us much about BOBROVNIKOV; furthermore, when talking about Marina, MCSEMKO should probably have also volunteered the information that "this was the same BOEROVNIKOV who was on the commission for my father's funeral....."

18. 3 May 1965 Interview. Even new we are not certain of the date when the MOSENKO family neved to Leningrad; MASENKO says it was in 1934, but Pravda reports the move as having been in 1935. It is possible to believe that MOSENKO might have forgetten the date, but we must remember that he associates the move with his level of schooling. That is, MOSENKO says that he moved from the O-class in Mikelayev in 1934, when he was 6 years eld; he says that in Leningrad he was in the first grade.

a. NOSENAC could manual none of his friends from Bikelayev. It is possible to believe that NOSENAO might have forgetten these friends if he had had no contact with them in later years; yet NOSENAO himself says that he wisited Nikelayev twice after the family had moved from there. Certainly he must have not with some of those friends, and man it is certain also that he would remember those meetings.

b. Although MOSENKO could remember none of his friends from Mikolayev, it is interesting to note, he remembered very well that when his family noved to Leningrad in 1924 they were met by his father with a \*GAZ# automobile.

- b. NOSEMAO remembers also that while in Leningrad his family lived at
  - (1) Ploshchad Stachek,
  - (2) Griboyedevia Kenal, and
- (3) EMBERGERATION ulitsa Gorkege. DEM But MOSENKO could name no friends or neighbors when he knew well while in Leningrad.
- d. KOSENKO never mentions visiting former friends when visiting former places of residence.
- e. The psychologists may accept these "lapses of memory" as being "just human," but I cannot.
  - 19. 4 May Interview. During this interview, M.SENKO changed his story

slightly, saying that his family moved to Leningrad in March-April 1935, where he started the first grade (in Spetember 1935). MOSEMEO could not, however, describe the school or name even one of his teachers. When the dector insisted this time that MOSENKO name some friends, he gave the mickname, "Shturm," but failed to give the bey's true name; he also MOSEMEO gave the name, Oleg EXPLECT SAFRONOV. Extre accept as a fact that MOSENKO manufactured and the friends MOSEMEON from childhood, we cannot believe that he also has completely forgetten about the naval school and the tekhnikum.

20. General. During these latest sessions, NCSENKO has been trying very hard to put the record of his schooling in proper order. But in view of what has been stated in the past/himmusem and his present errors, it must be said that he is finding it impossible and he is much not a tall convincing. In my eximien everything he has told us about this, starting from the 1941 period, has been a lie. It is possible that he really was in Knybyshev and Baku for one reason or another, but MACM his tim description of the circumstances and situations is unbelievable into and fits no Seviet pattern of life.

21. Om 4 May MOSENKO said that while he attended the 585th High School in Moscow, he had two friends — Vladimir KABANOV and Anatoliy TIMAKOV. But these were not friends who also lived on Sarafinevich Street, nor were they children of Seviet high efficials. MOSENKO failed to name any friends who were children of high efficials, except SABUROV.

22. MOSERAC's description of his life on Scraffrevich Street could never convince me that he ever really lived there. Among the high efficials living on Scraffrevich Street, MOSERAO mentioned PERMAN SHVERNIK first.

According to my knowledge, prior to WH II so many other members of the

٤

Seviet government also were living there (KAGANOVICH, etc.). If NCSENSO is the person he claims to be he should be able freely to name and discuss many persons MAMMODAM from among the first-ranking efficials who lived on Serafinevich Street; not just SHVERHIK (whose address, incidentally, already has been published in <u>The Secret World</u>).

- 1. It was the intenti n of the undersigned to attend every session conducted by the dictor in hope to gain something new and useful for our future interrogations. During these sessions, I ranaged only to refresh my memory of things MCSENKO had previously said. Nothing new or significant developed during these sessions except for a few miner facts which have no great value. It should be mentioned that many of the facts that MCSENKO stated about his past life were more mixed up than the story he had proviously related.
- 2. It is not the intent of the paper to criticize the work which the coctor has done because the sessions have alreadly taken place and the work is completed. By main objection to this type of interrogation is that the doctor tried to maintain a neutral attitude and never my opinion that challanged any of MCSENKO's statements. It is/the doctor made a mistake in the last session when he teld MISENKO "Y on are MCSENKO." This leads MRSENKO to believe we accepted his life story and family background, and it would be very hard to question him again on this subject. It is my opinion that we did not have enough information to make a definite or neclusion that he is MRSENKO. What MISENKO teld us could be obtained or learned by another person very well brief on these mat ers. Mes of the information that MCSENKO gave to us about his parents is stillnot convincing to make conclusions. Mest of the questions during the recent sessions were of a leading nature and MISENKO's answerms appeared to be of the type that the doctor wanted.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM SECRET



**(** -

In my opinion, MASELMO did not say more about his father, and in many instance loss, than the newspaper Pravda said in 1956.

3. In the doctor's epinion, MCSENEO mentioned to us quite a few family friends who were around the MCSENEO family and at the first look it is a minimum who were around the MCSENEO family and at the first look it is a minimum with MCSENEO gave to us associated enly names who logically would be arrivated with MCSENEO or MCSENEO's revealing stather, and nothing familiary about their activities or private lives. Significantly, nost of the information MCSENEO has given us is about people who are mathematical dead or no longer in authority. For example, MALISHEV, (former deputy chairman of the Council of Ministers, aidd); VAKHRUSHEV, (died); SABURCU, (no longer a VIP); KABULOV, (shet); MINISHEV, (no longer VIP); KUZNETSOV, Market Maintigram of the Council of Ministers, aidd); BUIGAMIN, (no longer VIP); KUZNETSOV, Market SECHERBAKOV, (died); and others.

nterest ng about them . For example, he mentioned SHYERMIK who livesen wicha
Serifizevakaga Street, a fact known to everyone, or/family friendship

Lately he mentioned Harshal ZHUKOV's on ghter who he knew we/have
a good picture of Rima ZHUKOVA from one of our employees as well as a good
description of ZHUKCV's apartment.

5. The only c nelusion we can come to from the foregoing is that RESERO has been allowed to say little or nothing about the lives of important

Soviet officials, or this nam is not NOVERIKO and all he knows is the

SECRET

is the legend which has been provided him or or answers which he could figure out himself.

6. With regard to his tother, he did not cention anything of importance to us. He did not mention any of his mether's friends among Soviet VIPS, and tried to avoid or change the subject when this matter was brought up.

7. I am not convinced that MCSENKO lived on Serimovicha and Granovskiy Streets because he gave so little information about these areas that it is impossible to judge if he actually lived there. Although he gave correct information about a few VIPs who lived in housesin that area, other details are lacking which would confirm his residence there.

8. MCSENKO's latest information on his educational background is so mixed up and he made so many mistakes regarding Seviet realities that it is impossible to be lieve him any part of his education.

9. I don't believe any part of MCSENKO's story about his mil tary service.

The Naval Preparatory School in Baku and Leningrad. According to Soviet regulation, a person was usually called in the army when he is 19 (these called be called at age 18. who have graduated from high school or otherwise have a higher caucation) MESENEO index was been on that 30 October 1927, then he would be 18 years old on 30 October 1945. He could be called into the army at this time, 1.0.5 the fall of 1945, although he should have been 18 by September of that year. Ordinarily, he would be called to service in 1946. In my epinion, everything MESENEO said about his military background in any kind of service school prior to the fall of 1945 is a lie. MESENEO, then did not attend the Higher Naval Schools in leningrad and Baku because he was too young to attend these

-5:0:127

schools and the schools only accepted students who were ready for regular for acceptance in these schools was military service, and the levest age/maintal 18 years. Then we still have unanswered questions on where, when, and how MCSEMMO finished high school. MCSEMMO's story that he took some courses in Mescow Evening High Germyy

School Attached to faxing Institute and his attendance at the Loningrad Shipbuild ng Technicum, I consider as a complete lie, because it does not

legical fit any/pattern of Saviet reality.

Lt.

10. It is my belief that MISINZO probably never was in actual military the service and definitely did not take/military eath the way he described it, i.e., that he mixed took the oath at the age of 15 or 16 in Baku. It is not conduct nocessary to make any minorizanizar research since an individual final to be 18 and on active duty in the service in order to be eligible to take the military oath. If we believe that MISENIC was admitted to the leningrad Higher Military Proparatory School in 1944 with 9 grades of showever, education he would not be admitted to the school because of his age and because he could not take the oath until October 1945.

11. During the last interrogation, there was some confusion on MISEMEO'S part about his military ranks. And now we have three different stories about his ranks. Jr. Lt. — June 1947

Sr. Lt — end of 53 or carry 54 selse has stated that he was promoted to Lt. In 1950 last interview, he stated that he was promoted to Sr Lt in April or May 1953.

spring of 1951.

SEGNET

Capt - July 1956

Major - Aug/Sept 1959

ablast

He not states that he never was a major.

Lt Col-May 1963

Mayard his

Promotion had to be approved by the Contral Committee because of his

youth. These stories about his military ranks should not be taken

should be

seriously and/considered as a complete lie.

## SOUR POINTS FROM THE DOCTUR'S INTERVIEW

1. MOSERECULE stated (7 May 1965) that he took the cath in Baku in 1943 when he was 15 years old, but he did not remember how he correlled in the Baku school. In the same recting, he stated that while he was in the Baku school he was komindir otdeleniya. Also in the same interview he stated that he was in the Moskovskiy Flotskiy Ekipazh. It should be said that the Moskovskiy Flotskiy Ekipazh was only for naval persennel who were called in the regular naval service. All of this should be considered as a lie.

2. On 10 May 1965, NCSENIC stated that screwbere he was arrested when he was 16 years eld and was mix put under home arrest. If this indicant incident really took place, it is nor e likely that papa helped him to get out of the arrest or it is possible to think that after this arrest for some crime unknown to us he was put in jail and then in a concentration or labor camp. This is why ECSENIKO tells us a story that in 1944, in the fall, he was working for two or three months in the forest, where he get sick and conditions were very bad. As ECSENIKO described it, the c nditions were very bad. As ECSENIKO described it, the c nditions were rore like a labor camp than a regular military unit.

-SEGNET-

the naval preparatory school of Frunze in 1944 but he didn't start any studies until Movember or December 1944 because the whole school was working in the ferest. Then in February or March 1945, the shooting accident took place and he spent some time in the hospital and in May he enrelled in a shipbuilding techicum. MOSENKO tried to make us believe that while he was in the naval preparatory school he studied lith grade subjects, but as we can see, in actual fact, he did not study anything because he had no time. Laters he stated that when he enrelied and in the shipbuilding technicum in May, the next menth he guzduated and received his certificate as if he graduated from a high school, and got the right to ge to a higher institution. Only a crazy person could believe this story.

A. During all the interrogations, we never got a clear picture internal how he received his first/passport in Leningrad and how he received his first military ticket. If he really was demoblized and put in the meserve it should take place somewhere later than October 1945 when he became 18 years eld. Definitely there will be no talk about any military ticket before you reach 18 years old. And more likely at that time he should have been 19 years ald to have been called into the service or posted to the reserve. It should be noted here if MCSAEW really was in the naval preparatory school in 1944 and 1945 and received his military ticket in 1945 then he definitely should be two years older than he claims.

SFORFT

Cn 5 Fay , MCSENKO named more friends. He mentioned again SABU . V's sons. One of them killed his wife (Valya Yefrirova), the daughter of do, uty minister YEFRIMAY). He killed her because he found her in bed with another man. Deputy Minister V. V. YEFRINEV was deputy to M. SENKO's father. He was at his funeral and delivered a speech in Red Square during the funeral ceremeny. It was noticable that therefree manages when we questioned h.m about friends he always mentioned SABURGV, MUSERKO only contioned YEFR. MCV by name and did not give a y details. If N SENKO is the persen is the person he is supposed to to, he would known much more about the life and background of the deputy to his father. And here ag ain we have an example of a person who is motioned who is no lenger a VIP 6. On 6 May NOSENKO told us stories about the eldest can of SABUROV who was married the daughter of the forrer Minister of Railroads KOVALLY . It should be noted here that the full story of KCVALEV was published in my book The Secret World. KCVALEV was punished and lest his V.P status in 1950.

7. On 21 May, in enswering the dector's questions about his first work in the KGB, MCSENKG told us a story h w he received an order to write a statement to arrest a Soviet citizen who was connected with foreigners. ( MAXIMAX The Soviet citizen wrote a letter to the American Embassk) According to MCSENKO he rejected this type of work although there was EERIYA's resolution for felt arrest ( Russian work is remeated!). Further, MCSENKO explained that the man was not guilty. The point of this story was to prove that he was working in the SCD.

8. On 20 May MUSENKO ense again rensioned that the working hours of the KGB were from 10:30 in the morning until 1 etclock in the morning, with a

SECRET

The state of the s

break between 5 and 8 in the even ng. As I already said before Mescew Headquarters until later July or August 1953 working hours were from 11 AM to 12 PM with a reak from 5 to 8 in the evening. In oblast, KGB, inc uding Moscow city, working hours were from 10:30 in the rerning to 1 ecleck in the perming. Somewhere at the end of July and the beginning of August 1953, working hours were changed and were from 9 Ai to 6 PM with a lunch traix break of 1/2 to 1 hour taken between the hours of 1 PM to 3 PM for all the effices of the KCB including headquarters and the oblast.

Then it is possible to think that appears senewhere in beacew oblast, Leningrad, or some other city, KCEKO used to work as a case efficer or was closely concected with this oblast, KGB. That is where he learned the working hours. That is why be race the mistake af regarding the working hours in headquarters.

9. When the deed are is writing in the summary of NCSERKO's psychological assessment is called him a bright seciopath. Paybe he is, but in my opinion

9. When the dead is writing in the summary of MCSENKO's psychological assessment to called him a bright seciepath. Paybe he is, but in my epinion he is a very well trained agent with great ingenuity and inalgrams preparation. It absolutely disagrees with the dector's statement that "He has been essentially and accurate in general if not always truthful in detail." In one point it is possible to agree with the dector that MCSENKO does not present to us the complete legend. In my epinion, MCSENKO developed his even story or legend himself in response to leading questions, especially about his early life and schooling up to 1953. Amifron 1952-1953 and on , up to his defection, we should believe that it was a legend developed mossinko by the KGB, of course, with his help.

SEC.

## SECRET

## SUGCESTICES.

for the KCB.

1. It is suggested that I participate in the next hestile, needling interrogation.

His also suggested that I interrogate him alone in theinterregation reem , although I prefer that sereene be present in the room . But if I am aloneit will give me greater authority in HISERKO's eyes, and this may be a psychological factor working to my benefit. But if MCSEMMO is taken to another place, then it is possible to interrogate him tx asked CiA authorities txx for a long time to talk to INSEREC. In the who event of :y participation in the interrogation to avoid mistakes and nisunderstandings, the interregation should be held in Russian. It is not possible to rake the interregation as hostile as it might be and to needle him if the interrogati n is held in English, as NCSKEKO does not understand strong words in English as well as he does in Russian. Larking the interregation I will not speak fast an error to rake it understandable. It is understood that I am going to be correct, but not polite. I would try to avoid gotting into any arguments with ECSZNKO In case of arguments, I would ask him to be scated and to answer the questions. In the event he recognizes and tells me who I am, it is possible to give two answers: 1. I am not he but he is coming soon to talk to you, and you are mistaken. 2. Yes, I am D and you are going to give no proof that you are H. You have to give re proof that you did work

SECRET

-

In case of my participation , I would like to be able to use all the information that HOSENEO has previously told us. In utilizing this information, I would like to have a free hand to press him on certain points which I feel would be of value to use in gaining a confession from him. I need permission to use the names of Bagley, Big George, and Eurphy, of course, only in the proper way and when it is necessary. The use of the changes in the Seviet government could be desscussed having in mind theuse those events in at the proper time when it is an arent that it will help him to c nfees (the use of only the fall of KHRUSHCHEV without revealing the rise of SHELE TH and SEMICHASTHY.) It could be used in wari us ways, for example, a newspaper on the trains table with only the headline showing. He could be allawed to see the paper inadvertently and we could see what his reaction is. Or, the information could be brought out at a point in the interrogation when we are srying to stress that he must confess since we know he is telling lies, and furthermore, his bosses are no longer in authority in the S. viet Union and all is lost for him anyhow.

- 2. During the interregation stress should constantly be given to the fact that MCSENGO is being used as a tool of the KCB without proper training of American realities, and that his missi n was doomed from the start because of this.
- 3. If it is decided to give him some drugs, litshould be given prior to the hostile interrogation, so that we can use any 68 the information gained from the use of these drugs against him. The first natter which should be mixed determined if drugs are used is whether he is MOENKO or not.

SECRET

4. I would not heat take to continue the interregations to the point where perhaps

ECSENKO would be extremely tired and proposed less able to resist heatile enough

questioning. If there is not minimum personnel for extended periods of interregation, then he should be left in the interregation room during breaks in the interrogation.

VULNERABLE AREAS TO BE EX LOITED DURING THE LEXT HOSTICE INTERCOATION

1. Everything about MCSEMO's education starting from Leningrad elementary school, move to Moscow, Moscow schooling, should be examined. And special attention should be devoted to the schools he attended in September 1941, Eubyshov, Haku, Pascov, Leningrad, and back to Poscov again, During the interregation of this period, we should challenge MUSENKO by the facts that he could not be at any military school prior to the fall of 1945. Then we do not believe his story. This in erregation should include detailed questioning about his travel to Kubychev, Baku, to find out where he really was at that period. At the same time , ECSEEZO should be questioned about twhere his parents lived from October 1941 thru 1942. Also he should be questioned about his first passport which he is supposed to receive not earlier than thank Movember 1943 and not lat r than the beginning of 1944. The same can be said about his military ticket, i.e., when and hew he received his first military ticket, through what military commissariat, because he could not receive his military ticket earlier than Ecverber 1945. His story that he received his military ticket in the summer of 1945 is false. What redical commission kex was he examined by before he got his filitary ticket should be ascertained. It is impossible that bCSEMEO was on active military service prior to 1945 including any military schools because of his age. He should have been called to active duty in the fall of 1945 or fore

likely in 1946. If he was posted in active reserve in 1945 or 1946 then the question is thy he was not called into the army.

Together with this gies the question of H SEESO's education x in the Institute of International Relations. The story he told us is unbelievable. First he states that it was a four year course and that he graduated in 1949 and later on he changed hissbory and Eaid that it was a five year course and that he graduated in 1950.

2. HOSENKO's first rarriage to TELECIN's daughter and diverce. Couthis subject, MCSEMIO should be questioned about his registration of the carriage, passports, what district was the carriage registered in , about banks apartments on the First Feshchanskaya Street, including his military status at this time, what kind of military ticket he had, when it was registered. Fore définite questions shoule be asked about the diverce announcement in the newspapers and full procedure of the divorce including the two court sessions ( district and eblast' courts). Alirany - the exact amount of alimony, reminding to him that earilier he told us that he paid to his first wife 150,000 rubles form his money which he carned serving in the Far East. According to the latest MCSEME statements he spent in the Far East less than 20 months. If this is the case, then MCSERNO 33333 received more than 5,0.0 raubles a month. To make during the 20 months the 100,000 rubles Even if ECSEMED was in the Par East and peccived there a double salarly as a jr. lt. the first year of service in the GRU, he could not make more than 3,000 rubles a menth ( I gave here the highest possible salarly for a junior

3. Both of the subjects mentioned above should be much much investigated

officer)

-SECRET

---

along with the matter of where N. SENKO was living at that time, aying s.ocial attention to Serifcnicha, Granevskage and First Feshchanskaya Streets, keeping in mind that these subjects will really show if he is MOSERKO. If we do not get proper answeres on him first two subjects, if he continues to lie or give unsatisfactory answers, then in my opinion it is not necessary to question him about his study in the foreign relations institute. If the answers are satisfactory or more realistic then we would move to the Institute of International Relations. 4. NOSEHKO's Entry to the KGB. Because we have 4 or 5 different dates on his entry into the KGB I think it would be a good idea to start quustioning him from the point when he said that once prior to 1952 he had complete interview with the KGB representative representative about his employment in the KCB, but he rejected their preposal because he did not like it. From this point we would go on from the beginning somewhere in 1952 when he first talked with KABULOV and so on. And he should be challenged all the time that EGB did not work he way he described to us. Then somewhere during the interrogation he should be reminded or asked questions could a person be in KGB service if be:XXX

a recorded to the EGB by a person who was shot to death as an energy of the people.

b. whose jother's background is noble (averyon), and whose graddiather was a Tretskiyite and who was arrested and died in jail.

c. whose fither of first wife was arrested and was in fail the day he entered the AGB.

SEC

- the guilty and question about he was his immerality was discussed in the party commission of the CPSU
- e. who entered the KGEN when he was already overage member of the Komsomel without any desire to become xxx a member of the Communist Party
- f. whould a person with such background mentioned above be taken to work in the SCD American Department
- g. who wax lest his Komsemel rembership because of his misuse of operational documents and immorality.
  - h. who was arrested for 15 days for the reasons centiond above.
  - i. who was without Komsomol and party membership for 2 years.
- j. who rejected the order of the Minister to write a statement for arrest in the wourse of his work .

  etc.

It is very hard now to develop questions about h s entry into the EGB but he should be challenged all the time about his answers.

- 5. I did not mention about his being in the Far East and how he was transferred from GRU to KGB. The line of questions on this matter would be depend or connected whith answers inx about his education and how he entered the KGB. Questions about his taxi transfer from the GRU to the KGB could be put together when we will question him about his entry into the KGB, his personal file, and military ranks.
- 6. I would definitely utilize and show to him the number 7, what is connected with it, anijust ask him for an explanation.
- 7. MOSENKO's trip to Gorkly in search of CHEREPANGY. This question should

## SECRET

stabted from the point where he first heard of CHEREPARCY's defection from Moscow, ROSENKO's trip to Corkly and how long he studied the CHEREPANOV papers. Here all the NOSENKO documents should be an hand. 8. MOSEMKO's operational and social activities on Red Square during the holi ays and father's funeral also where KCSENKO's presence at the Kremlin should be closely investigated because it is my belief herwas often in Red Square as he chained. If he was there, it was only once or twice and it would be easy to mix him up about these matters. Questions on this subject could be developed according to his answers. 9. I to ld like to take NCSENKO on a trip from Kurskiy Station in Moscow to his apartment on Narodnaya Streetalong Chkalovskaya Street and Taganka . Also on a trip along Dzorzhinskiy StreetSretenka Street and First Weshchanskaya Street to his apartment building where he lived with his first wife. This is necessary to be sure that he real y lived in those places. I still do not believe that he really lived at these addresses, except for the fact that he may have lived nearby in sufehouses. 10. Interrogation on operational questions such as his work with agents and his use of safehouse, etc. could be held later. It depends how the

Note: I have no special sugrestions how to exploit MCSEMMO's information, it depends on the next stage of the interrogation, but I am against turning him back to the Soviets, whether he confesses of not.

We have have to reverything in our power to take him confess in order to win this big game.

presumma interrogation goes.

If we publish his story even with our changes without his confession

-SECRET

SEGRET

we will be on the losing side. There is no room to intentihable that defection is that the act of an honorable notivated can without his confession

SECRET

ARola .

14 January , 1964

is nonessar, by incomplete, my the underslaved did not have access to the original doorseajs; not has it been possible to delete them. 037440 regard by some of the Soviet docutont, asi tarr material confuserd in the Fig. provided ma-Asserver, descrite the embertachings, the following analysis is offered in the help that it may serve as guide for fature debricking of the COSTAID. It is my firm belief that such further debriefing of Mrs. OCHALD is necessary locause of manero's mistakes in her Soviet. documentation; suspicious gaps and discrepancies in her story regarding her lafe in the MSSE; and some peculiarities to her correspondence with addressees in the USSA, which strongly suggest that some form of open code was employed

> APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROCRAM

when the educative good for a settle downer commontallow on should be asked how sho sectived the matromyselo Alicelegovan - her biolina lee chin indicates she was born one of wedlock. This is a defined by our birth certificate is which has discussed the Enthur is not listed. late two test many deviate gracies. For many cheation of tas fard caredoon. If she took was getween the af her stepfather, been patronyole out dd to betsandreven, after her steplar we's given made. In the larget police state, birth registration members are reticulously maintained. wrogant o the payments of newborn shildren, this is a life-long indicator of the father of the child. As is well known the decivation, Profession, politics, eto, of the father forquestly coveras the future security loviet collecture, their qualitication to enter the CFPE, bother to introduced beauting, government pervice etc.  $(p_{m{s}}, p_{m{s}}) \in \mathbb{N}$  ,  $(p_{m{s}}, p_{m{s}}) \in \mathbb{N}$  ,  $(p_{m{s}}, p_{m{s}}) \in \mathbb{N}$ 

From my eva experience in the deviet security services I

know that the Soviets will not register the name of the father if there has not been a formal marriage - even if the identity of the common-law father is known.

Birth Certificates - Actually three copies of Marina's birth certificates are furnished. ITEM #340 is a copy of her birth certificate issued on 19 July 1961, in Arkhangelsh. The other two birth certificates are contained in ITEMS 262-276. These are notarized copies of ITEM #340, notarized in MINNE. One of these later two birth certificates was procured on 4 Aug. 1961 and the second was obtained on 8 Aug. 1961. Two different notary's notarized these two birth certificates - both obtained in Minsk within a four day period. By the hand-critic, it is obvious that the same person filled out the MINNE birth marbificates - only the notary's signatures

ate different Regarding all three birth certificates
the following questions should be asked of Marina:

- a) The origin of her NIXOLAYEVEN patronymic.
- b) That happened to the original of her birth certificate which she must have had in order to enter primary school, pharmacy school, and to get her presport when she reached the age of 16 in
- c) Why did she request a second copy, marked "TCVTORNAVA", of her birth certificate ITEM #349 from ARRHANGELSKT (\*\*) -
- d) ITEM #340 was issued in Arkhangelsk about a 1000 kilometers from MINSK. Did she travel there personally to apply for her birth certificate? Did she write for it. Tas is sent to her through the mail or did she pick it up at the Binsk militia headquarters.

What reason did she give the ARKHANGELSE militia

birth certificate. Why was she applying for this birth certificate on 19 July 1961.

e) The ITEM #340 birth certificate is supposed to be an exact duplicate of the birth certificate issued after her birth. This normally is issued several days after birth because parents do not usually rush down to register the birth of a child on the day of the birth not even in the USSR. ITEM #340 shows that MARINA's birth was registered on 14 Aug. 1941 and that she was bord on 17 July 1941. Barton applied for copy\_of this certificate on 19 July 1961. For some reason the 9 in 19 July 1961, is marked over and is an obvious change of the figure 4 to a 9 in the following way 4. An examination of the original vill better shor-if-this-was-a marked over-delt---berate change. Such a change could only be signifiissued in ARKHANGELSK. Personal document conscious Soviets would note such an obvious change. Certainly if she applied for the two notacized copies of her birth certificate using ITEM #340 as a basis for these new copies she would be closely questioned and it is more than likely that notarized copies would not be issued on a marked over original. Now it might be possible that the first notary would not have noticed the marked over original. However, a necond notarized birth certificate was insued in Minsk by still another notary.

4. Vancing in a local finate. (feetude) in ISUS (18-270)

In connection with discussion of Lamina OSTALD7. date

of binds, it is methodile to note that this date is

given as "17/7/71" on her vancination certificate. This

indicates that 17 only is the short she interest to use

as a birthicte. The feedude as natural errors of

using the enturnt year == 1000 == in the date, herever,

dues nothing to dispet the confusion of the year of her

birth.

a) West divided highly be a fined about the professione to the temperatures and to obtaining the contification. It appears that at least four company tore involved in the completion of each equilibrium of a maintaining the completion the completion of each equilibrium of a maintaining the completion.

of Stote (byogolity Lee also filled in these blanks

5. Sulitary corride Booklet (Voyeta, Bilet; hacluded in

different days.

raises come emergence exacer may both biographic information mobiled and that found mentaer is Marina's autobiography now in the reports on interviews with her.

- date of birth, the with a pharmanist's training --arrived in Simb at the end of August 1950. Thy
  was the net issued a vegrony bilet until 12 Sept. Short Turnber, thy was no registration stamp
- h) According to Lee Offich's diary, Marina was a Kossomol member. The military service bocklet, lawoever, shows that she was weither a Komsomol or Cast member. That proper explanation can therms offer for this?
- c) in Section XII, "Special notes, (Osobyre Otmethi)
  of this document, it is shown that Marina was given

mayone to be ready for the military callenge the durament also shows, towerer that on diagnst this notice was cancelled. One legical explanation for this might be that the cancellation was made when barina informed the commissariat of her marriage. But Mrs. OSYALD should be asked about thus, and her enswer should be noted carefully. d) Section X of this document shows that as noted alcve, Marina was registered in Minsk in Franzenskiy Rayon on A3 October 1959, whence she was deregistered on 1 August 1961; on 9 August she was registered in Leninsky Payon. Nowhere in Marina's nutobiography, the report on the FBI'm interviews of Marina or any other official papers belonging to the 05"Mily, is there may sention of the change of residence which allocallrequired this changeln. registration. There is some discussion of the change

in the childle correspondence, with an indication that Pair apartness in Penazonsky Rayan was reoccupied almost as soon as the GSTAID's left. Mrs. Oswall must be asked about this move in detail. Thy list they move. What were the exact addresses? the were their neighbors' And so on. This have is all the more interesting, not because it was given to little attention in Mrs. Osmald's festismony (it seems she has offered little detailed coverage of any part of her life), but because it took place in the middle of the period in which the OSMID's were arranging to return to the B.S. According to Lee's diary for the period, 15 July to 20 August, the CSULD's found that they vere required to have around theaty documents in order to apply for an exit visu; they submitted

these documents on to August and Johnson that they

in the save a three-same rate continuate in the same are stored and a same the same are save as a save are save ar

- 6. Och Pachter. (TTEL PALS) it must be noted here that the copy of the document is supplied is interfect; unless the rescriber of this document has been eithered, it is necessary to know the Werlan had only this portion of her Term Pooklet.
  - as Another charge in Barion's tier town occurred to the leafuly and important is a some and in this document; by an order, dated a supercotly

The complaintenance are and been working stone 1979.

In constigution of the commons for this charge.

proving Tariy in light of other afantes noted in in

- on which the Chart of the script also at the same time.

  the amount of the script also at the same time. In a examination of the beightal is required to de-
- Trade Union Pocklet. (Professionly bilet; included in ITEMS 17:-27:) There are a number of irregularities indicated to questions below in Sactuals Professionly buted for which simuly to took explanations might be found. They do not occur obeyty, because, and in view of the accurrence of station and applicably regin edition, and applicably regin edition, as a court of the sacraphone of station and applicably regin edition.

that is a second figure from the

resources a committee to confirm the object of the confirmation of La de Carlo de Carlo Car and so all Mailton's Touther's by 1854 terms to mate and with the other sum brokens amounts, peroris her trade outen does payments regioning puly in late 1.3); Souther it cown that in 196 common made early the payments fone, of I multiet the second, of 50 copecks). After July 1959, as payments are recens than the tanuary that is which year she made monthly physicals until formst. No later payments are shown. Aside from the question of laregularity of organita, we must ask down it me constille for writes to have present through the 1900 1959 conted without raying does at ail! It is not constate and the fore the inches the had at least one of the older automitted the ing those three years. ground that Marit & The lysums her Excet

(a) The second of the secon

In the location there are included and accomplished as a linear temperature of the control of the location of the control of the location of the control of the location of th

The include the decimal tenth gives the decimal of the decimal of which is the engine of the decimal of which is the decimal to the decimal of the decimal o

. \*

to the adjust be underly in official issuing such the office, here it is investible in totage that within turing or the official most help north error in recording her year of birth. It is probable this someone other than Varion filled in the information required for this document; then, either the tesning official or marina deliberate; lied in this instance, the bere, when and ty show here he made as a pasta information recorded.

papers at soud, it is difficult to find lowerestal grounds for a number of questions which night be asked of the Cotton in any case, however, however to the following inquiries concerning ber passwort.

will be of greatest importance and usefulness.

Pensylvia (Vil on rightel store Inch abit).

a) bly was Mes. OSTED given a massport made valid from 11 dameary 1961 to 11 dameary 1964? It might be said, of course, that it was expected that she would no longer need the passport after the datter date because sie was the wife of a F.S. citizen returning to the M.S. to stay.

the west granted non-quota (2-1) states for entry and the U.S. Then, why was the prespect rade

validation of greats, when the case expected to be desired the court was there may be in the passenger) - to be partitle of Si, on or before 1 December 1 Sat. Did some expect to return to their new relatives? Light two years? On the as get undetermined satary of a can unable to perchase a passage to the U.S. on the laste of his own resources! See also paragraph to a below.

- b) Shy was dame's name entered into both farina's and galacts assembly instead of just into Legish
  - c) Air ough the OswalD's some given official permission to leave (see exit visa) only on II samury lade, looks diagrametes that they been of this on 5 January How were they laformed?
- d) Enrically provide was made valid astil I December 1 Min but the drawy notes that they had only 6 mouths in which to leave Why? Although nome explanations for this can be found, it seems best to position Mrs. OSTAID and let her give the explanations.
- 9. Various Castificates. (ITET #345).
  - entrie Certificate of Tinformation on Fermoes doing
    - for the control group of the document at provided for the con-

. Dint. I information which amount bone significantly continue maison communing that CS Will's pass. coal exit visa; they be particularly true of the portion bearing her statement of Jestination and proposed length of stay. It sould be now intary strag also to know what is written beneath the where, private wit (corstoy) vyposity, to ber State and set the Sazpa of Their tenvel. Ste was no deres in man (200), perfecting empired in 1939 official and the factors are storic communities and and the given in the space for momental distillates Charman of members of the family going abroad? Thy lid the person dompleting that portion we Marina's certificate readdring the date upon which her passport was submitted to the Maistry of largin Affairs and the number and date of the cover letter fall to fill in the above-mentioned information, after apparently starting to provide ity

by the Wiesk First City Children's Esspital,
May 14, 1962. This date does not correspond
exactly to the date of vaccination (15 May) given
in Jan (CSWALD's Increational Confidence of Vaccinational C

one is water matter information from the horizonal control on the interior continuate expained. It is near unlikely that such an ourse could be made under normal of reasonness, particularly in view of the classty with which his band is written on he be stat metilizate. See paragraph if a) below:

Children indeed identification card for Los Children dated 4 Suptomber 1933. There is nothing durished about the rand as obeya in the copy given accord that it was among the tracts papered and that it, so or all other types of papers possible, was savel and experiental out to the Children among the contains as my the contain passes from Tree 1 poptenter 1937 with the contains a street and the contains a contains a paper.

All laterias less tora entered therein, with the exception of the year of flor eatry into the school. In view of the are taking by the resulpible official to see that he taken product the resulpible official to see that he to the appropriate areas, this enfection.

11. Two Receives Justiness in IT. 18 71 280). The first of those (see 11 a)) is of greaten apportance to our

evariants and the mement, hearing as it does on the discussion of approximation in the OSUMID shot records. The approximate of the second is yet to be proven.

a) Pertificate of revaccination (for Marian), issued by the Midsk (exact designation unclear is copy) Solyclinic No. 3, 18 May 1962. Again, (see paragraph 9 b) above), the date does not correspond exactly to the date of vaccination (16 May) given to Macina's International Contificate. Here also it is unlikely that an official certifying a vaccination given by someone else at an earlier date would have mistaken the date as given on the original certificate. Then if the certifying ship's physician merely accepted the OSSAID's word for the date of the vaccinations, why did he not so indicate in the International Certificates: Now did it happen that he also accepted their word for Marina's revaccination, on a second (16 May) date? Why was he given a second date? Surely even if the Off VID's had been unable to remember the exact date. They would have remembered that the vaccinations were accomplished on the same day. Then, why did Marina sot return to her former place of employment (the Combined Third Clinical Hospital) for the vaccinations? Remembering that, according to the

the initialist the content day, and that when his yet a stage like is granible to believe that when his yet a stage like is granible to believe that Warlan had a like the dual to the children's hospital half then went to Polycladic No. Is because it was alseer to that hospital. It is also possible that Marina was instructed to have the vaccinations done only at these piaces. The hy whom had under what circumstances were these instructions i made. The other similar has been able to the partial inequalinities in during a second white multiples as were the constant posed and others indicated be clarified to the question, posed and others indicated be clarified to the greatest detail.

in what minner this item case into her prosession and the entered the same - assail (Ostatio) -- upon it.

By its appearance -- i.e. by the catry of her carried note - and in accordance with its purpose, maless it belonged to bee, mulicely in view of his contaly series, it should have been prepared some time tensors as apaid that the been prepared some time tensors as apaid the ord; inst demonstration and this work the series of the containing tensors as the ord; inst demonstration the was this work to contain and the ord; inst demonstration the bettom portions for the voices and contained. It is not unessent.

viologicalise that the state of the atops of the literate to are to this to the literal literature of the laterance, thus the part literal literal literature of the laterance, thus and a the terminal of the state of the energy of the field of the state of the stat

The lates of the l

a) it comments accepting the product of the product

a. Assist ther guestions regarding Macina's lather, the year of her birth and that on which she entered planning school of which were discussed in connection with her documents, we must look at Maring's list of relatives in the PSSE. If this autobiography was prepared for the purpose of getting a wisa to the US -- it was written in the USSR (see note on husbani's place of work), was it necessary to list uncles and aunts: If so, mly did she mention only the DEBLOY's of Wisk and omit contion of the PHOSOTOM's, also of Minsk. Comparatively little contagt between Tarina and the DERLOV's while in the 45%, and none (a) correspondence) since in the US.). is on record; while the did live with the Paulancy's and difference carrol minimal rate arrest country of the bits of them by morely arrepresentation to Timber condend of her latercommunity that it which we impapred the rade on mention

conjustance it seems, her none -- Harrya Vasilyera

thus to -- was morning in the hamitation-harroniclogical Betachment (TEO) in Niesk. In that form were

Marran's relations with the HEELOV's? What conjucts

did Las ON ALD rave with the HEELOV's? What conjucts

denough ment" and after the marriage. Did the HEELOV's

observe to Marian's farmines. To Deem so an American?

Then all the first the apparent break in relations take

that occasioned the preparation of this autobiogrammer has it, as aggressed above, to accompany an application for a visa; has it to accompany a request for an exit permitty is any use. Marina -- a citizen of the USSE since birth, fully aware and thoroughly practiced in the regulation or towlet like an ucrtainly should know very soil that such an autobiography as that she has offered would never be accepted even is a "heref netoleography", as final by Soviet emitted at here at a comparable to minimo after that, loss a large falls manage the street So a f. doughte any i proppt to be from for or from orders y officials, she would think this true of U.S. not posities, too. Perc then, lare . Il the basis doin its of her tire - mass, dates, the is, hard gropered to find this stority. more realization extens them believes to control to our delimits The same of the matery and with

go to a deposition of progress . The real regree of reportage to the terminate of the position of the progress of the forest and the prostitute process in the forest position of the prostitute process.

- (1) Then Marina's mother maurical Alchander Ivanovich
  (2) The Marina officially and
  (3) The Coording to the material of hand. Sarina
  (4) If a year roung child mean her sether americal
  (4) Marina continue to live with
  (5) The According to the material of hand. Sarina
  (5) If a year roung child mean her sether americal
  (5) The According to the material of the after the marriage.
- (7) Thy, after living so long with her genedmother did who then return to live with her mother and step-father. Then whose decision did she make the changer
- (3) When and why -- before Marina want to live with them And her mother and stopfather move from Arkhan-to-in, to the Melenvian Str. What was the stopfather doing there:
- (4) That excessioned the family's move to Loningrady was various's stopfather transferred. Or did is change organizations: If he was transferred to Lantagond as a vive still in the same organization be must have had a very most position and remaintion. Also, according to the interview removed, the atopfather was a shifted resident to advantage and very most except to the interview removed, the atopfather was a shifted resident to advantage and very most removed. The atopfather start (restored) which is always a considered from heat family -- If her shep-, which excepts to be a second title terms.

- () Note the corrections ((we) in the autobio- ) density cade to remark to the year of barrants notherts need), possibly to coincide with her statement that her somer died during her second year in the Pharmacy Collection.
- doug store on Eastive Problems during her last year in school. Under what circumstances aid she go to work there? That were her duties? You (and how much) was she paid for this work! What atter details (names of supervisors fellow workers; hours of work, etc) can she provide about this job! How was this job tourisated?
- (7) What type (official designation) of pension did Marina and the other children received. To whom (to the children directly, or to the stepfather) did the pension faith flow was it received? What was the amount of the pension?
- (8) Marina said she took her meals away from home.
  Shere: Now was she able to do this: That was her
  total income:
- first 70b after graduation that made her quit after with day? It is one thing not common, but not un-

The discount and the assigned; but it is another that he go to work and then quit after one day.

The discount as there extents a right to a 3-day trial periodismuch as the na actioned, it is almost topossible for her to save quit and gine on vacation so easily. First, she would have been in trouble immediately with the Komsomor and her trade union.

Second she has said that she spent little time in less deplatheds some taking her meals outside, because of studied relations. For, there she stayed, apparently with to income - no may and no rare pensions, either from school or government. Then, her was she able to do this:

said that she lived with an aunt and uncle PRESAROV her mother's brother and his wife. Waring has said
nothin, more in these interviews about the DERLOV's,
whom she above mentioned in her autobiography (see
paragraph II a (2) above) Marina should be asked
about these connections with both the PRESAROV's
and the DERLOV's; particularly with the latter;
lee has mentioned other relatives in his drary and
alices, book, but nover the PEPLOV's (in this case,
the question should be addressed in just that way ---

Note that we will be the second of the secon

(eter Marieu's explanation of the renorm for cultime the filling as quite amounting one difficulty with the rame. Lee, the divides next chare would cand have been "Lee", or even "Alaksey", in proference in Tallik". She should be questioned further about this.

DRAF

inow more than she has total include the reason for we's employment in Minsk, mather than Toscow and the reason for the difference between Lee's high pay for his unstitled labor and fer low salary. Tith regard to the latter, even fill the only reason was just as Lee himself presented it. Le received a subsidy grant through the Red Cross 4- with no other considerations to be made, certainly Marina would have known of this, and, maless there were something sensitive about the subject, should not be religious. They her testiment would indicate the known little or nothing of these things. Why?

her hashand's nature? At Marina remarked that...he did not and discuss politics with her or, to her knowledge with any other as or intest (see page 16.)" It is not remarkable that in ordinary han-on-the-street American would not discuss politics to a noticeable extent. But

It is described and one Constraint whom, defend field Costron study the Pussian Leadunge and prepare to recovere their citizenship and go to the USUR? How many return to the US to proclaim thing Marxist views and hand out pro-Castro pamphlets on street corners. And to assassinate the President of the US? And how may such men, usually most outstanding for their vesiferation, are able to keep noticeably sitent on political subjects even before their gives, while spending two or three years in their "political homeland". Marina must be questioned closely about these things, just as she must be questioned closely in order to identify these "other associates" with whom tee OSTALD did not discuss politics.

- KHAR'KOV of which we would know nothing were it not for the small collection of letters written to her during her stay there. Why did she make no mention of this trip, yet talk freely of her vacation trips to Leningrad, etc. What was the purpose of the trip? What other details (length; place of residence, etc.)
- 13. In brief as indicated in the foregoing, it fis the belief of the undersigned, based on the materials made

31

available that Carina Co. (SLD and we re carried, thoroughly and are cons.) rable length, by aris expects and leans available in order to clarify for story and her cole in the actions of her hashand following their return to the US.

MEMORANDUM OF TRANSMITTAL

TO : Chief, SB/CI/K

ಂ

ITEM : AEDONOR Interrogation Transcripts

- 1. Submitted herewith, as requested, are AEDIPPER/20's verbatim transcripts (15; English-language) of his interrogation of AEDONOR, 26 July-13 August 1935; the first five (5) of these transcripts are accompanied by the corresponding verbatim Russian-language transcripts (Russian-language transcripts for Reels #8 6 and 7 are ready for typing, if required); an index to the names mentioned in all of the transcripts also is submitted. Further, the original English-language transcripts (15), with corrections, are being returned to Headquarters with this memo; the fifteen (15) corresponding tape recordings already have been returned.
- 2. With regard to the remainder of the original Headquarters request, it has been agreed that AKDIPPER/20's transcripts are self-explanatory at most points and that his background comments on the course and content of the interrogation will be reserved, to be addressed to any future specific questions and requirements generated in Headquarters review of those transcripts.
- 3. It should be noted here, however in view of part three (3) of Headquarters' request that AEDIPPER/20 did not use false or unfounded statements for any reason in any of the interrogation sessions; it is believed possible that ideas to the contrary may have arisen during review of the original transcripts, which included many instances of improper interpretation of the Russian "double negative". Reviewing the interrogation, AEDIPPER/20 noted only two (2) points at which he did not have a basis in detailed personal knowledge (to 1954) or specific documents for statements made to AEDCHOR:
- a. HRPs (Norskoy Eazvedyvatel'nyy Punkt Navy Intelligence Point) AEDIPPER/20 stated that at the time AEDONOR was assigned to duty with an MRP on the Baltic other MRPs also were in operation in that area; in fact, although AEDIPPER/20 knows that other MRPs were in operation there, he has no knowledge of their specific designations.

  APPROVED FOR RELEASE 1994 CIA HISTORICAL REVIEW PROGRAM

- b. Letter on KRUGLOV's Removal AEDIPPER/20's statement that a letter explaining KRUGLOV's removal had been circulated within State Security was based upon personal knowledge, not of the fact itself, but of the State Security practice always of circulating such letters following the removal of other State Security chiefs, such as ABAKUMOV and BERIYA.
- 4. AEDIPPER/20's English-language transcripts employ the following key (not repeated in the transcripts):
  - a. A AEDIPPER/20;
  - b. B AEDONOR;
  - c. C T. H. BAGLEY (in the transcript of Reel # 15 only);
- d. () Single sets of parentheses enclose transliterations or, in case of
- e. (2G), (XG), (XM) indicate a number of missing or garbled or otherwise "unreadable" words;
- f. (()) Double sets of parentheses enclose transcriber/
  translator remarks, explanations, etc., in addition to/not part of
  interrogation content;
  - g. (? ?) Queried transcriptions are thus indicated;
- h. ... Ellipses indicate either a brief pause in speech or an unfinished sentence, not omitted or "unreadable" phrases;
- i. \_\_\_ Underlines, except in cases of book and periodical titles, indicate emphasis in speech.
- however, there has been some "emelioration" of the few obscenities found therein.

SB/CI/P

Attachments : por para 1

Distribution :

Orig & 1 - Addressee

1 - AEDIPPER/20